

YADA YAHOWAH

TWISTIANITY



VOLUME FOUR

INCREDIBLE

FAITH IS FOR FOOLS

Craig Winn

YADA YAHOWAH TWISTIANITY

VOLUME FOUR

INCREDIBLE

FAITH IS FOR FOOLS

CRAIG WINN

Craig Winn. Twistianity: Incredible.

Craig Winn. Questioning Paul: Incredible.

1st edition. www.yadayah.com, 2007.

2nd (revised) edition. www.yadayah.com, 2008.

3rd edition. www.yadayah.com and Claitor's Publishing Division, 2012.

4th edition. www.yadayah.com and Claitor's Publishing Division, 2013.

5th edition. www.yadayah.com and Amazon, 2017.

6th (revised) edition. www.yadayah.com and Amazon, 2020.

7th (revised) edition. www.yadayah.com and Amazon, 2023.

Revised and renamed 1st edition. www.yadayah.com and Amazon, 2024.

Copyright © Craig Winn 2024

Copyright Statement - About Us - (www.yadayah.com)

Ver. 20241001

About the Author...

Twenty-three years ago, Craig Winn was an entrepreneur. The turbulent story of his last adventure is shared in his first book, *In The Company*. It is an entertaining read, providing an eyewitness account into the culture of a private and then public company.

After the Islamic suicide bombings of 9.11.01, Craig met with al Qaeda and wrote *Tea with Terrorists* to explain - Who they are, Why they kill, and What will stop them. His most widely read book, Prophet of Doom - Islam's Terrorist Dogma in Muhammad's Own Words has now been updated and substantially expanded, becoming God Damn Religion, after witnessing the sadistic savagery of Muslim terrorists on 10.07.23 in Israel. It reorders the Quran chronologically, setting it into the context of Muhammad's life using the earliest *Hadith*, notably Al-Tabari's Tarikh | History and Ibn Ishaq's Sirat Rasul Allah Way of Allah's Messenger. If you want to know why fundamentalist Muslims commit 90% of the world's most heinous terrorist acts, and if you care about the wellbeing of God's people, Snake, Satanic, Submission, Slaughter, and Sunnah are instrumental.

In his quest to resolve a puzzling prophetic anomaly, Craig began translating the text of the Dead Sea Scrolls. That endeavor led to the 23 volumes of *Introduction to God*, *Yada Yahowah*, *Observations*, *Coming Home*, *Babel*, and soon 5 books on *Twistianity*, formerly, *Questioning Paul*. They were renamed and rewritten to present the Passover Lamb's true identity and to condemn the abomination known as Replacement Theology.

Throughout, Craig, or Yada as he is known to Yahowah, has been committed to providing amplified translations. They are not only more accurate and complete, they are readily verified. As a result, he has been afforded hundreds of insights into the words Yahowah inspired, many of which are unheralded and profound.

Beyond these 35 books, Craig Winn has been interviewed as an expert on religion, politics, economics, and terrorism on over 5,000 talk radio programs worldwide and has hosted 5,000 more, leaving a vast quantity of archived shows from *Shattering Myths* to his *Yada Yah Towrah Study*. He currently produces a live podcast every Friday evening, where he discusses insights gleaned from his translations. Links to the podcast and archives, as well as to the social media sites expounding upon *Yada Yahowah* are provided at YadaYah.com.

Mr. Winn is not a scholar or theologian, nor is he associated with any religious or political institution. He does not accept donations or receive financial backing from anyone. Everything he has written is shared freely online. All 30 of his published books are offered without royalty.

Over the past twenty-three years, Craig Winn has devoted his life to exploring Yahowah's testimony. He enjoys God's company and is enriched by the experience. If you have an open mind, and a genuine desire to learn, you will find his translations and explanations enlightening.

Craig encourages readers to share his translations and resulting insights with others, albeit with two caveats: 1) You may not use them to promote any religious, political, or conspiratorial agenda. And 2) You may not use them to incite or engage in any violent act. When it comes to exposing and condemning errant and counterproductive ideas, wield words wisely. Also, it is always appropriate to acknowledge the source when citing copyrighted material.

You may contact Craig at YadaYah.com. He enjoys constructive criticism and will engage with readers. But be forewarned: he is immune to religious idiocy and will not respond to threats or taunts. The YadaYah.com site provides links to many helpful resources, as well as to friends and forums.



TWISTIANITY

INCREDIBLE

Table of Contents:

1	Chabaquwq Embrace This Cruel Lies	1
2	Sha'uwl Question Him The Prophecy	91
3	Lo' Shama' Do Not Listen False Prophet	188
4	Harpax Self-Promoting It Was Obvious	235
5	When Love is Wrong The Contrarian	279
6	Anti-Semite Worse than his Writing	340
7	Fool's Folly No greater unlove than this	384
8	The Death of Religion Inaccurate Accounting	504

Twistianity V4: Incredible ... Faith is for Fools

1

Chabaquwq | Embrace This

Cruel Lies...

As is often the case, we see things more clearly when we step back and gain perspective. Sometimes we get so close to our subject that we fail to see what is occurring in the greater context of place and time. In this light, let's commence our closing arguments in the case of Yahowah v. Sha'uwl by examining God's prophetic pronouncements regarding the founder of the Christian religion.

So that we are on firm footing as we begin our summation, let's clear up an important misconception. Paul, not Jesus, was the founder of Christianity.

Paul was the principal author of the New Testament while Iesou Christou didn't write a word of it. And since "Jesus Christ" was a counterfeit replacement for the actual Messiah and Son of God, *Dowd* | David, that's understandable, it is a serious problem for Christianity. And as a result, it is readily apparent and convincingly proven that Paul was a fraud.

A man who presumptuously contradicted God, while admitting to being demon-possessed, was the author, instigator, and plot smith of the Christian story. He was the director, producer, scriptwriter, and lead actor of the religion.

This stunning reality is obscured by the erroneous reordering of the New Testament's dated, heavily edited, and copiously augmented collection of hearsay accounts by the Roman Catholic Church in the 4th century. By placing

their versions of Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, and Acts before Galatians and the other Pauline epistles, Eusebius, who edited and arranged the text in this order, deliberately created the false impression that the "Gospels" came first and that Paul was elaborating on the life of "Jesus Christ." But that is not even remotely accurate.

Paul did not quote Iesou / Jesus in any of his fourteen letters for two reasons. First, Paul and his words were more important to Paul than anyone else's, including his Lord's. Second, Paul could not have known the dialogue developed much later and attributed to Jesus within the Gospels because the Gospel narratives did not exist when Paul composed his letters. And they would not materialize for decades thereafter.

Therefore, the legends of Jesus and the rival Judaizers were inspired by Sha'uwl. So, I'm repeating myself because this has to be done to overcome two thousand years of religious malfeasance. The harsh reality for Christians is that the first of Paul's fourteen epistles, Galatians in 52 CE, was written and distributed twenty-five years before Mark and Luke were composed and circulated. And the last of Paul's letters, 2nd Timothy in 65 or 66 CE, was scribed thirty years prior to the last of the Gospels – those attributed to Matthew and John in 95 CE.

Even the ensuing terminology, both for "Gospels" and a "New Testament" were derived from Paul's letters, beginning with his incessant use of *euangelion* | good messenger and beneficial message. Further, Paul was the lone attributed participant in the conception of the Christian religion who wrote contemporaneously about what he had said and done. Everything else is either hearsay, grossly belated, or tenuously ascribed authorship. And since these facts are irrefutable, the only rational conclusion is that Paul's pen serves as the foundation of Christianity.

Further, the first two Gospels, Mark and Luke, were heavily influenced, if not inspired, by Paul. While both men were Pauline devotees and had read his letters and heard his speeches, neither was an eyewitness to anything that transpired in Jerusalem or Judea circa 30 to 33 CE. So where do you suppose they got their material?

The same is true with the Book of Acts. It was compiled by Paul's publicist and personal healer, Luke. Making matters worse, 80% of the text of the only other synoptic Gospel, Matthew, was copied from Mark and Luke. It regurgitates what Paul's disciples had already conjured up, although not until 95 CE – six decades after the events and conversations would have occurred, assuming that they had actually transpired.

The only possible "independent" author was the scribe who crafted the Gospel of John and Revelation. And while there is no way to know who wrote them, where, why, or when, they were also created sixty years after the fact. And as I have shown, Revelation and the letters attributed to Peter and James overtly condemn Paul and his religious views, just as Paul denounced Peter, James, and John in Galatians.

Against this black fog of obscurity and duplicity, there is the realization that no one named Jesus Christ could have existed in the 1st century CE. There is no independent record of an Iesou or Christou. These are neither Hebrew names nor titles. There are no prophecies pertaining to an Iesou Christou either. Further, unlike any of Yahowah's prophets and witnesses, this mythical character didn't write a single word, leaving no record of his existence or purpose.

Counter to all of this is the copious, detailed, and compelling prophetic portrayal of what Dowd, as the Messiah and Son of God, would accomplish on behalf of the Covenant at this time and place. And since Yahowah

never misrepresents His intentions, the ultimate Zarowa' and actual Mashyach arrived on schedule in his city to fulfill the first three Miqra'ey in year 4000 Yah / 33 CE. This means that Jesus Christ was nothing more than a crude counterfeit, a replacement player, and a deplorable myth.

As a result, the Christian religion and its New Testament rise and fall solely on Sha'uwl's / Paul's highly suspect authority, errant claims, erroneous citations, lack of authenticity, fallacious arguments, continual contradictions, crippling narcissism, disorienting psychosis, and demon-possession. But of course, that's not the worst of it because it is absurd to believe that God inspired a man to ridicule and neuter Him.

We were warned about the Sha'uwl who became Paul while inventing the Christian religion because it has abused God's people for the past two thousand years while at the same time negating the Son of God's achievements, the value of the Covenant, the Invitations to Meet, and the Guidance Yahowah provided through His Towrah, while also nullifying His name. Consider this...

"'Away from the control, power, and influence (min yad) of Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl), I have chosen to ransom and redeem them, reacquiring them (padah hem).

So, Plague of Death (maweth), where ('ehy) is the widespread pandemic you caused with your empty words and the sting of your controlling goads (deber 'atah)? Plague of death (maweth), where ('ehy) is your fatal contagion – your ruinous influence (qeteb 'atah)?

With Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl), the capacity for compassion and contrition, the ability to relent and show remorse, even regret (nocham), is nonexistent (sathar) from My perspective (min 'ayn 'any). (Howsha' 13:14)

It is true (ky), this son of his brothers (huw' ben 'ach

huw') will run swiftly, thrive with bull, produce asses, and be licentious (para'). Then the foretold confrontational encounter (qadym) with the Spirit (ruwach) of Yahowah (YaHoWaH) will come (bow').

As a result of (min) questioning the word (midbar), his perversions will be esteemed, but he will lack integrity ('alah / 'olah) and will be denounced when it is shown that what he did was wrong $(wa\ bowsh)$.

His destructive source (maqowr huw') will eventually be constrained (wa charab). His perceptions (ma'ayn huw') will be exposed and stripped away (huw' shasah), including his treasury ('owtsar huw') of everything (kol kaly) perceived as worthwhile and desirable (chemdah)." (Howsha' | Hosea 13:15)

There was only one Sha'uwl to whom this rebuke applies. Christians know him as Paul. What they don't know is what God said about him.

For example, some 666 years before Sha'uwl penned his first letter, around 615 BCE, Yahowah chose a man named "Embrace This" to expose and condemn an individual named "Question Him." God's concern wasn't so much that this heinous man would lure billions of souls back to Babylon by negating His Towrah and replacing His Covenant, but that he would do irreparable harm to His Son's reputation and His people's wellbeing. This stunning prophetic witness began with these words...

"This is the prophetic pronouncement (ha masa' – the published prophecy) which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher – to show the way to get the most enjoyment out of life), was received as a revelation by (chazah – was revealed and witnessed by way of a prophetic vision to (the qal stem is the voice of genuine relationships and of literal truth while the perfect conjugation reveals that this revelation was totally complete, lacking nothing)) Chabaquwq | Embrace This

(*Chabaquwq* – Accept This, which is to acknowledge, accept, and act upon what is being revealed), **the prophet** (*ha naby*' – the individual who proclaims the message of God regarding past or future events)." (*Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:1)

Yahowah brought *Chabaquwq* / Habakkuk forward in time to between 52 and 66 CE, where he was able to witness the speeches and writings of a man who would fundamentally change the landscape of nations, the course of history, the souls of men, and the fate of Jews. What he saw and heard caused him to respond in a condescending manner, similar to our reaction throughout the four, soon-to-be five, volumes of *Twistianity*.

It is characteristic of Yahowah to encourage His prophets and witnesses to be judgmental. He wants those speaking for Him to express their disdain openly – recognizing that it is the most rational and compassionate response to something this hurtful and destructive.

During the one thousand years of prophetic discourse, there have been many monumentally important portrayals of future events. And while Yahowah's promises to fulfill His *Miqra'ey* | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet to establish and restore His *Beryth* | Covenant with His 'am | People remains supreme, along with the return of His Son, this prophecy pertains to something more impactful and devastating than can be imagined. It is among the most specific, relevant, and far-reaching prophecies ever recorded – and the most damning.

And yet, we are the first to read these words as God intended. That is, in itself, a scathing rebuke against the Christian and Jewish scholars who were provided a two-thousand-year head start. And it is particularly inexcusable since the subject of this rebuke is Sha'uwl / Paul and his creation — Christianity — the most popular and yet devastating religion in human history.

In his opening statement, Chabaquwq actually cried out to God, pleading for what he was witnessing to end. And while I suspect that all of us, having been exposed to Sha'uwl's / Paul's deplorable rhetoric over the past 1,900 pages of *Twistianity*, are sympathetic, I think Habakkuk was also pleading for his people and on behalf of his God and beloved Son. Having seen how Yahowah, Dowd, Yisra'el, and Yahuwdah would suffer as a result of Paul and Christianity, he could not bear the thought that this Plague of Death would haunt the world for nearly 2,000 years. Considering the carnage, it is heartbreaking.

But it wasn't just Paul's fools and religious Jews who would turn a blind eye to his debilitating scheme. According to His prophet, Yahowah was on the lookout for the horrible things he would say about Him. And at first, I found this troubling, that is until I thought it through. Other than to condemn the worst of men, there was no reason for God to listen to anything the religious had to say because they were not listening to Him. So, what would have been the point?

Sensibly and appropriately, Yahowah turned a deaf ear to two millennia of religious rhetoric. He did not hear a single prayer, plea, or sermon. Instead, Yahowah would follow His own advice — which was to tune out the poisonous platitudes of those Paul, Akiba, and Muhammad had beguiled. He would disavow the vows of billions — not a one of whom knew Him.

Therefore, at the inception of the long period of silence, God remained in character. He deployed and equipped the best possible man for the job. He made it possible for Chabaquwq to witness the impetus of the deadliest and most debilitating crime ever perpetrated and then share the results with us. And while His people paid no attention, we have made the resulting warning the centerpiece of the five volumes of *Twistianity*. Therefore, the stated mission has now been accomplished and at the

most appropriate time, all without further frustrating the Almighty.

"For how long and to what extent ('ad 'an — until when and up to what point), Yahowah ("서가 — the pronunciation of YaHoWaH as guided by His towrah — teaching regarding His hayah — existence), should I plead for someone to respond in a beneficial way to this desperate and dangerous situation (shawa' — should I vocalize my request for assistance, for someone to engage in a helpful manner (piel perfect)) because (wa) You are not listening (lo' shama' — You do not want to hear (qal imperfect)) to my anguished appeal and emotional outcry (za'aq — to my lamentable summons (qal imperfect))?

Against You ('el 'atah – concerning You, God) there is a devastating plot comprised of cruel lies, ruthlessly plundering the people without moral restraint using injurious language (chamas – there is a destructive force, a lack of ethical parameters, widespread injustice and violence, a despoliation of everyone, grievous wrongdoing leading to death; from chamas – that which is wrong rationally and ethically such that people are violently tormented). As a result (wa – therefore), You must withhold salvation (lo' yasha' – You cannot provide deliverance on an ongoing basis (hifil imperfect))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:2)

One thousand nine hundred seventy-two years from Paul's inception of the New Testament in 52 CE to today in 2024 CE is a very long time, and yet that is how long the world has endured the horrible stain and stigma of Pauline Christianity. Rivaled only by the conception of Judaism and Islam, nothing has been or will ever again approach its horrific treatment of Jews. And from this relentless religious assault, there will be no relief prior to Yahowah's return with His Mashyach Dowd in October of 2033

During this long intermission, Yahowah has stopped listening, not only because His people were responsible for the plagues that have come upon them, but also as a result of the many variations of Messianic Foolology they have fermented. The path to God has become so obscured, no one was able to find Him. Therefore, with no one listening, there was none for Yah to communicate through. As a result, there was nothing He could do to protect His people from themselves or from the gowym Paul was, and Akiba and Muhammad would be, poisoning.

Under such circumstances, there would be no reason for God to endure a tormenting faith He could not resolve – at least without making a mockery of freewill. There would be no fixing Christianity and no way to prevent its plundering of His Son and people. As a result, humankind would endure two thousand years without the prospect of salvation or a relevant message from God.

Sha'uwl's | Paul's religious faith remains man's greatest affront to God. It is a devastating plot comprised of exceedingly cruel lies, plundering God's hopes and mankind's soul. This lone individual's immoral and unconstrained attack on Yahowah's Towrah, Beryth, Miqra'ey, 'Am, and Mashyach would result in God not being able to reconcile His relationship with anyone for nearly two millennia.

That was the state of affairs that I entered at God's request on Taruw'ah in 2001. At the time, nobody even knew Yahowah's name – myself included. It is truly amazing how far we have come over twenty-three years.

Yahowah can do many things, but He will not breach a promise. When He offered 'Abraham the five benefits of the Covenant based upon his acceptance of its five conditions, He established the standard He would forever uphold. Further, it would be through the *Miqra'ey* | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet that Father and Son

would deliver on the Beryth and its promises of eternal life, perfection of our souls, and adoption into the Family, as we become enriched and empowered heirs of everything Yahowah has to offer.

However, since Sha'uwl / Paul repudiated the Beryth, its supportive Miqra'ey, the Ben who fulfilled them, and the Towrah from whence they are known. And that makes what we are doing through *Yada Yahowah* essential. This is the first time since *Mal'aky* / Malachi in 447 BCE that anyone has listened to and then spoken on behalf of Yahowah to awaken Yisra'el. And I suppose that this is why I am the first to explain this prophecy since the time it was written.

This understanding, however, did not fall off of the Tree of Knowledge and into my lap. It was over twenty years in the making. It began by studying the Quran and learning who Satan is and how he uses religious texts and men like Muhammad, as well as Paul before him, to co-opt Yahowah's story, twisting it such that his perversions result in the preponderance of people worshiping the Adversary as if he were God. In this way, I came to appreciate Yahowah's overwhelming disdain for religion. Affirming this previously unheralded conclusion, I devoted years to composing, promoting, and then augmenting *Prophet of Doom* into the five volumes of *God Damn Religion*.

The second prerequisite was discovering the merit of the Towrah, developing an appreciation for the intent and value of the Beryth and Miqra'ey in support of the relationship God intended. Also, an appreciation of Yah's prophetic tapestry is essential for those trying to make the connections that lead to understanding. In this regard, the amplified translations and insights woven into the sixteen volumes of *An Introduction to God*, *Yada Yahowah*, and *Observations* advanced my comprehension of God's message.

That was a good start, but not enough, because to grasp the intent of Chabaquwq's prophecy, one must come to know the villain who was the subject of it – Sha'uwl / Paul. And my knowledge of him and his ploys has grown appreciably over the past decade with *Questioning Paul* developing into five volumes of *Twistianity*. After having done these things, I am finally able to discern why Habakkuk was inspired to write these words this way so long ago.

The lesson is that learning is a process. And while it requires considerable diligence, understanding is the next step. It is predicated upon making reasoned connections between the things we come to know along the way. And fortunately, by having been afforded twenty-five years from inception to the first milestone, the Shabuw'ah harvest, there was sufficient time to learn and then share what needed to be known before it was too late.

Beyond being prepared, just as Yahowah's Towrah and Prophets convey the truth while exposing prominent religious and political impediments to knowing God, doing both became an essential part of the mission He had asked me to accomplish for His Son and people. And because performing as a witness at this level was beyond my capability, Yahowah devoted a full arsenal of Divine assistance, allocating what He refers to as the Seven Spirits of comprehension, steadfastness, and boldness.

The universal disconnect between Yahowah and His people must end for the final harvests and homecoming to begin as desired and foretold. And so, since Yisra'el had nearly run out of time, it was about time that Christianity, Islam, and Judaism were effectively repudiated, sparing God's people from them, while making it possible for them to know and accept the Towrah, Beryth, Miqra'ey, and Ben who fulfilled them.

With the proper perspective and appropriate attitude,

it became immediately apparent that Paul's message was so repugnant and vehemently anti-Semitic, that his mythical misnomer Iesou Christou / Jesus Christ, as both God and man, peaceful Messiah and dead savior, was immediately perceived as repugnant to Jews. Then, the inference that they killed the Christian god repelled Yahuwdym all the more – ultimately shortchanging them from the benefits their King provided by fulfilling the first three Miqra'ey because he was hidden behind all of the smoke and mirrors. Replacement Foolology, both by Paul and Akiba, precluded the Chosen People from knowing their Messiah and capitalizing upon the sacrifice of the Passover Lamb. They do not even know the purpose of the initial Miqra'ey.

Lost, abused, and blind, rabbis tried to resolve the mess they had made by ignoring and denying all of the prophecies pointing to Dowd's fulfillment of the Miqra'ey during year 4000 Yah, by nominating and promoting a false Messiah of their own – Simon bar Kokhba – from whom they derive the Star they falsely project on David. And that worked out so poorly that Rabbi Akiba, the father of Judaism, caused the Diaspora which led to Pogroms and the Holocaust.

But rabbis were not done inflicting pain on their people. Five hundred years later, they sold Muhammad Talmud citations, which he bastardized to vilify them in his Quran. And when they mocked Allah's favorite psychopath, he told Muslims to, "Kill every Jew" – perpetrating genocide against them. In the process, rabbis played a starring role in creating yet another adversarial foe.

But even then, they were not done abusing themselves and antagonizing God because, in 18th-century Ukraine, they conceived Hasidic Judaism, damning Jews to live impoverished lives as parasites. They have become so pathetic and reprehensible that secular Jews despise them.

Even worse, those playing religious dress-up pretend to be Torah-observant, besmirching the reputation of Yahowah's Teaching and Guidance while burying it under the gross weight of rabbinical arguments in their Babylonian Talmud.

It was the best and worst of times. Dowd had performed admirably while mankind responded horribly. Paul's message was repugnant and vehemently anti-Semitic. He recast Dowd as dead and buried so that he could steal his designations as the Son of God, Messiah, King of Kings, Chosen One, and Savior and then use them to embellish his new god, incorporating heavy doses of Dionysian and Odyssean mythology.

It was the worst ploy ever because without the benefit of the Passover Lamb, the door to Heaven would remain closed. And without the transfer of guilt during Dowd's fulfillment of UnYeasted Bread, there would be no means to remove the stench of religion. In their response to Paul, Jews put themselves in a dreadful position — one so averse to Yahowah, He could do nothing for them.

Then to add insult to self-inflicted injury, rather than acknowledge that ha Mashyach Dowd had come as promised to fulfill Chag Matsah, rabbi Akiba conjured up a false Messiah of his own. But he was outplayed – which is embarrassing since his antagonist, Paul, died defeated and alone 77 years prior to Judaism's lame retort.

As the writers of the New Testament and Talmud were staking out their positions and compiling their scriptures, Jews were outwitted by ignorant and irrational, barely literate charlatans who knew one percent as much about the Torah and Prophets as did they. And to increase their embarrassment, Akiba and Company lost the debate against a completely fabricated case on a topic in which they should have been the world's foremost experts.

And then to realize that after foisting a false Messiah

on his people and causing the Diaspora while denying Jews the benefits of Dowd's sacrifice, Akiba became Judaism's founding father. Truly embarrassing. And while I am once again being repetitive, I suspect that there are fewer than one in a hundred thousand Jews who understand the connection between the conceptions of Christianity and Judaism or how one led to the other based upon failing to appreciate that Dowd was the returning Messiah and Son of God who served to fulfill the Miqra'ey on behalf of the Towrah and its Beryth.

The case against the mythos of the Christian New Testament is so easily and irrefutably fought and won by comparing Peter's and Paul's religious jargon to Yahowah's and Dowd's testimony, there is no excuse for 100 generations of rabbis failing to do so. By citing *Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:2, the debate would have been over – just one prophecy to anesthetize the heart of the newborn creed.

That said, you should know that in an effort to deflect adverse attention away from Paul, there are those who will say that Habakkuk's lament was over the treatment of Yisra'elites in Babylon after they were dragged off into captivity. But that experience was not nearly as horrific as what they had already endured under the Assyrians or would suffer as a result of the Romans or Christians. And since Yahowah orchestrated the Babylonian "time out" for bad behavior, there was no devastating plot or cruel lies in its inception.

The exile to Babel was imminent and would become a current event in Chabaquwq's lifetime, something he would witness with his own eyes. At this late date, there would have been no reason for him to use "masa' – a prophetic pronouncement," "chazah – a future vision received as a revelation," or the title "naby' – prophet who proclaims God's testimony regarding future events" in his introductory statement. In addition, Yahowah had been

clear, revealing to other prophets precisely why Yahuwdym would be taken from the Land. And then there is the realization that the Babylonian captivity only lasted 70 years, which is inconsistent with the prolonged timeline Chabaquwq revealed regarding the influence of Sha'uwl.

So, there would have been no retort because the Babylonian excuse has always been a bad fit. And as we move into the second chapter, Yahowah will announce that the *nepesh* | soul, not the *'erets* | land, of *Sha'uwl* | Paul was responsible for the imposition of this "Plague of Death." He was not *Babel* | Babylon.

If Yahowah had taken Chabaquwq to Babylon to view his immediate future, 18 years hence, he would not have questioned why he was there. Chabaquwq knew that it was coming and that it was both required and deserved.

However, if we move 666 years forward in time to witness the quarrelsome contentiousness of Sha'uwl, it would be natural for Chabaquwq to ask God this question, and then make these statements, realizing that the people who would benefit from this warning would not be born for another six centuries. And it would be twenty-six centuries before anyone would correctly explain the prophecy – pinning the tail on the proper donkey.

That is not to remove the Babylonian connection. Yahowah is fond of foreshadowing, using contemporaneous events to shine a light on those which are of even greater significance in the future. From this perspective, Babylon represents the "babel – confusion of commingling and intermixing" God's words with man's myths so essential to the Christian religion. Paul would set a new standard for comminglers, misconstruers, and misappropriators. He was so skilled at his craft that he rivaled his Lord, which is, by his own admission, why Satan sought to rein him back in and to keep his ego in check. So even to this day, Paul's ploy remains man's

greatest impediment to reconciliation with God and participation in His Covenant.

This known, a synonym for *Babel* (Chaldeans) is used in this prophecy. So, it is germane to this story to recognize that Babylon was the first thing Yahowah asked 'Abraham to leave before engaging in His Covenant. And it is the last plea Yahowah makes to His people in the waning chapters of *Yirma'yah* / Jeremiah – almost begging, "Come out of Babylon, every soul! Do not be caught up in her perverse corruptions." More than anything, God wants Yisra'el to stop being religious and political, to stop *babel* | confounding Jews by intermixing truth and lies.

And yet, many have been confused and returned to Babel. This is disturbing because God wants Yisra'elites to avoid the integration and institutionalizing of religion and politics — which means to disassociate from Christianity, Judaism, and Islam. Further to this point, the Hebrew basis of bible is babel. And as a compound of ba | with and bel | lord, it speaks of an association with Satan.

As stated previously, I think Chabaquwq is wondering if there is any benefit to pleading with Yisra'el to respond and counter Sha'uwl and Christianity. He was obviously aware that it did not happen to confront the lie and that nothing much changed over time. Pleading with a rabbi is as productive and irritating as screaming into a jet engine. Not one of them would pay any attention to what he had revealed, and no one, even apart from them, for twenty-seven centuries. Even then, it would be a *gowy*, not a Jew, who would explain it to his people.

At this point in the prophecy, at least for those who have not previously read *Questioning Paul*, you may think that I'm being presumptive in claiming that Sha'uwl conceived this devastating plot, that he advanced these cruel lies, and that it was his injurious words which plundered the people of Yisra'el. And yet, since I know

where the prophet is taking us, and have been down this road previously, I can assure you that Paul, to the exclusion of all others, is the subject of this, the most impeaching of prophecies – and I am not afraid to say it. And even for those who are fully aware of Paul's rhetoric, I suspect that you will find the next three statements sufficiently incriminating.

Seeking the answer to the questions we have been pondering, Chabaquwq asks...

"For what reason (la mah – for whom and why) are You having me witness (ra'ah 'any – are You showing me, revealing to me, and having me look at and consider (hifil imperfect jussive)) this grotesque corruption and deliberate fraud ('awen – this vain and morally objectionable behavior, the false testimony of this evil individual, this troubling and irrational religion that is being intentionally perpetrated) which You consider (nabat – which You regard as (hifil imperfect)) vexing and troublesome ('amal – wicked and wearisome, disastrous and miserable, perverse and burdensome)?

A demonic spirit is seeking to be worshiped as God who is exceedingly malicious, destructive, oppressive, and confiscatory (wa shod / shed - a malevolent spirit representing Satan, wreaking havoc, with copious bloodshed, anguishing harassment, widespread looting, and horrendous suffering), and (wa) a devastating and misleading plot advanced through injurious statements and wanton plundering (chamas – a destructive force devoid of ethical parameters, with widespread injustice and violence, grievous wrongdoing and pervasive carnage) is conspicuously before me, publicly conveying this **contrarian message** (la neged / nagad 'any – is speaking right out in the open in my presence, confessing, avowing, and announcing, proclaiming and publishing that which is contrary to me).

He is and will continue to be (wa hayah) argumentative and contentious, quarrelsome and insulting, conveying a conflicting perception regarding the proper standard (ryb - combative and complaining). using words to accuse, dispute, and bicker). He brings and continuously advocates (nasa' consistently promotes and carries forward, advancing and lifting up (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) dissension, discord, and divisiveness (wa madown disputing and contesting everything, contention. disagreeing with the means to execute good judgment regarding vindication or condemnation; from mah - to question dyn - the means to judge and acquit)." (Chabaguwg / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:3)

Since the Yisra'elites had been enslaved and were no longer in a position to read anything he was writing, and since Yahuwdym were not listening, Chabaquwq was wondering why he was being tasked with witnessing something this aggravating. Why experience something this horrific if nothing is going to be done to resolve the problem? It is the question I once posed to Yahowah upon embarking on *Prophet of Doom, Questioning Paul*, and then *Babel*. Why did God want me to expose and condemn Islam, Christianity, and Judaism when so few would benefit? The blending of lies is repulsive, and so investigating them is like analyzing excrement in a swamp. It stinks.

For reasons we are just now beginning to appreciate, Yahowah had asked His prophet to witness 'awen, the "most grotesque corruption" of His testimony the world would ever know – Paul's "deliberately deceitful" New Testament. God designated Sha'uwl's message "fraudulent," which means that his "dishonesty and perversion" of the Word of God was "premeditated." Paul's 'awen | fraud was not just "grotesque, unjust, and wicked," not only "perverted and evil," it was

"intentional."

Chabaquwq saw what we have seen — "'amal — the appalling agony" Paul inflicted upon the Chosen People. They would be "horrifically abused, persecuted, suppressed, and stalked" as a result of Pauline Christianity, enduring 2,000 years of hateful anti-Semitism as Jews were dehumanized, demonized, and replaced. And all the while, the Devil's Advocate would be insidiously arrogant in his wickedness, lying as if it were his birthright.

Chabaquwq's dismay over what he was witnessing was a consequence of 'amal – the distressing misery being inflicted" upon his people. Sha'uwl | Paul brought about "'amal – an abysmal situation resulting in oppression, subjugation, and harassment."

But Habakkuk was only witnessing the beginning, just a snapshot in time, of what would emerge to become the man's greatest menace. We know so much more today, having seen how the pandemic spread around the globe.

The answer Yahowah gave Chabaquwq was likely the same as what I was told. Not only is it worthwhile for the few who will benefit, but mostly, God makes revelations like this available because He wants to leave everyone without excuse. If someone is unaware that Judaism, Christianity, and Islam are in opposition to God, then it is their fault because the truth is readily available. Moreover, as a good Father, Yahowah wants His people to be able to prevent catastrophic challenges to their existence, even if they choose not to consider His advice.

There would be haunting reverberations describing the Towrahless One known to the deceived as the "Antichrist." Some 666 years before Sha'uwl would admit to having become Satan's shrew, Yahowah revealed that Paul would serve a *shod / shed -* demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God. We know this because *shed* was used in Deuteronomy 32:17 and then in Psalm 106:37 to speak of

the Devil and his Satanic influence over religion. Habakkuk saw what we have seen, and he used the perfect word (borrowing it from Moseh and Dowd) to depict Satan seeking to torch the Towrah and bury Yisra'el, all while composing a religion in which he would be worshiped as the Lord. Turns out, all the Devil had to do was ask Sha'uwl to promote him.

As for us, I thank Yahowah for this insight, one indelibly written into His lexicon thanks to the greatest of the prophets: *Moseh* | Moses and *Dowd* | David. Pronounced *shod*, the word means "havoc and violence, devastation and ruin, oppression and subjugation, looting and plunder." Paul's religion would devastate the Chosen People in these ways.

And yet, this prophetic insight pales in comparison to what we find in the same word, pronounced *shed*, but spelled identically in the Hebrew text. *Shed* is "Satan, the Devil," and is inclusive of his "demons." *Shed* is "an evil, demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as if he were God." *Shed*, therefore, describes the demon Satan sent to control Sha'uwl's unbridled ego – by his own admission.

This diabolical belief system would be "chamas – Towrahless, a destructive terrorizing force lacking moral restraint." The Devil's Advocate would "chamas – conspire to pillage" God's people "by robbing them" of the promises Yahowah had made to them, "plundering them" and then transferring everything to his church.

Not once but twice, Chabaquwq has turned to *chamas* to describe the "cruel lies and great injustice leading to death" he was witnessing as a result of this "grotesque assault on the Towrah." Sha'uwl, and the demonic spirit inspiring him, "would be a destructive force operating without restraint." It was not just that they were wrong, it wasn't only the widespread cruelty they would impose on Jews, Sha'uwl and Satan would plunder billions of

Christians of their souls as well.

One of the untold catastrophes of these Satanically influenced claims, especially those regarding Christou and the Cross, is that the repugnant stench of their mischaracterizations was so odious to *Yahuwdym* | Jews that they rejected everything to do with the Messiah Dowd, including the Zarowa's fulfillment of Pesach as the Passover Lamb. Their lies corrupted a vital truth – one we need to know to avail ourselves of the Doorway to Heaven and Life.

This prophetic denunciation was specifically targeted to a "neged / nagad – conspicuous public pronouncement." The individual being excoriated would have to have been "exceedingly presumptuous to avow and announce, publish and proclaim" something this unGodly "right out in the open in everyone's presence."

The most gut-wrenching implication of Chabaquwq 1:3 is the juxtaposition of *shod*, *chamas*, and *neged*. It reveals something even the most courageous and compassionate dare not say for fear of repercussions. The conspicuous public presence of Satan's desire to be worshiped as God affirms that he succeeded.

"The demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God, who is malevolent, malicious, destructive, oppressive, confiscatory, and deadly, is advancing a devastating and misleading plot through injurious statements and wanton plundering, doing so conspicuously and publicly, conveying this contrarian message openly."

Paul's Lord, the Christian god, is Satan. This is also true of Allah in Islam and HaShem in Judaism.

When we consider the full implications of *neged*, along with *chamas*, and especially *shod*, following '*amal*, we are left with only two individuals in history who

manifest every aspect of each word in the presence of God's people: Paul and Muhammad. They were admittedly demon-possessed, and their appalling public proclamations are legend. So, we should appreciate the prophet's specificity.

The Devil and his Advocate would provide a steady diet of "*ryb* – contentious taunts and quarrelsome insults." Their every word "would be in conflict" with Yahowah's testimony. Their "perceptions regarding the proper standard remain in hostile opposition" to the truth.

This is directly analogous to Sha'uwl's "derisive arguments against the Towrah." And that is why *ryb*, speaking of this "insulting dispute and antagonistic disapproval," was scribed in the third person masculine singular, and thus identified a lone individual man who would be responsible for "harboring a different perception regarding the proper standard which ultimately put mankind in conflict with God."

Similarly, this "madown – source of contention and dissension regarding condemnation and the means to vindication" was "nasa' – advocated and advanced" by one solitary soul. Paul's "madown – contention regarding judgment," his "contention concerning the means to acquit," his "argumentative objections with regard to exoneration and condemnation" are as legendary as they are ludicrous.

It should not be necessary, but alas, let's be aware that Babylon was a nation of millions. The lone named perpetrator in this prophetic denouncement is Sha'uwl. No one named Sha'uwl reigned over Babylon, not then or ever.

And now that we have completed our review of Sha'uwl's first letter, we know that Chabaquwq 1:3 is a fitting summation of Galatians. But that is just the tip of the iceberg gouging an irreparable gash in the hull of Christendom.

"Therefore, in this manner ('al ken – deploying this approach and for this reason), the Towrah | Teaching and Guidance (Towrah – the source from which education, instruction, direction, and leadership flow) is incapacitated, invalidated, and ineffective (puwg – is nullified and paralyzed, robbed of its power such that it is incapable of performing as intended (qal imperfect)).

As a result (wa – it follows that), the truthful and everlasting, eminent and permanent, never-ending and unlimited (la netsah – the brilliant and enduring, the confident and continual) means to vindicate by justly resolving disputes (mishpat – method of exercising good judgment to make informed and rational decisions regarding the adjudication and resolution of relational issues; from my – to inquire about shaphat – deciding and judging) will not be brought forth, publicly or openly disseminated, nor broadly extended (wa lo' yatsa' – it will not come out or be brought forward (qal imperfect)).

Instead (ky – rather by contrast), religious corruption will become invasive, and injustice will encompass (rasha' kathar – unrighteousness and evil are pervasive as a result of violating the standard, hemming in and encircling (hifil participle)) the means to be right and become innocent ('eth ha tsadyq – the way of vindication and acquittal by being correct).

For this reason, that which ('al ken) he brings forth and disseminates (yatsa' – he publicizes and carries on about) perverts, distorts, corrupts, and convolutes ('aqal – he misrepresents and debases, bends and twists) the way to make informed and rational decisions regarding vindication (mishpat – exercising good judgment; a compound of mah – to inquire about and shaphat – how to thoughtfully decide and appropriately judge)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:4)

From his twisted opening statement in Galatians to his

perverted salutation, *Sha'uwl* | Paul sought to "puwg – incapacitate, invalidate, and paralyze the purpose of" Yahowah's Towrah. Each tormented phrase was scribed to "nullify" God's "netsah – enlightening and everlasting approach" to "mishpat – acquit those who exercise good judgment and make rational decisions." For believers, faith would supersede being "tsadaq – right." And that is dreadful because being "correct" is part and parcel of tsadaq | vindication. It is what makes the righteous right.

Even worse, in the resulting milieu of contradictions, rational fallacies, revisionist history, and replacement theology, Paul perverted, distorted, and corrupted the means Yahowah provided to redeem us. Without the Towrah, its Miqra'ey and Beryth, or the Son who exemplified them, there would be no hope. This realization is why Sha'uwl, more than any other individual, was targeted for rebuke.

Recognizing that *yatsa*' serves to describe the Exodus from *Mitsraym* | the Crucibles of Religious and Political Oppression, the negation of the verb with *lo' yatsa'* indicates that Paul's intent was to lure the unsuspecting back into religious servitude. And just as the Towrah would act as God's guide to emancipation, its undoing would subject the faithful to subjugation.

It was all so obvious, so outrageous, that, in light of this warning from Yahowah, it is inexcusable that rabbis failed to capitalize upon this God-given opportunity to destroy Paul's credibility before Christianity took root and spread. But alas, the rabbis had a bigger fish to fry. They were so intent on entrenching their authority by discrediting Yahowah that they lost sight of the imposter in their midst.

It wasn't as if Sha'uwl was actually able to nullify the Towrah, but instead, he robbed Christians of its power. It could not heal those who believed it was invalid, unimportant, and irrelevant.

Sha'uwl's "rasha' kathar – wickedness was invasive, permeating" people's minds to the point that billions would no longer even consider "eth ha tsadyq – the means to become right" regarding Yahowah, and thereby "tsadyq – become vindicated, and thus saved." And it is Dowd, the Messiah Sha'uwl replaced with his Christou, who was consistently called tsadyq with God. It was so common for Yahowah to say this of His Son, his middle name might as well have been Dowd Tsadaq Yahowah.

For those who are wont to see many when Yahowah clearly spoke of one perpetrator, the Babylonians were never a party to the Towrah. The nation did nothing to incapacitate Yahowah's Guidance or twist His purpose. But the modern incarnation of Babylon that grew out of Sha'uwl's relentless assault against Yahowah's Towrah throughout his miserable epistles has done this to an extent never previously realized.

If we were to distill Galatians down to its core, we find Sha'uwl attempting to annul the Towrah's means to vindicate by justly resolving disputes. Paul did so by consistently "'aqal – perverting and distorting, twisting and falsifying," Yahowah's testimony. At the same time, he used Replacement Foolology, errant citations, and fallacious arguments to replace Dowd, the man who enabled God's promises, with the myth of Christou Iesou.

Continuing to excoriate the man responsible for inflicting the greatest harm upon God's people, we find the prophet turning an eye to the future, encouraging us to see what he was witnessing...

"You can witness this $(ra'ah - of your own volition you can actually be vigilant and reflect upon what you are seeing (qal imperative)) among the Gentiles <math>(ba \ ha \ gowym - within the people from different races and places)$ should you choose to be observant $(wa \ nabat - by$

electing to pay attention and by being perceptive (hifil imperative)).

You can avoid being adversely influenced and dumbfounded by remaining free of societal influences and by responding negatively (wa tamah – on your own recognizance, you should independently display an adverse reaction (hitpael imperative – indicates that the observer has managed by personal choice to avoid all religious and political pressure and as a result is opposed to the idiocy he or she is witnessing)), contemplating how something so stunningly stupid is considered plausible (tamah – flabbergasted, wondering how it could have occurred (qal imperative)).

Indeed, it is true that (ky - surely) a considerable undertaking will transpire, a plot will be devised and implemented, which results in controlling a vast accumulation of people and acquiring enormous wealth (po'al pa'al – a schemer will plan, perform, and prevail in an infamous act (qal participle)) which you should determine inaccurate and invalid (lo' 'aman - you consider spurious and untrustworthy (hifil imperfect)) in your days (ba yowmym 'atem - in your time), especially when it is properly assessed and a written record is presented in books where he is held accountable (ky saphar – even when he takes a comprehensive census and records what can be known, and he reports and publishes this in a body of literature, relating what actually occurred (pual imperfect third-person masculine singular – this researcher's and writer's written evaluation will be shaped by what he is recording while also influencing the subject he has been studying for an enduring period of time))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:5)

When it comes to the ongoing conflict between Yahowah and Paul, the evidence is irrefutable. Christianity is, as the prophet portends, a *gowy* | gentile phenomenon.

And while that isn't surprising, in that 99.2% of the world's population claims to be non-Yisra'elite, this is one of the rare occasions where Yahowah asks His people to pay attention to them and consider what they are doing. And in this case, it isn't to follow them down the rat hole but, instead, to keep the plague carried by the rats at bay. Chabaquwq is encouraging his people to pay attention because he wants them to avoid being *tamah* | adversely affected.

Sha'uwl's | Paul's withering and unrelenting assault on Yahowah's Towrah and Prophets, His People and Covenant, remains the single most unGodly and debilitating act ever perpetrated against humankind. It has negatively affected more people, longer and more adversely, than any other event. And this is the reason he is being excoriated by Yahowah's prophet.

Looking back on what occurred in just 16 years, in 14 poorly written letters, 7 recorded speeches, each easily refuted, and by beguiling just 4 men (Luke, Mark, Matthew, and Timothy), Paul's legacy fundamentally changed the course of human history. Based upon his religious notions, Imperial Rome would become the Roman Catholic Church, Judea would be bludgeoned and recast as Palestine, and Jews would be blamed for everything, including killing Paul's god.

Dowd's legacy would be buried, while his accolades, achievements, and prophetic accounts were confiscated to uphold a pathetic myth. The *Towrah* was demeaned and replaced by the New Testament – nullifying almost everything God said. Then, in an ill-fated attempt to fend off this villain, rabbis crafted Judaism to create an ulterior storyline – an entirely different explanation of the fulfillment of the first four Miqra'ey in year 4000 Yah | 33 CE. Everyone lost.

It was the worst possible outcome for everyone. So

how did it happen, especially when Paul openly admitted the obvious, that he was demon-possessed and controlled by Satan? Why would anyone believe that Paul spoke for Gospel Jesus when he never quoted him because he had yet to be invented?

Paul's position is preposterous. How is it that Yahowah would inspire a man to negate everything He had said and promised? Why pick an egomaniac and psychopath who consistently contradicted himself and the Prophets? Why did anyone believe that God cannot be trusted and that the promises He made to Jews were transferred to Gentiles, particularly with all evidence to the contrary?

Something as easily disproven ought not to have survived the first speech or letter. And yet, here we are, with neither effectively refuted from God's perspective until quite recently, in our day, in your presence, with the four, soon-to-be five, volumes of *Twistianity*.

As the prophecy foretells, Sha'uwl / Paul has been shown to be untrustworthy. Not only is there a written record invalidating his claims, but also Yahowah's testimony against Sha'uwl has been included in the indictment against him. For the first time, Paul's gibberish has been juxtaposed against the citations he misappropriated. We have done as *saphar* foretells.

To be fair, however, to those who realized something was dreadfully wrong with Paul long ago, as this statement attests, it was not until our time that what I have done was possible. Once Christianity gained traction in Rome, anyone comparing Sha'uwl's rhetoric to Yahowah's testimony wouldn't find a publisher, much less live long enough to talk about their conclusions. It would have been akin to criticizing Islam as I have done in *God Damn Religion* without Yahowah's express protection. I wouldn't have survived a year, much less 22 since Prophet of Doom

was published and promoted.

Very few people are willing to contemplate the possibility that a religion with billions of followers could be wrong to such a staggering degree. And that is why Yahowah prefaced the verb *tamah* in the hitpael mood. It reveals that only those with the will and ability to remain free of religious and political influences would be able to perceive what they are witnessing – no matter how astonishing.

In this regard, *tamah* was repeated, intensifying the action of the verb. The exponential effect of *tamah* is intriguing because it already conveys "an exceptionally negative reaction." And I concur; based upon what is conveyed in Sha'uwl's letters, the most reasonable response is to be stunned that something this stupid managed to dumbfound so many for so long. How is it that something this implausible is preferred by billions when Yahowah's Towrah is only trusted by thousands?

How is it that someone this pathetic, this irrational and condescending, fooled so many into believing the opposite of what is true? And then considering his asinine claims, and that it was literally his word against God and His people, how did he convince the world to turn against Jews and, worse, claim every promise Yahowah had made to Yisra'el for his church?

Po'al was also repeated, revealing that Paul's considerable undertaking, his preaching throughout the Middle East, Asia Minor, Greece, and Rome, along with his fourteen letters, would have a considerable effect on the world. Christianity would indeed be enriching and empowering, accumulating people at a prodigious rate. And make no mistake, this was a "po'al – performance." Paul knew that what he was preaching and writing was unbelievable, so he compensated by outworking the competition.

There are few things as affirming as seeing a prophetic statement in the Word of God that addresses something we are doing. And while I would encourage a thousand more to go beyond where I have gone, *Twistianity* (formerly *Questioning Paul*) remains the most systematic written account of Sha'uwl's / Paul's malfeasance. I have "saphar – evaluated his letters and speeches" and have held him "accountable." This book is "saphar – easily verified and credible." Moreover, *Twistianity* is comprehensive. This assessment has grown over the years from 700 to over 2,500 pages – about 60% the length of *God Damn Religion* which is my condemnation of Muhammad, Allah, the Quran, Hadith, and Islam.

And yet, I realize that no amount of evidence satisfies the faithful. Christianity will survive the obliteration of Paul's credibility – at least temporarily. Similarly, my rebuke of Judaism in *Babel* and excoriation of Islam in *God Damn Religion* served only to warn the innocent about them, not destroy them.

Dowd | David, the man Sha'uwl | Paul plundered on behalf of Replacement Foolology, will bring an end to this hideous religion immediately prior to Yahowah's return. It will be poetic justice.

I am unaware of any other statement in the Prophets where we read an admission that something will not appear credible even when it is "ky saphar – thoroughly analyzed, methodically scrutinized, and comprehensively evaluated." That makes this prophecy an anomaly. Chabaquwq is chronicling the most egregious attack on the Almighty ever perpetrated. Therefore, the realization that his condemnation is being leveled at the author of the world's most popular religion is sobering. God is encouraging us to challenge clerics and kings, undermining the very foundation of human society.

Normally, Yahowah reiterates that religion and

politics are inappropriate and that we should avoid any association with either of them. But this time, the instruction is for us to investigate the interloper, to challenge the proposition, and to systematically disprove the claims. Even more, when someone ultimately does as the prophecy portends and "ky saphar – thoroughly analyzes, methodically scrutinizes, and comprehensively evaluates" Sha'uwl's | Paul's positions "in writing, holding him accountable," those who want to be right with God should read what he has written.

Chabaquwq is chronicling the most egregious attack on the Almighty ever perpetrated. His condemnation is being leveled at the author of the world's most popular religion. And we are being asked to challenge him and it, which, without the promises afforded by the 91st Mizmowr, would invite a torturous death at the hands of a conniving church.

And he was right. No one was able to put the pieces together and pin this prophecy properly on the one man to whom it belonged until now. And while I would normally worry that my review was too little and too late, since Yahowah predicted and enabled what I am doing for His Son and for Yisra'el, I'll stick to my knitting and let God use what we have woven to accomplish His desired effect.

Although every word of Habakkuk's prophetic denunciation has been directed at Sha'uwl / Paul, he did not conceive his religion out of whole cloth. Instead, he interwove strands from the Babylonian, Egyptian, Phoenician, Canaanite, Greek, Gnostic, and Roman religions into his New Testament. The cradle of his *Babel* | Bible would be *Babel* | Babylon from which he "babel – confused bv commingling and intermixing" misappropriated Towrah citations with pagan lore. This is what we have come to expect from those who are Ba Bel | with the Lord. Therefore, Yahowah encouraged us to be wary of the Gentiles associated with the Chaldeans – a metaphor for the religious myths that grew out of ancient Babylon.

Chabaquwq's foreboding prophecy is presented within the scroll now labeled 4Q82 as well as another entitled *Wadi Muraba'at Minor Prophets* discovered near Bethlehem. This is in addition to *8HevXII* – a Greek text with Yahowah's name in Hebrew found in the Judean desert near Nahal Hever. More interesting still, his prophetic message serves as the basis for one of the most extensive *pesher* | commentaries found in the caves above the Qumran community. The Essene who wrote it concluded, based upon what Chabaquwq had written, that the references to Babylon were prophetic of what he was witnessing among the Romans and Pharisees between 50 and 70 CE. He was mostly right.

His interpretation of the prophecy, which was composed contemporaneously with its fulfillment, is especially relevant because Sha'uwl / Paul was the son of a Pharisee and a Roman citizen. By his own admission, he received his religious education from Gamaliel, a Pharisee who contributed his religiosity to the Talmud. Paul claimed to be his best student and to be in full agreement with Pharisaic Rabbinic Judaism. He was also in accord with Rome, writing that its dictatorial and pagan government of anti-Semitic emperors served as the agents of his god and should be obeyed. The Towrah could be tossed away so that Roman Law would prevail.

While it is unlikely that the Essene commentator knew Paul, in that the founder of the Christian religion spent very little time in Judea and was held in very low esteem elsewhere, I think that he was otherwise correct. Yahowah's impending references to Babylon are deliberately exaggerated to serve as a harbinger of the horrific onslaught that would be brought by Imperial Rome and perpetuated by Roman Catholicism.

The man whose commingling of Babylonian religious and political traditions with misappropriations of prophetic citations served as the catalyst for this transition is none other than *Sha'uwl* | Paul. Without his speeches and letters, it would not have occurred.

I am, therefore, not the first to recognize that Babylon is being used to foretell how its religious, political, and military traditions would influence the Roman conquests and obliteration of *Yahuwdah* | Judea. I am not even the first to subsequently appreciate how this Beast would metastasize into the Roman Catholic Church. It was, after all, the same point *Gabry'el* | God's Most Capable and Courageous Man (a.k.a., Dowd) made to Dany'el, with the Beasts evolving from Babylon to Persia, from Persia to Greece, from Greece to Rome, and from Rome to the Roman Catholic Church.

My contribution has been to use Chabaquwq to explain the final metamorphosis. The larval body of Rome completely degenerated, with hideous maggots evolving within the fecal matter they had digested into the disease-spreading pest of Roman Catholicism. As the institutionalized version of the common fly, they would vomit and defecate on everything they touched, carrying their vomit with them from city to village around the world. And as a result of Paul's letters, they would rob God's people of their heritage and inheritance for the next two millennia.

In what follows, most Bibles state that God is lifting up the Chaldeans. But that is not how I have translated it because the opening statement, *ky-hineh-'any*, is an integrated and hyphenated phrase. It means "For this reason, pay attention to Me." The verb which follows, *quwm* | arise and take a stand, was not written in the first person as "I will lift up" so I did not render it as such.

But first, in our quest to appreciate why Yahowah is

making this comparison and connection with *ha Kasdym*, it's best if we reestablish our bearings by considering where we have just been...

"This is the prophetic pronouncement and published prophecy (ha masa') which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), was witnessed and received as a revelation (chazah) by Chabaquwq | Embrace This (Chabaquwq), the prophet (ha naby'). (Chabaquwq 1:1)

For how long and to what extent ('ad 'an), Yahowah (YaHoWaH), should I plead for someone to respond in a beneficial way to this desperate and dangerous situation (shawa') because (wa) You are not listening (lo' shama') to my anguished appeal and emotional outcry (za'aq)?

Against You ('el 'atah) there is a devastating plot comprised of cruel lies, ruthlessly plundering the people without moral restraint using injurious language (chamas). As a result (wa), You must withhold salvation (lo'yasha'). (Chabaquwq 1:2)

For what reason (la mah) are You having me witness (ra'ah 'any) this grotesque corruption and deliberate fraud, this vain and morally objectionable behavior comprised of false testimony that is being intentionally perpetrated ('awen) which You consider (nabat) vexing and troublesome ('amal)?

A demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God who is exceedingly malicious, destructive, oppressive, and confiscatory (wa shod / shed), (wa) a devastating and misleading plot advanced through injurious statements and wanton plundering (chamas), is conspicuously before me, publicly conveying this contrarian message (la neged / nagad 'any).

He is and will continue to be (wa hayah) argumentative and contentious, quarrelsome and

insulting, conveying a conflicting perception regarding the proper standard (ryb). He brings forth and continuously advocates (nasa') dissension, discord, and divisiveness (wa madown). (Chabaquwq 1:3)

Therefore, in this manner ('al ken), the Towrah | Teaching and Guidance (Towrah) is incapacitated, invalidated, and ineffective, being nullified and paralyzed (puwg). As a result (wa), the truthful and everlasting, eminent and permanent, never-ending and unlimited (la netsah) means to vindicate by justly resolving disputes (mishpat) will not be brought forth, publicly or openly disseminated, nor broadly extended (wa lo' yatsa').

Instead (ky), religious corruption will become invasive and injustice will encompass (rasha' kathar) the means to be right and become innocent ('eth ha tsadyq).

For this reason, that which ('al ken) he brings forth and disseminates (yatsa') perverts, distorts, corrupts, and convolutes, twisting and misrepresenting ('aqal) the way to make informed and rational decisions regarding vindication (mishpat). (Chabaquwq 1:4)

You can consistently witness this (ra'ah) among the Gentiles (ba ha gowym) should you choose to be observant (wa nabat). And you can avoid being adversely influenced and dumbfounded by remaining free of societal influences and by responding negatively to such idiocy (wa tamah), contemplating how something so stunningly stupid is considered plausible (tamah).

Indeed, it is true that (ky) a considerable undertaking will transpire, a plot will be devised and implemented which results in controlling a vast abundance of people and acquiring enormous wealth $(po'al\ pa'al)$ which you should determine inaccurate and invalidate it $(lo'\ 'aman)$ in your days $(ba\ yowmym)$

'atem), especially when it is properly assessed and a written record is presented in books, whereby he is held accountable (ky saphar)." (Chabaquwq 1:5)

This leads to...

"For this reason, pay attention to Me (ky hineh 'any – by contrast, look up to Me and behold), arise and take a firm stand (quwm – be resilient and forthright, becoming established, rising up restored (hifil participle)) against ('eth – regarding) the Chaldeans, a.k.a., the influence of Babylon (ha Kasdym – the sages of Babel, those who commingle to confuse, its wise men, kings, fortune-tellers, astrologers, and theologians).

This gentile nation (gowy – the pagan country comprised of different races who are estranged from Yisra'el) is as impetuous and impulsive as it is pungent and poisonous (mar wa ha mahar – it is fearsome and rash, disagreeable and distressing, embittered, anguishing, toxic, and troubling (nifal participle masculine singular – it is actively and impetuously poisoning itself while thoughtlessly and quickly intoxicating others, doing so in a demonstrable way)).

Walking (ha halak – traveling around (qal participle masculine singular)) through the breadth of the region (la merchab 'erets), there is the seizure and dispossessing (la yarash – to inherit and dispossess) of the tabernacle and dwelling places that are not his (mishkan lo' la huw')." (Chabaquwq / Accept This / Habakkuk 1:6)

In contrast to all of the religions born and bred in Babylon, Yahowah wants us to *quwm* | rise up and stand firm. It is God's intent to raise us as His children so that we can ascend to Him. Along the way, He is appreciative when we stand up against those who would tear us apart.

The best way to avoid losing your soul to Sha'uwl / Paul is to listen to God. We ought to be looking up, not

down. This is why we have consistently compared Paul's jargon to Yahowah's testimony. When he contradicts God, he is wrong, regardless of what anyone believes.

It is by being informed and observing the Word of God that we can do as He is asking. Yahowah wants us to "quwm – to take a firm and unwavering stance" against Babel.

Those whom Sha'uwl has beguiled are "mar – disagreeable and embittered," especially regarding Yahowah, Dowd, the Towrah, Miqra'ey, and Beryth. Christians recoil like snakes ready to strike when encouraged to consider and embrace God's nature and intent. In this way, they mimic the worst of the Children of Yisra'el during the Exodus because they were often mar | hostile and embittered toward Yahowah. As a result, there is a "mar – pungent stench" about those who sought to replace Jews because they have come to be like Jews. Should you not see the irony in this, consider the occasion of the Waters of Marybah.

The reference to being "ha mahar – impetuous and hasty" speaks to the realization that no one thinks his or her way to Paul and thus Christianity. Faith is the product of a rash decision because Paul's propositions are preposterous. A modicum of thought, a momentary comparison, and a quick fact-check are all it would take to resolve the religious stupor.

Kasdym, which is a synonym for Babel | the Confounding Commingling of Babylon, enters our lexicon in Bare'syth when Yahowah fights fire with fire. He confounds man's ability to ascend to the heavens as if he were a god by confusing his speech. It is there that we were reintroduced to the birthplace of institutionalized religion and of its integration into government. This occurs moments before 'Abraham is asked to walk away from it.

Babylon, as we learn in Yasha'yah 14, is where Satan

rules and draws his strength. It is the place he initiated his quest to rise above the Almighty and be worshiped as the Lord God of religion.

Babel | Babylon is the mother of the Beasts which evolved into the Roman Empire and the Roman Catholic Church in Dany'el. And finally, in Yirma'yah, prior to His return, Yahowah pleads with His people to come out of Babel. And that is to say that they have returned to it by being religious.

Our God is asking us to take a firm stand against all things *Babel* | Babylon, to be resilient and forthright against its Kasdym influence — especially within Judaism and Christianity. Yahowah reveals that the modern manifestations of Babylon are like their mother — principally Gentile, impetuous, pungent, and poisonous.

In Gabry'el's depiction of Babylon's Beastly Brood, Rome was vicious, while the Roman Church would tread upon the entire world. This message is foretold in advance because Chabaquwq, the prophet immediately prior to Dowd's witness before Dany'el, revealed that Babylon's influence would *halak* | walk *la merchab 'erets* | through the breadth of the region to *yarash* | seize control over the *mishkan* | tabernacle and dwelling place of God and His people.

And while Babylon did so, exerting its influence briefly and to a limited extent, *Sha'uwl* | Paul, on behalf of the gentile church he established, is best known for his long sojourns throughout the Roman world and, indeed, into the heart of the Beast. He would claim everything of God for himself.

Fittingly, Sha'uwl died an abject failure, thoroughly rejected, miserable, and alone. Had it not been for what Rome, from which we get the word "gentile," and the legacy of Babylon, did with his religion, Paulos would have been of little account.

The inclusion of "Kasdym – Chaldeans" puts all of this in perspective for us because, immediately before Yahowah asked Abraham "to walk away from his country," establishing the Covenant's lone prerequisite, God told us that Abraham was living in "Ur of the Chaldeans." Therefore, Yahowah is predicting that, by negating the Towrah's presentation of the Covenant, Sha'uwl would take believers back to the political and religious milieu He asked His children to leave. And that is why in Yirma'yah / Jeremiah Yahowah pleads with His people to come out of Babylon. The nation is long gone but, thanks to Paul, not its influence.

"You should, of your own volition, flee (nuws – you should immediately depart, escaping this very moment, refusing to be influenced by denying and leaving (qal imperative)) out of the midst of, severing all ties (min tawek) with Babel | the Lord of Confusing by Commingling in Babylon (Babel – With the Lord, the basis of Bible, Babylon; from balal – to confound and confuse by intermixing and commingling). And thereby (wa) let every individual soul ('iysh nepesh huw') choose to be saved (malat – elect of your own freewill to be spared by escaping, rescued by fleeing (piel imperative)).

You should not want to be silenced, squander your life, and perish ('al damam – do not be astounded by being dumb, rigid and paralyzed) in her perverse religious corruptions (ba 'awon / 'aown hy' – held accountable because she was depraved and wrong, having twisted and distorted everything, bowing down for no reason).

Indeed (ky), this is the time ('eth hy') of Yahowah's (la Yahowah) recompense and retribution (naqamah – of payback, of vengeful punishment rendering a deserved penalty for an unjust act). He will completely fulfill His promise to provide what is deserved, rendering retribution and delivering recompense (gamuwl huw' shalem) unto her (la hy')." (Yirma'yah / Jeremiah 51:6)

This has been Yahowah's advice to His people since they first met on the road to the Promised Land. Nothing has changed in this regard. This advice and warning are essential to the Covenant and to coming home.

The religion Paul conceived has more in common with the mythos of Babylon than any other. As was the case with the Babylonians, Christians are fixated on their Lord, Trinity, Cross, birth of the son of god on the Winter Solstice, and his death and resurrection on Easter Sunday each spring.

And while there are a handful of nations that could claim to be the most Christian, such as Italy, Greece, Spain, Mexico, Brazil, and America, Babylon was condemned for more than just being religious. Their relentless deployment of their military, one which was overwhelming in its day, and their corrupt mercantilism, where the empowered cheated and controlled the masses, were hallmarks of Babylonian influence. When we bring these elements together, today, one nation stands naked and exposed before God – the United States of America. I say this *knowing* that Yahowah will denounce the nation in *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 17 and 18 – chapters we have and will continue to dissect throughout *Yada Yahowah*.

This known, in addition to political Babylon, there is religious and geographic Babylon represented by the Roman Catholic Church and Islam in the Middle East. Those who are opposed to God are prolific and widespread.

As we have discussed, Sha'uwl was, indeed, a traveling man. He not only walked throughout Syria, Asia Minor, Greece, the Mediterranean, and Rome, but he spoke and acted as if the world belonged to him. And yet, according to the prophecy, Yahowah disagrees.

By attacking the Towrah, its Miqra'ey and Beryth, one neuters the effectiveness of the "mishpat – means to exercise good judgment regarding the means to resolve

disputes." And having discarded Yahowah's methods to reconcile our relationship, Paul created his own. Unfortunately for Christians, God views faith-based salvation based on counterfeiting His Son as distressing and repugnant. Then speaking of the monstrous man behind this dreadful plan, Yahowah said he was "'aym wa yare' – obscene and intimidating, exceedingly distressing while demanding respect, frightening while venerated." And it would be so.

Throughout these volumes, readers have been consistently reminded that Paul's irrational claims regarding the "mishpat – means to condemn and acquit" were his own. He was not inspired by God. His promise of salvation through faith is not only without support in the Towrah; it is unreliable. No one has ever been saved based upon something Paul said or wrote in his euangelion | gospel.

While this conclusion has been obvious from the beginning, by using *se'eth* in the midst of this stinging rebuke, God is revealing that His issues with Sha'uwl include his contrarian means to be accepted into the Covenant relationship. And so it was the combination of having claimed to have been inspired by God and then misappropriating his testimony while lying about His Towrah, that caused Sha'uwl to deserve the dressing down of this magnitude.

While it is seldom discussed in Christian circles, based upon what Paul writes of himself and what Luke reports of him, Sha'uwl prevailed through fear and intimidation – not reason. He viciously attacked anyone and everyone who didn't capitulate, in addition to discrediting and demeaning all *Yahuwdym* | Jews.

"Invoking fear through intimidation and terrorism ('ayom wa yare' – using fear tactics to be venerated and revered (nifal participle masculine singular)), he is out for

himself (huw' min huw').

He has broadly disseminated, spreading far and wide (yatsa' – he has brought forth and extended (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) *mishpat huw'* | **his judgment pursuant to drawing conclusions** (mishpat huw' – his decisions regarding the resolution of disputes and his approach to making the correct assessment; from my – to question the implications of shaphat – being judgmental and making rational and informed decisions) and his self-adulation, particularly his position on the removal of guilt, forgiveness, and acceptance in the relationship (wa sa'eth huw' – his self-esteem and personal exaltation)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:7)

While this could be written of every empire or civilization, every revolutionary or religious zealot, it was particularly befitting of the wolf in Sha'uwl. 'Ayom wa yare' | invoking fear through intimidation and terrorism is how Rome and the Roman Catholic Church controlled their vast empires. To encourage more of it is why Machiavelli wrote *The Prince* in support of tyrannical popes. Surely, you don't think that crucifixion and the Inquisition were for the entertainment of emperors and popes. But it is also through intimidation Paul rose above the competition to reign as Christianity's lone inspiration.

And the reason that Sha'uwl was so viciously intolerant of rivals is because he was paranoid and out for himself. It was all "but I, Paul, say" to the exclusion of all others — including Yahowah. Any affront to his ludicrous claims of having been chosen by God and of being the lone authorized apostle to the world was dispatched through slander and threats, assault and battery.

Having written four books, and now working on the fifth, exposing and condemning *Sha'uwl's* | Paul's letters and speeches, there are a number of things that stand out

and become irrefutable. The first two I've just mentioned. Paul was out for himself, and he was ruthless when challenged. But even more than either of these derogatory attributes, the most prevalent flaw in his writing and speeches was that he was irrational, even psychotic. Everything he had to say about God and His people was misrepresented and then twisted to convey the opposite of the original intent. He was delusional.

In particular, Paul denounced Yahowah's *mishpat* | the way to exercise good judgment regarding the means to resolve disputes. He not only did so by using a plethora of non-sequiturs and Straw Men, errantly citing the evidence to irrationally pronounce the antithesis of what God had conveyed, but his conclusions were also insane. One thing never led to or justified the next. He was the conductor of a series of train wrecks without tracks.

Yahowah's way to reconciliation through the Beryth and Miqra'ey was replaced by a sickening soup of self-adulation. Religious guilt would be forgiven by being religious, so long as that religion was based entirely on placing one's faith in Paul's propositions.

My translation of *sa'eth* goes beyond its primary connotation, which is "to seek honor and a high status." It was influenced by Yahowah's first use of the word – which in this case is in Bare'syth 4. Capitalizing upon an opportunity to teach, God addressed *Qayn's* | Cain's depressing and condescending attitude with some surprising advice – using the secondary connotation of *sa'eth* | to be accepted and forgiven. He did not issue a commandment or seek to be obeyed. He did not solicit faith or even tell Qayn what to do. Instead, God offered one of life's greatest lessons. A good attitude is among our most endearing and enabling attributes while an unappealing disposition is our most alienating and crippling.

This is why God encouraged us to be the antithesis of

what we have witnessed with Sha'uwl (as well as the opposite of those who shared Paul's ethnicity and citizenry)...

"'If ('im) you act appropriately and are pleasant to be around, being cheerful and reasonable to deal with (yatab), you'll find forgiveness and acceptance (sa'eth – your situation will improve and your standing will rise dramatically because you will be lifted up, supported, and sustained, you will be perceived as honorable, experiencing an increase in esteem and illustriousness).

But if (wa 'im) you act inappropriately and are miserable to be around (lo' yatab), then the consequence of being wrong and going astray (chata') is crouching (rabats) in the doorway (la ha petach).

You are too into yourself (wa 'atah 'el). These intense desires and impulses, the overwhelming urge for dominance and yearning for salvation, the need to win and demean the competition (teshuwqah huw') are things you must learn to better understand and control (wa 'atah mashal ba huw')." (Bare'syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 4:7)

Should you be a descendant of Ya'aqob, you may want to read this again. Jews have been acting belligerently toward God for a very long time – Paul included. And just as Yahowah has used Babel to warn His people about the consequence of Sha'uwl, He is now addressing *Qayn* | Cain to speak to all Yisra'el.

As we move on to Chabaquwq 1:8, the verbs transition from "he" to "they," at least for a moment. Yahowah is temporarily broadening the scope of His warning from the man we know as *Sha'uwl* | Paul to the warmongering nations and lethal institutions he borrowed from and inspired. God is taking us through our past to our present and future, to the time the "*namer* – scummy remainder of the leopard" will finally fade into oblivion. As "'*ereb* – the

sun sets" on the human experience, Yahowah is speaking with His people, hoping that they will flee the "chadad – predatory nature of this lone wolf."

By way of introduction to Chabaquwq 1:8, the *namer* leopard appears exclusively in prophetic passages preceding Yahowah's return. It is used in connection with God's frustration with the rebellious religiosity of His people. We initially encountered *namer* in Yasha'yah 11:6, after the prophet introduced his people to the Choter. There it speaks of a return to the Garden. The *namer* is paired with a lion and wolf who are no longer preying upon the lamb.

Namer reappears symbolically in Yirma'yah 5:6, again with the lion and wolf. This is after Yahowah announced that there wasn't a single Jew in Jerusalem who was truthful and correct. With every man a liar, and with each unwilling to listen, with no one interested in changing, God tells religious Jews that the 'ary min ya'ar | lion of the forest and the za'eb 'arabah | wolf of desolation and darkness will strike and afflict them with a devastating blow. Then the namer saqad 'al 'iyr hem | leopard will lie in wait over their cities ready to taraph | tear them to pieces because rabab pesha' hem | their rebellious and revolting rabbis have 'tsam mashuwbah hem | become numerous and influential in their renunciations and abandonment of the relationship.

In Yirma'yah 13:23, Yahowah announces that the *rab* 'awon | religious perversity, the twisting and distorting of the rabbis, is the reason so many atrocities have befallen Yahuwdym. He then identifies this condition with the *namer* | leopard which cannot change its spots.

The previous reference to the *namer* was in Howsha' 13. There we find a similar situation, with God denouncing Judaism in connection with the leopard. Listen...

"'I Am (wa 'anky) Yahowah (YaHoWaH), your God

('elohym 'atah). From the realm (min 'erets) of the religious and political oppressors (Mitsraym), you have not known (lo' yada') a God (wa 'elohym) besides Me (zulah 'any). There is no (wa 'ayn) salvation, liberation, or restoration (mowshya') without Me (bilthy 'any). (Howsha' 13:4)

I acknowledged you and became familiar with you ('any yada' 'atah) in the wilderness (ba ha midbar), in the land of barren and uncomfortable conditions with high temperatures and a lack of water (ba 'erets tal'ubowt). (Howsha' 13:5)

By comparison (ka), when they grazed in lush pastures (mar'iyth hem), they had their fill and became satisfied and complacent (wa saba' saba'), thinking too much of themselves (wa ruwm leb hem). And as a result ('al ken), they forgot about Me (shakach 'any). (Howsha' 13:6)

So, I exist (wa hayah) to them (la hem) as if I were like (kamow) a fierce and roaring lion, a predator killing its prey (shachal), like (ka) a leopard, keen-eyed and camouflaged (namer) along the way ('al derek — on the path). I am observant and perceptive (shuwr), (Howsha' 13:7) so I will come upon them (pagash hem — I will approach them) like a bear (ka dob) robbed of her cubs (shakuwl).

I will open (qara') their narrow thinking and infinitesimally small enclosure of their heart (sagowr leb hem). I will consume them ('akal hem) then and there (sham) like one whitening and purifying the heart to increase understanding (ka laby'), renewing and invigorating life (chayah), breaking them open and separating them throughout the region (ha sadeh baqa' hem). (Howsha' 13:8)

He will corrupt and destroy you (shachath 'atah), Yisra'el | Individuals Who Are Combative With God

(Yisra'el), and that is because you are opposed to Me (ky ba 'any), against the One who would strengthen and assist you (ba 'ezer 'atah). (Howsha' 13:9)

Where ('ehy) is your leadership (melek 'atah) now ('ephow')? Who is going to protect and save you (wa yasha' 'atah) in all of your towns and cities (ba kol 'iyr 'atah) — those who decide for you (shaphat 'atah)? Wasn't it you who requested ('asher 'amar), "I want You to appoint for me (nathan la 'any) a leader (melek), along with other authorized officials (wa sar)?"" (Howsha' / He Saves / Howsha' 13:10)

Based upon Yahowah's consistent use of *namer* in conjunction with His rebuke of rabbis and Judaism, the leopard is symbolic of Rabbinic Judaism. The *za'eb* | wolf is Rome and the Roman Catholic Church. And the 'ary | lion is Babylon, the ancient kingdom and its modern religious, political, and geographic manifestations as Christianity, America, and the Middle East.

So, now that we know what these symbols represent, here is what Yahowah revealed next through the prophet Embrace This...

"The dregs from the scummy remainder of the leopard (min <u>namer</u> – the excess scum and transparent stain from a limpid filtrate associated with the leopard) will be despised and held in contempt, humbled and diminished, at this time (wa qalal – they will be disdained and slighted because they are despicable and vile, a curse having no value such that they will be abated at this moment, becoming insignificant (qal perfect third-person masculine plural))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:8 in part)

Based upon our review of the prophetic statements containing a reference to the *namer*, this seems to predict the demise of Rabbinic Judaism. If so, those who have chosen to *rab* | exalt themselves over G-d will be humbled.

Those who chose to eliminate His name and speak vociferously under their own will be held in contempt.

Transitioning now from "their" to "his," and based upon what we have just uncovered, this next reference to a za'ed | menacing wolf is addressing Sha'uwl as the Benyamite | Benjamite wolf in sheep's clothing that devoured Yahuwdym, his nation, Rome, his creation, the Roman Catholic Church, and the modern religious, political, and geographic manifestations of Babylon from which these Beasts evolved.

"His swift airborne weaponry (suws huw' – his fast flying machines and airborne cavalry, the horsepower of his military equipment and chariots) will be as fierce and menacing as a wolf (wa chadad min za'ed – they will be harmful, destructive, violent, and slashing, acting as a wolf and predator (qal perfect)) as the sun sets ('ereb – in the gloomy dusk at the approach of night by commingling and intermixing light and darkness into an interwoven fabric, blending things together while exchanging one thing for another, bartering with the darkness to replace and obscure in league with foreigners).

Their randomly dispersed and widely scattered (puwsh – their spread out and fast-moving source of pride (qal perfect third-person masculine plural)) mobile war machines and his accompanying soldiers (parash huw' wa parash huw' – his mechanized weapons of war which pierce and sting along with the announcement of his military personnel riding battle chariots, all appearing like fecal matter) will come from afar in the future (min rachowq bow' – they will arrive from a great distance away, pursuing after a duration of time by (qal imperfect third-person masculine plural)) flying through the air, even hovering ('uwph – with wings and airborne, darting about and moving quickly (qal imperfect)), like (ka – similar to) birds of prey (nesher – hawks, eagles, and raptors), quickly swooping down and ready (chuwsh –

moving very rapidly, equipped and hastening (qal participle)) **to consume and destroy** (*la 'akal*)." (*Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:8)

Suws can be translated as either "horses and war chariots," "fast flying birds," or "swift airborne weaponry." This range is important in identifying the timing of the attack and the culprit. However, the choices are limited to "flying through the air" and "hovering and darting about" with 'uwph. When these nesher | birds of prey chuwsh | rapidly swoop down upon and 'akal | destroy portions of Israel min rachowq | from afar and in the future – all within the same sentence – the prophet is speaking of a battle not yet waged. Even during Yisra'el's 1948 War of Independence, 1967 Six-Day War, and 1973 Yom Kippur War, God's people managed to keep most enemy aircraft at bay.

Therefore, considering the weapons and the nature of the attack, these hawks, eagles, and raptors are likely American weapons deployed by a host of nations with a bone to pick with Israel. The overall context of the Chaldeans suggests Middle Eastern Muslims while the wolf points us toward nations previously under either Roman control or the influence of Roman Catholicism.

And with the rabbis fading into oblivion, Yahuwdym will be waging a battle on two fronts, internally and externally, both near and far.

Recognizing that this warning has focused on the most vicious weapons of war, and especially on fighters, bombers, helicopters, and drones, it is perhaps too easy to see America's immense war machine, particularly its menacing birds of prey, in these words. The nation has been in a continual state of war, dispatching its military horsepower far and wide to swoop down, destroying nations around the world, most recently in Ukraine, the birthplace of Hasidic Judaism. But the nation has also been

deploying weapons such as these throughout the Muslim fiefdoms of the Middle East, including Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria, Somalia, and Libya, and selling them to Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and Turkey, all while engendering shock and awe. Even many of Iran's weapons date back to the time the United States was doing business with the Shah. And her newer weapons are a result of America orchestrating a new alliance between Iran, Russia, and China – once which is menacing Israel and will lead to world war. And sadly, no nation on Earth is more influenced by the vicious wolf known as Paul than America or more Babylonian than the alliance the U.S. forged between Iran and Iraq. And while Americans have engaged in thirty wars in the past sixty years with a military so enormous it exceeds the cost of all others combined, Iran remains Israel's most lethal foe.

Facing east toward perceived trouble, America has fought on behalf of and then supplied twenty-five times more weaponry to Israel's Islamic adversaries than to the Chosen People. And that is why the final attack on Yisra'el will come from fighters who are "puwsh – dispersed and widely scattered" throughout the realm Muhammad taught to kill.

This known, Iran, her proxy, Hezbollah (the most heavily-armed non-state actor in the world), and her allies, Russia and China, pack a powerful punch. Hezbollah alone claims to have over 150,000 rockets (Burkan, Almas, Katyusha, Falaq, Fajr, Shahin, Khaibar, Zelzal, and Raad) and all manner of missiles (Sayyad, C-802, Scud, Fateh, Fagot, and Konkurs) and drones (Ababil, KJI Mavic, Mohajer, Yasir, Hud Hud, and Samad-3) at the ready to pummel Israel's cities and infrastructure. And both Iran and Turkey are leading manufacturers of drones. So, this could be the Islamic Federation using Russian, Chinese, and Iranian weapons. There is even the possibility, and perhaps likelihood, that, with the United States and Europe

siding with the Sunnis, led by Saudi Arabia, and the Russians and Chinese in league with the Iranian Shia, we could see all hell break loose in the skies above Israel.

The evidence suggests the number of combatants that will flood into Israel by land will be from 300,000 (100 times October 7th) to potentially over 8 million murderous monsters if Iran (one million trained personnel), Syria (250,000), Lebanon (400,000), Egypt (600,000), Jordan (100,000), and Turkey (800,000 active and reserve personnel) deploy troops in addition to the 5 million jihadist-aged Muslims who identify as "Palestinians" engaging in Islam's attempt to annihilate Jews. This will transpire as 'ereb | the sun sets while Yahuwdym endure the long night of Ya'agob's Troubles. This process began horrifically on October 7, 2023 and will intensify when the Islamic State of Terror is carved out of the heart of Israel around May 22, 2026. The final assault on the Chosen People and Promised Land with the 100- to 1,000-fold increase in jihadist belligerents and aerial bombardments will be waged beginning in June 2027, about a year after instituting the land-for-peace treaty with Muslims. And the battle will conclude ten years to the day the first strike of the final assault began, and thus on Sukah, October 7th, 2033.

All the while, Paul's Christians will be fanning the flames of Israel's demise. They will be seen as "qalal – despised and abhorrent" not only by God but also by His people. And as a result, soon they will be no more. The "namer – dregs of this scummy remainder" will fade away. We can no longer plead ignorance. We have been forewarned.

Six centuries would pass from the time Chabaquwq scribed these words until Sha'uwl's arrival, and then another nineteen hundred years would come and go before this portion of the prophecy would materialize. Today, "suws — airborne weapons" are commonplace. With

electronic communications, advanced computer guidance, and global positioning, militaries can coordinate an attack – blending aerial bombardments with savage terrorist attacks.

Since this warning has focused on the most vicious weapons of war, we must be mindful that America has fought on behalf of and then supplied weaponry to Israel's Islamic foes. And that is why the final attack on Yisra'el will come from fighters who are "puwsh – dispersed and widely scattered."

And for the first time ever, while giving lip service to Israel, American politicians and statesmen are plotting her demise, thwarting the nation's ability to defend itself against Muslims, particularly Iran and her religious proxies, all while promoting the Two-State Dissolution.

While this was "rachowq – far into the future" from the prophet's perspective, this is that time. Within a year or so of the Shabuw'ah Harvest at the commencement of the worst of the Time of Israel's troubles on May 22nd, 2026, the skies above Yisra'el will rain with "parash huw' wa parash huw' – mobile war machines and accompanying soldiers" who "min rachowq bow' – will come from afar in the future" "uwph – flying through the air, even hovering" "ka nesher – like birds of prey." They will "chuwsh – swoop down, "la 'akal – ready to destroy." And of course, they will be serenaded by the screeching sounds and percussion impacts of rockets, missiles, and drones.

Describing the inception of the madness, the prophet reveals...

"He will come (bow' – he will arrive (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) and be totally wrong as well as completely without restraint (kol huw' la chamas – his every word injurious, his tone hateful, his approach rude, his voice shrill, his lies cruel, his plots devastating, his followers terrorists, the result oppressive, his treatment

harsh, his demeanor cruel, his rhetoric malicious, and his reputation violent).

Simultaneously, everyone's attention will be directed eastward as their appearance will be from the east (magamah paneh hem qadym). And he will gather ('asaph – he will take, remove, and withdraw (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) captives (sheby – prisoners, controlling the population) like the sand (ka ha chowl – in vast numbers)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:9)

This is now the third time Yahowah has used *chamas* | devastating plots comprised of cruel lies in association with the dressing down of Sha'uwl. It speaks of Paul's lack of moral restraint, the plundering of Yisra'el of God's promises, and his consistent pursuit of Towrahlessness. Often transliterated *hamas*, it is also the name of the Islamic terrorist organization that raped, mutilated, plundered, incinerated, abducted, and massacred Jews.

Those the Devil's Advocate inspired have remained exceedingly "qadym – confrontational and antagonistic" toward God and His people. Unable to save anyone, Paul's "'asaph – harvest was comprised of victims who he estranged" from God. The souls of those "sheby – captivated" will be exterminated – nary a one entering heaven. And the populations controlled by Christianity are "chowl – as innumerable and dense as sand."

Consistent with Paul's egregious tome to the Romans, religion and politics would be in lockstep. Christianity would empower clerics and kings for nearly two millennia.

Every malevolent and malignant thing associated with him will be *kol huw' la chamas* — which means that his every word will be injurious, his tone will be hateful, his approach will be rude, his voice will be shrill, and his lies will be cruel. From his arrival, his plots will be devastating, his followers will be terrorists, and the result of what he

perpetrates will lead to oppression because his treatment of others will be harsh, and his rhetoric will tend to be malicious. Further, Paul had a reputation for violence. He was a very bad man authoring an appalling and devastating approach to God.

Magamah is only used this one time in the prophets. It appears to be a compound of mah — to question the implications of gam — something additional which occurs simultaneously, and ah — which makes this a reference to something feminine. Paneh and qadym, however, are both masculine as is shaby, and both verbs, bow and 'asaph, were scribed in the third-person masculine singular.

And while it may be a stretch, since *magamah* is used exclusively in this prophecy, I'm drawn to the possibility that it was used in reference to Gamaliel, Sha'uwl's religious instructor. The word and his name share the *gam* root, with *magamah* asking us to question the claimed aggrandizement while eliminating Gamaliel's association with 'el | God.

Since Sha'uwl is being presented as all bad all of the time, he is going to be directing everyone's attention eastward, which is toward Babylon. Then since 'asaph was written as "he will gather, take control of, and remove" sheby | captives ka ha chowl | like the sand, this is predicting Sha'uwl taking souls with him into She'owl. Beyond this, the religion Paul inspired would control their Jewish populations, forcing them to live in ghettos and shtetls and die in religious prisons and concentration camps for many centuries.

"And he, along with the rulers (wa huw' ba ha melek – he with the political and religious leaders), will mock and ridicule those they disdain, making fun of those they enjoy disparaging (qalas – they deride and treat with contempt).

Those who govern and are empowered (rozen – the

elitists and dignitaries, judges and commanders) **are haughty and egocentric** (*mischaq* – strut around arrogantly and proudly as if they were dignified) **because of him** (*la huw'* – concerning him).

He, toward everything (huw' la kol) which defends and fortifies and should have remained off limits (mibtsar – which protects, gathers, and encloses; from my – to question and batsar – to gather together and withhold, to fortify and restrain, to remain impenetrable and inaccessible), laughs in amusement and is entertained (tsachaq – he shows no concern for Yitschaq, whose name means Laughter, happily pitting one opponent against another (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)).

He piles up a massive amount (wa tsabar – he gathers and accumulates, heaping up (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) of dust and debris from which there is a proliferation of materialistic progeny ('aphar – of offspring and their descendants as if coarsely crumbled sand), and he seizes and controls them (wa lakad hy' – he rules over them and constrains them as they choose to cling together (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:10)

Paul's Satanically inspired endorsement of Rome's horribly abusive, resolutely pagan, particularly anti-Semitic, and unabashedly dictatorial government in the 13th chapter of Romans made this possible. At the time he wrote it, Imperial Rome was the most diabolically abusive, murderously militant, and parasitical civilization on Earth. They survived by forcing everyone under the yoke of their Legions to bow to their will and supply food, money, and soldiers. They were the embodiment of everything Yahowah spoke against and warned His people about when they became enamored with the other Sha'uwl.

Roman Emperors began toying with Christianity

beginning with Constantine's infamous, "Under this sign, conquer." And while the burning cross superimposed on the sun was a myth, as was the voice from the sky, Bishop Eusebius, Constantine's publicist and Roman Catholic polemicist, turned Paul's pathetic rantings into a formidable religion. General Constantine, of course, knew it was a farce, and simply used the religion to advance his ambitions and burnish his reputation. But such would not be the case with Theodosius. He was the one who established Christianity as the lone acceptable Roman religion and, with it, the system of serfdom that would enslave Europeans for centuries.

Rome was not only in control of Yahuwdah at the time, even robbing the Temple treasury, within a handful of years from the time Sha'uwl endorsed the Beast, they would completely ransack and raze Yahowah's House. Worse, the wealth they confiscated in the process was used as seed money to fund the construction of the Colosseum in Rome. Built by Jewish slaves, it showcased the worst of man, from his false gods to his preoccupation with torture and death.

This prophecy is inferring something I have personally verified. Those who profit by promoting Christian myths know that the religion is a sham. With regard to Roman Catholic popes, I will provide proof of this within this volume of *Twistianity*. And as for Protestants, in discussions with many of the most famous of them, when I confronted them with the truth, they all readily admitted that almost everything they preached was untrue. But they went ahead anyway because Paul's passion has remained a lucrative undertaking.

All the while, the Towrah should have "*mibtsar* – remained off limits" because it alone "defends, protects, and fortifies." By tearing it down, Paul rendered salvation "inaccessible."

Even the centerpiece of the Covenant, the birth of Yitschaq, Sha'uwl managed to convolute and mock. Nothing he could have written would have been more disrespectful or deadly than replacing Yitschaq with Ishmael. In the process, Paul "tsabar – amassed" "'aphar – progeny from the debris." Christians would become little more than "crumbing specks of sand" between his fingers. It was all a "lakad – trap, a means to capture and control" the world.

Superficially, the most Pauline nation in world history, and thus the surviving embodiment of Babylon, has made a habit of vilifying world leaders while ridiculing their alleged weapons of mass destruction. America has amused itself with inferior fortifications, all while turning one nation after another into piles of rubble. While our focus is on the natural extension of Pauline Christianity, for those who might also be looking for references to America in prophecy, perhaps we have found common ground.

Affirming what becomes obvious when analyzing Galatians, Corinthians, Thessalonians, and Romans, Yahowah warned that Sha'uwl would promote the wrong spirit in his attempt to elevate Babylon's god. What follows is exceedingly incriminating, especially for the rabbinical scholar who admitted to being out of control and demonpossessed at the conclusion of his second epistle to the Corinthians...

"Then at that time ('az – meanwhile therefore), he will hasten to change, actually going with a new and completely different (chalaph – he will discard, sweeping aside the original, actually exchanging the existing for a totally dissimilar, overstepping by substituting and passing along a substitute and renegade (qal perfect third-person masculine singular)) spirit (ruwach).

And he will arrogantly travel about intoxicating and alienating (wa 'abar – based upon an unjustified and

improper opinion of himself, he will give away an inheritance, pass over the sacrifice, and take away the prospect of forgiveness, repealing Passover, doing so in anger (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)).

He will be wrong, incur guilt, and will suffer the punishment he deserves (wa 'asham – he will be culpable and liable for his deliberate corruptions and will endure recompense for his acknowledged offenses (qal perfect third-person masculine singular)) which is for (zuw – because of) his claim to have been authorized by his god, along with his vigorous support of and his justification for his deity (koach huw' la 'elowah huw' – his performance on behalf of his religious idol while shouting for his source of power)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:11)

This chronicles the biggest disconnect between Paul's letters and those who foolishly believe him. Paul's spirit was not the *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set-Apart Spirit of Yahowah but, instead, the *ruwach ha satan*. And while Paul admits this, it should have been obvious.

If Paul had been authorized by God, he would have cited Yahowah's name, affirmed His Towrah, explained the Beryth, and invited Yahuwdym to the Miqra'ey. He would have included prophecy to validate the assertion that he was speaking for Yahowah and he would never have written, "But I, Paul, say...."

In addition to predicting that Sha'uwl would *chalaph ruwach* | promote a completely different spirit, sweeping the Set-Apart Spirit aside, *chalaph* speaks of altering one's appearance by changing what they are wearing. That is telling because Sha'uwl is the Wolf in Sheep's Clothing. It is yet another thing this shyster admitted, saying that he would pretend to be whatever served his interests.

As is always the case, God is correct. Sha'uwl substituted his Lord's demonic influence for Yahowah's

Set-Apart Spirit. Christianity would have an entirely different spirituality, personality, and god than the Towrah. Catholics would call this beast – the Holy Ghost.

And as shared previously, "holy" is based upon the Hebrew word, "choly," which is translated as "diseased." It speaks of "sickness, malady, anxiety, calamity, and grief."

Sha'uwl | Paul would embody every negative nuance of 'abar in that he was arrogant and intoxicating. His improper opinions of himself were disseminated throughout the Roman world as he traveled as a vagabond, alienating all who would listen from Yahowah. He never stayed in one place long enough for the people to determine that he was a con man and run his sorry soul out of town.

Although Paul's crimes against God, His people, and humanity are the most egregious ever committed, one of his most debilitating misadventures was to renounce Dowd's fulfillment of Pesach and Matsah, never once referring to him as the Passover Lamb or Savior. As such, the purpose of Chag Matsah was 'abar | passed up and repealed, leaving Christians without any hope of life after death – in Heaven, anyway.

Clearly, *Sha'uwl* | Paul was 'asham | wrong. And while he will suffer eternal separation in *She'owl* | Hell for what he has done, that penalty is not sufficient. It does nothing to restore the souls his words destroyed or compensate Jews for what his anti-Semitic religion stole from them. Therefore, the realization that he will be judged and will suffer the penalty he deserves makes my heart sing. Even when put up against ruthless rubbish like Muhammad, *Sha'uwl* | Paul stands alone as the single most counterproductive and condemnable person in human history. It would be a privilege to provide testimony against both, then judge and sentence them. I might even volunteer to administer God's retribution.

And let's be clear since Yahowah has been – Sha'uwl is guilty of falsely claiming that he was authorized by God and that he spoke for God. His god is Satan – who is now Christianity's Lord. Even the "Lord Jesus Christ" of Christianity is a religious idol – a false god conceived by the most reprehensible of men. Even worse, he is a counterfeit of Dowd – the actual Messiah, Son of God, Savior, and King.

Christianity was born out of the spirit Paulos admitted possessed him – "a messenger from Satan." The resulting religion was, therefore, "koah la 'elowah – the influence of his god," a wannabe deity known to the Towrah-observant as "ha Satan – the Adversary." Also, while we are addressing this "chalaph ruwach – different and more recently conceived alternative spirit" "la 'elowah – of his god," be aware that the perpetrator being prophetically exposed and condemned in these words remains masculine singular. This rebuke is focused upon Sha'uwl because of what he did on Satan's behalf.

It should go without saying: the Babylonians were not "spiritual," and they worshiped a plethora of deities, further isolating this Divine sanction to the lone individual named in the second chapter of Habakkuk. This solitary individual remains the most infamous in history.

At this point, we find Chabaquwq wondering why anyone would oppose Yahowah, especially by proposing a religion based upon God's supposed death, as is the case with Pauline Christianity. And yet while the death of the Christian god is reported to be the means to salvation, that was not the only incriminating aspect of this next prophetic revelation. Chabaquwq affirmed of Dowd's one pseudonyms to reveal the role the Cornerstone of the Covenant Home would play in this ordeal. In his next prophetic revelation, Chabaquwq reveals one of the traits of a true prophet - he or she always communicates in Yahowah's name. Fourteen letters from Sha'uwl, and it isn't mentioned once.

"Yahowah (१९९६) — the pronunciation of YaHoWaH as guided by His towrah — teaching regarding His hayah — existence), my God ('elohym 'any), my Set-Apart One (qodesh 'any), are You not eternal and, thus, everlasting and unlimited throughout time (ha lo' 'atah min qedem)?

We will not die (*lo' muwth* – we will not be put to death or be absent of life, not then, not now, not ever (qal imperfect first-person plural)).

Yahowah (Yahowah – written as directed by His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence), as a way to make an informed and rational decision **regarding guilt or innocence** (*la mishpat* – as the way to exercise good judgment regarding resolving disputes and rendering justice), You have appointed regarding this (svm huw' - You have set and placed before him after examining this (gal perfect)), a Crag (wa tsuwr – a rock) to accuse, rebuke, and chastise (yakach – to argue and debate convincingly, to be judgmental and then punish (hifil infinitive)) with You, after having laid the foundation, assigned this to him and then You instructed him (yasad huw' - You found him, appointed him, and counseled him, arranging for it to work out this way (gal perfect))." (Chabaguwg / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:12)

The use or omission of Yahowah's name is the easiest and most effective test to determine who is speaking for God and who is an imposter. Neither Paul nor Muhammad, Akiba nor Maimonides, used it. Therefore, they did not speak for Yahowah.

Those who know Yahowah treasure the realization that He is *Qodesh* | Set Apart. He is unique and uncommon, unlike the plethora of gods men have fabricated. And since religion is the most universal thing humankind has

promulgated, Yahowah is the furthest from it.

There are three reasons that Chabaquwq wrote, "we will not die." First, Dowd was very much alive and would be fulfilling Miqra'ey relatively near and far – the first three in 33 CE and the final three in 2033. This is counter to the Christian propensity to bury and replace him.

Second, salvation has long been the result of the Beryth and Miqra'ey and, therefore, assured long before the Christian alternative. Moreover, reconciliation and redemption are derived through the Towrah, thereby negating Paul's claims otherwise.

Third, the death and resurrection of gods were central to almost every pagan religion. This story began with Nimrod and Astarte in Babylon and became part of the Greek religion with Dionysus, upon whom the Christian Jesus was modeled. Knowing that the myth is absurd, that neither God nor His children die, Chabaquwq has challenged the foundation of Paul's faith. When it comes to the only real God, He was not born, and He will never die.

But without the pagan reenactment of the birth, death, and resurrection of god, Christians would be left trying to explain how what actually occurred on Passover and UnYeasted Bread could sufficiently differ from the Towrah's presentation to justify an upstart religion. Further, if Jews cannot be blamed for scheming to kill the Christian god, there would be no justification for the actual God to condemn His people and transfer everything over to the Gentiles promoting the resurrection myth. So, Christians advanced their lies because the truth was inconvenient.

Hypothetically, if Jews had the ability to kill the Christian god as Paul protests, then his followers ought to be worshiping Jews. But alas, it was the Romans who tortured and then assassinated the Passover Lamb, and they

evolved to become the Roman Catholic Church.

The Rocky Crag, who was appointed by Yahowah to accuse, rebuke, and chastise Sha'uwl and Christianity per His instructions, is the author of this book and the entire *Yada Yahowah* Series. His given name, Craig, is Celtic and translates as "Crag." And based upon this assessment and that further developed in *Twistianity*, the Chosen People are finally equipped to make an informed and rational decision regarding how Christianity, with rabbis asleep at the switch (literally), robbed them of their Messiah and his gifts of everlasting life, perfection of our souls, and adoption into Yahowah's Covenant Family.

I am the only tsuwr | crag who has been specifically supported, gloriously and copiously appointed. acknowledged by Yahowah to yakach | indict and censure, argue against and judge, Sha'uwl, so that Yahuwdym might la mishpat | render a verdict regarding his guilt and be free of his influence. Yahowah laid the foundation for systematic denunciation of Sha'uwl this Chabaquwq. It was Yahowah's instructions throughout the Towrah and Prophets that were used to condemn Paul's letters and to prove that he was the Plague of Death. There is nothing even remotely similar.

And should you be bothered that I'm acknowledging that these books fit the promise, then provide an alternative systematic refutation of Sha'uwl by comparing his claims to Yahowah's Towrah and Prophets which properly incorporated Chabaquwq. But until and unless there is another review as thorough as the five volumes *Twistianity* set into the 35 volumes of *Yada Yahowah*, let's not run from reality in an attempt to disqualify one of Yahowah's more important prophetic promises.

Although to be fair, I am not Sha'uwl's most formidable foe. It is in *Dowd's* | David's *Mizmowr* | Psalms that provide the most detailed and cogent arguments

against Sha'uwl. Therein, Paul is called the "Father of Lies" and the "Son of Evil." Dowd despises him, and he looks forward to eradicating the stench and stigma of his religion upon his return.

Chabaquwq is now saying something I have long realized: God deserves better. He should not have been subjected to the taunts of such a mindless scumbag, or the chorus of billions singing his praises. I do not much like him either.

"Too flawless (tahowr – too pure and clean, too unmixed) are eyes to witness ('ayn min ra'ah – is the observant to see (qal infinitive)) the miserable, malignant, and malevolent wickedness of religion (ra' – such saddening and troubling evil, such distressful impropriety, that which is displeasing and harmful).

You cannot endure or prevail when (lo' yakol – since You can neither succeed nor overcome, there is no point (qal imperfect)) looking upon or responding to (wa nabat – observing or evaluating because there is no solution to (hifil infinitive)) such a perverse and grievous undertaking ('el 'amal – oppression of this magnitude, travail this painful, or labor this full of iniquity).

For what reason would (la mah – why would) You look at or consider (nabat – why would You pay attention to, attend to, or show any regard for (hiffil imperfect)) the treacherous betrayal of an offensive and deceitful trickster and traitor (bagad – the conspirator, the one who is disingenuous and disrespectful, especially prone to chicanery and who clothes himself in a deliberately deceptive manner (qal participle))?" (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:13 in part)

I did what I was asked to accomplish on behalf of God's people, not once but four times, investigating and invalidating Islam, Christianity, and Judaism – which was followed by a systematic denunciation of the demonic

nature of Ezekiel. Each was a miserable undertaking in that the Quran, New Testament, Talmud, and Babylonian text of Ezekiel are malignant and malevolent tomes that besmirch my Father, His Son, and Children. But if the choice is me doing it or no one bothering to point out why they should not be trusted – better me than the other option. It is well beneath Yahowah's dignity for Him to analyze such human excrement, and yet, with so many thinking that its stench is Divinely appointed perfume, someone needs to hold their nose and dive in.

Fortunately, after having survived exposing and condemning Islam and then Christianity, I was equipped to do the same for Judaism and the false prophet Ezekiel. And soon, the repositioning of *Yada Yahowah* so that it more effectively targets the intended audience will be complete and I will have corrected each volume so that they accurately portray Dowd's fulfillment of the Miqra'ey, which will allow me to live out my days exploring more pleasant themes.

However, under the heading of full disclosure, when I asked Yahowah if I could be spared the ordeal of having to return to update *Prophet of Doom* after witnessing the Islamic terrorist attacks on October 7th, 2023, His reply was, "I have taken care of you, now you take care of My people." Needless to say, I devoted the next eight months of my life to producing the five volumes of *God Damn Religion* – and was enormously grateful for having the opportunity to improve this monumental work against Islam. So when it came time to bring *Questioning Paul* up to the current standard of knowledge, I thought it prudent to forego posing the same question and went full steam ahead with the rewrite.

Evidently, God's view of religion is similar to my own. In His most recent statement, Yahowah inspired His prophet to write that the founder of the Christian religion was so vile, so "ra' – malignant and wicked," that He was

repulsed by his rhetoric and could not bear to look at him. So much for *Sha'uwl's* | Paul's claim to have met with God on the road to Damascus and then to have spent three years in Arabia being inspired.

Paul's poison would be so debilitating and deadly, God could neither endure it nor prevail against it. And while that may sound extreme, it is an accurate assessment of the means to reconcile our relationship with Him because Yahowah cannot and will not save the religious. As long as someone remains beguiled by Paul's faith, there is no antidote for this toxin. The lone prerequisite for becoming part of the Covenant is that we disassociate from the confusion and intermixing of religion and politics.

Addressing the poison that would flow from Paul's pen, God called it "'amal – grievous and perverse, exceedingly oppressive, heinously unjust and hideously immoral." He said that Sha'uwl was a "bagad – traitor, both offensive and deceitful, especially prone to chicanery." That is a far cry from "I cannot lie." And once again, with bagad, we find hints of the Beast that would come because it reveals that the Wolf in Sheep's Clothing would be treacherously attired.

Arriving at these conclusions took some time. I was once fooled by this monster. In my youth, I taught Bible Studies based on Paul's letters. As I look back on this time as an Ordained Ruling Elder and Evangelist, I am disappointed in myself, but at least, I have a better appreciation for the reasons religion is so contagious and beguiling. Told repeatedly by Paul that the "Old Testament" and its "Law" had been replaced by the "New Testament" and its "salvation through faith in the Gospel of Grace," foolishly, I believed him. I seldom read the front of the book, and without that knowledge, I was unaware of Paul's contradictions. And since Paul's claims were published in my Bible, and since I was told that it was the "inerrant Word of God," I did not question it. I was wrong.

The people with whom I discussed these things were of the same belief. The books I chose to read reinforced these opinions. And with my standing in this faith-based community predicated upon me telling everyone what they wanted to hear, there was no incentive for an independent investigation – in fact just the opposite.

Even if I had wanted to question the faith that I thought served as the basis of my salvation, where was I to turn? The Dead Sea Scrolls had not been published, there were no accurate translations, and no one had written and published anything even remotely comparable to *Yada Yahowah*, *Questioning Paul*, *Prophet of Doom*, or *Babel*. The only counters to my faith were other faiths – including atheism and communism. But they made even less sense.

It wasn't until my life, my family, my business, and other growing interests took me away from the religious community that I began to ask questions that could not be answered. And along the way, I inadvertently tangled with some very unsavory characters – lots of wolves in sheep's clothing – most of whom were devoutly religious. So, while I didn't have the answers, I slowly began to realize that there were far too many questions that could not be answered so I became an agnostic. That is where Yahowah found me, looking out over the sea on Taruw'ah in 2001 – 25 years before the Shabuw'ah Harvest and 32 years prior to His Kipurym return.

If there had been a book available like *Questioning Paul* (now *Twistianity*) one similar to *Prophet of Doom* (now *God Damn Religion*), or akin to *An Introduction to God, Yada Yahowah*, and *Observations*, even *Coming Home*, or *Babel*, I had reached the point that I was sufficiently free of the mind-warping aspects of religion to have benefited from it. But alas, nothing like them existed, which is why, I suppose, Yahowah asked me – enabled me – to write them. It was hardly a surprise because He revealed His plan twenty-six centuries prior to seeing it

through. And while I didn't bring much to the table, it wouldn't matter because Yahowah was prepared and ready to roll.

This does not mean that the job is done – far from it. Most Jews are either too religious, too political, too preoccupied, or too stubborn to approach Yahowah or consider what the Messiah Dowd has done for them. And time is running out for this to change in a meaningful way. So please, take advantage of Yahowah's gift. Read...

"Therefore, You will enable an implement to write against the plot, while remaining otherwise silent and unresponsive, taking no other action for a prolonged **period** (charash – after remaining quiet for a long while, You will facilitate an engraved response with ongoing implications by composing an inscribed work (hifil imperfect - Yahowah is enabling an implement as an understudy to write against this plot, doing so in a way that will have unfolding implications over time)) in opposition to the contradictory and thoroughly confusing religion, the incomprehensible and deceptive wickedness, this confounding and befuddling communication which will have been spread, embraced, accepted, and swallowed (ba bala' rasha' – against that which will have led many astray, engulfing and confounding, then overwhelming and devouring the unrighteous (piel infinitive – the misled will suffer the effect of having swallowed the corruption in a demonstrable and ongoing manner)) who is far more accurate and righteous than him (tsadyq min huw'-who is correct and thus considered right instead of him)." (Chabaguwa / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:13)

This is what has occurred. After having exposed and condemned *Sha'uwl* | Paul through Chabaquwq 666 years in advance of him perpetrating this deadly attack on His people, Yahowah remained silent about it until recently. Then at long last, He enabled His chosen implement to write against the cult of personality that became

Christianity.

Working together, we have effectively disproven the *bala rasha*' | confounding and deceptive scheme presented throughout Paul's letters and the other books of the Christian New Testament the Devil's Advocate shaped and inspired. The toxin that has spread and swallowed by those befuddled by his faith finally has an antidote.

Sadly, since Jews stopped listening to Yahowah, there was nothing else that could have been done in the intervening period to stop the ensuing carnage. The most Yahowah could do was wait until He found a willing reporter and then help him get this job done before it was too late.

Beyond this, Yahowah would do as He has always done – leave His people without excuse. Not only would He have Chabaquwq convey this prophecy well in advance of Sha'uwl fulfilling it, God would deploy and enable a *charash* | implement to *charash* | chronicle this prophetic spectacle for modern readers, combining it with an excoriating analysis of Sha'uwl's letters. Those who have read what Yahowah had His *charash* | instrument inscribe for His people's edification on the cusp of His return in the five volumes of *Twistianity* have irrefutable proof that Paul and his New Testament are fraudulent. And this is important to Jews because the resulting religion has been defaming, depopulating, and dehumanizing them.

There are many who have been wrong about Yahowah, such as Akiba and Muhammad, but even they were less conniving than Paul. When it comes to manifesting an evil mindset, Sha'uwl is the most unGodly of all.

While I suppose that it is better to be less condemnable than *Sha'uwl* | Paul, what's the point? One has to be right to benefit from what Yahowah is offering and He does not grade on a curve.

Regarding this subject, let's clear up another myth. God's long silence toward Paul, the New Testament, and Christianity is not without implication. It means that God did not inspire anything written therein. If He had, He would have said so – as opposed to affirming the opposite.

Further, had God inspired the New Testament, we would find testimony such as this throughout it. Yahowah is resolutely consistent, telling the same story through every prophet. The very fact that the Towrah and New Testament are incompatible rather than complementary is proof that the God who inspired Chabaquwq is disgusted that Sha'uwl claimed His authorization.

Liars don't like being exposed. And so, they will undercut and denounce any potential rival. And no one had more lies than Paul. Moreover, his most prevalent trait was conceit. Sha'uwl was fixated on validating his bogus credentials, imposing his alleged authority, and claiming the entire world for himself. If compared, his ego exceeded Satan's.

"But I, Paul, say..." would be the law of the land of the Greeks and Romans. His putrid propositions would take precedence over anything Yahowah had to say, even through Moseh and Dowd. Based upon his claims, Paul's testimony overrode everyone else. He ordered the faithful to follow him and to obey him.

This is the antithesis of God's approach. Other than Dowd, He typically disdains kings and clerics. There are no strata in the Covenant Family. The two most important people in God's story were shepherds who walked with God's sheep.

Even here, the books refuting Paul were to be scribed by a *charash* | enabled implement – a useful tool. The *Choter* is a sucker growing out of the existing rootstock. The *Basar* is a human herald. The *Nakry* is an informed and responsive foreigner. A *Mal'ak* is a messenger. The *Tsuwr*

| Crag is a prominent and sharp rock. The Zarowa' plants the seeds for the harvest. The *Qowl* is a voice. *Yada* is aware. These are functional and pragmatic, not hierarchical titles. The power is in the words, not the person or office.

Therefore, Yahowah had His prophet write...

"Therefore, You engage with (wa 'asah — You work on behalf of and act in conjunction with (qal imperfect consecutive)) humankind ('adam — men and women who have a neshamah / conscience similar to 'Adam) in a manner which could be compared to (ka — similar to) the fish (dag) of the sea (ha yam — in the water), similar to (ka — like) creatures which move freely about (remes — the multitude of highly mobile animals) without rules to govern them or anyone seeking to control them (lo' mashal ba huw' — without anyone claiming dominion or authorization to rule (qal participle))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:14)

This is the opposite of what Paul claimed for himself and what his religion subsequently imposed. Rome was not this way nor is the Roman Catholic Church.

What's particularly egregious about the Christian quest for supremacy is that Sha'uwl's excuse for proposing the religion was that he wanted everyone to kowtow to him rather than learn from Yahowah and His Towrah. To create the false impression that his way was free and easy rather than legalistic and hard, he misrepresented the Towrah as a set of laws to be obeyed. But here, Yahowah squashes that notion, affirming that He engages with humankind in the manner of fish who have no rules and are free to move about the sea.

They can swim wherever they like, even at different levels, some in the darkness and others in the light. They even swim in schools, which symbolically suggests that they, unlike the religious, are receptive to proper instruction.

And if obedience to *Sha'uwl* | Paul was not enough, as we know, in Romans 13, the Devil's Advocate ordered Christians to submit to governmental authorities – all of them. He would have the faithful obey the despots who claimed that they were either gods themselves or authorized by God. Writing to the Romans, a hideously oppressive and destructive empire, Sha'uwl claimed that even their government was instituted by his god.

His diatribe is so disconcerting, so contradictory to Yahowah's preceding statement and so wrong when scribed on behalf of Imperial Rome, let's drive another Roman nail into Paul's coffin. As you read this, remember that, just 20 years before he wrote it, these very same Romans had tortured and killed the Passover Lamb in the most gruesome manner possible, and within 15 years, these Romans would destroy Yahowah's Home, only to return 60 years later to bludgeon Jews. When it comes to evil leadership, only the Nazis would be considered worse, and even they would be perceived as God's servants according to Paul.

The King James Version was eager to promote the insanity of Romans 13: "Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. (2) Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation. (3) For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same: (4) For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil. (5) Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake. (6) For for this cause pay ye tribute also: for they are God's ministers, attending

continually upon this very thing. (7) Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honour to whom honour." To believe Paul was inspired by the God who spoke through Chabaquwq is to be a dimwit.

The New American Standard Bible proudly published their literal rendition of Paul's ode to the Romans and politics: "Every person is to be subject to the governing authorities. For there is no authority except from God, and those which exist are established by God. (2) Therefore whoever resists authority has opposed the ordinance of and they who have opposed will receive condemnation upon themselves. (3) For rulers are not a cause of fear for good behavior, but for evil. Do you want to have no fear of authority? Do what is good and you will have praise from the same; (4) for it is a servant of God to you for good. But if you do what is evil, be afraid; for it does not bear the sword for nothing; for it is a servant of God, an avenger who brings wrath on the one who practices evil. (5) Therefore it is necessary to be in subjection, not only because of wrath, but also for the sake of conscience. (6) For because of this you also pay taxes, for rulers are servants of God, devoting themselves to this very thing. (7) Pay to all what is due them: tax to whom tax is due; custom to whom custom; respect to whom respect; honor to whom honor." These are the words of a mentally deranged man who has lost all contact with reality.

From the *New International Version*, we read this variation of the ridiculousness of Romans 13: "Let everyone be subject to the governing authorities, for there is no authority except that which God has established. The authorities that exist have been established by God. (2) Consequently, whoever rebels against the authority is rebelling against what God has instituted, and those who do so will bring judgment on themselves. (3) For rulers hold no terror for those who do right, but for those who do

wrong. Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority? Then do what is right and you will be commended. (4) For the one in authority is God's servant for your good. But if you do wrong, be afraid, for rulers do not bear the sword for no reason. They are God's servants, agents of wrath to bring punishment on the wrongdoer. (5) Therefore, it is necessary to submit to the authorities, not only because of possible punishment but also as a matter of conscience. (6) This is also why you pay taxes, for the authorities are God's servants, who give their full time to governing. (7) Give to everyone what you owe them: If you owe taxes, pay taxes; if revenue, then revenue; if respect, then respect; if honor, then honor." The problem isn't the translation but, instead, the traitor.

Since I cannot provide a JPS rendering of Paul's epistle to the Evil Empire, the NLT's novelization of Romans 13 will have to suffice... "Everyone must submit to governing authorities. For all authority comes from God, and those in positions of authority have been placed there by God. (2) So anyone who rebels against authority is rebelling against what God has instituted, and they will be punished. (3) For the authorities do not strike fear in people who are doing right, but in those who are doing wrong. Would you like to live without fear of the authorities? Do what is right, and they will honor you. (4) The authorities are God's servants, sent for your good. But if you are doing wrong, of course you should be afraid, for they have the power to punish you. They are God's servants, sent for the very purpose of punishing those who do what is wrong. (5) So you must submit to them, not only to avoid punishment, but also to keep a clear conscience. (6) Pay your taxes, too, for these same reasons. For government workers need to be paid. They are serving God in what they do. (7) Give to everyone what you owe them: Pay your taxes and government fees to those who collect them, and give respect and honor to those who are in authority." In the debate between Yahowah and Sha'uwl, one is sane and the

other is deranged.

I might add, Noach was an outcast when Yahowah reached out to him. Moseh was a shepherd, tending to another man's sheep in the desert. Dowd was an otherwise unappealing young lad away from his family shepherding a flock in Bethlehem. God advances His will through the least of us. If you are impressed with status and credentials, you won't much like heaven.

It would also be appropriate to note that the prophet is just beginning his rebuke. Not only does each criticism reported by Chabaquwq fit Sha'uwl, in the 2nd chapter, he is going to get more specific – even naming him. It is not by chance that nary a statement has been made that does not apply to the author of and inspiration behind most of the "Christian New Testament."

When we recognize that this was written to indict Paul, we realize that as goes Paul, so goes the New Testament and the Christian religion. Without the Plague of Death, we are back to Yahowah being God, prophets being trustworthy, the Towrah being valid, one Beryth, seven Miqra'ey, Dowd being the Son of God and Messiah, and Yahuwdym being God's people.

Luring in and hooking his audience, and netting vast numbers of souls, Sha'uwl has killed everyone who took his bait. Worse, he was so depraved that he rejoiced in what was nothing short of mass murder.

"In everything associated with him (kol huw' ba), he dangles a lure and hook to entice the unsuspecting (chakah 'alah). When (wa – and also [found in the DSS but not the MT]) he catches them, he drags them away (garar huw' – he grasps hold and pulls them away, then he tears them apart, chewing them up (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) in his dedicated trap (ba cherem huw' – with the snare of his devotion and in his destructive net).

And he gathers them together and removes them (wa 'asaph hem – so he assembles and collects, eradicating them (qal imperfect)) in his dragnets by kindling his victims' yearnings, while himself, mentally and emotionally dysregulated (ba mikmereth huw' – in his fishing nets designed to trap and snare while he is emotionally agitated; from kamar – to be mentally and emotionally unstable).

Therefore, concerning this ('al ken – so therefore in this way), he acts as if he is elated, intoxicated as he is with himself (samach – he delights and is pleased, full of himself (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)), glad and gay, shrieking and shouting over this outcome (wa gyl – he screams in uproarious fashion as if this were a favorable outcome and beneficial circumstance (qal imperfect))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:15)

Considering the hundreds of millions of people Islam has slaughtered in religious rage, it is sad to report that Sha'uwl was more murderous still when one considers the number of souls he lured to their demise. Not only does religion constrain the people's freedom, similar to a net with fish, controlling them, Paul drew believers away from God. Even worse, consider the number of Jews throughout Europe who were caught in the nets Paul fabricated, and then ponder their fate.

The reference to "ensnaring fish" is indicative of Christianity, where the faithful used the image of a fish to identify themselves with their religion. This symbol remains prevalent today in the Christian Ichthus, Greek for "fish," where the letters $IX\Theta Y\Sigma$ were formed inside the "sign of the fish." It purports to be an acronym for "Iesous Christos, Theou Yios, Soter – Jesus Christ, God's Son, Savior." In this regard, it is telling that this symbol not only preceded Christianity, but it was also originally associated with Astarte, the Babylonian Queen of Heaven and Mother

of God. As a fertility symbol, it represented a vagina. Such is the genesis and evolution of Christianity. And let's be clear, there was no Iesous, no one was afforded the title of Christos, the Theou Yios, or Soter. But to their credit, they were correctly identifying themselves as the fish Paul baited and caught.

The realization that Sha'uwl was elated at the demise of his victims demonstrates that he was sadistic – the trait we appropriately associate with his mentor: Satan. Christianity is premeditated murder perpetrated by a psychopath.

As an interesting aside, while dark and dour, your stereotypical psychotic schizophrenic narcissist and psychopath has to fake his or her displays of discordant emotions because they lack empathy. Therefore, the wild range of feelings we find in Paul's letters and speeches was yet another act, a deliberate hoax to keep his devotees off balance and under his spell.

Throughout this extraordinary prophecy, the perpetrator remains one while his victims are many...

"So, therefore, in this way ('al ken – so concerning this), he slaughters and sacrifices (zabach – he butchers, killing as part of his penitence, mollification, and worship (piel imperfect third-person masculine singular)) his devotees as they approach his net and are ensnared in his trap (la cherem huw' – mutilating, disfiguring, and destroying in his ruse on behalf of his deity).

He blows smoke to make illicit worship seem pleasant, using perfume to cover the stench (*qatar* – he kindles aromatic incense in a religious setting and encourages offerings which trap by joining things together in dubious fashion; from *qatar* – to bind and shut in, fumigating a living space to drive out the occupants and to blend together in an unconvincing way (piel imperfect third-person masculine singular)), ensnaring his victims

while remaining emotionally agitated and unstable (*la mikmereth huw'* – capturing his victims in his dragnet; from *kamar* – to be mentally and emotionally dysregulated).

For indeed (ky), by them (ba hem) he is enriched and productive (shaman – he grows fat, becoming unhealthy, unresponsive, and calloused) through seductive words while he claims his share of the funds confiscated and apportioned in his persuasive plot (cheleq huw' – he takes his allotment and leads an easy life as a reward for his influential scheme comprised of seductive, deceitful, and divisive rhetoric).

And so, what he devours (wa ma'akal huw' – then his fruit and fodder; from mah – to question and 'akal – what one consumes) is contrived, newly fashioned, and artificial (barya'ah – is recently created and synthesized, fabricated and novel, comprised of circumstances and conditions which have been amalgamated)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:16)

Among the Dead Sea Scrolls, the 8HevXII rendering of Habakkuk 1:16 suggests that Sha'uwl's "consumption grew large." And if that is what the prophet was inspired to write, it means that his bread was filled with yeast, and thus is rife with the fungus of religious and political corruption. This could well be a rebuke to Paul's "a little yeast the whole loaf of bread yeasts."

Sha'uwl's | Paul's portrait is getting darker by the minute. He is the Zabach Benyamyn | Butcher of Benjamin – the most murderous of the wolves. And it is all deliberate. A trap is set, and victims are ensnared on purpose.

Blowing smoke is not condoned by Yah because it is not conducive to honest reporting or being observant. Smoke obscures and perfume masks, neither of which are conducive to understanding.

When we combine the dual presentations of *chamas* | being ruthless with the twin depiction of *mikmereth* | being emotionally dysregulated, we find a psychopath. While a bit frightening for Christians, I find it reassuring. The demeanor of Paul's speeches and letters, and the reckless vindictiveness with which he deploys against those who don't capitulate, as well as his depiction of himself as a murderer and sexual pervert, convinced me to write this of him in *Twistianity*. Normally, I'm reserved when out of my element, but there is no reason to equivocate when Yahowah agrees with the diagnosis.

The enduring legacy of Pauline Christianity is a tribute to faith over reason. Its founder, as a psychopath, fished for souls, wanting to kill them, not save them.

"So why is it then (ha 'al ken) that he continues to be delusional and vain, brandishing fantasies, and then **emptying** (ryq – disgorging his victims, leaving everyone unfed, pursuing such a worthless scheme, only to pour out (hifil imperfect third-person masculine singular – making his victims act similarly with ongoing implications)) those devoted to him disfigured from his trap (cherem huw' the faithful from his net destroying his followers in his ruse on behalf of his deity), only to (wa) eternally and **intentionally end the lives** (tamyd la harag – continually and with premeditation, kill, murdering with everlasting implications) of Gentiles (Gowym - of people from different races and places, those prone to religious beliefs who are dead men walking estranged from Yisra'el), **showing no concern or mercy** (*lo' chamal* – sparing none while incapable of pity, kindness, or compassion)?" (Chabaguwa / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:17)

It is what we would expect of an egomaniac and psychopath. According to Yahowah, *Sha'uwl* | Paul was delusional and vain. Rather than present the truth as inspired by God, his letters were filled with myths and fantasies. Instead of saving those foolish enough to believe

him, Paul emptied out his net, allowing the fish he had trapped to flounder on the ground and die. It was all a farce, a murderous and merciless scheme, to destroy Gentiles while annihilating Jews.

Once again, we are confronted by a discrepancy between the Masoretic Text, first compiled in the 11th century CE, and the Qumran Scrolls, dating to the 2nd century BCE. According to the DSS, Chabaquwq queried: "So how is it that he continues in vain to advocate meaningless fantasies from such a long distance away, promoting that which is unreal and has never existed, doing so without any benefit, only to disgorge into nonexistence with 'his sword which severs and slays (chereb / charab),' thereby continually and eternally killing the Gentiles without concern or compassion?"

Chereb / charab can be something that "cuts, severs, and destroys." It is "an implement which lays waste, making life meaningless" as well as "a tool used to attack, smite, and slay." Pronounced *choreb*, it depicts a "dry, hot, and desolate place."

Should *chereb* / *charab* have been intended, then Chabaquwq was likely contrasting Sha'uwl's lifeless rhetoric to the revelation of the Towrah on Mount Choreb. This possibility is intriguing considering Paul's false allegation that the Towrah's Covenant enslaved because it was established through Hagar in this place.

Either way, it remains a provocative question. How is it that Sha'uwl continues to seduce so many with such worthless delusions? His letters are so readily disproven, it is a wonder that anyone believes such ridiculous fantasies.

Especially relevant, God predicted that *Sha'uwl* | Paul would have no empathy. Paul was unable to feel compassion or concern over the disfigurement and death of his victims. This confirms that Paul was a psychopath. As a result, he may not be the best option for spiritual advice

on living a fulfilling life.

There are a number of reasons that we have returned to consider a wider swath of Yahowah's prophetic pronouncement against Sha'uwl. It serves to forestall the inevitable gymnastics Christian apologetics would otherwise bring to bear against such compelling evidence in opposition to the world's most popular religion. But in light of what we have learned, Paul's devotees have no hope of refuting the universal condemnation that Yahowah has leveled against Sha'uwl, especially as we transition out of the first and into the second chapter of *Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk.

In lieu of this blistering attack on the 'New' Testament's most prolific writer, Christian theologians, who don't much like the Hebrew prophets, try to dissuade believers from considering this prophetic pronouncement. All of these personal criticisms against a single individual must be errantly rendered by theologians such that they are perceived to condemn an ancient nation instead of a single person named Sha'uwl. And yet, by closely examining and carefully considering the preamble to the most damning prediction found anywhere in the prophets, we have proven that God had Paul's number – a wrong number out of touch with reality and soon to be disconnected.

With this in mind, let's reconsider the specificity of God's prediction as He lowers His sights and takes direct aim at the world's single most heinous person. Even the prophet's name, *Chabaquwq* | Embrace This, is telling when considered next to *Sha'uwl* | Question Him.

It was in Howsha' that we were directed to Chabaquwq to consider what was said of Sha'uwl. So, let's begin where God began...

"'Away from the control, power, and influence (min yad) of Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl), I have chosen to ransom and redeem them, reacquiring them (padah hem). So, Plague

of Death (maweth), where ('ehy) is the widespread pandemic you caused with your empty words and the sting of your controlling goads (deber 'atah)? Plague of death (maweth), where ('ehy) is your fatal contagion – your ruinous influence (qeteb 'atah)? With Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl), the capacity for compassion and contrition, the ability to relent and show remorse, even regret (nocham), is nonexistent (sathar) from My perspective (min 'ayn 'any). (Howsha' 13:14)

It is true (ky), this son of his brothers (huw' ben 'ach huw') will run swiftly, thrive with bull, produce asses, licentious (para'). Then and be the foretold confrontational encounter (qadym) with the Spirit (ruwach) of Yahowah (YaHoWaH) will come (bow'). As a result of (min) questioning the word (midbar), his perversions will be esteemed, but he will lack integrity ('alah / 'olah) and will be denounced when it is shown that what he did was wrong (wa bowsh). His destructive source (magowr huw') will eventually be constrained (wa charab). His perceptions (ma'avn huw') will be exposed and stripped away (huw' shasah), including his **treasury** (*'owtsar huw'*) **of everything** (*kol kaly*) perceived as worthwhile and desirable (chemdah)."" (Howsha' / Hosea 13:15)

This was designed to lead us to...

"This is the prophetic pronouncement and published prophecy (ha masa') which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), was witnessed and received as a revelation (chazah) by Chabaquwq | Embrace This (Chabaquwq), the prophet (ha naby'). (Chabaquwq 1:1)

For how long and to what extent ('ad 'an), Yahowah (YaHoWaH), should I plead for someone to respond in a beneficial way to this desperate and dangerous situation (shawa') because (wa) You are not listening (lo' shama') to my anguished appeal and

emotional outcry (za'aq)?

Against You ('el 'atah) there is a devastating plot comprised of cruel lies, ruthlessly plundering the people without moral restraint using injurious language (chamas). As a result (wa), You must withhold salvation (lo' yasha'). (Chabaquwq 1:2)

For what reason (la mah) are You having me witness (ra'ah 'any) this grotesque corruption and deliberate fraud, this vain and morally objectionable behavior comprised of false testimony that is being intentionally perpetrated ('awen) which You consider (nabat) vexing and troublesome ('amal)?

A demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God who is exceedingly malicious, destructive, oppressive, and confiscatory (wa shod / shed), (wa) a devastating and misleading plot advanced through injurious statements and wanton plundering (chamas), is conspicuously before me, publicly conveying this contrarian message (la neged / nagad 'any).

He is and will continue to be (wa hayah) argumentative and contentious, quarrelsome and insulting, conveying a conflicting perception regarding the proper standard (ryb). He brings forth and continuously advocates (nasa') dissension, discord, and divisiveness (wa madown). (Chabaquwq 1:3)

Therefore, in this manner ('al ken), the Towrah | Teaching and Guidance (Towrah) is incapacitated. Its instructions are invalidated and ineffective, being nullified and paralyzed (puwg). As a result (wa), the truthful and everlasting, eminent and permanent (la netsah) means to vindicate by justly resolving disputes (mishpat) will not be brought forth, publicly or openly disseminated, nor broadly extended (wa lo' yatsa').

Instead (ky), religious corruption will become

invasive and injustice will encompass (rasha' kathar) the means to be right and become innocent ('eth ha tsadya).

For this reason, that which ('al ken) he brings forth and disseminates (yatsa') perverts, distorts, corrupts, and convolutes, twisting and misrepresenting ('aqal) the way to make informed and rational decisions regarding vindication (mishpat). (Chabaquwq 1:4)

You can consistently witness this (ra'ah) among the Gentiles $(ba\ ha\ gowym)$ should you choose to be observant $(wa\ nabat)$. And you can avoid being adversely influenced and dumbfounded by remaining free of societal influences and by responding negatively to such idiocy $(wa\ tamah)$, contemplating how something so stunningly stupid is considered plausible (tamah).

Indeed, it is true that (ky) a considerable undertaking will transpire, a plot will be devised and implemented which results in controlling a vast accumulation of people and acquiring enormous wealth $(po'al \ pa'al)$. You should determine this to be inaccurate and invalid $(lo'\ 'aman)$ in your days $(ba\ yowmym\ 'atem)$, especially when it is properly assessed and a written record is presented in books, whereby he is held accountable $(ky\ saphar)$. $(Chabaquwq\ 1:5)$

For this reason, pay attention to Me (ky hineh 'any), arise and take a firm stand (quwm) against ('eth) the Chaldeans, a.k.a., the influence of Babylon (ha Kasdym). This gentile nation (gowy) is as impetuous and impulsive as it is pungent and poisonous (mar wa ha mahar).

Walking (ha halak) through the breadth of the region (la merchab 'erets), there is the seizure and dispossessing (la yarash) of the tabernacle and dwelling places that are not his (mishkan lo' la huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:6)

Invoking fear through intimidation and terrorism ('ayom wa yare'), he is out for himself (huw' min huw'). He has broadly disseminated, spreading far and wide (yatsa') mishpat huw' | his judgment and thinking, his approach and assessments (mishpat huw'), along with his self-adulation, particularly his position on the removal of guilt, forgiveness, and acceptance in the relationship (wa sa'eth huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:7)

The dregs from the scummy remainder of the leopard (min namer) will be despised and held in contempt, humbled and diminished at this time (wa qalal). His swift airborne weaponry (suws huw') will be as fierce and menacing as a wolf (wa chadad min za'ed) as the sun sets ('ereb).

Their randomly dispersed and widely scattered (puwsh) mobile war machines and his accompanying soldiers (parash huw' wa parash huw') will come from afar in the future (min rachowq bow') flying through the air, even hovering ('uwph), like (ka) birds of prey (nesher), quickly swooping down and ready (chuwsh) to consume and destroy (la 'akal). (Chabaquwq 1:8)

He will come (bow') and be totally wrong as well as completely without restraint, harsh in demeanor, malicious in rhetoric, and especially cruel (kol huw' la chamas).

Simultaneously, everyone's attention will be directed eastward as their appearance will be from the sunrise (magamah paneh hem qadym). And he will gather ('asaph) captives (sheby) like the sand (ka ha chowl). (Chabaquwq 1:9)

And he, along with the rulers (wa huw' ba ha melek) will mock and ridicule those they disdain, making fun of those they enjoy disparaging (qalas). Those who govern and are empowered (rozen) are haughty and egocentric (mischaq) because of him (la huw'). He, at

everything (huw' la kol) which defends and fortifies, and should have remained off limits (mibtsar), laughs in amusement and is entertained (tsachaq).

He piles up a massive amount (wa tsabar) of dust and debris from which there is a proliferation of materialistic progeny ('aphar), and he seizes and controls them (wa lakad hy'). (Chabaquwq 1:10)

Then at that time ('az), he will hasten to change, actually going with a completely different (chalaph) spirit (ruwach). And he will arrogantly travel throughout, intoxicating and alienating (wa 'abar). He will be wrong, incur guilt, and will suffer the punishment he deserves (wa 'asham) for (zuw) his claim to have been authorized by his god, along with his vigorous support of and his justification for his deity (koach huw' la 'elowah huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:11)

Yahowah (YaHoWaH), my God ('elohym 'any), my Set-Apart One (qodesh 'any), are You not eternal, and thus everlasting and unlimited throughout time (ha lo' 'atah min qedem)? We will not die (lo' muwth).

Yahowah (Yahowah), as a way to make an informed and rational decision regarding guilt or innocence (la mishpat), You have appointed regarding this (sym huw'), a Crag (wa tsuwr) to accuse, rebuke, and chastise (yakach) with You, after having laid the foundation, assigned this to him and then You instructed him (yasad huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:12)

Too flawless, uncluttered, and pure (tahowr) are eyes to witness ('ayn min ra'ah) the miserable, malignant, and malevolent wickedness of religion (ra'). You cannot endure or prevail when (lo' yakol) looking upon or responding to (wa nabat) such a perverse and grievous undertaking ('el 'amal).

For what reason would (la mah) You look at or

consider (nabat) the treacherous betrayal of an offensive and deceitful trickster and traitor (bagad)?

Therefore, You will enable an implement to write against the plot, while remaining otherwise silent and unresponsive, taking no other action for a prolonged period (charash) in opposition to the contradictory and thoroughly confusing religion, the incomprehensible and deceptive wickedness, this confounding and befuddling rant which will have been spread, accepted, and swallowed (ba bala' rasha'), who is far more accurate and righteous than him, who is correct instead of him (tsadyq min huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:13)

Therefore, You engage with (wa 'asah) humankind ('adam) in a manner which could be compared to (ka) the fish (dag) of the sea (ha yam), similar to (ka) creatures which move freely about (remes) without rules to govern them or anyone seeking to control them (lo' mashal ba huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:14)

In everything associated with him (kol huw' ba), he dangles a lure and hook to entice the unsuspecting (chakah 'alah). When (wa) he catches them, he drags them away (garar huw') in his dedicated trap (ba cherem huw'). And he gathers them together and removes them (wa 'asaph hem) in his dragnets by kindling his victims' vearnings, while himself, mentally and emotionally dvsregulated (ba mikmereth Therefore. huw'). concerning this ('al ken), he acts as if he is elated, intoxicated as he is with himself (samach), glad and gay, shrieking and shouting over this outcome (wa gyl). (Chabaguwg 1:15)

So, therefore, in this way ('al ken), he slaughters and sacrifices (zabach) his devotees as they approach his net and are ensnared in his trap, mutilating and disfiguring them in his ruse on behalf of his deity (la cherem huw').

He blows smoke to make illicit worship seem pleasant, using perfume to cover the stench (qatar), ensnaring his victims while remaining emotionally agitated and unstable (la mikmereth huw'). For indeed (ky), by them (ba hem) he is enriched and productive (shaman) through seductive words while he claims his share of the funds confiscated and apportioned in his persuasive plot (cheleq huw'). And so, what he devours (wa ma'akal huw') is contrived, newly fashioned, and artificial (barya'ah). (Chabaquwq 1:16)

So why is it then (ha 'al ken) that he continues to be delusional and vain, brandishing fantasies, and then disgorging (ryq) those devoted to him disfigured from his trap (cherem huw'), only to (wa) eternally and intentionally end the lives (tamyd la harag) of Gentiles (Gowym), showing no concern or mercy (lo' chamal)?" (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 1:17)

It is the most condemning of all indictments. Even *ha Satan* isn't as harshly rebuked by God. And God is just setting the stage. The worst is yet to come.

Even though Christian theologians try to deflect attention away from Paul by inferring that this was all about Babylon, the empire was not comprised of a single individual, and it wasn't committing these crimes circa 609 to 538 BCE. It is not rationally possible for an informed individual who has carefully considered these words to think that they do not condemn Sha'uwl's ongoing influence on Gowym and Yahuwdym commencing in 52 CE. But if you are predisposed to see this as God's fixation on the short-lived and flickering history of the nation of Babylon, a brief history may be in order.

In that Chaldea includes Assyria, the pertinent history of the region begins with the initial Assyrian conquest of Yisra'el which was led by Tiglath-Pileser III and Shalmaneser V. It began around 740 BCE, more than a

century before this prophecy was written. Sargon II and his son, Sennacherib, completed the twenty-year campaign, ending with the captivity and demise of ten of Yisra'el's twelve tribes. This story is told in 1 Chronicles 5 and 2 Kings 15. By 722 BCE, Samaria was the final Northern Kingdom city to fall (2 Kings 17 and 18). Assyrian cuneiform tablets reveal that 27,290 captives were hauled away from Samaria as slaves.

Shortly thereafter, with 185,000 Assyrians returning to finish off Yaruwshalaim and the Kingdom of *Yahuwdah* | Judah, King *Chazaqyah* | Hezekiah found a copy of the Towrah someone had stashed away in the Temple. After dusting it off and reading it, he decided to destroy all vestiges of religion before observing Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children, recognizing that this would be the best way to spare the lives of his people. God agreed, killing the assailants instead.

But the means to salvation was soon forgotten, and throughout most of the 7th century BCE, Yahuwdah became a client state of the Assyrian empire. However, once the Babylonians defeated the Assyrians, Egypt became concerned about its survival and launched a preemptive strike. Babylon counterattacked, bringing Yahuwdah into the fray, killing King *Yowshyah* | Josiah in the Battle of Megiddo in 609 BCE. This was within five years of the time Yahowah inspired Chabaquwq to pen this prophecy.

Upon losing the battle, Yahuwdah became a client of Babylon, forging a treaty of alliance in Yaruwshalaim which kept Yahuwdah somewhat sovereign. But just a decade later, *Yahuwdym* | Jews revolted against *Babel* | Babylon. So, in 599 BCE, they picked the fight that ultimately led to their demise, just as Rabbi Akiba and the warlord Bar Kokhba would do in 133 CE, repeating this history with the Romans. As a result, Nebuchadnezzar II began the Siege of Yaruwshalaim in early 597 BCE, with

resistance crumbling a few months later. While the city was not destroyed, it was pillaged and prominent Yahuwdym were taken to Babylon.

Then, against the warnings Yahowah made through the Prophet *Yirma'yah* | Jeremiah, King *Tsidqyah* | Zedekiah entered into an alliance with Pharaoh Hophra of Egypt and pushed for independence against Babylon. Nebuchadnezzar returned, defeated the Egyptians and laid his sights on Yaruwshalaim, destroying the city wall and the Temple. Zedekiah was blinded and taken to Babel along with many other Yahuwdym. Yahuwdah became *Yahuwd Medinata* (the Aramaic word for "province") in 587 BCE, briefly losing its sovereignty.

After the fall of Babylon to Persia under the leadership of Cyrus the Great in 538 BCE, the occupiers were gone and the enslaved Yahuwdym returned to Yahuwdah to join those who had remained. And that was the end of Babylon – at least as a nation. Its menacing influence over Yahuwdah lasted less than fifty years. Whereas, Sha'uwl's influence is still being felt 1,972 years after he penned his first letter.

Moreover, when Yahowah had an issue with a Chaldean king, He called him out by name. And yet the only individual named in this prophecy is Sha'uwl. No one by that name ever ruled over any Mesopotamian nation. In addition, Yahowah devoted most of *Yirma'yah* | Jeremiah, a man much closer to the scene, to presenting His overt condemnation of Babylon. And the Prophet *Zephanyah* | Zephaniah was better positioned to tell its story.

As a result, this is Sha'uwl's story. And it is the single darkest in the annals of human history.

ያ የ

Twistianity V4: Incredible ...Faith is for Fools

2

Sha'uwl | Question Him

The Prophecy...

We reached the conclusion of the first chapter of Chabaquwq, and as promised, we have revealed Yahowah's overt animosity toward Christianity. However, as we discovered in volume one of *Twistianity*, Yahowah was just getting warmed up. God's haunting prophecies regarding Sha'uwl intensify by telling us that He is not about to change the conditions of His Covenant or the approach that He has taken to facilitate our reconciliation – no matter what Paul has led billions to believe.

Chabaquwq's devastating insights regarding *Sha'uwl* | Paul have already been sufficient to demonstrate that Yahowah despises Christianity. And to think, Christians include this prophecy in their Bibles, oblivious to God's overt condemnation of them. Paul even cites it in his New Testament letters.

"Upon ('al – on this) My requirements and responsibilities (mishmereth 'any – My verbalized expressions of the terms and conditions which have been conveyed to observe regarding My service in safeguarding your preservation; from my – to ponder the implications of shamar – to explore, closely examining and carefully considering), I have decided of My own initiative that I will literally and continually stand ('amad – I will always choose to be present and accountable to sustain others, enabling them to stand (qal imperfect cohortative)).

And (wa) I will choose to always remain firm and

present (*yatsab* – I will consistently appear and serve, providing assistance by prominently appearing and presenting Myself (hitpael imperfect cohortative – God, acting alone, has chosen to take this stand, and He will never be influenced by anyone when it comes to consistently, genuinely, and continually being ready and able to serve)) **upon** ('al – on the Almighty's) **that which strengthens, protects, and fortifies** (*matsowr* – the defensive stronghold which safeguards, preventing a successful attack by the adversary).

Therefore (wa), I will be on the lookout (tsapah - I will continually keep watch and be on guard, surveying the situation (piel imperfect)) in order to see ($la\ ra'ah - so$ as to observe, consider, and perceive) what he will say about Me ($mah\ dabar\ ba\ 'any - posing\ a$ question concerning what he will communicate regarding Me and what message he will convey in association with Me).

So then (wa) how can I be expected to change My attitude, thinking, or response (mah shuwb—why should I reverse course and mislead (hifil imperfect)) concerning ('al—during and upon) My disapproving rebuke (towkechath 'any—My complaint, desire to correct the record, necessary refutation, and strong disapproval, My rational arguments in response and subsequent chastisement and punishment; from yakach—to adjudicate and correct)?" (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:1)

The *Beryth* | Covenant is a contract. There are specified conditions and requirements that each party to the relationship must accept for it to work as intended. For us, there is one prerequisite and four requirements. When we act upon them, Yahowah is then required, bound by His word, to respond as promised and deliver each of the five stated benefits.

This said, it is a sweet deal for both parties. Yahowah

gets to share His universe with His Family, and we get to live forever in His presence, inheriting all He has to offer. Equally wonderful, the conditions of the Covenant are for our benefit. They are not the least bit burdensome.

These conditions were established with 'Abraham and delineated in *Bare'syth* / Genesis. We can rely upon them because God isn't about to change them. And while that is good news for those who have placed their trust in Him and His Towrah, it is devastating for Christianity and Judaism, both of which require God's relationship with humankind to change in innumerable ways. And God is having none of it. He has established His plan and He's committed to it.

In support of the Covenant, Yahowah has established a seven-step path from our world to His home through the *Miqra'ey* | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet. And while all that is required of us is to respond to His Invitations, Dowd's responsibilities in fulfilling and enabling them are so extraordinary that they define his character and calibrate the extent of his devotion to our wellbeing. To gloss over them, or worse, negate them as Paul has done, and as rabbis are doing, is hurtful and disrespectful in the extreme.

Clearly, there is no reason for Yahowah to change anything. His plan is generous and fair. His beloved Son has already paid the price, having made the sacrifices to provide the promised benefits. The Miqra'ey achieve their purpose. To alter the process would suggest that we are smarter than God, and it would discredit the Messiah's contributions.

Even if people think otherwise – if they were to vote on it – God has made His position clear. He isn't going to alter His requirements or opt out of His responsibilities for anyone or any reason.

Good fathers – and Yahowah is the best – work on behalf of their children. They strive to strengthen and

protect them, never wavering when it comes to their love and support. So, when someone like *Sha'uwl* | Paul comes around and claims otherwise, God is none too pleased. And for the sake of His Family members, He is quick to rebuff the threat to the wellbeing of His children.

God has always been perfect, consistently trustworthy and reliable, continually compassionate and fair, always capable and willing. After creating the universe and conceiving life, He began to interact with us and guide us, teaching us what we should know to get the most out of life. His instructions remain valid, effectively revealing what He is offering and expecting in return.

The Covenant is a family relationship. Mom and Dad have responsibilities, as do their children. Our Heavenly Father is not going to shirk His and we should not ignore ours. And should you be foolish enough to do so, do not expect God to accommodate your preferences. You and I are invited into His home and we are welcome to join His family, but we are not at liberty to destroy or replace them.

Judaism, Christianity, and Islam all require God to change everything, from His name to His Covenant, from His Towrah to His Covenant benefits, even his people. This impoverished exchange is from an enlightening, uplifting, and emancipating family relationship to stupefying, controlling, and homogenizing religions.

With millions and billions preferring to be akin to pigs rolling in human excrement, Chabaquwq's recording of Yahowah's pronouncement affirms that God has not developed an appetite for their stench. Pigs are pigs and He loves sheep.

Since Yahowah is steadfast and dependable, consistent and reliable, His approach toward and response to the religious who mislead by misappropriating and misrepresenting His testimony will be identical to all that we have read throughout the Towrah and Prophets. He is

disgusted by the likes of rabbis. He despises them. He will condemn them. And He has no sympathy for those who became their prey in light of all He has done and said to dissuade them from forfeiting their inheritance.

Yes, I know that this disapproving rebuke is directed at a most peculiar rabbi, one who claimed to be the best student of an acclaimed religious teacher, and not toward those who crafted the two Talmuds. But the difference is only in semantics. One grew out of the failures of the others. Their methods were disgustingly similar. And just because this prophet was asked to focus God's criticism on this one man, it does nothing to diminish the blistering attack Yahowah has waged against Judaism throughout all of His other prophets. Therefore, God's disapproving rebuke of this Sha'uwl will be similar to the previous Sha'uwl, with one foreshadowing the other.

By using two different words for stand, 'amad and yatsab, we can be assured that Yahowah has chosen to take a stand and remain firm on His and our behalf. He will be accountable, present when needed, right where He said He would be, ready to lift us up so that we can stand with Him.

With this pronouncement that God would be on the lookout for the likes of Sha'uwl, ready in advance to rebuke him for falsely testifying that He had changed His plans, it should have stopped a sane individual dead in his tracks. Not only is Sha'uwl explicitly named and meticulously described in the prophecy, but he also reveled in the attention. Like a moth to the flame, Paul thrice cited statements from Chabaquwq to advance his agenda. It is similar to an obscure politician capitalizing on bad news to garner the notoriety needed to catapult himself out of the shadows.

Sha'uwl's | Paul's house of cards has been toppled centuries before its foundation was even laid. There is no possibility that Yahowah sanctioned the dissolution of His

Towrah or its replacement with a New Testament. The Chosen People have not been replaced, nor has the Covenant. There is but one man God considers His Son, Messiah, and King – the prophet, Dowd.

Yahowah is on record saying His response will provide cogent arguments demonstrating His disapproval of Sha'uwl. We are considering them in this prophecy, while many others are systematically chronicled throughout *Twistianity*. Should you have been squeamish over the unrelenting and derogatory approach I have taken toward *Sha'uwl* | Paul, you now know that it was God's desire that I do so.

Since there has never been another book that systematically rebukes Paul by contrasting his words against Yahowah's, and, since Yahowah has promised to provide His disapproving rebuke through rational arguments – you are reading the fulfillment of God's promise – at least up to the point of punishing Paul.

And while I'm certain that Yahowah will delegate that responsibly as is His custom, the verdict is already known. And our first clue is that *Sha'uwl* | Paul and *She'owl* | Hell are homonyms in the Hebrew text: *Sh-A-W-L*.

We have now reached the first of several passages within Chabaquwq that Sha'uwl deceitfully cited to press his case against the Towrah. He used this one to suggest that he had not run in vain while attempting to discredit the word of God he was now misappropriating to prove his point. In a rational world, his argument was nonsensical. But he was peddling his religion to those who prized faith above reason, so it didn't matter.

The God of the "Old Testament" has not walked away. He has not changed His position on any relevant issue. As such, He most certainly did not condone Paul contradicting Him.

When God announced that He would be on the lookout for the likes of Sha'uwl, ready in advance to rebuke him for falsely testifying that He had changed His plans, it should have stopped Paul dead in his tracks. Even if he were not explicitly named and meticulously described in the prophecy, *Sha'uwl's* | Paul's house of cards has been toppled. There is no possibility whatsoever that Yahowah sanctioned the dissolution of His Towrah or its replacement with a "New Testament." The Chosen People have not been replaced, nor has the Covenant or Invitations to Meet.

Yahowah's commitment to His requirements and responsibilities is steadfast, making this a general affirmation of His Towrah. This known, God's indictment remains singular and specific. No one other than *Sha'uwl* | Paul fits this prophecy. He not only tried to change God's requirements for participation in His Covenant relationship, he sought to replace God's conditions and provisions with his own. Worse, he claimed to speak for the God he was contradicting and undermining.

Rest assured, that which was established by the Spirit in the flesh will lead us back to the Spirit. We have God's word on it...

"Then (*wa*) **Yahowah** (*Yahowah* – God's name transliterated as guided by His *towrah* – instructions on His *hayah* – existence and His role in our *shalowm* – reconciliation as *'elowah* – Almighty God) **answered me** (*'anah 'any* – He responded to me, testifying by replying with useful information (qal imperfect)).

He said (wa 'amar), 'Of your own volition, write down (kathab – use the alphabet to inscribe, choosing to describe in writing (qal imperative)) this revelation (chazown – this communication from God regarding the agreement).

Then (wa) you can choose to expound upon it using those letters (ba) ar – you can explain its significance by

plainly and clearly reiterating it using large and distinct alphabetic characters) **upon** ('al) **writing tablets** (ha luwach – inscribing it on a panel or screen such that it is enduring and memorable) **so that** (la ma'an – for the express purpose and intent that), **by summoning, welcoming, and reading this** (qara' by huw' – by calling upon this, proclaiming it, and making it known by reciting and announcing it), **he will run as he is chased away** (ruwts – he will flee (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:2)

By using 'anah, Yahowah is encouraging us to capitalize upon the 'anah | answers He has provided in His Towrah | Teaching, to 'anah | respond to His Beryth | Covenant, and 'anah | reply to His Miqra'ey | Invitations. 'Anah is one of the Towrah's most enriching terms, playing a pivotal role during the Miqra'ey. They are Invitations to Meet which God hopes we will answer.

Yahowah's strong preference is for the written word. It is more enduring and enriching, in addition to being easier to validate and understand. The written word survives the test of time unaltered. This is especially true regarding Hebrew, the language of Divine revelation. It is perfectly suited to describe the nature of the relationship Yahowah intends for His family. Every verb is relational in nature and infinite in time.

By asking *Chabaquwq* | Habakkuk to memorialize this prophecy in writing 666 years before its fulfillment, we have every reason to recognize that Yahowah is God and that we can trust His witness. He was right.

And while that is encouraging, even empowering and enriching, there is a sad note to this prophecy. Yahowah revealed that should we have done as He requested and read and recited this prediction during *Sha'uwl's* | Paul's lifetime, he would have run away, abandoning his claims. This remains true today. All one has to do to remove Paul's

stench from our lives is to read this prophecy.

The lines of demarcation have been established, and the consequence of being deceived is severe, so Yahowah left little doubt regarding this man, naming him as we shall soon see, in this prophecy. And let's be clear: this entire prediction has been positioned against one solitary man, which is why "he" is deployed throughout using the third-person masculine singular.

Yahowah responds to those who listen to Him. Anyone else is a waste of breath. Chabaquwq was clearly agitated by what he had witnessed and wanted some reassurance that Yahowah was aware of the significance of the problem.

Yah was ready with His response which was to ask His prophet to chronicle what he was witnessing in his future, but now our past. He wanted it all written down and documented in advance of it occurring. But even in this, God encouraged His prophet to express himself and to add his own reactions to the revelation. As a result, we are not just hearing from Yahowah, but also getting the reaction of His prophet, enriching the testimony with the human perspective.

Having translated Yahowah's prophetic witness over the past 23 years, I have grown to appreciate His preference for using human conduits. Each prophet can draw from his own unique life experiences to present the revelations in ways that make it easier for us to relate to what they are seeing. Each conveys God's message using their own vocabulary and reacts to it individually, such that we are able to consider the same message from many viewpoints.

There are two ways to interpret the final comment. The first is to expect Paul to read this and go away. Personally, I hope that he is compelled to read *Twistianity* during his trial. And since he died miserable and alone in Rome, he was chased out of the Promised Land.

Alternatively, Yahowah could be addressing His children, anticipating that they will eventually read this, and as a result, He is expecting that they will become so aggressively hostile to Sha'uwl's rhetoric that they will chase even his legacy away. If done early enough, it would limit the ensuing carnage. And even now, these more accurate translations and expansive explanations enhance the credibility and appeal of *Yada Yahowah* such that the series becomes more effective in drawing God's people away from the toxicity of religion.

The key to understanding is to make reasoned connections to what is said elsewhere in the prophets so that we can more credibly develop and present God's panoramic landscape of our relationship with Him over time. But that should not define the limits of our understanding because it is by associating Yahowah's prophetic testimony with the world apart from Him that we expand our horizons. For example, in the first two volumes of *Yada Yahowah*, we compared what we could deduce from science and history to validate the explanations of creation and the flood.

And now, it is by turning to the words of the Devil's Advocate that we come to more fully appreciate the specificity of this prophecy. The very reason that I turned my attention to *Chabaquwq* | Habakkuk in the first place, discovering Yahowah's rebuke of *Sha'uwl* | Paul, is that the Father of Lies mischaracterizes this pronouncement in three of his letters.

Paul claimed not to have "run in vain" in response to the dressing down he received during the Jerusalem Council. He would misrepresent this prophecy condemning him, such that it would be interpreted by the faithful as validating his preposterous propositions. We were initially drawn to it based upon what Sha'uwl wrote in Galatians 2:2 and then reiterated in Philippians 2:16 and 1 Corinthians 9:26. Had the Devil's Advocate not flirted with his own indictment, I may have missed one of the most compelling prophecies ever written. But this is Satan's style. He is convinced that humankind is so stupid that he can flaunt the absurdity of his religious misappropriations in people's faces and they'll not recognize that he is behind them, using them to be worshiped as the Lord of these religions. He's taunting God, degrading His creation while undermining the merit of the Covenant. After all, who in their right mind would want to spend an eternity with people so stupid they would disavow a thousand years of prophets and all of the benefits of the Covenant only to replace it with Paul's pathetic drivel? It is akin to Sha'uwl admitting that he was demon-possessed and that the Roman government was a force for good.

Yahowah left no doubt regarding this man, naming him, as we shall soon see, in this prophecy. This entire prediction has been positioned against one solitary individual, with "he" deployed throughout using the third-person masculine singular, because no one would be more harmful to God's people or lead more souls away from Him than *Sha'uwl* | Paul.

While there are a handful of individuals who will earn a rebuke of a somewhat similar magnitude, only one man meets all the criteria that have been provided – and he is a perfect fit, right down to his propensities, peculiarities, and proper name. Sha'uwl deceived billions regarding the "mow'ed – meeting times." He was in Yaruwshalaim, studying to be a rabbi, when Dowd was fulfilling the "Mow'ed Miqra'ey – Invitations to be Called Out and Meet." And as the first to refer to himself as a rabbi, he did an about-face to attack God from an entirely new direction. Sha'uwl even admitted to being conceited, to being demonpossessed, to being preoccupied with Gentiles, and to being opposed to circumcision – things which will loom large in a moment.

Since it would be six centuries before Sha'uwl would question God's Word, earning His disapproval, Yahowah encouraged those who first read these words to be patient. This warning was for another day...

"Still indeed, the subsequent realization (ky 'owd – so therefore and nonetheless, the expectation regarding the witness) of this revelation from God (chazown – of this divine communication) is for ha Mow'ed | the Eternal Witness to the Appointed Meetings (la ha Mow'ed – the continuing testimony regarding the meeting times to gather together and celebrate the agreement; from mow – this pertains to the 'ed – eternal witness, everlasting testimony, and enduring evidence).

It provides a witness to and speaks, pouring out evidence to malign and insult (puwach – it reveals facts which condemn and censure the puffery from the blowhard (hifil imperfect)) at the very end (la ha qets – toward the conclusion of time, specifically in the last days at the limit of the ordinary flow of time; from qatsats – to tear asunder and cut off, casting away).

Even though it may seem slow to develop, the extended period of time required for this question to be resolved ('im mahah – if hesitant, question him, because no matter how long it takes; from mah – to ponder the who, what, why, when and how of this question (hitpalpel imperfect hitpael jussive – he will continually and consistently do what is said of him and not allow anyone or anything to deter or dissuade him from perpetrating what he has chosen solely on his own initiative)) will not invalidate it (lo' kazab – will not be disproven or shown to be untrue (piel imperfect)).

Expect him in this regard (chakah la huw' – be certain concerning this and regarding his allure, hook, and trap) because indeed (ky), he will absolutely come and pursue this (bow' bow' – he will certainly arrive upon the

scene and make his appearance (qal infinitive imperfect)). **He will not be delayed nor will he remain** (*lo' 'achar* – he will not take longer than expected, live for a protracted duration of time, nor pursue a different agenda (piel imperfect))." (*Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:3)

The first three *Mow'edym* | Witnesses to the Appointed Meeting Times of *Pesach*, *Matsah*, and *Bikuwrym* were fulfilled by Dowd, Yahowah's beloved Son, in year 4000 Yah, more commonly known as 33 CE. In this way and at this moment, God enabled the Covenant's promises. As the prophecy predicts, this was the time Sha'uwl was in Yaruwshalaim training to be a rabbi. Not long thereafter, he began undermining the *Mow'edym*, beginning with his letter to the Galatians around 52 CE.

Also consistent with the prophecy, it would not be until recently, during the last days, as we approach the fulfillment of the final three Mow'edym, that we are finally studying this prophecy and identifying it with Sha'uwl. Better late than never.

This known, Yahowah's pronouncement regarding the Mow'ed isn't just about providing us with a historical frame of reference. God's revelation, and even our explanation of it, serves to defend the Mow'ed from Paul's criticisms of them. Yahowah is censuring Paul's rhetoric suggesting that it is passé. He is also condemning the man most responsible for Christianity and its New Testament.

When Yahowah told Chabaquwq that this revelation provides a witness for the end of time and that the extended period required for this question to be resolved would not prove it false, it becomes utterly absurd to project any of this as a commentary referring to Babylon. Clearly, God was not addressing what was going to occur in six years (from 615 to 609 BCE) but, instead, in 666 years (in 52 CE). And even then, it would not be understood for another 1,970 years.

Yahowah seems to enjoy providing hints which facilitate understanding among those who are observant and which also make the process of learning more rewarding. In this case, the "mahah – question to be resolved" was directed at "sha'uwl – question him." When we think about it, we can appreciate why God has to be a bit reserved. He does not want superficial readers who are not genuinely interested in getting to know Him and becoming part of His Family to ascertain something that they might exploit to their and others' detriment when considered out of context. Celebrating Pesach without Matsah, without being part of the Covenant, would be catastrophic because it would result in eternal life separated from God.

Many of the insights we have derived along the way are the result of the breadth and depth of our study. We are rewarded when we consider everything in context, maintain the proper perspective, and yet still dig deep, turning over and examining every facet of each word we encounter along the way. In this regard, we should be cognizant that Yahowah's message must resonate with everyone willing to diligently listen, from the beginning to the end.

Dating events is a similar exercise. The calendar we use today had its genesis with the Romans nearly 600 years after this prophecy was written. And while they stole it from the Greeks who borrowed it from the Babylonians and Persians, that system did not exist when Chabaquwq provided this witness. Thankfully, however, dating the timing of events is straightforward. Yahowah provided us with His timeline from *Bare'syth* | In the Beginning to the conclusion of *Sukah* | Camping Out, with major fulfillments and even some supporting acts documented along the way.

That said, His timeline is His own. It plays out over 40 Yowbel or 2,000-year increments, beginning with the

expulsion from Eden in year 0. The *Beryth* | Covenant was established in year 2000 Yah to facilitate our return. Then to provide the benefits of the Covenant, the first three *Miqra'ey* | Invitations to Meet were fulfilled by the Messiah Dowd in year 4000 Yah. The return to the Garden occurs 2,000 years later in 6000 Yah with the Son's return to honor the promises of the final three Mow'edym. Superimposed on the resolutely religious and political apparatus in use today, these dates would be marked as 3968 BCE, 1968 BCE, 33 CE, and 2033 CE.

God never writes something like "one hundred years before the Classical Hellenistic Period began." He would not date an event by paying homage to murderous pagans either. So, we do not find references to the Julian Calendar established in 46 BCE by Julius Caesar or to Pope Gregory XIII's calendar composed in 1582 CE. Therefore, you will not read that, in 2022, Chabaquwq's revelation will be explained for your benefit.

And yet, by interweaving His prophetic timeline alongside His documentation of Yisra'el's history, and then providing the kind of specificity we have witnessed, we are able to ascertain the appropriate timing while deriving useful insights. In this way, God provided copious and convincing clues as to the identity, character, scheme, and consequence of the perpetrator delineated in this prophecy for those who treasure His Word sufficiently to closely examine and carefully consider each word, while not altering the course of history by revealing His hand to those insufficiently informed to understand. It is the same approach He has taken with all of His end-times prophecies. They are all there for the taking, but most of the fruit is out of the reach of those who stumble their way through life or bow down to false gods.

However, with this malevolence coinciding with the fulfillment of the Mow'ed, corrupting the intent to suggest that God would die, we can reasonably deduce that these events would have played out to the detriment of God's people in relatively close proximity to 33 CE, and that the principal actors would be Gentiles and, thus, Romans. And so, all indicators are pointed at the most egotistical and manipulative man of this day, the irrational and ruthless individual who claimed to speak for God while contradicting Him.

Sha'uwl | Paul was, by any standard, audacious and arrogant. He deliberately corrupted this particular prophetic pronouncement condemning him, twisting and convoluting it to posture the opposite of its intent. And since Babylon doesn't have a soul and the object of Chabaquwq's denouncement had one that was decidedly off-kilter, we can dispense with the pretense that God was condemning an ancient kingdom rather than the principal author of the Christian New Testament.

As bad as Chabaquwq's revelation has been thus far for *Sha'uwl* | Paul and Christianity, it is about to get much worse. What follows, strongly suggests that Yahowah baited Sha'uwl, tempting him to include a portion of this prophecy in his letters — epistles which dominate the "Christian New Testament." It is how we learned of it. The wannabe Apostle took the bait and ran with it...

"Pay attention (hineh – behold, look up and consider the details because), he will be audacious, presumptuous, and oblivious, puffed up with false pride, a foolhardy pain in the ass ('aphal – his head will swell and he will be daring, becoming an oozing sore and pain in the butt, haughty and arrogant, heedless of the truth, reckless and riddled with venereal disease and hemorrhoidal abscesses (pual perfect – the object, Sha'uwl, suffers the effects of these undesirable things, although, not for long)).

His soul and, thus, his way of looking at the world and responding to it (nepesh huw' – his attitude and personality, his consciousness and character), is neither

right nor straightforward (*lo' yashar* – is inappropriate and counterproductive, unpleasant and inaccurate, is circuitous, prone to twisting and convoluting the teaching so as not to be on the level, dishonest and immoral, lacking integrity) **in him** (*ba huw'*).

And therefore (wa – as a result, it follows, and that is why), those who are correct and vindicated (tsadyq – those who are right, in accord with the truth, and upright, therefore innocent), through trusting and relying upon Him (ba 'emuwnah huw' – by being firmly established and upheld by Him, in that He is dependable, trustworthy, and honest, by verifying the evidence He has provided; from 'aman – to be supported and confirmed by upholding the truth), will live (chayah – he will be restored to life and be kept alive, nurtured and growing, even flourishing (qal imperfect))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:4)

While explicitly describing Sha'uwl in the first stanza, in the second, Yahowah reminds us that vindication and life everlasting come to those who trust and rely on His firmly established and always dependable testimony. This is and always has been the antidote for religion, especially Paul's Faith.

There is no comparison between the intelligence, character, and attitude of prophets like Chabaquwq, and especially Moseh and Dowd, but also Yasha'yah, Yirma'yah, Zakaryah, 'ElYah, and Howsha', as opposed to the blithering idiot who wrote and inspired most of the Christian New Testament. He was as presumptuous as he was oblivious, an audacious pustule impersonating a person. Reckless with the truth, full of himself, in foolhardy fashion, *Sha'uwl* | Paul tried to impersonate God and came off looking like Satan. If ever a man could rightly be called an 'aphal | pain in the ass, it is this one.

As to whether 'aphal | being riddled with the oozing abscesses associated with venereal disease and suffering

from hemorrhoids was intended metaphorically or was a medical diagnosis, or both, you can be the judge. But in either case, since Yahowah is about to reveal Paul's overt fascination with male genitalia, as a homosexual, it had to make his life miserable. And in this regard, Sha'uwl was a hypocrite and, thus, anything but straightforward in his condemnation of homosexuality.

Babylon did not have a *nepesh* | soul, nor does *She'owl* | Hell. Sha'uwl did although, to be fair, it is currently vacationing in She'owl. Therefore, this dire warning has not been about a miserable empire or a more miserable place but, instead, the most miserable of people.

A *nepesh* | soul provides animals, including humans, with the capacity to observe the world and respond to it. This consciousness animates life while conveying the unique perspective, attitude, character, and attributes of each individual. No two are exactly alike, with some being better than others.

As an animal or, more correctly, a beast, Sha'uwl had a soul. His *nepesh* | consciousness, however, was dysfunctional – a problem aggravated by an inoperative *neshamah* | conscience. This horrendous pairing became uniquely delusional when influenced by Satan. This led to Yahowah's testimony being twisted and convoluted in an inappropriate and counterproductive manner – similar to what Satan had done to mislead Chawah in the Garden. The result this time was Christianity with Paul as its prophet and Satan starring in the role of God.

While this religious concoction would serve as a death sentence for those Sha'uwl beguiled into believing his nonsense, and became a living hell for those they tormented, life could still be worth living. Therefore, God is reminding those who are willing to listen to Him that being correct matters. Those who are right about Yahowah, trust Him. And by relying upon God, they live.

When we know what Yahowah said, men like Paul cannot fool us by misappropriating it. This is and always has been the antidote for religion, especially Paul's Faith.

In Galatians 3:11, in the midst of his initial assault against the Towrah, Sha'uwl misquoted this prophecy, the very one which expressly condemns him. Removing it from its context and truncating it, he used his perverted variation to promote his Faith, writing... "But because with regard to the *Nomos* | Towrah absolutely no one is vindicated or justified by God becomes evident because: 'Those who are vindicated and righteous out of faith will live.""

Paul was guilty of misquoting the evidence and with a non sequitur – in that, even if his errant citation were true, his conclusion cannot be derived from it. It was like saying, "By drinking water, no one is satisfied with the substance because those who climb mountains are barefoot." No, they're not and what does one have to do with the other?

It is similar to Satan misleading Chawah, who at the time was unaware of anything troubling, that if you eat something bad, you'll be like God. But all she became was a worse version of herself.

As is often the tendency of a daredevil when faced with the specter of death, Sha'uwl was so transfixed by this damning and deadly prophecy regarding him, he cited it once more, this time at the beginning of his most famous letter: "For in it the righteousness of God is revealed from belief to belief, as it has been written, 'But the righteous shall live by belief." (Romans 1:17) Sha'uwl and Satan were taunting God. In this way, their collective arrogance is unmatched.

It may be my twisted sense of humor, or Yahowah sharing His disgust, but 'aphal, when written the same way but pronounced 'ophel, speaks of a "hemorrhoidal abscess." In other words, Sha'uwl | Paul was a pain in the

butt. More fully developed, it is translated as "a boil on the anus due to a disease or stool problem." While graphic, 'ophel provides an interesting euphemism.

This is especially so when we consider the consequence of the uncircumcised Philistines absconding with Yahowah's Ark of the Covenant — tangibly foreshadowing what Paul would do symbolically. God struck the men of Ashdod, then Gath, followed by Ekron, with a nasty case of hemorrhoids. That story is tellingly chronicled by Shamuw'el (1 Samuel 4:7 through 5:12). He is the Judge and Prophet who introduced us to the original conflict between the wannabe-King Sha'uwl and Dowd, which would serve as a harbinger of the wannabe-Apostle Sha'uwl a thousand years thereafter. He addresses the people's preference for Sha'uwl over Yahowah and of Yahowah's overwhelming preference for Dowd.

After revealing that the appalling man described in the opening chapter of Chabaquwq would appear during the fulfillment of the Mow'ed, we learn that he would be as we found him. Paul was overwhelmingly audacious and oblivious, reckless and foolhardy. He was arrogant and presumptuous, puffed up with a false opinion of himself. If any man could be properly depicted as hemorrhoidal – a genuine pain in the ass – it was Sha'uwl.

For the numbskull Christian apologists attempting to exonerate their favorite hemorrhoid, Babylon, for all of its failings, lacked a "nepesh – soul." Sha'uwl | Paul, however, had one, albeit twisted and difficult. His character, attitude, and personality were deplorable. He was never on the level nor straightforward and remained circuitous and convoluted. His life's mission revolved around misappropriating and perverting the Word of God.

Let's be clear: Yahowah provided two prerequisites for living – and belief and faith were not among them. To be *tsadyq* is to be right. *Tsadyq* speaks of being correct and

thus vindicated. And the only way to be right is to know the truth. But when we know, we have no use for faith.

In God's two-step plan, being right is essential but not necessarily sufficient. For example, I can know that the airplane off of the island departs at noon every day, but if I don't get on it, that knowledge isn't going to get me anywhere. So Yahowah is not only asking us to correctly assess who He is, what He is offering, and what He expects in return, He wants us to 'emuwnah huw' | trust Him. This is so important, it is one of the conditions for participating in the Covenant. It is by relying upon a correct assessment of what Yahowah has conveyed to us that our lives are restored.

The prophet said, "And therefore (wa), those who are correct and vindicated (tsadyq), through trusting and relying upon Him (ba 'emuwnah huw'), will live (chayah – he will be restored to life and be kept alive, nurtured and growing)." (Chabaquwq / Habakkuk 2:4) He did not say: "Those who are vindicated and righteous out of faith will live." Nor did He write: "But the righteous shall live by belief." If He had, He would have contradicted Himself and, thereby, invalidated any possibility of salvation – Towrah or faith.

For those who are informed and rational, Paul has demonstrated that he is dishonest and irrational and cannot be trusted. And yet, Yahowah had more to share through Chabaquwq. In particular, there are six specific details in this next prophetic statement that implicate Sha'uwl long before he incriminated himself. But one clue, in particular, removes any doubt about who God is warning us because Yahowah identifies His foe by his given name. If you are a Christian, you may want to pay attention to this and be forewarned: Yahowah is addressing a "mortal man" with a "soul," not a place, and as such, God is condemning Sha'uwl, not She'owl.

Moving on, there are six specific details in this next prophetic statement from Yahowah, all of which implicate Sha'uwl six hundred and sixty-six years before he incriminated himself. But one clue in particular removes any doubt about whom God is warning us because Yahowah identifies His foe by his personal and proper name. If you are a Christian, you may want to pay attention to this and be forewarned: Yahowah is addressing a "mortal man" with a "soul," not a place, and as such, God is condemning Sha'uwl, not She'owl.

"However, on the other hand, this is frustrating (wa 'aph – but by contrast and as a tremendous disappointment), because (ky - for the reason that) the intoxicating and inebriating spirit (yayn - the consequence of the fermented alcohol in the wine and resulting intemperance and drunkenness) of the mortal man (geber – the individual human being) of deceptive infidelity and treacherous betrayal (bagad - who is untrustworthy, unprincipled, and unreliable, of traitorous abandonment without justification through chicanery, trickery, and deceit, and who alters his outward appearance, pretending to be other than what he is by wearing covert clothing) is an overbearing moral failure of unwarranted self-importance (yahyr - is an arrogant, meritless, and presumptive, high-minded and conceited individual aggrandizing himself).

Therefore (wa), he will not achieve a desirable result, come home, or find peace (lo' nawah – he will not succeed, achieve his aim, or reach his goal, nor will he enjoy the company of the shepherd and sheep, nor ever be beautifully adorned so as to abide and dwell forevermore (qal imperfect)), whoever is open to the broad and popular path ('asher rachab – when one is receptive to the wide open and expansive, public and common, contrived, opportunistic, and improper, way) associated

with (ka – according to) Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl – Question that which is Catastrophically Devastating the personal name of the individual in question, but also the name of the place of separation, the realm of the dead, the dominion of questioning: She'owl [she'owl and sha'uwl are written identically in the Hebrew text (consider Strong's H7585 and H7586)]; from sha'al – to question and sha'own – hysterical and boisterous uproar which is catastrophically devastating).

He and his soul (*nepesh huw' wa huw'* – his essential essence, his consciousness, character, attitude, inner nature, and personality) **are like** (*ka* – can be compared to) **the Plague of Death** (*ha maweth* – the pandemic disease that kills a large population of people, a debilitating pestilence).

And so (wa), those who are drawn in by him, accepting him ('asaph 'el huw' – those who are taken in by him and join him, those who are removed and withdrawn by assembling with him, victimized by him) will never be satisfied (lo' saba' – he will not find contentment nor fulfillment [based upon 8HevXII among the Dead Sea Scrolls ('he will not be satisfied' versus 'and will not be satisfied')]).

Every ethnicity and, thus, all of the gentiles (kol ha gowym – every race and place) he will claim as his own (qabats 'el huw' – he will designate, grasp hold of, obtain, assemble, and collect for himself), with followers from every nation (kol ha 'am – with people from everywhere)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:5)

The oldest extant copy of this text from the caves above Qumran states that "he cannot be satisfied." This not only reveals that Sha'uwl, like all chronically insecure megalomaniacs, was never fulfilled or satisfied, and those who believe him will never find contentment. There is

never enough adulation or prestige, never enough power or devotees.

And speaking of the Dead Sea Scrolls, most of Chabaquwq is extant, including the specific reference to Sha'uwl. His identification cannot, therefore, be dismissed to subsequent scribal exuberance.

No doubt, this had to be frustrating for God. The religious gibberish of a mortal man – an awful one at that – would be venerated as "Scripture," even when overtly besmirching His own testimony. Perhaps Satan was right – humans were too stupid to be worth the effort.

How is it that the unprincipled and unreliable are so intoxicating? Why do so many find deception alluring and betrayal captivating? Is there a reason that the overbearing and unprincipled prevail in national elections?

Is it any wonder that we humans continue to make the same mistakes and never seem to achieve anything worthy and enduring? Should we be surprised that so few Jews know the way home? Is it a surprise that the world remains engulfed in so many useless wars?

And specifically, with *Sha'uwl* | Paul called out by name and described as the Plague of Death, are we to assume that the 2.5 billion Christians are stupid? Does anyone listen to God?

Religion generally and Christianity specifically is *rachab* | popular and thus common, broad in its appeal and open in its interpretations. It is contrived and opportunistic, adversely affecting more people than governments and their militaries.

One of the most arrogant things Sha'uwl would claim is every ethnicity – every Gentile for himself. And over time, they were drawn to him, accepting him over Yahowah. And so, of the billions of souls that Paul took away from God, not one was satisfied. There are no

Christians in Heaven. Turns out, Paul lied. And as it turns out, there is no correlation between popularity and validity.

Should a Christian be allowed to peek through a window into heaven, they would find it unfulfilling. There would be no worship services, no prayers, no praise, no Bible studies, no crosses or churches, no believers or trinity, no pastors or priests, no Lord, Jesus Christ, or Holy Ghost, no Queen of Heaven or Mother of God, no baptism or communion, no Christmas or Easter – and a lot more Jews than Gentiles. They would see Yahowah, Moseh, and Dowd, the Towrah, Beryth, and Miqra'ey, and hear Hebrew – individuals and concepts unfamiliar to them.

Proving Yahowah's point, in 1 Corinthians 11:20-21, *Sha'uwl* | Paul tells those who have joined his assembly not to participate in Passover, resulting in the ultimate plague of death. It is a treacherous betrayal of Yahowah's instructions regarding the narrow path He and His Son provided to salvation.

While the Sermon on the Mount was falsely attributed to "Jesus," should it have been conveyed, it would have been Dowd who warned his people about the Wolf in Sheep's Clothing who would seek to invalidate the Towrah. He would label Paul's approach "'asher rachab—the broad and popular way" to death. Turns out, he was quoting from Chabaquwq 2:5.

Sha'uwl promises the gift of life, but his religion, the most popular ever conceived, is the plague of death. Sha'uwl promises heavenly rewards to those who place their faith in his Gospel of Grace, and yet those intoxicated by this myth will never be satisfied. They will remain estranged from God because, unlike Yahowah's assurances in the Towrah, Sha'uwl's hollow promises will go unfulfilled. And that means that the people Sha'uwl claimed as his own, the Gentiles – individuals from many different races and places – will suffer the consequence of

his New Testament.

Even if Sha'uwl had not been condemned by name, with the specter of the Gentiles being raised twice, it is hard to miss the Pauline fixation on the "ethnos – races" throughout his letters. Pauline Doctrine has influenced more people in more places in this world than any other corruption of Yahowah's testimony. And the means to this madness is consistent with Yahowah's prophecy, in that Paul egotistically and irrationally claimed that God had authorized him to alter the requirements upon which Yahowah had already taken His stand regarding eternal life.

The spirit Sha'uwl promoted as the alternative to the flesh, and thus to circumcision, the Covenant, and the Towrah, was "yayn – intoxicating and inebriating." It also infers that the "gerber – mortal man" we know as "Paul" may have been a drunkard. His inarticulate and discombobulated musings, his foolhardy and grandiose attitude, his loss of short- and long-term memory, and his inability to control his outbursts and emotions, all suggest bouts of alcoholism.

This is the second time Yahowah has used *bagad* in conjunction with *Sha'uwl* | Paul. We can, therefore, be assured that the Devil's Advocate was an "unprincipled, untrustworthy, and unreliable" individual engaged in "treacherous betrayal." These are not attributes that one would normally select for a spiritual advisor.

While we have already derived this assessment from the tone of Paul's letters, Yahowah verified that Sha'uwl was "yahyr – overbearing and conceited," and that his self-appraisal was "unwarranted." Rather than being an "Apostle," the self-proclaimed messenger of God was "yahyr – self-aggrandizing."

As a result of *Sha'uwl's* | Paul's erroneous assessment of himself and deceptive claims, those foolish enough to

believe him will "*lo nawah* – never enter God's home, nor will they ever be satisfied." There is no "eternal life" for Christians.

There are many wonderful names presented in the Towrah and Prophets. These include: 'Adam | Man, Chawah | Speaks Openly, Noach | Trustworthy Guide, 'Abraham | Merciful Father, Yitschaq | Laughter, Moseh | Draws Out, Dowd | Beloved, Shamuw'el | Listen to God, Yasha'yah | Yahowah Saves, Zakaryah | Remember Yah, 'ElYah | Yah is God, and even Chabaquwq | Embrace This, but Ha Maweth | the Plague of Death isn't among the most coveted of them. The pandemic Paul spread, known as Christianity, is unlike the Coronavirus. It is 100% fatal. And that is a "lo' saba' – unsatisfying" result.

When the root words that comprise a name reveal that we should *Sha'uwl* | Question that which is Catastrophically Devastating, one would think that it would raise more eyebrows than hearts, encouraging more doubts than donations. And when that name is also equivalent to Hell, especially when the individual in question claimed to be demon-possessed, one would have expected more rejection than acceptance. Then, when this is coupled with the most scathing denunciation of any person found anywhere in the prophets, it is hard to imagine that the resulting religion would appeal to so many.

The concluding statement of Chabaquwq 2:5 is there to explain the spread of the virus. Christianity infected Gentiles who, like zombies, attacked Jews, devouring them as if their lives depended upon it.

Yet in spite of the realization that Sha'uwl means "Question Him," nary a Christian considers the irresolvable conflicts between Paul's letters and God's Word. So, while the following continues to identify the culprit, most Christians remain oblivious to Yahowah's

prophecy regarding them or him...

"Why don't any of them ask these questions about him (ha lo' 'eleh kol hem 'al huw' — why are so few of them against him)? Terse references to the Word they lift up as taunts to ridicule (mashal nasa'—simplistic and contrived equivalencies, often easy to remember aphorisms (clichés, dictates, and adages) become bywords with implied associations with that which is well-known to mock and to exercise dominion through comparison and counterfeit are raised), along with (wa) allusive sayings and undecipherable interpretations which misappropriate the intended meaning (malytsah—derisive words wrapped in enigmas arrogantly spoken, even that which is unfathomable).

There are hard and perplexing questions which need to be asked of him (*chydah la huw'* – there are difficult enigmas to be solved, dark and hidden secrets, and double-dealings, to be known regarding him).

And (wa – moreover) they should say ('amar – they should declare), 'Woe (howy – alas, expressing a dire warning) to the one who claims to be great so as to increase his offspring, acting like a rabbi (rabah – to the one who thrives on numbers and who considers himself exceedingly important, constantly exalting himself (the basis of rabbi, something Sha'uwl claimed to be)),' neither of which apply to him (lo' la huw' – which is not his).

In the meantime, for how long ('ad mathay – until when) will they make pledges ('abtyt – will they be in debt) based upon his significance (kabed 'al huw' – pursuant to the weight and burden of his testimony and the grievous honor afforded him)?" (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:6)

The Qumran witness does not include the phrase "'ad mathay – for how long" before the last sentence, turning a

rhetorical question into a simple statement of fact. It then becomes: "They continue to make pledges based upon his significance."

Sha'uwl's modus operandi was to justify his "allusive sayings" through "terse references to the word." His "mocking interpretations" were all "arrogantly spoken." His arguments were "simplistic and contrived," resulting in the most popular "counterfeit" ever foisted against humanity, one bolstered by his always-present "clichés." He even claimed to bear "offspring," experiencing birth pangs to deliver the descendants of his belief system. And as is the case with most deceivers, Paulos made "pledges" and demanded that believers hold them and him in the "highest esteem." He even claimed that he was the co-savior, completing the sacrifice and message.

As expected, the faithful would follow their Pied Piper and imitate his mind-numbing approach to warding off informed and rational criticisms. The religious are professional quote-miners, cherry pickers, and word-salad tossers. Armed with adages, equipped with equivalencies, and clichés contrived for the occasion, believers are prepared to fend off the word of God to protect their faith. When it comes to indecipherable interpretations and misappropriations of the intended meaning, no one is more allusive or derisive than Christian apologists.

Doing Paul proud, Twistians are an enigma wrapped in a riddle. Channeling his inner 'ElYah, your guide slashing his way through the jungle of religious rhetoric would have you know that the religious are incapable of questioning their faith. They could no more hold Paul accountable for lying to them than they could solve the mystery of the thorn in his side.

Woe to the one pretending to be a rabbi as well as to the many claiming the title. It is akin to putting a sign on one's head saying "Do Not Trust Me." Beyond God's overt hatred of all religious proponents, and particularly rabbis, actual or pretend, He is unimpressed with numbers and disdains that which is popular. Given the choice between going on a voyage of discovery with a million Jews or just His Son, Dowd, God's preference is as obvious as the many are oblivious.

Sha'uwl dismissed and demeaned all those who would dare question him. He claimed that, by challenging him, a person was actually demonstrating their animosity toward God, and that by implication, such a person was serving Satan. Although the opposite is true, most Christians fall for this ad hominem ploy, rejecting evidenced arguments against their religion and its author by claiming that the critic is hell-bent.

Believers routinely commit the logical fallacies of ad hominem, non sequitur, red herring, and straw man. Using the ad hominem fallacy, they readily discard a valid proposition by demeaning the messenger. For example, Muslims were never able to refute anything in *Prophet of Doom* (now *God Damn Religion*), so they critiqued the best documented, most comprehensive, contextual, and chronological presentation of Muhammad's words and deeds by profane attacks on my character. Four thousand pages of evidence were discarded with a flippant: "The author is a bastard, a money-grubbing and satanically inspired Jew."

With the non sequitur approach, the faithful make general statements that are widely accepted, but such statements, regardless of their veracity, do not support their premise. It is this disassociation that makes the argument fallacious. As an example: "Since God's Word is eternal that proves that my Bible is inerrant."

With the red herring fallacy, rather than dealing with the evidence brought against their religion, believers try to distract people's attention from it. For instance, rather than deal with Paul's admission that he was insane, a person deploying this fallacy will say something like: "You can't tell me that I don't have a relationship with Jesus or that Christ isn't my savior."

And finally, as the straw man fallacy implies, rather than attempt to refute the case that has been presented, the apologist will errantly convey their opponent's argument and then attack the fabricated construct. Someone deploying this fallacy would "disprove" the creation account by criticizing religious interpretations of it rather than addressing the actual Hebrew text Yahowah inspired.

The reason religious belief systems like Christianity are averse to evidence and reason, and the questions these tools raise are because those who seek the truth lose their faith. Neither facts nor logic matter in matters of religion. The believer's pledge, even in a vacuum, is considered sufficient and binding.

Before we press on, here is a summary of where we have just been. Of Sha'uwl / Paul, Yahowah revealed...

"Upon ('al) My requirements and responsibilities (mishmereth 'any), I have decided of My own initiative that I will literally and continually stand ('amad'). And (wa) I will choose to always remain firm and present, continually being ready and able to serve (yatsab), upon ('al) that which strengthens, protects, and fortifies (matsowr).

Therefore (wa), I will be on the lookout (tsapah) in order to see (la ra'ah) what he will say about Me (mah dabar ba 'any). So then (wa) how can I be expected to change My attitude, thinking, or response (mah shuwb) concerning ('al) My disapproving rebuke and desire to correct the record (towkechath 'any)? (Chabaquwq 2:1)

Then (wa) Yahowah (Yahowah) answered me ('anah 'any). He said (wa 'amar), 'Of your own volition,

write down (kathab) this revelation (chazown).

Then (wa) you can choose to expound upon it using those letters (ba'ar) upon ('al) writing tablets (ha luwach) so that (la ma'an), by summoning, welcoming, and reading this (qara' by huw'), he will run, quickly departing and chased away (ruwts).' (Chabaquwq 2:2)

Still indeed, the subsequent realization (ky 'owd) of this revelation from God (chazown) is for ha Mow'ed | the Eternal Witness to the Appointed Meetings (la ha Mow'ed). It provides a witness to and speaks, pouring out evidence to censure and malign (puwach) at the very end (la ha qets).

Even though it may seem slow to develop, the extended period of time required for this question to be resolved ('im mahah) will not invalidate it (lo' kazab). Expect him in this regard (chakah la huw') because indeed (ky), he will absolutely come and pursue this (bow' bow'). He will not be delayed nor will he remain (lo' 'achar). (Chabaquwq 2:3)

Pay attention (hineh), he will be audacious, presumptuous, and oblivious, puffed up with false pride, a foolhardy pain in the ass riddled with venereal disease and hemorrhoidal abscesses for being reckless ('aphal).

His soul, his way of looking at the world and responding to it (nepesh huw'), is not right or straightforward, as it is inappropriate and counterproductive (lo' yashar) in him (ba huw').

And therefore (wa), those who are correct and vindicated (tsadyq), through trusting and relying upon Him (ba 'emuwnah huw'), will live (chayah). (Chabaquwq 2:4)

However, on the other hand, this is frustrating (wa 'aph), because (ky) the intoxicating and inebriating

spirit (yayn) of the mortal man (geber) of deceptive infidelity and treacherous betrayal (bagad) is an overbearing moral failure of unwarranted self-importance (yahyr).

Therefore (wa), he will not achieve a desirable result, come home, or find peace $(lo'\ nawah)$, whoever is open to the broad and popular path $('asher\ rachab)$ associated with $(ka)\ Sha'uwl\ |\ Questioning$ the Hysterical and Boisterous Uproar which is Catastrophically Devastating (Sha'uwl).

He and his soul (nepesh huw' wa huw') are like (ka) the Plague of Death (ha maweth). And so (wa), those who are drawn in by him, accepting him ('asaph 'el huw') will never be satisfied (lo' saba').

Every ethnicity of the gentiles from every race and place (kol ha gowym) he will claim as his own and for himself (qabats 'el huw'), with followers from every nation (kol ha 'am). (Chabaquwq 2:5)

Why don't any of them ask these questions about him (ha lo' 'eleh kol hem 'al huw')? Terse references to the Word they lift up as taunts to ridicule (mashal nasa'), along with (wa) allusive sayings and undecipherable interpretations which misappropriate the intended meaning (malytsah). There are hard and perplexing questions which need to be asked of him (chydah la huw').

And (wa) they should say ('amar), 'Woe (howy) to the one who claims to be great so as to increase his offspring, acting like a rabbi (rabah),' neither of which apply to him (lo' la huw'). In the meantime, for how long ('ad mathay) will they make pledges ('abtyt) based upon his significance (kabed 'al huw')?" (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:6)

The world would have been so very different, so much

better, particularly for Jews, if only they had listened to what Yahowah revealed about the man who would ruin their lives. But it's not too late. Some may listen now.

ያለሕ ተ

This next statement is associated with the previous prediction. It is rendered from the Dead Sea Scrolls because the Qumran text differs considerably from the Masoretic Text:

"Why not immediately, right now, even if only for a short period of time (ha lo' peta' – why not at this moment and spontaneously, even unexpectedly), rise up and take a stand (quwm – accomplish something worthwhile and enduring) because (wa) he is loading himself down (ta'an – he burdens himself) with ('eth) dark and wicked ('aphelah – thick and obscuring) mud (tyt – dirt and dust to be swept away [from 8HevXII because the Masoretic Text has 'abtyt 'atah – 'your heavy debt' and the LXX Septuagint reads 'loads his yoke'])?

Your oppressors (nashak 'atah — those controlling you financially and who are collecting money from you) will be alerted (wa yaqats — they will wake up and be aroused) such that they will be afraid of you (zuwa' 'atah — with you causing them to be concerned and react).

Otherwise (wa) you will be (hayah) victimized by them (la mashisah la hem – spoiled and robbed by them)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:7)

What are God's people afraid of? Why not join with those of us who are part of Yahowah's Covenant Family and take a stand against Paul and Christianity (and Judaism and Islam while we are at it)? The religion has buried itself and is stuck in the mud, so what is their recourse? When you are with Yah, what does it matter who is against you?

(Psalm 118:6 reinforces this for us.)

The truth is a powerful weapon. Nothing is more effective than words wisely wielded.

We are fast approaching a time of economic collapse, of the empowered seeking to control everyone and everything through debt — which is what fiat currencies represent. Having destroyed their value with mounting national debt and gross overspending, they will even forgive personal debt to compel the unwitting to accept their new electronic currency during the great reset. So, God is hoping that there will be a counter to their contrivance — someone who isn't afraid to speak against them. And if not, if the voices are mooted and few, then the worst of men will victimize the many, spoiling and robbing them.

I'm often asked what we should do to prepare for global economic collapse. My answer is always the same. Listen to Yahowah. Trust Him. Accept the conditions of the Covenant and attend the Miqra'ey. Even better, after doing so, stand up for Yahowah and join us in calling His people home.

Yahowah likes courageous and compassionate souls who are willing to stand up against all forms of corruption: political, religious, military, conspiratorial, and economic. We are to confront lies and liars.

God is saying that Sha'uwl has covered himself and others in muck. Methinks Yah was poking fun at the Plague's murky and messy prose. But clearly, God does not want us to remain in the mud with him, which is why He is asking us to get off our knees and stand with Him against the religious provocateur. Yahowah wants us to stand up against all forms of corruption: political, religious, military, conspiratorial, and economic. We are to confront lies and liars.

Paul routinely solicits money from believers. It is one of many reasons Christian clerics embrace him. Following his example, Christian institutions have made merchandise of men. Therefore, Yah is trying to rouse his victims before it is too late.

"Then (ky), you ('atah) will be plundered, impoverished, and preyed upon (shalal - you will be looted and wronged, seized and victimized) by an enormous number of <math>(rab - a great many in the fashion of the rabbis) Gentiles <math>(gowym - people from different races and places).

And (*wa* – therefore (from the DSS)) **they will seize**, harass, and disparage you (shalal 'atah – they will impoverish and victimize you), entirely confiscating the wealth of the remaining (yether – taking everything of value from the remnant of the surviving) **people** ('am - ofthose who are related by kinship), as a result of (min from and because of) the bloodlust (dam) of humankind ('adam – of mankind), with (wa) the violent and cruel **destructive forces terrorizing** (*chamas* – the immoral maiming and murdering which oppress) the Land ('erets - the Promised Land, singular, and thus Yisra'el), Yah's city (qiryah – to Encounter Yah, Yaruwshalaim – the source of teaching regarding reconciliation, also singular; from qarah – to encounter and meet Yah – an abbreviation of Yahowah), and all (wa kol) who dwell in her (yashab ba hy' - who inhabit and live in Yaruwshalaim). (Chabaguwa 2:8)

This is a warning (howy – woe) to one who covets ill-gotten gain and would do anything to take advantage, promoting that which is dishonest while performing (batsa' batsa' – to one who is manipulative and divisive, an unjust profiteer, greedy and deadly) evil, advancing that which is undesirable and counterproductive in cahoots with his unprincipled colleagues (ra' – that which is harmful and immoral,

maligning and malignant, improper and injurious) **on behalf of his clan and his covenant** (*la beyth huw'* – concerning the establishment of his familial order), **to position** (*la sym* – to arrange and set) **his construct** (*qen huw'* – of his dwelling place, nest, and chamber, this abode of his jealousy) **in the heights of heaven** (*ba ha marowm* – elevating and associating the exalted position above everything else), **snatching it away from** (*la natsal min* – to take it by plundering) **the reach** (*kaph* – the hands, palms, grasp, and control) **of the unfortunate adversarial brethren** (*ra'* – of the afflicted residing in close proximity)." (*Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:9)

This is exactly what occurred, not only as a result of the two particularly vicious Roman invasions but also speaks of the prolonged assault of the world's largest organization — the Roman Catholic Church — impoverishing and victimizing Jews for a score of centuries. Muslim atrocities were also barbarous and prolonged, and they were followed by inhumane treatment perpetrated by Nazis and Communists. Estranged from the Almighty, during this interminably long time out for being religious, Jews would be harassed, disparaged, and victimized by *gowym* of every ilk. And it has never been worse than it is right now, especially with Western nations accepting religious refugees from the nations they have ruined who are now making a career out of disparaging Jews.

Israel has been and will be confiscated. Jewish possessions will be taken as many have their freedoms deprived. And yet, battered and bruised, a remnant will survive – and they read these words. You will overcome the worst *hamas* | terror the religious can muster. Then, if you continue to learn and respond, you will be prepared to meet your Maker, to be welcomed home, to be greeted by Yahowah, and to receive the ultimate reward.

Yahowah is affirming that *Sha'uwl* | Paul will be held

accountable for the abuse he has perpetrated against his victims. The Gentiles who have elevated him to the patron saint of their religion will turn on him in the end – especially when they come to realize he impoverished them by leading them away from God. This may be nothing more than Christians disavowing their New Testament upon the realization that Paul plundered them of their souls. Or perhaps, Yahowah will spare the souls of Sha'uwl's victims long enough to allow them to witness his trial. Either way, it will be a long way down.

The warning which follows was directed at *Sha'uwl* | Paul, but it was not for him, nor even for his partner in crime. God would not speak to him on this day or any day – that is until He demands recompense and then sends his sorry soul to She'owl.

Therefore, this *howy* is for Yahuwdym. Do not allow yourself to be another of his casualties. The carnage of Christianity has been egregious but give the Devil his due. He sought to be seen above the Most High, to be worshiped as if he were God. He would say his covenant was heavenly, positioning it to snatch the one and only *Beryth* away from the Chosen People, with his *Gowym* replacing Yahuwdym and Satan rising above Yahowah.

That was the plan, but God will not allow it, nor will I – nor should you. It is time we condemn the Devil and take his apostle and religion down with him. It is time for Yahuwdym to live up to their calling – to be as Yahowah intended.

Paul mercilessly attacks "Jews" throughout his letters, making them the enemy of his new religion, thereby, creating the anti-Semitism that ultimately took root in the Christian church. Paul, a Roman citizen, seeded the hatred of God's Chosen People that boiled over seventy years later with the destruction of Yisra'el and Yaruwshalaim by the Empire's legions. It happened just as Yahowah

predicted it would. Six hundred and eighty-four years from the time this prophecy was committed to writing, Yaruwshalaim was besieged and the House of Yahowah was destroyed. Sixty-three years later, after a second false Messiah was promoted to counter the previous one, all of Yahuwdah was ransacked and those not murdered by Rome were hauled off into slavery.

But the bloodbath is not over. October 7th, 2023 was a bitter taste of what is to come. There will be another, more massive assault against the Promised Land and Yah's city, Yaruwshalaim. And while it will be perpetrated by Muslims, the Roman Catholic Church, along with Orthodox, Conspiratorial, and Progressive Christians, will run cover for them, justifying their murderous rage to decimate Jews in the waning hours of the Time of Ya'aqob's Troubles. There will be "hamas – violent cruelty and terrorism." Further, the Towrahless One orchestrating the maelstrom of carnage, as the corporeal manifestation of Satan, will be indistinguishable from Sha'uwl / Paul. It is yet another reason that Yahowah inspired Chabaquwq to warn Jews about him.

For those who may not be aware, Pope Francis is a communist. And according to the 2018 Public Religion Research Institute's findings, Christian Progressives outnumber Conservatives by two to one, with the gap growing rapidly due to the relentless indoctrination of youth through Progressive education/indoctrination. Further, while the belligerents at this point are almost exclusively Muslims, without extensive and persistent Progressive political legitimization and even promotion in the United States and Europe, the 1% of Muslims in the US, 5% in Europe, 6% in the UK and Germany, and 9% in France would have no political influence or have the "right" to inspire genocide by calling for the complete annihilation of Jews and confiscation of Israel. The rapists, abductors, mutilators, and mass murderers will be

Muslims, but secular and Christian Progressives will be their co-conspirators and enablers. Those harboring this morally paralyzing brain virus are now guilty of supporting everything they claim to hate as a result of false narratives too asinine to be believed. This is one of the most deplorable episodes in human history. Savage terrorism, monstrous abductions, macabre mass murder, relentless genocide, and cruel mutilations, along the degradation. delegitimization. dehumanizing. and demonization of Jews are actually being celebrated among Progressives as they pave the way for human demise.

We have read how Paul pretended to be whatever was deemed expeditious to gain an advantage over others. Such is the implication of "batsa' batsa' – taking advantage in the process of soliciting ill-gotten gain." As a result, Sha'uwl, and those in league with him, will be "cut off" which, according to Yahowah, means to be severed from God's people. In death, he will still have his own familial edifice, but its considerable wealth won't do him much good in She'owl. I don't suspect a billion dollars will buy a cold beverage or pack of smokes.

One of the problems of instituting a cult following, and inspiring them to plunder others on one's behalf, is that, in the end, the bribed help is never reputable. Swords swing both ways and thieves are prone to steal from the most convenient source. Sha'uwl's feeble attempts to keep the grubby paws of his "ra' – unfortunate and adversarial brethren" at bay will ultimately fail.

Oblivious to their rendezvous with destiny, the Roman Catholic Church, which was founded on Pauline Doctrine, not only constructs gold-laden cathedrals and has storehouses filled with tens of billions of dollars of stolen plunder, they have positioned themselves as having sole possession of the keys to Paul's heaven. It is interesting, however, that recently they have had to return billions of dollars to the families of children their priests have

molested, priests following the Pauline mandate not to marry – bankrupting dioceses.

Yahowah's next line is a succinct, unambiguous, and damning summation of Sha'uwl and Satan leaguing together to conceive Christianity. It is what we read in Galatians, Corinthians, and Romans. And as such, there is no reason to speculate on the fate of his soul or of those who have joined him.

"You have deliberately decided upon and conspired at the advice of another to devise and promote an ignominious plot to confuse by substituting (ya'ats bosheth – you (masculine singular), consultation, have come to an informed conclusion through deliberation to conceive and perpetrate a lowly plan with the intended purpose to confound while displaying an adversarial attitude to replace; bosheth – shameful, lowly, and confusing is from buwsh – to shamefully confound and disappoint) those who approach your house (la beyth 'atah - those who are associated with your clan and covenant) by scraping away, cutting off, and destroying (qatsah – severely injuring, maiming, decreasing, and devastating, ending the existence of) the preponderance of the family along with many other people ('am rab the rabbis and their followers, most of the nation of related kin). And so (wa), vou have forfeited (chata' – you bear the loss by impugning guilt through missing the way, surrendering) **your soul** (nepesh 'atah – your (masculine singular) consciousness)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:10)

Paul deliberately, and with malice, perpetrated the most debilitating crime in human history. He fraudulently misled billions of Gentiles, telling them that, as part of his church, they had replaced Jews as the chosen people. As a result, they were encouraged, through the ignominious plot of Replacement Foolology to rob and harass the people of the 'old' covenant. With the presumed "greedy hands of

the Jews" scraped away from any connection with his new and improved variation of god, he would inspire the eradication of Jewishness. This hideous plot, however, required slandering the 'old' God by making him appear unethical and vindictive. But no matter, because confused by the plot Sha'uwl contrived in partnership with Satan, even the sins of persecuting, enslaving, robbing, and murdering Yahuwdym were forgiven. The Graces, now dancing to the Pied Piper of Christianity, would be the most accommodating goddesses. 'Hail Mary, full of grace, the Lord is with you!' And all of those in the UN said, "Amen."

Paul's "beyth — clan and covenant" was an invalid replacement for Yahowah's eternal Beryth | Family-Oriented Covenant Relationship with Yisra'el. Sha'uwl's beyth | household would become known as the "New Covenant" as proclaimed in his "New Testament." But it would be nothing but a pile of lies, a means to substitute Paul for Moseh, Jesus for Dowd, the Lord for Yahowah, and Christians for Yisra'el, replacing the Towrah and Prophets with the Gospel of Saint Sha'uwl. And in the process, Twistians would substitute life for death and Shamaym for She'owl. It was a Faustian bargain with a couple of disingenuous devils.

This provides yet another answer to the question I am often asked: did Paul deliberately perpetrate this fraud or was he misled? It also affirms the now obvious connection between Sha'uwl and Satan, the very spirit he acknowledged had possessed and goaded him.

Recognizing that "beyth – family and home" serves as the basis for the "beryth – family-oriented covenant relationship," with this second reference to "home, family, and household," God is inferring that Sha'uwl's "new covenant" is a shameful plot designed to confuse the unwary, leading them away from His Covenant And remember, Paul referred to himself as the mother of the faithful, and thus of his new covenant family. He even

wrote about life in the household he had conceived.

To be cut off from Yahowah's Covenant, the Covenant Sha'uwl condemned in Galatians, is to die with one's soul ceasing to exist. Therefore, while the soul of the perpetrator of this crime will be lost forever in She'owl, the souls of his victims are reduced to nothing, their lives squandered as a result of Sha'uwl's shameful scheme.

Since God has a lot more to say about Sha'uwl, let's linger here a while longer and see what Yah revealed regarding His perceptions of this man and his message. And what we find in the next verse is another reference to "the Rock," which is to Dowd as the Cornerstone of the Covenant Family and Home.

"Indeed (ky – truly as a result), the building stone ('eben – the building block of the home, the cornerstone, and the tablet of stone; from banah – to build and restore the family name and home for the children) from (min) the wall supporting the house (qyr – the protective divide for the family) will cry out, issuing a proclamation (za'aq – will project a summons for an assembly meeting as a call to arms (qal imperfect)). And (wa) the infrastructure of connecting rafters and beams (kaphys – the integrated girders comprising the finished structure of a home) of (min) timber ('ets – the carpenter's work with the wooden planks of the tree) will answer and respond to this ('anah hy' – will choose to make a public declaration, providing a contextual reply to it (qal imperfect energic nun jussive))." (Chabaquwq 2:11)

Blood is of the flesh. A minuscule amount is shed during circumcision but gushed out in great abundance when Paulos' Romans, and then Roman Catholics, sought to annihilate those who were different. And make no mistake, it was Paul's violent and condemning tone, his anti-Semitism and his Replacement Theology which incited these villains.

"Woe to (howy - a strong warning to) the one who establishes (banah - the one who builds and constructs) a population of anguishing individuals and a shrine to agitation and incitement ('iyr - a haunt for asses), and a temple complex in a city; 'uwr - to provoke, inflame, goad, and terrorize, stirring up trouble) in blood $(ba \ dam - \text{ through death})$; from damam - to destroy by making deaf and dumb).

He fashions and forms (wa kuwn – he proposes, prepares, promotes, establishes, and supports (polel perfect)) a populated institution for meeting and preaching (qiryah – a place to assemble for sermons; from qarah and qary'ah – to assemble, encounter, and meet to listen to the message, a building and its furnishings as part of an institution where people congregate for preaching) based upon that which is perverted and incorrect, invalid and unjust (ba 'awlah – in wickedness with evil intent, inappropriately damaging and corrupting through deviant means)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:12)

Working together, Father and Son built something special for us, a Covenant Home here on Earth and access to the Spiritual one in Heaven. So, its stones and timbers are crying out in response to the one tearing all of this down, denouncing this pathetic attempt to replace restoration and reconciliation with agitation and incitement.

The resulting institutionalized religion became as popular as it remains disingenuous – a place to meet and preach a perverted reverie in opposition to God. Ironically, that which believers are told is the inerrant word of their god is actually 'awlash | incorrect, invalid, and inappropriate. Sha'uwl's | Paul's edifice, which has become known as the "Church," would deviate massively from Yahowah's intent.

It wasn't until reading Paul's speeches, and then considering his influence on the inflammatory content of Mark, Luke, and Acts, that I came to appreciate how inciting and agitating his approach was toward Jews. Christianity's anti-Yahowah, anti-Yahuwdym, anti-Towrah, anti-Beryth, and anti-Mow'edym composition is jarring and appalling.

This known, in most English Bibles, you will find both 'iyr and qiryah rendered as "city" as if they were translating a repetition of the same word. But considering Yahowah's prowess for effective communication, when we find different words being deployed to convey unique ideas, it is in our interest to examine the etymology to distinguish between them.

In that 'iyr is from 'uwr, we discover that it addresses the problem of religion, "blinding believers such that they are unable to perceive the failures of their faith even when clearly exposed." This root reveals how Christians have been "incited to perpetrate terrorist acts" upon Jews, "anguishing them."

Also, in that *qiryah* is a derivative of *qarah* and related to *qary'ah*, in this word's history, we "encounter the foundation and furnishings of a popular institution where many people congregate to listen to preaching." These are loaded terms with Pauline implications.

Here, banah is deployed to warn readers against participating in Sha'uwl's "banah – construct," whereby he "established" his covenant family on faith. But his construct was anything but benign. From the perspective of the millions of Jews who were robbed and raped, maligned and murdered by the institutions Paul conceived, his was an "'iyr – an anguishing place where terror was incited." A river of "dam – blood" would flow out of Sha'uwl's caustic epistles.

The institutions conceived by the Devil's Advocate

were the epitome of "'awlah – all that is wickedly invalid, perversely incapacitating, and universally unrighteous."

There is a much better choice...

"Why not pay attention (ha lo' hineh – why not look up and consider this) to that which is from (min 'eth – by means of approaching and in accompaniment with)

Yahowah (אַראָלא) – YaHoWaH as instructed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence) of the spiritual implements (tsaba' – of the vast array of heavenly envoys who serve as effective messengers)?

But instead (wa), the people ('am – the family) expend their energy and grow weary (yaga' – they toil and labor, growing tired and becoming exhausted (qal imperfect)) amidst a profuse conglomeration (ba day – amongst a great excess and abundance) of worthless trifling ('esh – of that which is combustible and consuming, yet trivial and has no value).

Those united under an antiquated system (wa la'om – a community congregating under common leadership), exhaust and destroy themselves (ya'eph – are worn out, fatigued and weakened) into excessive emptiness and extravagant delusions (ba day ryq – into endless fantasies and an overabundance of vain misrepresentations)." (Chabaquwq 2:13)

I appreciate Chabaquwq. After witnessing Sha'uwl's blasphemy and resulting carnage, he interrupts the flow of his nauseating presentation of human malfeasance with a simple question: "Why not pay attention to that which comes from Yahowah?" It's better than Paul, Matthew, Mark, and Luke, in addition to any conglomeration of rabbis, by a factor of the entire universe to a speck of sand – and that's being generous.

Here, 'am can mean "people, family, or nation," although it typically speaks of Yisra'el. Therefore, due to

the rules Paul has imposed on Christians, and those rabbis have sanctioned against Jews in their Talmud, the people toil for nothing.

La'om addresses "large populations which gather or congregate together under an antiquated system following a religious or political leader." It is often used in conjunction with Rome. This addresses the origins of Pauline Christianity, where Babylonian myths were interwoven alongside misappropriated citations from the Towrah and Prophets to create the illusion that its claims were credible. But no matter how fervently one believes in fantasies, it is all for naught.

As an interesting aside, 'esh, the word translated as "worthless trifling," also speaks of that which is "trivial yet combustible." This addresses religious drudgery, in particular the long list of laborious rules that have been established by rabbis to burden Jews.

And once again, there is a better, more satisfying and fulfilling choice...

"Indeed (ky – this is reliable and true), She will edify and completely satisfy (male' – She will impart an abundance of that which is healthy, valuable, empowering, and satisfying, filling (niphal imperfect)) the Land (ha 'erets – the realm, region, and Earth) with the knowledge (la yada' – with the revelation and appreciation, the familiarity and awareness (qal infinitive)) of Yahowah's (Yahowah – written as directed by His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence) significance, glorious presence, and abundant value ('eth kabowd – splendor, honor, power, status, and reward) – similar to the way water (ka ha maym) is a cover (kasah) upon the sea ('al yam)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:14)

Water is inseparable from the sea. They are one and the same. This is how our relationship with Yahowah ought to be. Our knowledge of Him should transform our lives so that we reflect His nature, approach, attitude, and light.

They have always been my favorite words – *Yada' Yahowah* – and nothing has changed after twenty-three years of radio programs and writing under this title. I want everyone who is interested to *Yada' Yahowah* | Know and Understand Yahowah. So does Chabaquwq.

"She" refers to the maternal manifestation of God's nature, the *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set-Apart Spirit. Our Spiritual Mother makes us appear perfect before God by adorning us in Her Garment of Light. She not only helped Dowd fulfill the Miqra'ey, She also enriches and empowers the Covenant's children, enlightening us with an abundance of valuable information. She equips us to better know Yah and She makes it possible for us to enter His presence.

When we consider what has preceded this statement, it is hard to miss the fact that Paul's spirit weakens and destroys while Yah's Spirit enlightens and edifies. Paul's spirit poisons while Yah's Spirit heals. And that is because Yahowah's promises are all fulfilled by His Spirit while Sha'uwl's promises are all in vain, as worthless as the spirit which possessed him.

"Erets – land" and "kasah – to cover" are initially brought together in the story of the flood, where Yahowah washes away the vicious scum of religion and politics so as to give humankind the opportunity to get to know Him, to approach Him, and to be with Him – to la yada' 'eth Yahowah. Moreover, the "kasah – covering" in the sense of the Garment of Light adorning the Covenant's children, "maym – waters" representing the source of life and cleaning, and "kabowd – the manifestation of power and glorious presence" of Yahowah are all references to the Set-Apart Spirit of God.

Also, by condemning the destructive mythology of Sha'uwl in verse 13, to the completely satisfying presence of our Spiritual Mother in verse 14, we find Yahowah doing what I have attempted to do throughout *Twistianity*: comparing the empty myths of man to the glorious and satisfying nature of God.

We considered this next statement way back in Volume One of *Twistianity*. It not only warns us about Sha'uwl's profuse venom and his perverted sexuality, it addresses Paulos' "little and lowly reputation" in addition to his animosity toward circumcision. So, from Sha'uwl and Questioning Him to Paulos and his lowly and little moniker, from poisonous toxins to an unacceptable approach to the sign of the Covenant, this is an indicting summation of this man's tragic legacy.

This is our third woe, and woes are never good. This time, however, Paul's sexual deviance is particularly appalling. The Plague of Death condemned homosexuality but was himself a homosexual. And while a person's sexual orientation is irrelevant, even to God (both contrived condemnations of homosexuality are mistranslated), Paul was intoxicating and drugging his victims.

The sexual orientation of the Devil's Advocate became apparent when, after railing against circumcision in Yaruwshalaim, on the way out of town (in Acts 16:1-3), Paul personally circumcised Timothy – his life partner and lover. It was a stunning admission considering his incessant rants against this condition of the Covenant and, thus, insanely hypocritical. And that is likely why Yahowah affirmed what I had perceived, not to out Paul for being a homosexual but, instead, to reveal the fact that the father of Christianity was a sexual predator, pervert, drunkard, and manipulative phony.

In the midst of Yahowah's scathing reprimand of *Sha'uwl* | Paul, this gets very personal for Chabaquwq. He goes from condemning the Plague of Death in third person, "he," to second person, "you," as if judging Sha'uwl directly.

"Woe to (howy – this is a warning to) the one who pours out evil, causing his miserable companions and disagreeable fellow citizens to drink that which is toxic (shaqah ra' huw' – the one who supplies an intoxicating brew to his malignant coconspirators (hifil participle – in an explicit and demonstrable manner he causes his victims to consume that which intoxicates and hinders them)), gathering and joining them together in a religious and political association (saphach – apportioning a share while exposing them (piel participle – the object suffers the effect in dramatic fashion)) of your debilitating antagonism, paralyzing perversions, and crippling venom (chemah 'atah – your injurious rage and deadly rancor, your serpentine toxin while being all worked up emotionally with your life in turmoil).

And much more than this (wa 'aph — if your resentment and animosity were not enough), providing intoxicants to the point of incapacitation (shakar — inebriating to influence, weakening the mind to impair judgment) for the express purpose of (la ma'an — for no other reason than) gazing upon while demonstrating a preference for (nabat 'al — looking at while considering the desire for (hifil infinitive — to continually influence them such that they share his highly demonstrative and ongoing affinity for)) their genitals (ma'aowr hem — their male genitalia, the private parts of a man's or boy's body, specifically being naked and exposed, embarrassed for sexual activity; from mah — to question and 'uwr — being exposed, bared, and made to be naked)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:15)

Anyone who deliberately intoxicates others, incapacitating them to have sex with them, is scum. And while such despicable behavior is individually criminal, the problem with Sha'uwl / Paul is infinitely more egregious. He not only convinced billions to denounce and disregard the *Towrah* | Guidance of Yahowah, he turned Gentiles

against Jews, fanning the flames of anti-Semitism.

The Christian New Testament, not unlike the Babylonian Talmud, is toxic, poisoning the mind and crippling the soul of those who place their faith in it. The message being poured out is inebriating, as disagreeable and corrupt as those who promote it.

This is one of the many places where the full implications of ra' matter. It isn't just that the concoction $Sha'uwl \mid Paul$ is dishing out is toxic, but so is his miserable and malignant assemblage of coconspirators and companions.

The problem with religion, when perverted purveyors of poison like Paul falsely claim to speak for God, is that words the sane would normally challenge and reject become stupefying and paralyzing. Religion is a neurotoxin that renders its victims incapable of reason. Paul's rancorous rage has crippled much of the world. It is truly amazing that someone so obviously wrong, clearly psychotic, egotistical, and hypocritical, could fool so many for so long, with mega churches and soaring cathedrals serving as the tombstones of the resulting pandemic.

As stated previously, God is opposed to abusing the vulnerable sexually and to that which is destructive to the family unit. So, He speaks out against incest, rape, pedophilia, prostitution, and bestiality. He also addresses a rather disgusting tendency of warriors during those days, whereupon the defeated army was sodomized by the victors. This is the act mistaken as an admonition against homosexuality.

I'm certain that Yahowah designed the human anatomy for men and women to enjoy being intimate and to make the process of conceiving children pleasurable. Therefore, even though He does not condemn homosexuality between consenting adults, it is in conflict with the original design and associated Covenant

symbolism.

I share this because there is no getting around the fact that this prophecy is condemning *Sha'uwl* | Paul and that he is being depicted as a homosexual. So based on all we have learned, the reasons are fivefold. First, Paul admitted to being a sexual deviant in Romans 7, so by predicting this of him, the prophecy becomes more specific and credible.

Second, Paul is manipulating the situation, taking advantage of the young men he is ravishing. By intoxicating them, he does not have their consent. And this makes Paul a rapist. We should not be so foolish that we accept the testimony of a sexual predator.

Third, Sha'uwl condemned homosexuality as surely as he condemned the Towrah. The realization that he was what he denounced makes him a hypocrite – and they are never to be trusted.

Fourth, the fulcrum of Paul's argument against Yahowah's Towrah and His Beryth was circumcision because it was something his Greek and Roman audience naturally opposed. So, he referred to Yahowah's Covenant as being of the flesh, which to fellow Gnostics meant that it was flawed and inferior. Therefore, by conveying Sha'uwl's preoccupation with penises, God is not only equipping us to recognize Sha'uwl when we see him but, also, preparing us to combat his arguments against the sign of the Covenant.

And fifth, since the Beryth is the reason we were created and central to the message of the Towrah, by corrupting the model Yahowah intended, Sha'uwl is leading the faithful away from God. Men and women were designed to become fathers and mothers to conceive and raise children within a loving and protected home in order to provide a tangible metaphor for life within the Beryth. Paul's replacement covenant is, thereby, being shown as something only the intoxicated, those lacking good

judgment, would pursue.

The realization that God called *Sha'uwl* | Paul a homosexual, a drunkard and drug dealer, and a sexual pervert and predator will likely make Christians apoplectic. And while four of these five behaviors are decidedly bad, it's Paul's duplicitous and manipulative hypocrisy, his lack of character and morals, and his inability to show empathy for others that are the bigger issues.

In this way, Paul reminds us of Muhammad, another self-admitted victim of demon possession, who said one thing and did another. Muhammad was a pedophile and rapist, a sexual pervert and bisexual. They are the two least qualified and most inappropriate individuals to be considered spokesmen for God. Their perverted and immoral messages were as twisted and corrupted as the men who issued them and claimed them to be Divine.

Anyone who deliberately intoxicates others, incapacitating them to have sex with them, is scum. And while such despicable behavior is individually criminal, the problem with *Sha'uwl* | Paul is infinitely more egregious. He not only convinced billions to denounce and disregard the *Towrah* | Guidance of Yahowah, but he also turned Gentiles against Jews, fanning the flames of anti-Semitism.

Calling *Sha'uwl* | Paul the deadliest and most damning, deceitful, and despicable man who ever lived is appropriate since God holds the same view...

"You will get your fill of (saba' – you will be met with an abundance of (qal perfect second-person masculine singular)) shame and infamy, insults befitting such a lowly individual (qalown – dishonor and disgrace, scorn and contempt, along with a humbling, degraded and discredited reputation; from qalah – being discredited and disavowed), instead of (min) honor and glory (kabowd – the manifestation of the power and presence of God which rewards and empowers).

You choose to be inebriated in addition to intoxicating others (shathah gam 'atah — you have decided to consume and experience large quantities of alcohol yourself and to become a drunkard while also inebriating others (qal imperative — of your own freewill, actually desiring intoxication)).

What's more (wa), you want them to be unacceptable, even to expose themselves, because of your disdain for circumcision ('arel – you choose to see them naked, assuring that they are uncircumcised and thus unacceptable and unredeemable (nifal imperative – the subject of the verb both carries out and suffers from the action based upon his choices and desires)), encompassing them from all angles with circular reasoning (sabab – going round about in circles, on and on, ad infinitum, with this alternative direction (qal imperfect – literally with ongoing implications)).

Upon you is ('al 'atah – before you is) the binding cup (kows) of Yahowah's (Yahowah – a transliteration of ችንኒት), our 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence) right hand (yamyn – serving as a metaphor for judgment and as a reference to yamyny – being a Benyamite | Benjamite).

Therefore (wa), public humiliation ignominious reputation result of as a being dishonorable and disgraceful (qyqalown - insults befitting a lowly and little individual of degraded status who is sleazy, disreputable, and contemptible; from galown - being scorned and humbled with a discredited reputation) will be vour reward ('al kabowd 'atah – the manifestation of your reputation and attribution of your status (second-person masculine singular suffix – thus addressing a solitary man))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:16)

Whiney Christian con artists and moneygrubbers, like

Jimmy Swaggart who tossed the first edition of *Questioning Paul* aside, claiming as he did, that this book should be rejected because it besmirches Paul's reputation, would be wise to consider God's assessment first. It is only fair. But then again, Jimmy may be too busy with prostitutes to pay attention long enough to appreciate its relevance.

Paul sought notoriety and earned infamy. He sought praise but has been denounced as appalling. He promoted salvation through grace and has been condemned. Anyone care to follow him?

Pauline Doctrine is an intoxicating poison, venom from the vilest of serpents. But more indictable still, Sha'uwl, who never knew the love of a woman, provocatively expressed his love for a young man, Timothy. And even though Paul detested circumcision and spoke hatefully about the sign of the Covenant, he personally circumcised his love interest (Acts 16:1).

However, God is saying that Sha'uwl's poisonous and inebriating attack against the decision to be circumcised will come full circle and engulf him in shame. The man who claimed to be God's exclusive apostle to the Gentiles has become the man of infamy: "Paulos – Little and Lowly."

I dare say, in the whole of Yahowah's prophetic testimony, no prediction is as dire as this one. But that is because no one ever did what Paul has done. Such a rebuke was not required of anyone else.

Yahowah has provided His evaluation of Paul and His assessment of his followers. In this light, the only way to view the predominant author and inspiration behind the Christian New Testament and resulting religion favorably would be to ignore God and estrange ourselves from Him. The debate is over. The choice is black and white. If we are to be true to this prophetic warning, we should question

everything Paul says and writes. And we should hold him accountable. It may be too little, but it is never too late.

When it comes to our standing with Yahowah, especially when working with Him, what matters is what He says about us, not what someone says about themselves. And when claiming to speak for God and to be chosen to represent Him, one's assessment had better match Yahowah's pronouncements or there will be hell to pay.

Dowd is the ultimate contrast. There are copious prophetic references to his fulfillment of the Miqra'ey, particularly Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, and yet, while honoring those commitments, he said nothing and let his actions speak for themselves. Yahowah bestowed laudable titles and accolades upon Dowd, including Dowd, Ben, Mashyach, Melek, Zarowa', Bakowr, Bachar, Ra'ah, Qodesh, Tsemach, Tsadaq, and Naby', while condemning *Sha'uwl* | Paul, calling him the Plague of Death, Son of Evil, and Father of Lies. So why is the self-proclaimed Apostle the one who is bragging?

Sha'uwl | Paul not only claimed, without any prophetic support, to be the lone authorized spokesperson for God throughout the world, but he also had the audacity to contradict Yahowah and discredit His Son while doing so. It isn't just that he lied about everything, including himself, it is that his deceptions negated the benefits of Dowd's sacrifice for countless billions.

If Sha'uwl's / Paul's mission were even one percent as important as he claimed, there would have been a score or more prophecies announcing and applauding it, so that when it happened, Yisra'elites would be receptive. However, there was nothing but overwhelming condemnation. And that is why Sha'uwl / Paul had to misappropriate and misrepresent prophecies like this one to bolster his credentials.

There are perhaps a hundred prophecies announcing

this mission. And yet, *Yada Yahowah* is nothing more than accurately conveying what the prophets have said about Yahowah, Dowd, Yahuwdym, and Yisra'el, the Towrah, Miqra'ey, and Beryth, to encourage reconciliation prior to the fulfillment of Yowm Kipurym in year 6000 Yah. And while I was more comfortable being anonymous, it would have been as inappropriate and disrespectful not to acknowledge what God foretold as it was for Sha'uwl / Paul to claim for himself what was never stated.

There is also the issue of the Towrah's test for authorization. And while it is for prophets, and thus condemns Sha'uwl / Paul, even as a Basar, Qowl, Nakar, Mal'ak, Choter, 'Edah, and little zarowa', the same expectations apply. To be considered valid, what we say must be consistent with what Yahowah has previously professed through His naby'. What I have written is; what Sha'uwl / Paul wrote was not. It is the difference between life and death.

In this regard, it is interesting to note that over the past 23 years, I have discovered and shared hundreds of profound insights derived from the prophets that were unheralded. And not only were these conclusions overwhelmingly accurate, but when I discovered a mistake, I not only admitted it, but devoted the time to correct any misrepresentation throughout every volume – as I am doing now with the recognition that Dowd was more than just the Messiah and Son of God, but also the one who has and will continue to fulfill the Mow'edym. When caught in a lie, *Sha'uwl* | Paul doubled down and lied as a retort.

Continuing to provide some encouragement in the midst of this overwhelming condemnation of Sha'uwl and his demonic associate, Yahowah reaffirms His promise...

"Indeed and by contrast (ky - this) is reassuring because), He has chosen to constantly keep you covered and continually protected (kasah 'atah - He) will always

provide a covering by which He adorns you, clothing and forgiving you (piel imperfect jussive energic nun – it is God's will to clothe and enthusiastically protect)) from this grievous injustice and blatant wrongdoing in opposition to (chamas – this unrighteous and unrestrained campaign of error and of towrahlessness in destructive conflict with) that which purifies (labanown – that which cleanses and whitens, becoming morally pure and white as snow, typically transliterated Lebanon, but from laban – purifying, cleansing, and whitening" and 'own – being substantially empowered, growing vigorously, while becoming enormously enriched).

And as for (wa) the destructive and demonic influence of the Devil who is seeking to be worshiped as God (shed / shod - Satan's devastating and ruinous, plundering and oppressive), He will shatter and separate (chathath hem - He will astound them, causing them to wane as they experience something truly dreadful) the **beasts** (bahemah) **because of** (min – as a result of) **the** blood (dam - death) of humankind ('adam), and also (wa) this grievous injustice against and blatant **wrongdoing in opposition to** (*chamas* – this unrighteous and unrestrained campaign of terror in destructive conflict with) the Land ('erets – realm, region, or earth), Yah's city (qiryah – to encounter, meet and be present with Yah), and all (wa kol) of her inhabitants (ba yashab – who have settled there to be restored, to be established, and to live (qal participle))." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:17)

Some four to five decades ago when I was a Christian, I recall speculating on what Paul meant when he spoke of the "thorn in my side." As a consequence of my faith, I was oblivious to the fact that Paul answered the question – announcing in the same paragraph that he was demonpossessed. And now, God, Himself, has foretold that the most hideously destructive man in human history

perpetrated his great crime against humanity in association with a demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God.

While Sha'uwl and Satan have had their run, and their way with humankind, their dominion is about to implode. Babylon and the Beast will soon be shattered. Their power will wane, and they will be separated into She'owl. And when that happens, when the unrighteous campaign against the Towrah is snuffed out, those who remain under God's constant protection will stand tall, not unlike the once towering cedars of Lebanon.

Those beside Yahowah upon His return will have four things in common: 1) We will have come to know and love Yahowah. 2) We will have accepted the conditions of the Covenant. 3) We will have answered Yahowah's Invitations to be Called Out and Meet. 4) And we will have arrived at this place and time because we devoted the energy to observing His Towrah and Prophets. And every celebrant will appreciate what Dowd has done for us.

Yahowah's insights are breathtaking. God correctly assessed the essence of Paul's Replacement Foolology. Sha'uwl did not only replace the Towrah with "but I say," Yisra'el with Gowym, and Dowd with Iesou Christus, he replaced Yahowah with himself. The reason God is misrepresented and misquoted is that Paul speaks for his god. And to succeed with this illusion, Sha'uwl had to create a mythological savior by modeling his Iesou Christo after Dionysus and then robbing Dowd for credibility.

The rewards are priceless, but they do not come without a significant investment. This verse is a classic example. *Hamas* could have been superficially defined as "violence against" instead of "this grievous injustice and blatant wrongdoing in opposition to." The former, however, requires us to ignore the fact that nouns are defined by their verbal forms. And here the verb *chamas* / *hamas* communicates: "injustice and wrongdoing in

opposition" to God and His Towrah. To be *chamas* is "to oppress and to be unrighteous, to be an unethical and false witness against the standard."

Labanown could have been transliterated as "Lebanon" instead of being defined by its component parts. And as we now know, *laban* means: "purifying, cleansing, and whitening." And 'own speaks of "being substantially empowered and growing vigorously, all while becoming substantially enriched." Therefore, the translation of *labanown* as "that which purifies, empowers, and enriches" is more relevant and edifying than a simple transliteration.

Kasah could have been flippantly rendered as "He conceals and hides you." But, instead, "He will constantly keep you covered and continually protected" enables us to incorporate the implications of the piel stem and imperfect conjugation. And it is considerably more consistent with how kasah is deployed throughout the Towrah and Prophets.

Shed was written as a construct noun, which means that it is forever bound to "bahemah – the beasts" in this sentence. That means that the "beasts" possess the attributes associated with shed. These could have been inadequately translated as "the havoc-making destructive nature of' instead of "the demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God." But by choosing the former, we would have to ignore the fact that, prior to the Masoretic diacritical marks, the Hebrew word written Shin Dalet was equally comfortable being rendered as "demon and devil" or "destructive." And since there is no valid justification for selecting "demonic" over "destructive," it is incumbent upon us to search Yahowah's word for other uses of *shed*. As I have mentioned previously, both times it appears in the Towrah and Psalms it depicts Satan using religion to elicit worship. Therefore, when trying to communicate the whole truth, the only responsible and sensible approach is to include both definitions, prioritizing the one that God has previously defined.

Bahemah, rendered as "beasts," draws our attention to Gabry'el's | God's Most Capable and Courageous Man's prophecy in Daniel. Therein, the Beast evolved from Babylon to Persia to Greece, and then to Rome where it became the Roman Catholic Church. And that final transition has Sha'uwl's fingerprints all over it.

Also, *chathath* could have been translated as "He will frighten and dismay them" instead of "He will shatter and separate them." However, since the primary definition of the word provides a perfect foreshadowing of what we are told will be the ultimate fate of Satan, in addition to his religious, political, economic and militaristic system known as the Whore of Babylon, why not render the word accordingly?

In every case, I took the time to consider every aspect of each word, consistently examining the roots. And as a result, the renderings I selected are every bit as justifiable, if not substantially preferable, to those typically found in popular Bible translations. The only difference is that I was careful and strove to methodically evaluate each term under an etymological microscope while striving to provide a rendering that was not only as correct and complete as possible but also the most fitting within the context of this discussion.

As we turn to the conclusion of Chabaquwq 2:17, keep in mind that during the all-Islamic war, Satan's little helpers, motivated by their religion, will annihilate millions of Jews and even more Christians in their final War Against All Mankind. They will raise havoc in the Land, ravaging Jerusalem, killing two-thirds of the remaining Yisra'elite population. Before they are shattered and separated, there will be lots of blood shed at the behest of the Adversary.

In this case, either of our two renderings of qiryah

apply because the "*'erets* – land" is Yisra'el and the "*qiryah* – city" is Yah's City, Yaruwshalaim. It is the place where we "encounter and meet with Yah."

Yahowah's next insight is breathtaking. God correctly assessed the essence of Paul's ploy of Replacement Foolology. Sha'uwl did not only replace God with himself, the Towrah with "but I say," Yisra'el with Gowym, he replaced the Messiah and Son of God, our Savior and King.

The reason Yahowah was misrepresented and misquoted, and that Gospel Jesus was never cited saying anything, is that Paul spoke for his god. The revisionist gods, themselves, are silent because Paul is the only one allowed to communicate for them. And to succeed with this illusion, Sha'uwl had to create a caricature of Dowd, further modeling his Iesou Christo after Dionysus.

"How does he succeed with a caricature (mah ya'al pesel — what is the benefit of worshiping his false representation of God, in what way is his religious deity worthwhile, and how can one profit with a created image (hifil perfect))?

Indeed (ky), he has fabricated his false god (pasal huw' – he has constructed the object of worship), devising, preparing, and promoting him (yatsar huw' – fashioning and forming him, creating and ordaining him (qal perfect)) by concealing the association with the representation of the pagan god (masekah – by forming an alliance which covers over and veils the connotation with the false god, hiding and covering up the true identity of the idolatrous image (qal perfect)) and by becoming a source of lies, making it all untrue, a vain and deceitful sham (wa yarah sheqer – as well as by tossing out deceptive instructions and misleading directions with mistaken and useless guidance for no reason or benefit, teaching falsehoods (hifil participle)).

Thereby (ky), he adds credence to and encourages

reliance upon (batach – he makes credible and believable, even preferable that which causes believers to stumble and the unsuspecting to fall as a result of their penchant and fondness for trusting and depending upon) **the one who created the construct of himself** (yatsar yetser 'al huw' – the one who was motivated to devise, plan, prepare, and form such idolatrous thoughts and inclinations based upon himself and his desires by fashioning himself into someone to be venerated and worshiped (qal participle)).

For he, himself, performs to make ('al huw' 'asah — he personally acts and engages to fabricate and profit from) worthless gods who do not speak ('elyl 'ilem — references to imagined and ineffectual religious deities to worship who are silent, speechless, mute, and dumb)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:18)

There is another discrepancy here between the Dead Sea Scrolls and the Masoretic Text worth noting. Rather than saying "fashioning him by offering a veiled form of a pagan god" and "by teaching lies," the Qumran scrolls read: "by making a deceptive appearance," which is an "invalid manifestation or representation." Either way, Paul's god, the Christian god, is not only a fake, he was conceived by Paul. And as inflammatory as that may sound, it's not my opinion but, instead, God's assessment.

For anyone protesting that this could not be the case since the "Gospels" precede Paul's letters in the Bible, that is an argument conceived in ignorance. As I keep reminding everyone, all 14 of Paul's letters were written and distributed long before any of the "Gospels" were written. And even then, Paul admits to using Mark in this way. Luke was Paul's propagandist and personal healer, and he compiled Acts. Further, Matthew was plagiarized two generations thereafter by parroting the myths contained in Mark and Luke while misrepresenting himself as a "Disciple." And that would leave only "John," which was compiled in another nation three generations after the

fulfillment of Passover and contains very little biographical information. And while its authorship is also highly questionable, the Gospel underwent heavy-handed editing by the Roman Catholic Church, beginning around 300 to 425 CE, such that the text was crafted to suit their lust for power, wealth, and control.

Since nothing written by the fabricated caricature Iesou exists, Paul is left alone as the author and inspiration behind the Christian New Testament. He is to blame for the anti-Semitic cult that would haunt God's people and the world.

Considering all Yahowah has foretold to denounce and damn Sha'uwl and to protect His people from Christians in *Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:18, this may be the most jarring part of the prophecy. It means that Iesou Christou | Jesus Christ was a false god – a deity conceived and promoted by Sha'uwl / Paul. The story of Jesus is that of a created caricature and counterfeit, fabricated and devised by a man promoting a deceitful sham.

Sha'uwl made up his entire story, including the encounter on the road to Damascus, going to Arabia to be trained, being appointed an Apostle by God, speaking to and for "Jesus," and being granted authority over everyone. It was all a lie: salvation by faith, the gospel of grace, the resurrection, the notion that Jews conspired to kill God, replacement theology, and the resulting new testament. It was all fabricated by the Plague of Death.

That is a stunning indictment because it serves as God's affidavit against the earliest and foremost author and inspiration behind the Christian religion. This is a statement of fact, a stark reality, so the only question is how people are going to process what Yahowah has just revealed to rebuke Sha'uwl / Paul?

Recognizing the religious do not know or respect Yahowah or His prophetic testimony, most will ignore God's pronouncement. Then they hear of this, they will slander me as if by using an ad hominem response, attacking me will make them right. So, shoot the messenger if you dare try, and retreat to your favorite con artist and his false god if that is your preference, but know that you have been warned that Christianity was a deliberate hoax. Jesus never existed and he most certainly was not God. There was no Christ. God did not die. Paul was not an Apostle. And the Christian New Testament is invalid – and, worse, Satanic.

There are a number of additional insights in this statement, all of which point to Sha'uwl. First, God is asking us to "mah – question" what Sha'uwl is proposing, knowing that if we are informed and rational, we will reject the man whose name, Sha'uwl, means to question.

Second, Sha'uwl created a "pesel – caricature" that was inconsistent with reality. Paul's "Iesou" was not only a human "pasal – construct," he was contrived to "masekah – conceal the association with a pagan god," in this case, the beloved god of the Greeks, Dionysus. Therefore, his "Christou" was "yatsar – devised" to "masekah – misrepresent the true identity" of the Pauline construct. He would even "masekah – cover up the connection with his own ambitions and persona, hiding the fact" that Iesou Christo "represents" Sha'uwl, right down to his character and purpose.

Third, each time the Pauline "caricature" is presented, we find the third-person masculine singular suffix. His false god is, therefore, devised in the image of a man. And there is only one of "him" in the condemning prophecy.

Fourth, since "yarah – the source of teaching, instruction, direction and guidance" is the verb upon which the title, *Towrah*, is based, we find Sha'uwl promoting his own variation of God's Instruction, one which is "sheqer – deceptive, misleading, mistaken, and useless." It's the

combo platter from hell: a false god with a false teacher.

Fifth, the full implications of *batach* are especially Pauline. It reveals that Sha'uwl's deceptive guidance regarding the caricature he devised "*batach* – would cause the unsuspecting and naïve to stumble and fall." They would be beguiled into "*batach* – believing that they could trust and rely upon" the self-proclaimed apostle of the god who said he could not lie. Paul actually usurped the infused credibility he gave his false god to convince believers that he was credible.

And this leads us to the combination of *yatsar yetser* following *batach*, which is translated as "**the one who created the construct of himself.**" Further amplified, *yatsar yetser 'al huw'* conveys "the one who was motivated to devise, plan, prepare, and form idolatrous thoughts and inclinations based upon himself and his desires by fashioning himself into someone to be venerated and worshiped." That is really bad.

God's statement is saying that Sha'uwl's false characterization was created to make Sha'uwl appear laudable, worthy of veneration and worship, not Yahowah. His phony construct was devised because Sha'uwl wanted readers to believe him, not Dowd.

The way this is worded, Yahowah is accusing *Sha'uwl* | Paul of creating a god in his image such that the false god and false messenger would be esteemed and deified. And what makes this especially revolting is that there is very little distinction between Sha'uwl and Satan.

This then draws us back to Paul's admission that Satan possessed him to control his ego. The Adversary wanted to be the Christian god and have Sha'uwl serve as his apostle. However, Sha'uwl thought that since he was doing all of the work, making the speeches, writing the letters, battling God, making a fool of himself, and suffering the barrage of beatings, their roles reversed. Turns out, the Devil and his

Advocate didn't play nicely together because they both wanted top billing. This charade is played out in Ezekiel, too, with the false prophet modeling the Adversary. It is the same situation that we find in the Quran, with Muhammad being indistinguishable from Allah – two psychopaths in the same sandbox.

In this regard, 'elyl 'ilem correctly depicts the outcome of this lightweight skirmish for sandbox supremacy. Turns out, they were both incompetent, unable to accomplish anything worthwhile or even author even marginally relevant testimony. There isn't a single relevant and unique thought accurately conveyed by either of them. And that makes Sha'uwl and Satan inferior to the gods of pagan mythology because they were popularized through their rhetoric and celestial dramas. Therefore, one or both of two options is possible: Paul, knowing that his caricatures of the Lord, the Messiah, the Apostle weren't real, pulled their strings and spoke for them in the fashion of a ventriloquist with a dummy or there was no distinction between these three characters.

Yahowah spoke to us through men like Moseh, Dowd, and Yasha'yah so that we might know that He does not appreciate it when con men like Paul lead His people away from Him. It is important that we understand that He does not want to be worshiped. He never asks anyone to pray to Him, preferring that we listen instead. Paul not only wanted to be venerated, he told believers to pray without ceasing.

Playing along with Paul, for those who like the idea of a dumb god, why not also venerate a dead god on a stick? See if you can impress Yahowah by truly believing and fervently worshiping gods men have made. There is no reason to be rational when you can place your faith in a religion that draws its credibility from that which it contradicts and demeans. It's popular, so it must be true.

Or not...

"Woe to the one who says (howy 'amar – this is a warning to anyone who states) while approaching **something wooden** (*la ha 'ets* – approaching the timber, wooden planks, or tree, serving as a reference to the Christian cross), 'I want you to act alive and choose to do something (quwts - I do not want you to be an inanimate object, so choose to become alive again instead of dead, going from inactive to active (hifil imperative paragogic cohortative second-person masculine - the speaker wants the wood to be animated, anthropomorphic, choosing to act alive, doing enthusiastically and demonstrably)),' and to a dumb, **silent, and lifeless rock** (*la 'eben duwmam* – an inanimate stone idol or unresponsive stone building), 'Wake up, be alert, and rise up ('uwr – awake and gloat).' Can either guide or teach (huw' varah - can they instruct or educate)?

Look (hineh – notice), it (huw') has been seized and overlaid (taphas – has been grasped hold of and held as an object signifying victory, dealt with and manipulated such that it wields considerable influence when adorned and covered (qal passive – having this actually done to it)) with gold (zahab) and silver, becoming extremely desirable (wa keseph – gilded in silver so as to be yearned for), but completely devoid of (wa kol 'ayn) the Spirit (ruwach) in its midst (ba qereb huw' – associated with it so as to animate its existence)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:19)

Sha'uwl | Paul repeatedly stated that there was the power of salvation in the cross – a blood-stained piece of wood that the Beast of Rome devised and deployed to torture those who sought to be free. He would even claim that Jews schemed to place his man-god on it because they wanted to kill the myth he was fabricating.

In this regard, *quwts* means "to be awakened from the dead." And it is being addressed to the grotesque

implement of human savagery, fear, and repression which not only became the symbol of Paul's religion but also its principal object of worship.

And yet, there is nothing more offensive to Yahowah than to see billions of people bowing to the image of a tortured and dead god nailed to a stick. It is little wonder that God has disengaged and no longer listens to or cares about what men and women are doing or saying.

Also, since quwts was scribed using the hifil stem, imperative mood, and paragogic form, Yahowah revealed that Sha'uwl would "show his desire to control this wooden construct, commanding it into action, demanding that this symbol perform based upon his inclinations." And that is saying a lot, recognizing that the cross Christians venerate was conceived and used to sadistically and ghoulishly inflict the maximum amount of torment during a public demonstration of Roman supremacy. From every possible the Christian cross is unGodly perspective, reprehensible. It serves as proof that the religion is devoid of morality, human decency, and common sense. The power of the cross was to control through the fear of torture. Those who are fooled by Paul into venerating it are idiots.

The addition of 'uwr indicates that Sha'uwl was predicted to go a step further regarding the implied power of this grotesque, idolatrous image to impart bodily resurrection. He is wielding it "uwr – to provide false testimony which obscures vision, precluding further observation." 'Uwr reveals the angst Paul aroused between the cross and Israel, between the imaginary Iesou and Jews, by "choosing to be angry over this malicious misfortune." It implies that, rather than celebrate and benefit from the Messiah Dowd's Passover sacrifice, Paul would have "Jesus" become hateful toward Jews for having allegedly put him through the ordeal of crucifixion, killing him.

In addition, 'uwr addresses Paul's "desire to obscure" his alleged "bodily resurrection in the flesh and with skin, blinding the observant so that they are unjustly deprived of an accurate recollection or understanding of what transpired."

This excoriating exposé continues with the desire to "duwmam – silence" the "'eben huw' yarah – the Rock whose will is to teach and instruct." The testimony regarding the life of Dowd, representing the Cornerstone, is to be muzzled.

Sha'uwl | Paul was the first to seize upon and wield an object too ghoulish for a séance, reposition the bloody torture devise as if a reprehensible inanimate object were something valuable and desirable – even powerful. A beastly object designed to kill was afforded life-saving properties by this moron. Passover was swept aside, vanishing as the Doorway to Life and of Heaven. The symbol of Paul's Faith – the religion of Christianity – would be that of a Dead God on a Stick – tormented, incapacitated, silent, and dead. Can you even begin to process just how asinine and macabre the lone symbol of the world's most popular religion actually is or understand why God is so offended by it?

If there was ever a time to see *Dowd* | David, Yahowah's Lyricist, Prophet, Shepherd, Son, Messiah, and King as the Cornerstone of the Covenant of which Chabaquwq is addressing, it is now. He was the lone eyewitness to testify about what he endured on Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym in year 4000 Yah (33 CE). He described what transpired in the process of opening the Covenant to us by perfecting us in his 22nd and 88th *Mizmowr* | Psalms. And then Yahowah spoke of him, vociferously extolling His Son's virtues in the glorious 89th Psalm.

And so for Sha'uwl / Paul to prevail in advancing his

demonic diatribe, he would have to silence *Dowd* | David and his Father, Yahowah, along with Moseh, Yasha'yah, Yirmayah, and all of the other voices of reason.

But we will not let that occur. This volume of *Twistianity* will convey Dowd's role in our salvation and the contribution he made to exposing and condemning Sha'uwl and his religion. God would slay more than just an uncircumcised giant with the stone Dowd wielded.

And speaking of Dowd, for his variation of Replacement Foolology to gain traction, the man being replaced by the myth of Iesou Christou would have to be dead and buried. That way, everything he accomplished and represented, and everything predicted of him, could be transferred to a caricature. Only one problem – with three exemplary lives, Dowd is more vital than all Christians combined.

The single most important citation in the Christian New Testament, indeed, the one upon which the entire myth was built, appears but once, and even then it was contrived and falsely attributed to their Iesou Christos by Eusebius and the Roman Catholic Church two centuries thereafter. It is the claim that, in conjunction with equating Peter to Satan, the keys to heaven were given to the Rock because he believed that Iesou was the Christos and Son of God. And so now we find Yahowah mocking this absurd notion.

We have come a long way in a short time. Yahowah has had a lot to say about Paul and Christianity – all bad – so let's review it before we move on.

"Why not immediately, right now, even if only for a short period of time (ha lo' peta'), rise up and take a stand, accomplishing something worthwhile (quwm), because (wa) he is loading himself down (ta'an) with ('eth) dark, wicked, and obscuring ('aphelah) mud, dirt to be swept away (tyt)? Your oppressors, those controlling you financially and who are collecting money from you (nashak 'atah) will be alerted (wa yaqats) such that they are concerned and will react to you (zuwa' 'atah). Otherwise (wa) you will be (hayah) persecuted and robbed by them (la mashisah la hem). (Chabaquwq 2:7)

Then (ky), you ('atah) will be plundered, impoverished, and preyed upon (shalal) by an enormous number (rab) of Gentiles (gowym). They will seize, harass, and disparage you (shalal 'atah), confiscating most of the wealth, taking everything of value, from the remaining (yether) people ('am), as a result of (min) the bloodlust (dam) of humankind ('adam), with (wa) the violent and cruel destructive forces terrorizing (chamas) the Land ('erets), Yah's city (qiryah), and all (wa kol) who dwell in her (yashab ba hy'). (Chabaquwq 2:8)

This is a warning (howy) to one who covets illgotten gain and would do anything to take advantage, promoting that which is dishonest while performing (batsa' batsa') evil, advancing that which is undesirable and counterproductive in cahoots with his unprincipled colleagues (ra') on behalf of his clan and his covenant (la beyth huw'), to position (la sym) his construct (qen huw') in the heights of heaven (ba ha marowm), snatching it away from (la natsal min) the reach (kaph) of the unfortunate adversarial brethren (ra'). (Chabaquwq 2:9)

You have deliberately decided upon and conspired at the advice of another to devise and promote an ignominious plot to confuse by substituting, seeking to replace (ya'ats bosheth), those who approach your house (la beyth 'atah) by scraping away, cutting off, and destroying, devastating by ending the existence of (qatsah) the preponderance of the family of related individuals along with many other people ('am rab).

And so (wa), you have forfeited, bearing the loss by misleading, thereby impugning and surrendering (chata') your soul (nepesh 'atah). (Chabaquwq 2:10)

Indeed (ky), the building stone, cornerstone, and stone tablet ('eben) from (min) the wall supporting the house (qyr) will cry out, issuing a proclamation (za'aq). And (wa) the infrastructure of connecting rafters and beams (kaphys) of (min) timber ('ets) will answer and respond to this ('anah hy'). (Chabaquwq 2:11)

Woe to (howy) the one who establishes (banah) a population of provoked individuals and a shrine to agitation and incitement ('iyr) in blood (ba dam).

He fashions and forms (wa kuwn) a populated institution for meeting and preaching (qiryah) based upon that which is perverted and incorrect, invalid and unjust (ba 'awlah). (Chabaquwq 2:12)

Why not pay attention (ha lo' hineh) to that which is from (min 'eth) Yahowah (YaHoWaH) of the spiritual implements (tsaba')?

But instead (wa), the people ('am) expend their energy and grow weary (yaga') amidst a profuse conglomeration $(ba\ day)$ of worthless trifling ('esh).

Those united under an antiquated system (wa la'om), exhaust and destroy themselves (ya'eph) into excessive emptiness and extravagant delusions (ba day ryq). (Chabaquwq 2:13)

Indeed (ky), She will edify and completely satisfy (male') the Land (ha 'erets) with the knowledge (la yada') of Yahowah's (Yahowah) significance, glorious presence, and abundant value ('eth kabowd) – similar to the way water (ka ha maym) is a cover (kasah) upon the sea ('al yam). (Chabaquwq 2:14)

Woe to (howy) the one who pours out evil, causing his miserable companions and disagreeable fellow

citizens to drink that which is toxic (shaqah ra' huw'), gathering and joining them together in a religious and political association (saphach) of your debilitating antagonism, paralyzing perversions, and crippling venom (chemah 'atah).

And much more than this (wa 'aph), providing intoxicants to the point of incapacitation (shakar) for the express purpose of (la ma'an) gazing upon while demonstrating a preference for (nabat 'al) their genitals (ma'aowr hem). (Chabaquwq 2:15)

You will get your fill of (saba') shame and infamy, insults befitting such a lowly individual (qalown), instead of (min) honor and glory (kabowd).

You choose to be inebriated in addition to intoxicating others (shathah gam 'atah). What's more (wa), you want them to be unacceptable, even to expose themselves, because of your disdain for circumcision ('arel), encompassing them from all angles with circular reasoning (sabab).

Upon you is ('al 'atah) the binding cup (kows) of Yahowah's (Yahowah) right hand (yamyn). Therefore (wa), public humiliation and an ignominious reputation as a result of being dishonorable and disgraceful (qyqalown) will be your reward ('al kabowd 'atah). (Chabaquwq 2:16)

Indeed, and by contrast (ky - this) is reassuring because), He has chosen to constantly keep you covered and continually protected $(kasah \ 'atah)$ from this grievous injustice and blatant wrongdoing in opposition to (chamas) that which purifies (labanown).

And as for (wa) the destructive and demonic influence of the Devil, who is seeking to be worshiped as God (shed/shod), He will shatter and separate (chathath hem) the beasts (bahemah) because of (min) the blood

(dam) of humankind ('adam), and also (wa) this grievous injustice against and blatant wrongdoing in opposition to (chamas) the Land ('erets -), Yah's city (qiryah), and all (wa kol) of her inhabitants (ba yashab). (Chabaquwq 2:17)

How does he succeed with a caricature and what is the benefit of worshiping a false god (mah ya'al pesel)? Indeed (ky), he has fabricated his false god (pasal huw'), devising, preparing, and promoting him (yatsar huw') by concealing the association with the representation of the pagan god (masekah) and by becoming a source of lies, making it all untrue, a vain and deceitful sham (wa yarah sheqer).

Thereby (ky), he adds credence to and encourages reliance upon (batach) the one who created the construct of himself (yatsar yetser 'al huw'). For he, himself, performs to make ('al huw' 'asah) worthless gods who do not speak ('elyl 'ilem). (Chabaquwq 2:18)

Woe to the one who says (howy 'amar) while approaching something wooden (la ha 'ets), 'I want you to act alive and choose to do something (quwts),' and to a dumb, silent, and lifeless rock (la 'eben duwmam), 'Wake up, be alert, and rise up ('uwr – awake and gloat).' Can either guide or teach (huw' yarah)? Look (hineh), it (huw') has been seized and overlaid (taphas) with gold (zahab) and silver, becoming extremely valuable and desirable (wa keseph), but completely devoid of (wa kol 'ayn) the Spirit (ruwach) in its midst (ba qereb huw')." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:19)

ተየት ነ

If I may add my two shekels at this juncture. I am

grateful to Yahowah for His commitment to keeping us out of harm's way by keeping us properly informed. With this prophecy, there was never any excuse for Christianity. God negated the credibility of the faith, its creator, his spirit, their rhetoric, and their cross 666 years before the religion was thrust upon the world.

As a rebuke to prayer generally, and to Paul's moronic notion to do so without ceasing, specifically, we find God requesting...

"All the while (wa) Yahowah (१९१६) — YaHoWaH as instructed in His towrah — teaching regarding His hayah — existence) is in His Set-Apart (ba qodesh huw') Residence (heykal — palatial home; from yakol — enabling and prevailing, overcoming and enduring, powerful and everlasting). Be silent and stop speaking (has — hush, hold your tongue, and be quiet) before His appearance (min paneh huw' — before His physical manifestation) throughout the Earth (kol ha 'erets — everywhere within the Land)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:20)

Humankind has done more talking than listening, more contriving than observing. This is the bane of Judaism. So Yahowah is recommending that we defy Paul and his fellow rabbis by being quiet and hearing what God has to say. His return with His Son is near, and we have a lot to learn in a short period of time.

It should be noted that *heykal* affirms that Yahowah is fully capable of delivering on His promises. He is not impotent as Sha'uwl has cast him.

Moreover, the word following "heykal – brilliant, prevailing, enduring, capable, empowering, and enlightening" in almost every Hebrew lexicon and dictionary is Heylel, which is Satan's God-given name. The Adversary's moniker means "Bears Light," confirming that as a spiritual messenger he would appear to glow – just as Paul saw him on the road to Damascus.

And this is how Satan came to be rendered into Latin as "Lucifer – the Light-Bearer."

What follows is a wonderful affirmation of what Yahowah has done for us, of His reliability, and of His willingness to personally and mercifully engage so that we might live. But to understand any of this, we have to stop talking and start listening...

"This is a desire to be set apart, separated (taphilah – this is an earnest petition to be uncommon and thus neither religious nor political; from palah – to be separate and distinct) from erring and going astray, as well as from the ensuing madness ('al shigayown – concerning the consequence of ignorance and intoxication, of the foolish being led away into madness and insanity; from shagah – to go astray, to err, to mislead and to inebriate by way of ignorance, leading to insanity, and own – that which pertains to the preceding), through (la – concerning) Chabaquwq | Embrace This (Chabaquwq – grasp hold of this), the prophet (ha naby' – the one who speaks for God regarding the past, present, or future). (Chabaquwq 3:1)

'Yahowah (*YaHoWaH* – an accurate presentation of the name of *'elowah* – God as guided by His *towrah* – instructions regarding His *hayah* – existence), **I have listened to the entirety of** (*shama'* – I have literally heard during this period of time (qal perfect)) **Your announced message** (*shema' 'atah* – the testimony You have reported to be recited and thus heard).

I respect and revere (yare'-I am in awe and inspired by) Your work (po'al 'atah – what You have done and the energy You have expended to accomplish so much), Yahowah (Yahowah – a transliteration of \(\frac{4}{7} \)\(\frac{4}{5} \)\(\rightarrow\), our 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence), throughout the years (ba qereb shanahym), choosing to renew and restore life (chayah huw' – with the desire to nurture and preserve life,

causing life to flourish and grow (piel imperative)) in the midst of those years (ba gereb shanahym).

Love, mercy, and compassion (*racham* – affection, the desire for an endearing relationship, and genuine concern and devotion (qal imperfect)) **You make known** (*yada'* – You reveal and acknowledge (hifil imperfect)) **so that it is remembered** (*zakar* – it is recalled, recognized, and invoked (qal imperfect)) **in turmoil** (*ba rogez* – in time of hardship and trouble, of anxiety and agitation (qal imperfect)). (*Chabaquwq* / Embrace This / Habakkuk 3:2)

Prophets are people, unique only in their special relationship with Yahowah. Knowing God, they have the utmost respect for Him. Therefore, they are exceptionally perturbed when the God they love is disrespected. They want the perpetrators held accountable and their victims to know the truth. And that is precisely what is occurring here with Chabaquwq petitioning Yahowah for justice.

What Chabaquwq had witnessed was not only the worst thing he had ever seen, it was the lowest point in human history. He was exasperated and wanted Yahowah to intervene. Who could blame him?

He had listened to all Yahowah had to say and then to Paul, and he was flabbergasted that so many were choosing to die at the hands of this charlatan. His choice was to live, to have his life restored, and it is what he wanted for us as well. This was, after all, Yahowah's will for our lives.

God has grown weary of reprimanding and chastising His people for being so absurdly religious. He wanted to love them and be merciful toward them, but they were thwarting His intent. So, all that was left was to hope that, in the midst of the Time of Ya'aqob's Troubles, life would get sufficiently challenging such that a remnant of His people would turn to Him – remembering the God of their fathers.

From beginning to end, Yahowah has been merciful, doing what was needed to restore and renew our lives. Yahowah worked six days to create the universe and conceive life and then He celebrated the result on the seventh. These six plus one days represent the sixthousand-year history of mankind from the Garden and back to it, followed by a one-thousand-year celebration of Sukah. In year 2000 Yah (1968 BCE), God initiated the Covenant with 'Abraham. And in year 4000 Yah (33 CE), Father and Son accomplished their greatest work, fulfilling and enabling the first three *Miqra'ey: Pesach, Matsah*, and *Bikuwrym*. Noting that His work began in 0 Yah and concludes in 6000 Yah, 2000 Yah and 4000 Yah are the middle years.

One of the reasons the Covenant's children exhibit such supreme confidence is that we know well in advance of entering troubled waters that Yahowah will place the wind at our back and help us chart a course to safety. Those who wait until they are in the midst of the storm to seek help surviving it are typically too distracted and agitated to find the help they need in the Towrah.

A clear and comprehensive, consistent and cohesive explanation of what Yahowah is offering and expecting in return has been available to us for the better part of 3,500 years. Man's reluctance to capitalize upon His mercy by observing His guidance is inexcusable and befuddling.

"God ('elowah) will arrive (bow' – will return, entering the scene (qal imperfect)) min Tyaman | with and because of the Right Hand, and for those who are Right (min tyaman – from a southern direction, at the right side, and for those who choose right, from the direction of Choreb and the Towrah; from yaman – right), and (wa) the Set-Apart One (qodesh) by way of Mount (min har) Pa'ran | of Beautiful Illumination (Pa'ran – where one is gloriously adorned along the route of the Exodus; from pa'ar – to beautify and adorn; denoting the route of the

Yatsa' and the mountain upon which the Towrah was revealed). **Pause a moment to weigh the uplifting implications** (*selah*).

He adorns (*kasah* – He covers and clothes) the spiritual realm (*shamaym* – the heavens) in His splendor and majesty (*howd huw'* – with His empowering presence and glorious countenance, His vitality, efficacy, and authority).

And (wa) with His loving and marvelous deeds (tahilah huw' – with His shining brilliance and commendable nature, His adoration and manifestation of awe-inspiring power), She fills and satisfies (male' – She abundantly furnishes and completes (qal perfect)) the Land (ha 'erets – the Earth and material realm)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 3:3)

We find *selah* | an accentuating pause and uplifting opportunity to weigh the significance of something throughout Dowd's *Mizmowr* | Psalms. Rather than read past something of significance and thereby failing to discern a pertinent insight, the returning King, Messiah, Savior, and Son of God encourages us to think about what he has written so that we might appreciate the implications of his fulfillment of the Miqra'ey. So here we find Chabaquwq modeling that same approach and, perhaps, even identifying the same person, Dowd as the Right Hand of God.

As we ponder why Yahowah is arriving *min tyaman* | from the south, with the right hand, and for those who are right, and then contemplate what *tyaman* has to do with *Pa'ran*, a map and some history are helpful. Since we know that Yahowah is returning to Yaruwshalaim, if He arrives there *min tyaman* | from the south, He will be coming from Mount Choreb where the Towrah was given to the Children of Yisra'el. Choreb is due south of Jerusalem.

As for Har Pa'ran, it serves as a poetic and descriptive

name for Choreb. Rather than the attributes of *choreb*, which are cutting and desolate, we have *pa'ran* | to adorn and illuminate, to beautify and glorify.

It is also interesting to note that Pa'ran, which is south of Yisra'el, represents the mountainous desert along both sides of the Gulf of Aqaba and, thus, between the Sinai and Arabian Peninsulas. The region encompasses almost all of the noted encampments during the Exodus on the western side and also Mount Horeb where the Towrah was revealed 30 miles inland from the eastern shore.

All 18 encampments during the *Yatsa'* | Exodus were in *Pa'ran* | Paran. This is, therefore, Chabaquwq's way of saying that Sha'uwl was wrong. The Yahowah, the Towrah, the Children of Yisra'el, and their liberation were not replaced. Instead, Yahowah is returning with it and is completing the *Yatsa'* | Exodus. He is coming with His Right Hand, His Son, Dowd, to adorn and enlighten those who are right with His *Towrah* | Guidance.

Yahowah is returning to His people from the place He last walked with them, to the place He gave them His Guidance and Teaching. It is where those who seek Him go to find Him today. And it is a very long way from Damascus, so far, in fact, that the road to Damascus leads in the wrong direction and, thus, away from God.

The Land the Set-Apart Spirit of Yahowah abundantly furnishes and fulfills, completes and satisfies, is Yisra'el. It is not Greece or Rome, neither Europe nor America.

Yahowah's Towrah, like the Set-Apart Spirit, is feminine, necessitating the pronoun "She." It is in this way that Yahowah's Spirit supplies God's love, reveals His glory, adorns us in a Garment of Light, fills our needs, and completely satisfies. It is through the Towrah that we walk away from men like Paul so that we can meet with God.

The Set-Apart Spirit in conjunction with the Towrah

serves to enlighten us...

"And also (wa) knowledge and enlightenment (nagah – brilliance and radiance) consistent with (ka) the Light (ha 'owr) exists as (hayah – She was, is, and always will be (qal imperfect)) dazzling and vivid shining rays of illumination (qeren – the power, authority, and strength symbolized by the ram's horn, being reinforced and strengthened, a signaling showphar, or trumpet, conveying brilliant illumination from a supernatural source on the summit of the mount) coming forth from His hand (min yad huw') to approach Him (la huw').

And here, at this place, namely (wa shem), His fortification and might ('oz huw' – His power and dependable nature, and His empowering, unchanging, and necessary) lovingly covers and withdraws (chebyown – envelops the cherished while protecting the adored; from a compound of chabab – in fervent love and 'own – being substantially empowered, growing vigorously, while becoming substantially enriched)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 3:4)

"And also (wa) the knowledge and enlightenment (nagah — the brilliance and radiance, the beautiful appearance, the gleaming and shining splendor), consistent with (ka) the Light (ha 'owr) will exist as (hayah — She was, is, and always will be (qal imperfect)) dazzling and vivid shining rays of illumination (qeren — the radiant brilliance and the strength symbolized by the ram's horn from the source on the summit of the mount) from His hand and influence (min yad huw') to approach Him (la huw').

Although there, at that place (wa shem), His power and force ('oz huw' – His energy and might) were concealed and veiled (chebyown – were enveloped and covered)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 3:4)

It's good that we paused to reflect upon Tyaman and

Pa'ran, because now we understand the reference to the full extent of God's power being concealed on Choreb and while in Pa'ran. By contrast, however, when He returns, He will not be veiling His power, nor that of His Son, because all who remain will be adorned in the Set-Apart Spirit's Garment of Light. God's children will be prepared to live in their Father's presence.

It is all ours for the asking: knowledge and enlightenment, the brilliance of Yahowah's Light, His power and authority, being reinforced and strengthened, enveloped in love, cherished and adored, all while being kept out of harm's way. It is a lot to give up just to believe Paul or the rabbis who trained him.

This has been an enlightening voyage through Yahowah's prophetic witness. God answered every important question we should have been asking about Sha'uwl. And His perspective on Paul matters more than all others combined. Eternity is not a democracy – and His verdict is conclusive, irrefutable, and damning.

This summation of Yahowah's public rebuke of Sha'uwl / Paul is among the most relevant and damning prophecies ever recorded. Recognizing that it was in Howsha' that we were directed to Chabaquwq to consider what is said of Sha'uwl, let's review once again what Yahowah wanted His people to know...

"Away from the control, power, and influence (min yad) of Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl), I have chosen to ransom and redeem them, reacquiring them (padah hem). So, Plague of Death (maweth), where ('ehy) is the widespread pandemic you caused with your empty words and the sting of your controlling goads (deber 'atah)? Plague of death (maweth), where ('ehy) is your fatal contagion – your ruinous influence (qeteb 'atah)?

With Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl), the capacity for compassion and contrition, the ability to relent and

show remorse, even regret (nocham), is nonexistent (sathar) from My perspective (min 'ayn 'any). (Howsha' 13:14) It is true (ky), this son of his brothers (huw' ben 'ach huw') will run swiftly, thrive with bull, produce asses, and be licentious (para'). Then the foretold confrontational encounter (qadym) with the Spirit (ruwach) of Yahowah (YaHoWaH) will come (bow').

As a result of (min) questioning the word (midbar), his perversions will be esteemed, but he will lack integrity ('alah / 'olah) and will be denounced when it is shown that what he did was wrong (wa bowsh). His destructive source (maqowr huw') will eventually be constrained (wa charab). His perceptions (ma'ayn huw') will be exposed and stripped away (huw' shasah), including his treasury ('owtsar huw') of everything (kol kaly) perceived as worthwhile and desirable (chemdah)." (Howsha' / Hosea 13:15)

This brought us to...

"This is the prophetic pronouncement and published prophecy (ha masa') which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), was witnessed and received as a revelation (chazah) by Chabaquwq | Embrace This (Chabaquwq), the prophet (ha naby'). (Chabaquwq 1:1)

For how long and to what extent ('ad 'an), Yahowah (YaHoWaH), should I plead for someone to respond in a beneficial way to this desperate and dangerous situation (shawa') because (wa) You are not listening (lo' shama') to my anguished appeal and emotional outcry (za'aq)?

Against You ('el 'atah) there is a devastating plot comprised of cruel lies, ruthlessly plundering the people without moral restraint using injurious language (chamas). As a result (wa), You must withhold salvation (lo'yasha'). (Chabaquwq 1:2)

For what reason (la mah) are You having me witness (ra'ah 'any) this grotesque corruption and deliberate fraud, this vain and morally objectionable behavior comprised of false testimony that is being intentionally perpetrated ('awen) which You consider (nabat) vexing and troublesome ('amal)?

A demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God who is exceedingly malicious, destructive, oppressive, and confiscatory (wa shod / shed), (wa) a devastating and misleading plot advanced through injurious statements and wanton plundering (chamas), is conspicuously before me, publicly conveying this contrarian message (la neged / nagad 'any).

He is and will continue to be (wa hayah) argumentative and contentious, quarrelsome and insulting, conveying a conflicting perception regarding the proper standard (ryb). He brings forth and continuously advocates (nasa') dissension, discord, and divisiveness (wa madown). (Chabaquwq 1:3)

Therefore, in this manner ('al ken), the Towrah | Teaching and Guidance (Towrah) is incapacitated. Its instructions are invalidated and ineffective, being nullified and paralyzed (puwg). As a result (wa), the truthful and everlasting, eminent and permanent (la netsah) means to vindicate by justly resolving disputes (mishpat) will not be brought forth, publicly or openly disseminated, nor broadly extended (wa lo' yatsa').

Instead (ky), religious corruption will become invasive and injustice will encompass (rasha' kathar) the means to be right and become innocent ('eth ha tsadyq). For this reason, that which ('al ken) he brings forth and disseminates (yatsa') perverts, distorts, corrupts, and convolutes, twisting and misrepresenting ('aqal) the way to make informed and rational decisions regarding vindication (mishpat). (Chabaquwq 1:4)

You can consistently witness this (ra'ah) among the Gentiles $(ba\ ha\ gowym)$ should you choose to be observant $(wa\ nabat)$. And you can avoid being adversely influenced and dumbfounded by remaining free of societal influences and by responding negatively to such idiocy $(wa\ tamah)$, contemplating how something so stunningly stupid is considered plausible (tamah).

Indeed, it is true that (ky) a considerable undertaking will transpire, a plot will be devised and implemented which results in controlling a vast accumulation of people and acquiring enormous wealth $(po'al\ pa'al)$. You should determine this to be inaccurate and invalid $(lo'\ 'aman)$ in your days $(ba\ yowmym\ 'atem)$, especially when it is properly assessed and a written record is presented in books, whereby he is held accountable $(ky\ saphar)$. $(Chabaquwq\ 1:5)$

For this reason, pay attention to Me (ky hineh 'any), arise and take a firm stand (quwm) against ('eth) the Chaldeans, a.k.a., the influence of Babylon (ha Kasdym). This gentile nation (gowy) is as impetuous and impulsive as it is pungent and poisonous (mar wa ha mahar). Walking (ha halak) through the breadth of the region (la merchab 'erets), there is the seizure and dispossessing (la yarash) of the tabernacle and dwelling places that are not his (mishkan lo' la huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:6)

Invoking fear through intimidation and terrorism ('ayom wa yare'), he is out for himself (huw' min huw'). He has broadly disseminated, spreading far and wide (yatsa') mishpat huw' | his judgment and thinking, his approach and assessments (mishpat huw'), along with his self-adulation, particularly his position on the removal of guilt, forgiveness, and acceptance in the relationship (wa sa'eth huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:7)

The dregs from the scummy remainder of the leopard (min namer) will be despised and held in contempt, humbled and diminished at this time (wa qalal). His swift airborne weaponry (suws huw') will be as fierce and menacing as a wolf (wa chadad min za'ed) when the sun sets ('ereb). Their randomly dispersed and widely scattered (puwsh) mobile war machines and his accompanying soldiers (parash huw' wa parash huw') will come from afar in the future (min rachowq bow') flying through the air, even hovering ('uwph), like (ka) birds of prey (nesher), quickly swooping down and ready (chuwsh) to consume and destroy (la 'akal). (Chabaquwq 1:8)

He will come (bow') and be totally wrong as well as completely without restraint, harsh in demeanor, malicious in rhetoric, and especially cruel (kol huw' la chamas). Simultaneously, everyone's attention will be directed eastward as their appearance will be from the sunrise (magamah paneh hem qadym). And he will gather ('asaph) captives (sheby) like the sand (ka ha chowl). (Chabaquwq 1:9)

And he, along with the rulers (wa huw' ba ha melek) will mock and ridicule those they disdain, making fun of those they enjoy disparaging (qalas). Those who govern and are empowered (rozen) are haughty and egocentric (mischaq) because of him (la huw'). He, at everything (huw' la kol) which defends and fortifies, and should have remained off limits (mibtsar), laughs in amusement and is entertained (tsachaq).

He piles up a massive amount (wa tsabar) of dust and debris from which there is a proliferation of materialistic progeny ('aphar), and he seizes and controls them (wa lakad hy'). (Chabaquwq 1:10)

Then at that time ('az), he will hasten to change, actually going with a completely different (chalaph)

spirit (ruwach). And he will arrogantly travel throughout, intoxicating and alienating (wa 'abar). He will be wrong, incur guilt, and will suffer the punishment he deserves (wa 'asham) for (zuw) his claim to have been authorized by his god, along with his vigorous support of and his justification for his deity (koach huw' la 'elowah huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:11)

Yahowah (YaHoWaH), my God ('elohym 'any), my Set-Apart One (qodesh 'any), are You not eternal, and thus everlasting and unlimited throughout time (ha lo' 'atah min qedem)? We will not die (lo' muwth). Yahowah (Yahowah), as a way to make an informed and rational decision regarding guilt or innocence (la mishpat), You have appointed regarding this (sym huw'), a Crag (wa tsuwr) to accuse, rebuke, and chastise (yakach) with You, after having laid the foundation, assigned this to him and then You instructed him (yasad huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:12)

Too flawless, uncluttered, and pure (tahowr) are eyes to witness $('ayn\ min\ ra'ah)$ the miserable, malignant, and malevolent wickedness of religion (ra'). You cannot endure or prevail when $(lo'\ yakol)$ looking upon or responding to $(wa\ nabat)$ such a perverse and grievous undertaking $('el\ 'amal)$. For what reason would $(la\ mah)$ You look at or consider (nabat) the treacherous betrayal of an offensive and deceitful trickster and traitor (bagad)?

Therefore, You will enable an implement to write against the plot, while remaining otherwise silent and unresponsive, taking no other action for a prolonged period (charash) in opposition to the contradictory and thoroughly confusing religion, the incomprehensible and deceptive wickedness, this confounding and befuddling communication which will have been spread, embraced, accepted, and swallowed (ba bala' rasha') who is far more accurate and righteous than

him, who is correct instead of him (tsadyq min huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:13)

Therefore, You engage with $(wa \ 'asah)$ humankind (`adam) in a manner which could be compared to (ka) the fish (dag) of the sea $(ha \ yam)$, similar to (ka) creatures which move freely about (remes) without rules to govern them or anyone seeking to control them $(lo'\ mashal\ ba\ huw')$. $(Chabaquwq\ 1:14)$

In everything associated with him (kol huw' ba), he dangles a lure and hook to entice the unsuspecting (chakah 'alah). When (wa) he catches them, he drags them away (garar huw') in his dedicated trap (ba cherem huw'). And he gathers them together and removes them (wa 'asaph hem) in his dragnets by kindling his victims' yearnings, while himself, mentally and emotionally dysregulated (ba mikmereth huw'). Therefore. concerning this ('al ken), he acts as if he is elated, intoxicated as he is with himself (samach), glad and gay, shrieking and shouting over this outcome (wa gyl). (Chabaguwa 1:15)

So, therefore, in this way ('al ken), he slaughters and sacrifices (zabach) his devotees as they approach his net and are ensnared in his trap, mutilating and disfiguring them in his ruse on behalf of his deity (la cherem huw'). He blows smoke to make illicit worship seem pleasant, using perfume to cover the stench ensnaring his victims while (aatar). emotionally agitated and unstable (la mikmereth huw'). For indeed (ky), by them (ba hem) he is enriched and productive (shaman) through seductive words while he claims his share of the funds confiscated and apportioned in his persuasive plot (cheleg huw'). And so, what he devours (wa ma'akal huw') is contrived, newly fashioned, and artificial (barya'ah). (Chabaquwq 1:16)

So why is it then (ha 'al ken) that he continues to be delusional and vain, brandishing fantasies, and then disgorging (ryq) those devoted to him disfigured from his trap (cherem huw'), only to (wa) eternally and intentionally end the lives (tamyd la harag) of Gentiles (Gowym), showing no concern or mercy (lo' chamal)? (Chabaquwq 1:17)

Upon ('al) My requirements and responsibilities (mishmereth 'any), I have decided of My own initiative that I will literally and continually stand ('amad'). And (wa) I will choose to always remain firm and present, continually being ready and able to serve (yatsab), upon ('al) that which strengthens, protects, and fortifies (matsowr). Therefore (wa), I will be on the lookout (tsapah) in order to see (la ra 'ah) what he will say about Me (mah dabar ba 'any). So then (wa) how can I be expected to change My attitude, thinking, or response (mah shuwb) concerning ('al) My disapproving rebuke and desire to correct the record (towkechath 'any)? (Chabaquwq 2:1)

Then (wa) Yahowah (Yahowah) answered me ('anah 'any). He said (wa 'amar), 'Of your own volition, write down (kathab) this revelation (chazown). Then (wa) you can choose to expound upon it using those letters (ba'ar) upon ('al) writing tablets (ha luwach) so that (la ma'an), by summoning, welcoming, and reading this (qara' by huw'), he will run, quickly departing and chased away (ruwts). (Chabaquwq 2:2)

Still indeed, the subsequent realization (ky 'owd) of this revelation from God (chazown) is for ha Mow'ed | the Eternal Witness to the Appointed Meetings (la ha Mow'ed). It provides a witness to and speaks, pouring out evidence to censure and malign (puwach) at the very end (la ha qets). Even though it may seem slow to develop, the extended period of time required for this question to be resolved ('im mahah) will not invalidate

it (lo' kazab). Expect him in this regard (chakah la huw') because indeed (ky), he will absolutely come and pursue this (bow' bow'). He will not be delayed nor will he remain (lo' 'achar). (Chabaquwq 2:3)

Pay attention (hineh), he will be audacious, presumptuous, and oblivious, puffed up with false pride, a foolhardy pain in the ass riddled with venereal disease and hemorrhoidal abscesses for being reckless ('aphal). His soul, his way of looking at the world and responding to it (nepesh huw'), is not right or straightforward, as it is inappropriate and counterproductive (lo' yashar) in him (ba huw'). And therefore (wa), those who are correct and vindicated (tsadyq) through trusting and relying upon Him (ba 'emuwnah huw'), will live (chayah). (Chabaquwq 2:4)

However, on the other hand, this is frustrating (wa 'aph), because (ky) the intoxicating and inebriating spirit (yayn) of the mortal man (geber) of deceptive infidelity and treacherous betrayal (bagad) is an overbearing moral failure of unwarranted selfimportance (yahyr). Therefore (wa), he will not achieve a desirable result, come home, or find peace (lo'nawah), whoever is open to the broad and popular path ('asher rachab) associated with (ka) Sha'uwl | Questioning the Uproar Hysterical and **Boisterous** which is Catastrophically Devastating (Sha'uwl).

He and his soul (nepesh huw' wa huw') are like (ka) the Plague of Death (ha maweth). And so (wa), those who are drawn in by him, accepting him ('asaph 'el huw') will never be satisfied (lo' saba'). Every ethnicity of the gentiles from every race and place (kol ha gowym) he will claim as his own and for himself (qabats 'el huw'), with followers from every nation (kol ha 'am). (Chabaquwq 2:5)

Why don't any of them ask these questions about

him (ha lo' 'eleh kol hem 'al huw')? Terse references to the Word they lift up as taunts to ridicule (mashal nasa'), along with (wa) allusive sayings and undecipherable interpretations which misappropriate the intended meaning (malytsah). There are hard and perplexing questions which need to be asked of him (chydah la huw').

And (wa) they should say ('amar), 'Woe (howy) to the one who claims to be great so as to increase his offspring, acting like a rabbi (rabah),' neither of which apply to him (lo' la huw'). In the meantime, for how long ('ad mathay) will they make pledges ('abtyt) based upon his significance (kabed 'al huw')? (Chabaquwq 2:6)

Why not immediately, right now, even if only for a short period of time (ha lo' peta'), rise up and take a stand, accomplishing something worthwhile (quwm), because (wa) he is loading himself down (ta'an) with ('eth) dark, wicked, and obscuring ('aphelah) mud, dirt to be swept away (tyt)? Your oppressors, those controlling you financially and who are collecting money from you (nashak 'atah) will be alerted (wa yaqats) such that they are concerned and will react to you (zuwa' 'atah). Otherwise (wa) you will be (hayah) persecuted and robbed by them (la mashisah la hem). (Chabaquwq 2:7)

Then (ky), you ('atah) will be plundered, impoverished, and preyed upon (shalal) by an enormous number (rab) of Gentiles (gowym). They will seize, harass, and disparage you (shalal 'atah), confiscating most of the wealth, taking everything of value, from the remaining (yether) people ('am), as a result of (min) the bloodlust (dam) of humankind ('adam), with (wa) the violent and cruel destructive forces terrorizing (chamas) the Land ('erets), Yah's city (qiryah), and all (wa kol) who dwell in her (yashab ba hy'). (Chabaquwq 2:8)

This is a warning (howy) to one who covets ill-gotten gain and would do anything to take advantage, promoting that which is dishonest while performing (batsa' batsa') evil, advancing that which is undesirable and counterproductive in cahoots with his unprincipled colleagues (ra') on behalf of his clan and his covenant (la beyth huw'), to position (la sym) his construct (qen huw') in the heights of heaven (ba ha marowm), snatching it away from (la natsal min) the reach (kaph) of the unfortunate adversarial brethren (ra'). (Chabaquwq 2:9)

You have deliberately decided upon and conspired at the advice of another to devise and promote an ignominious plot to confuse by substituting, seeking to replace (ya'ats bosheth), those who approach your house (la beyth 'atah) by scraping away, cutting off, and destroying, devastating by ending the existence of (qatsah) the preponderance of the family of related individuals along with many other people ('am rab). And so (wa), you have forfeited, bearing the loss by misleading, thereby impugning and surrendering (chata') your soul (nepesh 'atah). (Chabaquwq 2:10)

Indeed (ky), the building stone, cornerstone, and stone tablet ('eben) from (min) the wall supporting the house (qyr) will cry out, issuing a proclamation (za'aq). And (wa) the infrastructure of connecting rafters and beams (kaphys) of (min) timber ('ets) will answer and respond to this ('anah hy'). (Chabaquwq 2:11)

Woe to (howy) the one who establishes (banah) a population of provoked individuals and a shrine to agitation and incitement ('iyr) in blood (ba dam). He fashions and forms (wa kuwn) a populated institution for meeting and preaching (qiryah) based upon that which is perverted and incorrect, invalid and unjust (ba 'awlah). (Chabaquwq 2:12)

Why not pay attention (ha lo' hineh) to that which is from (min 'eth) Yahowah (YaHoWaH) of the spiritual implements (tsaba')? But instead (wa), the people ('am) expend their energy and grow weary (yaga') amidst a profuse conglomeration (ba day) of worthless trifling ('esh). Those united under an antiquated system (wa la'om), exhaust and destroy themselves (ya'eph) into excessive emptiness and extravagant delusions (ba day ryq). (Chabaquwq 2:13)

Indeed (ky), She will edify and completely satisfy (male') the Land (ha 'erets) with the knowledge (la yada') of Yahowah's (Yahowah) significance, glorious presence, and abundant value ('eth kabowd) – similar to way water (ka ha maym) is a cover (kasah) upon the sea ('al yam). (Chabaquwq 2:14)

Woe to (howy) the one who pours out evil, causing his miserable companions and disagreeable fellow citizens to drink that which is toxic (shaqah ra' huw'), gathering and joining them together in a religious and political association (saphach) of your debilitating antagonism, paralyzing perversions, and crippling venom (chemah 'atah). And much more than this (wa providing intoxicants to the point *'aph*), incapacitation (shakar) for the express purpose of (la ma'an) gazing upon while demonstrating a preference **for** (nabat 'al) **their genitals** (ma'aowr hem). (Chabaguwg 2:15)

You will get your fill of (saba') shame and infamy, insults befitting such a lowly individual (qalown), instead of (min) honor and glory (kabowd). You choose to be inebriated in addition to intoxicating others (shathah gam 'atah). What's more (wa), you want them to be unacceptable, even to expose themselves, because of your disdain for circumcision ('arel), encompassing them from all angles with circular reasoning (sabab).

Upon you is ('al 'atah) the binding cup (kows) of Yahowah's (Yahowah) right hand (yamyn). Therefore (wa), public humiliation and an ignominious reputation as a result of being dishonorable and disgraceful (qyqalown) will be your reward ('al kabowd 'atah). (Chabaquwq 2:16)

Indeed, and by contrast (ky - this) is reassuring because), He has chosen to constantly keep you covered and continually protected $(kasah \ 'atah)$ from this grievous injustice and blatant wrongdoing in opposition to (chamas) that which purifies (labanown).

And as for (wa) the destructive and demonic influence of the Devil seeking to be worshiped as God (shed / shod), He will shatter and separate (chathath hem) the beasts (bahemah) because of (min) the blood (dam) of humankind ('adam), and also (wa) this grievous injustice against and blatant wrongdoing in opposition to (chamas) the Land ('erets), Yah's city (qiryah), and all (wa kol) of her inhabitants (ba yashab). (Chabaquwq 2:17)

How does he succeed with a caricature and what is the benefit of worshiping a false god (mah ya'al pesel)? Indeed (ky), he has fabricated his false god (pasal huw'), devising, preparing, and promoting him (yatsar huw') by concealing the association with the representation of the pagan god (masekah) and by becoming a source of lies, making it all untrue, a vain and deceitful sham (wa yarah sheqer).

Thereby (ky), he adds credence to and encourages reliance upon (batach) the one who created the construct of himself (yatsar yetser 'al huw'). For he, himself, performs to make ('al huw' 'asah) worthless gods who do not speak ('elyl 'ilem). (Chabaquwq 2:18)

Woe to the one who says (howy 'amar) while approaching something wooden (la ha 'ets), 'I want you

to act alive and choose to do something (quwts),' and to a dumb, silent, and lifeless rock (la 'eben duwmam), 'Wake up, be alert, and rise up ('uwr – awake and gloat).' Can either guide or teach (huw' yarah)? Look (hineh), it (huw') has been seized and overlaid (taphas) with gold (zahab) and silver, becoming extremely valuable and desirable (wa keseph), but completely devoid of (wa kol 'ayn) the Spirit (ruwach) in its midst (ba qereb huw'). (Chabaquwq 2:19)

This is a request to be set apart, distinct and uncommon, separated (taphilah) from erring and going astray, and thus from the ensuing insanity ('al shigayown), by (la) Chabaquwq | Embrace This (Chabaquwq), the prophet (ha naby'). (Chabaquwq 3:1)

Yahowah (YaHoWaH), I have listened to the entirety of (shama') Your announced message (shema' 'atah). I respect and am inspired by (yare') Your work (po'al 'atah), Yahowah (Yahowah), throughout the years (ba qereb shanahym), choosing to renew and restore life (chayah huw') in the midst of those years (ba qereb shanahym). Love, mercy, and compassion (racham) You make known (yada') so that it is remembered (zakar) in turmoil (ba rogez). (Chabaquwq 3:2)

God ('elowah) came (bow') from (min) Tyaman | the Right (tyaman), and (wa) the Set-Apart One (qodesh) from (min) Mount (har) Pa'ran | to Illuminate (Pa'ran). Pause a moment to weigh the uplifting implications (selah). He adorns (kasah) the spiritual realm (shamaym) in His splendor and glory (howd huw'). So (wa) with His love marvelous deeds (tahilah huw'), She fills and satisfies (male') the Land (ha 'erets). (Chabaquwq 3:3)

In addition (wa), knowledge and enlightenment (nagah), consistent with (ka) the Light (ha 'owr), will exist (hayah) approaching Him (la huw') as dazzling and

vivid rays of illumination (qeren) from His hand and influence (min yad huw'). Although there, at that time and place (wa shem), His power and force ('oz huw') were concealed and veiled (chebyown)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 3:4)

As we contemplate the ramifications of *Chabaquwq's* | Habakkuk's profound prophecy – one which has fallen on deaf ears – God is delighted when we *selah* | pause and reflect upon its implications. How someone responds to this information will profoundly change their life.

If Jews living in 1st-century Judea, Greece, or Rome had used this prophecy as it had been intended – to expose and condemn Sha'uwl/Paul and his New Testament – their lives would have been much more productive and enjoyable. Judaism would not have emerged as a means to counter Sha'uwl's misappropriation of Dowd's life and purpose. And Yahuwdym would be celebrating Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym as intended and fulfilled by their Messiah. Without Akiba's replacement Messiah, bar Kokhba, Yahuwdah would not have been wiped out and there would have been no Diaspora. Imperial Rome would not have evolved into the Roman Catholic Church, thereby eliminating 16 centuries of abuse from the Inquisitions and Pogroms to the Holocaust.

If only...

ያለች ሥ

Twistianity V4: Incredible ...Faith is for Fools

3

Lo'Shama' | Do Not Listen

False Prophet...

In the Towrah, and specifically in *Dabarym* 13, Yahowah tells us that if someone independently establishes himself as a spokesperson for God, as Paulos has done, he is a false prophet. If he claims to have performed miracles as proof of his calling, as Paul has done, he is a false prophet. If he encourages his audience to accept other gods by other names, like the Roman *Gratia* or Greek *Charis*, especially the Babylonian Lord, all of whom Paul sponsored, he is a false prophet. If he encourages religious worship, which is the legacy of Paul's letters, he is a false prophet.

If his writings do not affirm an enduring appreciation and love for Yahowah, the God whose words and plan Paul has called incompetent, impotent, and enslaving, he is a false prophet. If he disregards the terms and conditions of the Covenant or the path Yahowah provided for our redemption, he is a false prophet. And of such self-proclaimed prophets, God says that they are in opposition to Him, both ruinous and deadly, so we should completely remove their disagreeable, displeasing, and evil corruptions from our midst.

"With regard to ('eth) every (kol) word (dabar – statement) which to show the way to benefit from the relationship ('asher – to reveal the path to get the most out of life) I am ('any) instructing (tsawah – providing guidance and direction to) you with accordingly ('eth 'eth), observe it (shamar – closely examine and carefully

consider it, focusing your attention on it) **for the purpose of** (*la*) **engaging in and acting upon it** (*'asah* – responding by profiting from and celebrating it), **not adding to it** (*lo' yasaph 'al* – never increasing it (through a *New Testament*, for example)) **and not subtracting from it** (*wa lo' gara' min* – reducing or diminishing the intent (by suggesting that it can be distilled into a single promise, a single act, a single statement, or a single profession of faith, for example))." (*Dabarym* / Words / Deuteronomy 12:32)

With this introduction alone, Paul's goose is cooked. But it only gets worse for the self-proclaimed messenger of god...

"When someone who claims to speak for God arises (ky quwm naby' - so if someone acting as a prophet is established and is honored) among you (ba gereb 'atah), or someone who says that they have received Divine revelations ('ow chalam chalowm – someone who has a dream, even someone who promises to restore you to health), and he offers to produce a sign, especially something which would appear miraculous (wa nathan 'el 'atah 'owth – and they give you a marvelous example, account, or illustration) or claims to be able to orchestrate an extraordinary event ('ow mowpheth - to provide a show of power that elicits awe), (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:1) and even if the signs and wonders occur, coming to fruition (wa bow' ha 'owth wa ha mowpheth – and should it be realized), which he has spoken about to you ('asher dabar 'el 'atah), but he says (la 'amar), 'Let's choose to go after (halak 'achar – let's live for and be guided by (qal imperfect cohortative)) different and additional gods ('elohym 'acher) which **you have not known** ('asher lo' yada' hem – which you were unfamiliar and unaware (gal perfect)) and let's allow ourselves to be coerced and compelled into serving and worshiping them (wa 'abad hem – let's minister on their behalf (hofal imperfect - let's subject ourselves to

relinquishing our freewill such that we are continually forced to be indentured to them and reduced to servitude)),' (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:2) you should not listen (lo' shama) to the words ('el dabar) of that particular prophet (ha naby' ha huw' – who claims to have received revelations from God), healer, or dreamer ('ow 'el chowlem ha chalowm ha huw' – or to the one who promises to cure what ails you and restore your health through some altered state of awareness, vision, or communication).

Indeed (ky), Yahowah, your God (Yahowah 'elohym 'atem), wants to learn the truth about you (nasah 'eth 'atem – is desirous of knowing whether you can be trusted such that He is aware of who must be uprooted and displaced), in addition to knowing (la yada' – to reveal and understand) whether there is some affirmation that you (ha yesh 'atem) love ('ahab 'eth – you desire having a close personal relationship with) Yahowah, your God (Yahowah 'elohym 'atem), with all of your heart (ba kol lebab 'atem – in all of the ways you think, including your motivations and ambitions) and with all of your soul (wa ba kol nepesh 'atem – with the entirety of your personality, character, aptitude, and attitude)." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:3)

For the past nineteen centuries, a procession of acclaimed rabbis has professed to have spoken for God. They have interpreted His message in some of the most jaundiced ways, claiming to heal while actually condemning. Inexplicitly, millions of Jews have been defrauded by their signs and wonders, holding those deceiving them in high esteem – revering these men above all others. And yet, there has been no trace of Yahowah in their Talmud, *Mishnah*, or Zohar, not in their Temples, Synagogues, or rhetoric.

You should not listen to those who have failed this test. Judaism has become their god. They do not know Yahowah. They are all pomp and ceremony without heart and soul. The religion has been so abusive Jews have become Stockholmed by their rabbis.

There is a better choice...

"You should genuinely and continually journey through life (halak – you should consistently walk, traveling and going about (gal imperfect)) following after Yahowah, your God, without hesitation or equivocation ('achar Yahowah 'elohym 'atem – pursuing Yahowah, who is God, without delay or lingering). And it is Him that you **should respect, even revere** (wa 'eth huw' yare' – and be in awe of Him, honor your relationship with Him), while **consistently observing** (wa 'eth shamar – closely examining and carefully considering, indeed focusing intently upon) the instructive conditions of His relationship agreement (mitswah huw' – His terms and directions associated with His covenant, pondering the implications of His authoritative teaching, guidance, and instructions; from my – to contemplate tsawah – the terms and conditions of a relationship).

And to His voice (wa ba qowl huw' – to what He has to say), listen (shama' – hear, paying close attention (qal imperfect)).

You should consistently work with Him (wa 'eth huw' 'abad), and to Him (wa ba huw') enthusiastically and continually cling, staying close (dabaq – enjoy and remain in a personal relationship)." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:4)

We can trust Moseh's advice in this regard. He not only knew Yahowah better than anyone, but he also had successfully relied upon Him to liberate his people.

In the midst of conveying the Towrah, *Moseh* | Moses provided a death blow to Pauline Christianity. As a criterion to ascertain whether someone claiming to speak

for Yahowah should be trusted, he delegitimized the individual who coerced and compelled others to worship unfamiliar gods. Then he expressed the means to keep us from falling prey to religious edicts – observe the Towrah and listen to God, being particularly focused upon the terms and conditions of the Covenant. The intent is to love and respect Yahowah, as our Father, forsaking all others.

And now, Moseh is making us aware of the deadly consequence of a false prophet and how we should respond to them...

"Therefore, that person who claims to have spoken for God (wa ha naby' ha huw'), or who says that they have received Divine revelations ('ow chalam ha chalowm ha huw' – someone who has a dream, even someone who promises to restore you to health), must die (muwth – will be put to death (hofal imperfect – will be forced to endure an ongoing and oppressive absence of life)).

This is because (ky) the words he has spoken (dabar – the statements and messages he has conveyed) are rebellious (sarah – are overtly hostile, presumptuous and revolting, an apostasy brandished) against ('al – over and above) Yahowah (YaHoWaH), your God ('elohym 'atem), who brought you out, descending to serve by withdrawing you (ha yatsa' 'eth 'atem – who extended Himself to remove you), from the realm (min 'erets – out of the nation) of religious and political persecution (Mitsraym – the Crucibles of Oppression), redeeming and ransoming you (padah 'atem – freeing and releasing you) from the house of slavery (min beyth 'ebed – from having to work to survive).

He is trying to seduce you, enticing you (nadach 'atah — scattering you), from the way (min ha derek) which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), Yahowah, your God (YaHoWaH 'elohym 'atah), directed

you to walk (*tsawah 'atah halak* – instructed you to travel) **with Him** (*ba huw'*).

As a result (*wa*), you should remove, purging (*ba'ar* – you should expunge such that it ceases to exist), this evil fellow countryman (*ha ra'* – this wicked immorality, this impropriety and hindrance to the relationship which is so vocal within the community) from your midst (*min qereb 'atah*)." (*Dabarym /* Words / Deuteronomy 13:5)

And yet, it is to the religious the believers listen. Rather than purge Christianity, Judaism, and Islam from their midst, Jews have expunged Yahowah.

When we read statements like this from the Towrah, we are reminded of the reason Yahowah provided so many prophecies regarding this mission to awaken Yisra'el and call God's people out of Babylon. When Yahowah intends to do something important for His people, He tells them well in advance so that they pay attention when it occurs. Clearly, God wants His people to know who is speaking for Him rather than against Him and them.

ያለሕ ተ

The intent of Galatians was not just to subtract from God's advice on how to participate in His Covenant, or regarding the importance of its sign, circumcision, it was also to negate the purpose of the Covenant and the Invitations to be Called Out and Meet. Paul strove to counteract and vilify the Towrah's means to reconciliation – diminishing its status to the point that it would be considered a liability rather than an asset.

Paul's condescending and antagonistic dismissal of Yahowah's *Towrah* | Teaching, its *Beryth* | Covenant, and Dowd's participation in the *Miqra'ey* | Means to be Called Out and Meet would not engender love or respect for the

God who authored, offered, and enabled them. Therefore, the only way to cling to Paul would be to let go of God.

According to Yahowah, no one has been or will be authorized to add to or subtract from His Towrah. Therefore, any time we witness someone trying to reduce the Towrah's role in our lives, or if we find a writer adding something new, like a New Testament, be careful because such a person is not speaking for God. This realization alone is game over for Christianity.

Yahowah has reinforced a simple, yet profound, truth: once we take the Towrah seriously, closely examining and carefully considering its guidance, we can no longer take Paul seriously. Paul's letters are the antithesis of Yahowah's Guidance, and for that reason alone it would be foolish to believe him.

God revealed that the best way to know who is not speaking for Him is to be observant, distinguishing between His testimony and that of the self-proclaimed prophet. If they differ, when they differ, the man is a liar. Therefore, knowing and understanding God's Towrah comes first. Then, compare what Yahowah said to what the prophet is claiming. If the Towrah is devalued, condemn the false prophet and encourage others to disassociate themselves from him.

Simultaneously, act upon God's guidance. If you have not already done so, contemplate the benefits of the Covenant and then engage based on the terms God stipulated.

Since opinions are to conclusions as faith is to trust, and since we have at our fingertips another way to determine with absolute certainty whether or not Paul was speaking for Yahowah or for himself, there was no reason for us to presume anything. Here are God's secondary means to determine the veracity of a witness...

"Surely ('ak – indeed, emphasizing the point, and to establish a contrast), the person who proclaims a message on behalf of a deity (naby' - a prophet) who ('asher – relationally) **oversteps their bounds and speaks** presumptuously, arrogantly, defiantly. **contemptuously** (zyd – has an inflated sense of self-worth, demonstrating self-reliance while taking liberties to defy, who conceitedly pretends to know, who insults others and is disrespectful, displaying pride in the pursuit of personal recognition and acclaim while despising rivals, who rebels against that which is established and is prone to rage, who seethes with anger and is often furious, overbearing and rude while insolently promoting their plans (the hifil stem reveals that the prophet and his statements are one, thereby sharing a similar effect and purpose, while the imperfect conjugation speaks of their continual and ongoing influence)) for the express purpose of conveying (la dabar - for the intent of communicating verbally or in writing (piel infinitive construct – by design and intent)) \mathbf{a} statement (dabar) in (ba) My name (shem 'any – My designation, renown. or reputation) proper **accordingly** ('asher 'eth – inferring access, relationship, and benefit which) I have not expressly appointed, taught, guided, nor entirely directed him (lo' tsawah huw' – I have not provided the totality of his instruction, nor assigned, constituted, decreed, prescribed, or ordained for him, deliberately and demonstrably making him My understudy (piel stem and perfect conjugation)) to (la) **speak** (dabar), and (wa) who ('asher – relationally) speaks (dabar) in (ba) the name (shem) of other ('acher different and additional, even subsequent) gods ('elohym), indeed, then (wa) that prophet (ha naby' ha huw' – that individual who proclaims a message on behalf of that false deity), **he** (huw') **is deadly** (muwth – devoid of life and destructive). (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:20)

And if you say (wa ky 'amar) using your best

judgment (ba lebab 'atah – in your heart), 'How ('evkah - in what way) can we know (yada 'eth - will we be able to recognize and understand, to appreciate) the statements (ha dabar - the message) Yahowah (Yahowah - God's name transliterated as guided by His *towrah* – instructions on His hayah – existence and His role in our shalowm – reconciliation as 'elowah – Almighty God) has not spoken (lo' dabar huw' – that is not His Word), (Dabarym 18:21) when a prophet speaks ('asher dabar ha naby') in the name, reputation, and designation (ba shem – using the notoriety and status) of Yahowah (YaHoWaH – an accurate presentation of the name of 'elowah - God as guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence), if the statement (wa ha dabar) did not occur (hayah – did not happen as claimed (gal imperfect – literally and actually from the beginning to the present time and beyond)) or (wa) does not come to be (lo'bow'-does not happen (qal imperfect)), his is a message (huw' ha dabar - his are words) that ('asher) Yahowah (Yahowah - the proper pronunciation of YaHoWaH, our 'elowah – God as directed in His ToWRaH – teaching regarding His HaYaH – existence and our *ShaLoWM* – restoration) has not spoken (lo'dabar - has not communicated).

The person who claims that his message is on behalf of a deity (naby' – the prophet) has an inflated view of himself, he is self-motivated, immoral, and insolent and should be held in contempt and disrespected (ba zadon – he should be scorned and rebuked for being wrong, he is presumptuous and haughty; from zuwd – defiant and rebellious) for having said it (dabar huw'). You should not fear him nor revere him (lo' guwr min huw' – you should not respect him or show any anxiety toward him)." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:22)

Based on this, an informed and rational individual would list Paul's epistles as the prime example of a deadly, arrogant, presumptuous, defiant, disrespectful, and selfserving fraud. He epitomizes everything Yahowah encouraged us to avoid.

Yahowah's second test is a relatively simple one. It contains six elements (with six being the number of man):

- 1) Is the person a *naby*': someone who claims to speak on behalf of god? This is a screening codicil. If a person admits that they are speaking for themselves. then they would be excluded from this analysis. The evaluation, therefore, does not apply to Obama but would apply to Osama. Paul's favorite line, "but I say to you," would ordinarily have been sufficient to exclude him from this test (and thereby also exclude his epistles from consideration) because, by repeating this phrase, he was admitting that he was speaking for himself and not for God. But since he was duplicitous and often vowed that he had been personally selected and authorized to speak for God, he subjects himself to God's test. And yet, he has already failed the first codicil. And that is because the preponderance of Paul's message was delivered under the banner of "but I say," instead of "Yahowah says." That should have been more than sufficient to disqualify Paul as God's agent. So, it is strike one. (Where one strike is deadly.)
- 2) Is the person *zyd*: someone who oversteps their bounds, acting presumptuously with an inflated sense of self-worth, demonstrating self-reliance while taking liberties, someone who arrogantly pretends to know, who insults others and is disrespectful, displaying pride in the pursuit of personal recognition and acclaim while demeaning competitors, someone who rebels against the legitimate authority and is prone to anger, someone who seethes with frustration and is often furious, overbearing, rude, or conceited? Sha'uwl has insulted Shim'own, Ya'aqob, and Yahowchanan, in addition to Yahowah and Dowd. His claim to the

world as if it were his personal domain has been overbearing and presumptuous. His assertion that he was incapable of lying and that he was the perfect example to follow was conceited in the extreme. He has been rude to the Galatians and disrespectful of almost everyone, consistently misquoting God's Word and then twisting it. And he has routinely shown great contempt for the Towrah, consistently demeaning it. Strike two.

- Does the person la dabar dabar ba 'any shem: 3) and publicly preach to communicating their message in the name of God? As was the case with the first codicil, this is also a screening test. If the individual in question has an insignificantly small audience, if their preaching is done in private, if their influence is limited in time and place, then there would be no reason to assess their credentials. However, Paul begins his epistle bragging that he was speaking for God, not men. He claims to have had his own private session with God. This, along with the fact that Paul's preaching in the book of Acts and his letters comprise half of the "Christian New Testament," and that his words are quoted more often by Christians than God's, puts a bull's eye on Paul. Strike three.
- 4) Is the person's message 'lo tsawah: inconsistent with what God has instructed and directed, does the message conflict with what God appointed, constituted, and decreed, does it vary from His instructions? Galatians, like Romans, is an attack on the Towrah. As such, Paul's letters represent the most extreme breach of Yahowah's fourth test. The only thing worse than advocating ideas that are extraneous to God's witness is to promote things that contradict His testimony. Paul's repudiation of the Torah, combined with his replacement theology (which is

essentially comprised of believing in his euangelion), is, therefore, an egregious and deadly violation of God's fourth test. It is also a direct violation of the Third of Three Statements Yahowah etched upon the First of the Two Stone Tablets, for which there is no forgiveness. Strike four.

- 5) Does the person dabar ba shem 'acher 'elohym: speak in the name of gods other than Yahowah? Paul's Gospel of Grace (Charis/Gratia) elevates the Greek and Roman pagan goddesses above Yahowah. And in his parting comment, Paul excludes Yahowah's name and signs off using the moniker of the Egyptian sun god, "Amen." He also revealed a proclivity for addressing his god as "the Lord." This is strike five in a life-and-death encounter where one strike is fatal.
- Does the person hayah: accurately convey what is 6) happening and what has happened in the past, and do their predictions of the future bow': materialize and come to exist as they have stated them? Paul's absurdly deviant portrayal of Yahowah's Covenant discussions with Abraham is a prime example of failing this test. His errant portrayal of the Yaruwshalaim Summit is another undeniable breach of the *hayah* clause – as was his testimony regarding his contradictory accounts of his conversion experience and his mythical trip to Arabia. The fact that there were no prophecies in Galatians, a false prophecy regarding his personal inclusion in the Trumpets Harvest in his second letter, and no fulfilled predictions in any of his other letters serve as a failure to meet the bow' clause of this requirement. Therefore, since Paul's demonic source of inspiration was incapable of properly guiding his false prophet, it is: Strike six. Therefore, it is off to She'owl for Sha'uwl. And if you believe him, your soul will be destroyed at

the end of your mortal life. Providing all of us with fair warning is why this test exists.

Yahowah, as we know, proved that He inspired the Torah, Prophets, and Psalms by punctuating His words with prophetic predictions – all of which came true, or are coming true, just as He had revealed. Since only God and those He has brought forward in time with Him have seen the future, He, through them, is not actually "predicting" it but instead reporting in advance what He has shown them will occur. That's why His prophets are always right, and it's why He uses prophecy to demonstrate that His testimony through them is reliable.

In a text where a single conflict portends the death of the one testifying falsely, as well as the demise of those who are led to believe him, Paul has failed all six. That's not my opinion. It is an undeniable conclusion based on Yahowah's standard. It is case closed. The verdict is "Guilty!" Paul was a false prophet. If you trust him, you do not know or trust God.

There are two additional thoughts in this Towrah passage worthy of our consideration. The first is an indictment of all religions, but especially Christianity and Judaism. Indeed, when you come into the land associated with Yahowah, your God, which is given to you, you shall not accept, teach, imitate (lamad – be trained in, instruct, become accustomed to, disciple others in), or act upon ('asah – engage in, celebrate, profit from, bring about, ordain, or institute) any of the disgusting religious ways (tow'ebah - abhorrent rites, detestable idolatrous things, repulsive and loathsome rituals. abominable festivals) of these Gentile nations." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:9)

Pauline Christianity is Dionysian and thus evolved from Babylonia through Greece. It is also steeped in Greek

Gnosticism. To this, Constantine's and Theodosius' Roman Catholic Church integrated their affinity for Mithras. The resulting religion remains disgusting.

Without the Towrah, there is no call for 'Abraham to come out of Babylon – to flee man's religious and political schemes. And worse, Paul's epistles call believers in the opposite direction, back to Babylon, which is why the faithful remain mired in mankind's religious muck. And so it should be no surprise that every pre-Constantine 1st-, 2nd-, 3rd-, and early 4th-century codex of Paul's Christian New Testament was scribed in Mitsraym – the very place from which Yahowah freed His people.

Then speaking of the Word personally delivered by Yahowah on Mount Choreb, and of the reason God would speak through the likes of Moseh, Shamuw'el, Dowd, Yasha'yah, and Chabaquwq, Yahowah inspired and then spoke...

"A Prophet (naby' – a person who communicates the word of God and is accurate regarding past and future events) **from among your midst** (*min gereb 'atah* – out of your innermost nature), from your brethren (min 'ach 'atah), similar to me (kamow 'any – in accord with me and who can be compared to me), Yahowah (Yahowah - a His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence), your God ('elohym 'atah), will raise up and position to take a stand which establishes and affirms you (quwm *la 'atah* – He will validate and confirm, elevating his status to encourage and restore you, enabling you to approach and rise). To him, I want you to actually and continually **listen** ('el huw' shama' – it is My will that you genuinely and literally hear him with ongoing implications over time (qal imperfect paragogic nun)). (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:15)

Consistent with everything (ka kol) which to show

the way to the benefits of the relationship ('asher) you asked for while questioning (sha'al min 'im - you requested while inquiring about) Yahowah (Yahowah written as directed by His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence), your God ('elohym 'atah), in Choreb (ba Choreb) during the day of the assembly (ba yowm ha *qahal* – in the time everyone in the community congregated together), when you said (la 'amar – requesting), 'Never again let me hear (lo' yasaph la shama' 'eth – no more, not even one additional time, do I want to listen to) the voice (gowl - the sound) of Yahowah (५)५ - a transliteration of YaHoWaH as instructed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah - existence), my God ('elohym 'any). Nor let me see and witness (wa lo' ra'ah - nor be visibly shown or perceive) this intense fire ('eth ha 'esh ha gadowl ha zo'th – this massively powerful, extensive and important, astonishing and great, growth enabling and magnifying, brilliantly glowing flame of light) anymore ('owd – again, now or in the future), or that notwithstanding, I die (wa lo' muwth),' (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:16)

Therefore (*wa*), **Yahowah** (*YaHoWaH* – an accurate presentation of the name of '*elowah* – God as guided by His *towrah* – instructions regarding His *hayah* – existence) **said to me** ('*amar* '*el* '*any* – responded to me), '**They have properly and successfully conveyed their preference** (*yatab* '*asher dabar* – they have responded as expected under the circumstances, communicating what they want regarding the relationship). (*Dabarym* / Words / Deuteronomy 18:17)

I will raise up (quwm — I will take a stand and establish, confirming) a Prophet (naby') for them (la hem — to approach them and be near them) from among their brothers (min qereb 'achy — from the innermost part of their brethren and relatives (and thus from Yahuwdah)) similar to you (kemow 'atah — in accord with you). And I

will put (wa nathan – I will give, provide, and bestow) My words (dabarym 'any – My message) in his mouth (ba peh huw') and he will convey to them (wa dabar 'el hem) everything, which for the benefit of the relationship ('eth kol 'asher), I instruct him (tsawah huw' – I direct of him). (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:18)

And it shall come to be (wa hayah – it shall exist) that an individual who (ha 'iysh 'asher – that a person, who for the benefit of the relationship, who) will not listen (lo' shama') to My words ('el dabarym 'any), which he shall declare ('asher dabar – which he will share to show the way to the benefits of the relationship and to get the most enjoyment out of life) in My name (ba shem 'any), I, Myself, will seek it of him and hold him accountable for it, requiring it of him ('anoky darash min 'im huw' – I, individually, will inquire about it regarding him and will conduct an investigation to have him accept responsibility concerning it)." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 18:19)

It is a shame that the Yisra'elites chose not to listen to Yahowah and, indeed, to foreclose on the prospect of ever hearing directly from Yahowah again. But even in their self-imposed impoverishment, there would be a blessing. Not only would God speak through Moseh and Yahowsha', Shamuw'el and Dowd, Yasha'yah and Yirmayah, Zakaryah and Yow'el, leaving an enduring written legacy to enrich our lives, one of the reasons that I was afforded this opportunity as a gowy is because Yahowah is on record stating that He wouldn't address His people directly.

But this was their choice, and Yahowah honored their decision. Moseh would be the first of many Prophets who would be asked to communicate the message Yahowah conveyed through them. It was fine with God because He has always preferred working with and through individuals like Moseh. God seldom works alone and He knows that the larger the group, the worse people typically become.

And it has worked well for everyone who is interested in knowing God because, by writing down these prophetic narratives, it's like we were there with them, listening in on these conversations. God does not have to repeat Himself, but we can repeatedly turn to Him. He is always there for us.

Of course, this is the reason that, in the same chapter of Dabarym, Yahowah provided us with the means to know if someone was speaking for Him or just making it up as they went along. It is how we recognize that *Sha'uwl* | Paul was a fraud.

There is a lone prophet who meets the criterion Yahowah was addressing in His Towrah. If you are a Christian and believe that this is prophetic of "Jesus Christ," you would be wrong. First, you cannot credibly claim validation from a book your religion negates — as Paul has done. This is one of many reasons Paul did not cite this prophecy to promote Iesou Christo. Further, since Gospel Jesus wrote nothing down, and no one wrote anything on his behalf for two generations, he's disqualified. This is because, without exception, Yahowah asked His prophets to memorialize what He was revealing to them on scrolls. Making matters worse for the candidacy of Gospel Jesus, there is no evidence that he existed, much less spoke in Yahowah's name. This would disqualify him two times over.

Obviously, for anyone paying attention, the name of the predicted prophet is *Dowd* | David. He is the *Mashyach* | Messiah, *Ben* | Son, and *Melek* | King Paul and Christianity sought to replace. Yahowah put His words in his mouth, and we can listen to him by reading and reciting his *Mizmowr* | Psalms and *Mashal* | Proverbs. There are more extant copies of what Dowd wrote among the scrolls and fragments found along the shore of the Dead Sea than even the Towrah. Moreover, Dowd is returning with Yahowah and will rule over the Earth. Moreover, it was

vital for God to disclose the nature of the man who would fulfill the Migra'ey Moseh was describing.

And let's never forget God's position on His people and family: "Yahowah will lift you up and establish you as a people for Himself, as a set-apart family, to show the way to the benefits of the relationship. He has made a sworn promise to those of you who observe, closely examining and carefully considering, the instructive conditions of the relationship agreement of Yahowah, your God, and walk in His ways." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 28:9)

The purpose of the book Paul demeaned has always been to provide the information required to know God and then become part of His Family. Salvation is a byproduct of that relationship, making the Covenant and its children perfect and enduring. Moseh explained this and Dowd enabled it.

Moseh also iterated the following message on behalf of Yahowah, not Hagar or Ishmael. In it, there is only one Covenant, and the Covenant and the Towrah in which it is presented are inseparable...

"Just as it came to be that Moseh finished writing the words of the Towrah on the written scroll, completing it, Moseh instructed the Lowy who carry Yahowah's Ark of the Covenant, saying, 'Grasp hold of this written documentation of the Towrah and place it beside Yahowah, your God's, Ark of the Covenant, existing there for you as an everlasting witness and eternal testimony." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 31:24-26)

This is an unequivocal refutation of Sha'uwl's claims that Yahowah's Towrah and Covenant are obsolete and unrelated. God's position and Paul's are irreconcilable and mutually exclusive. And that means Sha'uwl lied when he claimed that he spoke for God. As a result, absolutely

nothing he said or wrote should be considered trustworthy.

The Towrah's message, its purpose, and its ongoing place in the lives of those who seek to live with God, remain incongruous with what Sha'uwl has written.

"Moseh instructed them, providing directions by saying, 'At the end of seven years, in the appointed time, the year of canceling debts, releasing debtors from their obligations, during the festival Feast of *Sukah* | Shelters, when all Yisra'el comes to appear before and experience the presence of Yahowah, your God, at the place which relationally He chooses, you should actually and consistently read and recite this *Towrah* | Teaching and Guidance before all Yisra'el so that they can listen to it." (*Dabarym* / Words / Deuteronomy 31:10-11)

It is God's hope that we answer His Invitations and choose to Camp Out with Him during the final celebration of life. Therefore, this would be an opportune time to consider Yahowah's guidance regarding Sukah. He revealed...

"And Yahowah spoke to Moseh, for the purpose of saying, 'Speak to the children of Yisra'el, to say, "On the fifteenth day of the seventh month is the Festival Feast of Sukah Shelters for seven days for you to be near Yahowah. ...For seven days, approach and draw close to the Maternal manifestation of the light to be with Yahowah.

On the eighth day, there exists, and will always be, a set-apart *Miqra*' | Invitation to be Called Out and Meet on your behalf. And you should answer and respond to the invitation, appearing before the enlightening Mother according to Yahowah. Her joyous assembly does not engage in, doing any of the work of the heavenly messenger who is God's spiritual representative.

These Godly and specific designated meetings times of Yahowah, which relationally and beneficially you are invited to attend as set-apart Miqra'ey, as Invitations to be Called Out and Meet, for reading and reciting, are for the purpose of coming near and approaching the Maternal manifestation of the light of Yahowah and are a gift which elevates, a reconciling sacrifice for forgiveness, and also a pouring out of the Word – a day for His day.

As part of the Shabats, the seventh days, the days of promise, the days to celebrate and reflect on the relationship with Yahowah, and as part of your contribution to the relationship, of expressing your freewill and commitment to choose to beneficially give yourself to Yahowah.

Indeed, on the fifteenth day of the seventh month, when you have gathered in your yield from the land, you should celebrate the Festival Feast of Yahowah for seven days. With the first and foremost day, there shall be a *Shabatown* for the promise of empowerment and enrichment, and on the eighth day, a *Shabatown* for reflecting upon and celebrating this opportunity to grow. ...Rejoice and be glad in the presence of Yahowah, your God, for seven days.

Celebrate it as a Festival Feast in association with Yahowah seven days during the year. It is a clearly communicated and inscribed prescription of what you should do in life to live forever, throughout your generations. Celebrate it in the seventh month." (*Qara'* / Called Out / Leviticus 23:33-41)

And that leaves all of us with a clear choice. We can accept God and reject Paul, or we can accept Paul and reject God. But no matter whom you choose to trust or

believe, one thing is certain: Paul lied.

ያለሕ ተ

While the answers are obvious, at least for those who are informed and rational, two questions may remain for the most ardent New Testament advocates. Are all of Paul's nine other epistles (1 & 2 Thessalonians, 1 & 2 Corinthians, Romans, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, and Hebrews), his four personal letters (1 & 2 Timothy, Titus, and Philemon), and the score of speeches throughout Acts as thoroughly errant and repulsive as Galatians? And what motivated Paul to oppose God?

Over the course of *Twistianity*, I have chronicled copious amounts of material gleaned from Paul's letters to Corinth, Thessalonica, Rome, and Ephesus, and we have studied his preaching as it is reflected in Acts, in addition to the morose conclusions he conveyed to Timothy. What we discovered was that they were even less credible and more condemning than what we read in Galatians.

That is not to suggest, however, that nothing Sha'uwl wrote elsewhere was accurate. I cite the following example in Acts 24: "Paul responded: 'But this I will admit to you, that according to 'The Way,' which they call the sect, I do serve the God of our fathers, trusting everything that is in accordance with the Torah and that is written in the Prophets." (Acts 24:14) If that was all that Paul stated, or if the rest of his rhetoric didn't contradict that lone affirming statement, then the verdict regarding his testimony would be different. But the same man also said that he pretended to be Torah observant when it served his interests even though he was not. (1 Corinthians 9:19-23)

The fact is liars lie, but not all the time; otherwise, no one would believe them. To make something false appear

credible, every myth must include some accurate elements. Although, with Paul, strands of truth have been few and far between.

Christian apologists might cite the "Gifts of the Spirit" in 1 Corinthians 12 as evidence that Paul was inspired by God. And yet, most of everything he included in his list was inconsistent with Yah's teaching. Others will protest that the next chapter in Corinthians, which was dedicated to "love," could not possibly be errant, but it is nonetheless – and from beginning to end. What Paul wrote is the antithesis of God's perspective on the same subject. The evidence behind these conclusions is provided as these issues arose in other volumes of *Yada Yahowah* (*Observations*, Volume 5 – *Understanding*, Chapter 7 – *Wanting to be Worshiped*).

It should be obvious to everyone that Sha'uwl was a living contradiction – routinely displaying behaviors that contravened his own testimony. Consistency was never his strong suit.

Recognizing that the preponderance of Galatians is overtly opposed to God's revelation, for there to be any hope of finding some beneficial testimony in the letters to the Thessalonians, Corinthians, Romans, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, or Hebrews, Christian apologists would have to propose that they were written by someone other than the author of Galatians. And yet each was explicitly identified with Paul in their salutations, and each was expressly associated with communities Paul visited according to the book of Acts.

Therefore, the odds Galatians was written by someone other than Paul, the man depicted in Acts, and the author of the other thirteen epistles and letters is remote in the extreme. Consider the required makeup of an imposter and the circumstances under which a con man would have had to operate under to perpetrate such an astonishing fraud...

The Galatians' ghostwriter would have to have convinced the Shim'own Kephas that Paul wrote this letter to the Galatians. And that means the Pauline imposter would have had to have perpetrated his fraud during the height of Paul's fame, and while Shim'own / Peter was still alive because he specifically and adroitly addressed the letter to the Galatians in his second epistle. Considering the number of times these men met, the imposter would have had to have been exceedingly persuasive.

This charlatan, should one have existed, would have had to pull off this stunning fraud without Paul, himself, knowing about it or objecting to it. And therein, the hypothetical scenario of a ghostwriter crumbles because, as anal as Paul was about signing his letters to prevent frauds from being perpetrated, as intense as he was about not allowing anyone to alter his message, as self-indulgent and paranoid as he was, it is ludicrous to think that Paul wouldn't have had a conniption fit over someone pretending to be him and writing a falsified letter in his name.

There is no way to credibly push out the timeline on Galatians beyond Sha'uwl's and Shim'own's lives (which terminated around 65 CE) because these men left a written legacy of their reactions to people around them. Especially relevant, it's apparent that Galatians was written between 51 and 52 CE, and that it was Paul's first letter, composed in the immediate aftermath of the Yaruwshalaim Summit, when nerves were still raw and tempers were flaring. This was all very personal, emotional, self-serving, and self-promoting, and thus very, very Paul. Further, the self-proclaimed "Apostle" would have had twelve subsequent letters in which to expose an imposter – something Paul would have done had there been one.

There are a score of reasons to acknowledge that Galatians was Paul's first letter. It is the only one that details his life story from birth to the Yaruwshalaim Summit. It uniquely strives to validate his calling. It even details the inception of his preaching. In his salutation, Paul uncharacteristically greets the Galatians alone, having been recently separated from Barnabas (Paul's companion while in Galatia) but not yet united with Timothy (whom Paul would meet in the immediate aftermath of the Yaruwshalaim Summit).

Further, the Galatians epistle shares something in common with those penned in haste to Corinth and Thessalonica – in that these hurried and defiant replies were written to the three most rebellious assemblies Sha'uwl encountered – and he rebuked and demeaned them for it. And since we know that he crafted both of his letters to the Thessalonians and to the Corinthians within two years of his initial visits to these places, it's instructive to know that the timeline which can be deduced from the book of Acts places Paul and Barnabas in Lystra, Galatia in 48 to 49 CE.

Moreover, this con man would have had to fool Barnabas and Timothy, and then Luke as well. But knowing Luke's penchant for detail, that would have been unlikely. If Luke smelled a fraud, his suspicions would have been chronicled in Acts.

But there is more to consider. A potential impostor would have to have studied the Torah, Prophets, and Psalms. In Galatians, and again in Romans, the author cited (albeit misquoted) Habakkuk, a book most people don't even know exists. He identified a passage which could be misconstrued to associate the Torah with a curse. He even recognized that *zera*, the Hebrew word for "seed," was singular throughout Genesis. And yet this imposter would have to have despised the Torah sufficiently to dedicate himself to denying its purpose. You could count such individuals on one hand and not use all of your fingers, meaning that the pool of potential applicants in line to impersonate Paul in the mid-1st century would have been

nil. In fact, there was just one: Paul himself.

Should there have been a pretender, the con man would have to have been schooled sufficiently in Rabbinic Law to pass himself off as a former Pharisee who trained under Gamaliel – an esteemed religious teacher of his day. And yet, he would have to have hated Judaism sufficiently to demean the religion and condemn Jews – positioning them as the faith's foe. And while it is not uncommon, even today, to find Jews who are self-destructive and self-loathing, Paul's condemnation of his own people in 1st Thessalonians 2:14-16 is a league apart – uniquely qualifying Sha'uwl as the anti-Semite who wrote Galatians and then inspired most of the Christian New Testament.

Should Galatians have been penned by a mystery writer, the perpetrator would have had to have received some training in Hebrew, Greek, and Aramaic, as well as in classic literature and mythology, at a time when just being literate was rare. Keep in mind, while Paul's message has been hard to decipher, that's partly because elitists of the day sought to impress one another by communicating in the fewest possible Greek words, leaving the reader with the challenge of correctly interpreting them. And that is some of what we are witnessing in Galatians and is why the New Living Translation has more than doubled the letter's word count in their attempt to convey its intent.

The ghostwriter, should there have been one, would have to have accompanied Paul and known the timing and nature of his travels during a time bereft of rapid or public communications. He would have had to know intimate details about his life, including the grotesque physical stigmata he bore while visiting the Galatians. He would have had to have known what Paul said to this audience during his previous visit and also know why this remote province was now rebelling against him. And he would have to have had a reason to intervene in the midst of a nasty argument and then somehow benefit from such

animosity.

The supposed Galatians' imposter would have had to be willing to perpetrate a fraud to artificially elevate Paul's status above the disciples in the midst of conceiving a new religious faith. And yet the only person in recorded history known to hold such views, and to be similarly motivated, Marcion, had not even been born when this fraud would have had to have been perpetrated. Further, in the case of Marcion, entire tomes have been written to marginalize him, yet nothing was ever said about this hypothetical ghostwriter who would have been vastly more influential.

Pseudo Paul would have had to have been a party to the Yaruwshalaim Summit because, rather than coming up with an entirely different story, he was clever enough to twist what actually occurred such that it would serve the wannabe apostle's peculiar agenda. Thereafter, he would have to have been in the room when Sha'uwl condemned Shim'own for hastily leaving a meal – and to have had a reason for demeaning him. And why, if this person wasn't Paul, was he so obviously angry and so emotionally involved in Paul's affairs?

What's more, this imposter would have had to be skilled at impersonating Paul's handwriting because the last half of the last chapter of Galatians claims to have been penned in Paul's hand. And that would have been especially challenging since it is obvious that this is the first letter Paul wrote — such that he would have been matching handwriting no one save Paul had seen. Moreover, the charlatan would have had to have had Paul's jargon down pat, including knowing his propensity to use *alla, charis, euangelion, stoicheion*, and *pistis*, in addition to the now ubiquitous: "but I, Paulos, say..."

To exonerate Paul from the stigma of having written Galatians, the supposed ghostwriter would have had to have hired a courier whom the Galatians would have trusted as one of Paul's emissaries. And then he would have had to convince the leaders of wealthier assemblies to pay scribes to copy his fraudulent letter and include it in codices with other Pauline epistles.

And along these lines, since we know that Paul wrote a letter to the Galatians, if the one we have is a fraud, the pretender would have had to have confiscated Paul's original before replacing it with his own and to have done so without anyone noticing. I say this because the time interval is not sufficient for an authentic Galatians epistle to have arrived, been circulated, and then been forgotten so that the imposter's letter could have replaced it without anyone noticing that they were different.

And lastly, Paul's letter to Rome reprises the climax of Galatians – the existence of two covenants, one of the flesh, the other of the promise. This was Paul's amazingly clever, albeit devastatingly deadly, means to circumvent the Torah, bypassing it by going from Abram to Iesou, with nothing in between. It is the crowning achievement of Pauline Doctrine, his signature. Therefore, the man who wrote Romans also wrote Galatians.

As we have discovered, 2nd Corinthians was penned by a man whose ego, credibility, and spirit were a perfect match for those on display throughout this epistle. Moreover, the adversarial approach conveyed throughout the Thessalonian letters is consistent with what we have read in Galatians.

But even if someone could pull off the greatest fraud in history, why would they? Who in the 1st century benefited from defending Paul by attacking God? Who else met the criterion of the devastating prophecies Yahowah and Dowd leveled against this man?

As we have learned, Galatians is all about Paul, about his childhood, his education, his qualifications, his preaching, his detractors, and his trials and tribulations, even his personal issues with God. Within its text, we find the author referring to himself as the mother of the faithful, as the parent of his spiritual children, as the perfect example to follow, as a person who can do no wrong, and as someone who cannot lie – themes which are echoed in the other epistles attributed to him. So, if Paul didn't write it, why would anyone ascribe such a lofty status to another, especially in the midst of a letter purported to speak on behalf of God?

How was it possible that a copy of Galatians was included in the midst of the oldest extant codex containing Paul's epistles: Papyrus 46? There we find in the order of their appearance: Romans, Hebrews (which was either written by Paul or one of his disciples), 1st and 2nd Corinthians, Ephesians, Galatians, Philippians, Colossians, and 1st Thessalonians. And since P46 is dated to the 2nd century, there would be no way to attribute this fraud, if it is such, to someone writing at a time when everyone who had actually known Sha'uwl was long since dead.

With Galatians, Paul did more than just sign his name. The last chapter attests to having been penned in his own hand. He even commands believers to pay particular attention to the specific characteristics of his handwriting so that they could use it later to verify the veracity of subsequent epistles.

Recognizing also that Sha'uwl knew the Torah, that he was an expert in Judaism, that he was a spirited, albeit irrational debater, and that he was fixated on proving his calling, all of which are prerequisites for authorship, that leaves us with only one viable alternative: that the person depicted in Acts and associated with the epistles to the Thessalonians, Corinthians, Romans, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, Hebrews, and Timothy was the author of Galatians as well.

Therefore, the only informed and rational conclusion

is that Paul wrote Galatians to establish a new religion. As a result, the best possible spin we can put on this disastrous tome is that he was clearly angry and may well have dashed off an emotional response that, from a soberer perspective, he should have thrown away. But, then again, Paul's ego was way too big for sober reflection.

ያለሕ>

You do not need me to tell you that Sha'uwl was the Plague of Death... Yahowah affirmed this in no uncertain terms in *Chabaquwq* / Habakkuk – 666 years in advance, no less.

You do not need me to tell you that Paul was a false prophet... We now know that he failed all of Yahowah's tests in *Dabarym* / Deuteronomy 13 and 18.

You do not need me to tell you that Sha'uwl lied... His testimony regarding our means to become part of God's family was in complete conflict with Yahowah's Towrah.

You do not need me to explain what happened on the road to Damascus. Paul confessed to the crime. And in this regard, even Gospel Jesus would denounce what the wannabe Apostle claimed that he saw on the road to Damascus. Describing Satan's fall from heaven, and our dominion over him, Luke, in 10:18, translates Gospel Jesus saying:

"But then (de) he said (eipon) to them (autois – addressing the seventy witnesses he had sent out), I saw (theoreo – I was watching) the Adversary, Satan (ton Satanan – the Devil who opposes; a transliteration of the Hebrew satan – adversary and antagonist who slanders and accuses in opposition), as (hos – like and similar to, approximating) lightning, a bright beam of flashing light (astraphe – a ray of light in the form of a natural, weather-

based phenomenon like lightning; from *astrapto* – a shining and dazzling object) **from** (ek – out of) **the heavens** ($tou\ ouranos$ – the sky and the spiritual abode of God), **having fallen** (pipto – descending to a lower realm, now prostrate, bowed, failed, and inadequate).

Behold (*idou* – now pay attention, indeed), **I have given you** (*didomi umin* – I have offered and provided to you all) **the authority, ability, and opportunity** (*ten exousia* – the legal jurisdiction and authorization, the control, power, choice, and right) **to trample** (*tou pateo* – to step and tread underfoot, to crush, subdue, subjugate, and devastate), **being superior to** (*epano* – being above and having authority over), **serpents** (*ophis* – venomous snakes which serve as a metaphor for Satan and his fellow demons) **and scorpions** (*kai skorpios* – poisonous insects which sting and supernatural demonic powers, from *skopos*, skeptics who conceal).

So, upon (*kai epi*) **the entirety of** (*pas* – all of) **the Adversary's** (*tou echthros* – the hated and odious hostile enemy's) **power** (*dynamis* – ability and rule, capability and strength, especially the performance of miracles), **therefore** (*kai*), **you** (*umas*) **will absolutely never be harmed by his fraudulent deceit** (*ouden ou me adikeo* – will not be injured by his wrongdoing and injustice or his violation of the standard)." (Luke 10:18-19)

Now, let's compare that to Paul's depiction of what he experienced: "But (de) to me (moi) it happened (ginomai – it came to be), traveling (poreuomai – going to) and (kai) approaching (engizo – nearing) Damascus (te Damasko – a transliteration of Damaskos, the capital of Syria; from the Hebrew Dameseq, a compound of dam and tsedeq: justice torn asunder leaves the righteous weeping) around noon (peri mesembrian – near midday), suddenly and unexpectedly (exaiphnes – unforeseen and immediately) from (ek – out of) the sky (tou ouranou – the atmosphere (singular masculine)), a nearby lightning

strike (*periastraphai* – lightning glittering roundabout, shining brightly all around, flashing nearby; a compound of *peri* – about, near, and concerning, and *astrape* – lightning, a beam or flashing ray of bright light which dazzles (aorist as a moment in time unrelated to any plan, active and thus doing the flashing or striking, and infinitive, turning glittering into a verbal noun)), **sufficient and adequate** (*hikanos* – enough) **light** (*phos*) **about** (*peri* – around and concerning) **me** (*eme*)." (Acts 22:6)

Paul's depiction was eerily similar to the way Gospel Jesus would describe the fall of Satan. Paul even used the same words. As such, it is remarkable that Christians disregard the accurate prophecy to embrace the false prophet.

He even went on to say: "And (kai) do not (ou) wonder (thauma – marvel at this miraculous vision, nor be amazed in admiration) for, indeed (gar), he (autos), the Adversary Satan (Satanas), changes his appearance (metaschematizo – masquerades, disguising himself, transforming his image) into (eis) a spiritual, heavenly messenger (angelos – divine representative) of light (photos)." (2 Corinthians 11:14) How's that for an admission of guilt?

You do not need me to tell you who Sha'uwl heard on that frightful day. The false prophet already did so by quoting the false god, Dionysus... "And everyone (te pas) of us (emon) having fallen down (katapipto – having descended from one level to another, lower one) to the earth (eis ten ge), I heard (akouo – I paid attention, listening, comprehending, and obeying) a voice (phone – a sound, crying out) saying to me (lego pros ego – speaking according to me) in the (te) Hebrew (Hebrais) language (dialektos), 'Sha'uwl, Sha'uwl (Saoul, Saoul – a transliteration of the Hebrew name, Sha'uwl, meaning "Question Him," a designation synonymous with She'owl – the pit of the dead), Why (tis) are you actually pursuing

me (dioko me – are you following me, really striving with such intense effort to reach me, hastening and zealously running toward me)? It's hard (skleros – it's demanding and difficult, even rough, harsh, violent, and cruel, especially offensive and intolerable) for you (soi) to resist (laktizo – to kick, to strike with the heel) against (pros) the goad (kentron – a pointed sharp stick used to prick and prod and thus control animals featuring the stinger of a deadly scorpion with the power to ruin and kill, making resistance vain or perilous)." (Acts 26:14)

Paul deliberately put a popular pagan proverb into the aberration's mouth in the third of his three depictions of his conversion experience in Acts 26:14. In this instance, he was defending himself before King Agrippa.

Paul's citation came from Euripides' *The Bacchae*, where "rebelling against the goad" was used to describe the consequence of personally having to endure the havoc and madness that would be wrought by the Greek god, Dionysus, on the kingdom if someone refused to worship him. But before we consider why Dionysus was chosen by Paul (or Satan), please note the intersection between the "scorpions" in the demonic reference and Sha'uwl's quote. This, too, is telling.

When we examine the myths that grew out of Satan's religious counterfeits, we find that the Grecian mythology regarding Dionysus provides the closest counterfeit to Gospel Jesus, which is why it was usurped to make the Christian Iesou Christo appear divine. Just as the mangod's blood was represented by wine, Dionysus (Bacchus in Roman mythology) was the god of wine. He died each fall but was reborn at the Winter Solstice (December 25th on the Julian calendar) and then was supposedly resurrected each spring. This "renewal," became an annual religious festival celebrating the promise of an afterlife – akin to the Christian Easter. Held over the course of five days each Spring, the Dionysia set the stage for the

Christian replacement of Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children, with Palm Sunday ("Passion Sunday"), Maundy Thursday ("institution of Communion"), Good Friday ("death and burial of Jesus"), Holy Saturday (where "Jesus rested in the grave" during the last day of the Babylonian festival of Lent, where there was great weeping for Tammuz – the son of the sun), and Easter Sunday – named after the Babylonian Queen of Heaven and Mother of God, Astarte / Ishtar.

Just as Gospel Jesus is alleged to have had a divine father and a mortal mother, Dionysus' father was said to be Zeus (the father of the gods)), whereas his mother was mortal (Semele). And by his death and resurrection, Dionysus was responsible for liberating his believers and thereby providing the faithful with eternal salvation, in complete harmony with being liberated from the Torah by way of faith in Paul's Gospel.

Also intriguing, Paul's beloved Charis, the Roman Gratia, were the progeny of Dionysus and Aphrodite according to some Greek myths (although more commonly of Zeus and Eurynome). Paul was likely inspired in this regard by the reverence he experienced in Lystra, Galatia in 48 CE, where he and Barnabas were worshiped as Zeus (king of the gods) and Hermes (messenger of the gods).

You do not need me to tell you that Paul deceived believers when he claimed to have represented the mythical Iesou Christou. But that does not seem possible since Gospel Jesus told his disciples to be wary of the likes of Paul. His Olivet Discourse (which may have been spoken by Dowd and then wrongly attributed to the imposter) began with:

"And Iesous (I Σ), having responded judgmentally (apokrinomai – having answered using discernment to separate fact from fiction; a compound of apo – from, and krino – separation, thereby being discriminating), said to

(eupen — spoke to) them (autos — speaking of his Disciples), 'It's important that you are observant and that you pay attention, presently being aware and perceptive (blepete — choose to look closely and watch out, consider carefully and be discerning, think so that you understand (present active imperative)), lest (ue) someone (tis) will try to cause you to wander away from the truth (planeon umas — he will intentionally deceive and will probably try to delude you, attempting to lead you astray (aorist active subjunctive)). (Matthew 24:4)

The Olivet Discourse is exclusive to Matthew, as was the Sermon on the Mount, which would be inexplicable for the last of the Gospels, and a hearsay text that wasn't compiled until sixty years after the fact, if it were not for the 'Ebownym who likely knew and wrote about the Messiah Dowd. And should this be from the Son of God, he told those listening "to pay attention and to be careful, lest someone will cause you to wander away from the truth, deceiving and deluding you." Since this warning was stated specifically to and for those accompanying him, might this someone be Paul, and the occasion be the Yaruwshalaim Summit? And if not him, who? If not then, when?

Recognizing that Dowd communicated in Hebrew, and the 'Ebownym recorded him speaking in his native tongue, it is likely that this citation was plagiarized by an imposter. As such, it would have been incorporated into the text around 95 CE using a now-extinct Hebrew record. This material was then copied in Alexandria a century later in a religious scriptorium. There is considerable uncertainty regarding what the placeholder may have represented...

"For (gar - because) many (polys) will come (erchomai) in (en - [from Papyrus 70]) my (mou) name (onoma - reputation), saying (lego - claiming), 'I (ego) represent (eimi - am, exist for, belong to, and I stand for) the (o) Christos $(X\Sigma - a)$ placeholder could have been used to convey *Chestus* or *Christos* in Greek or *Mashyach* in

Hebrew). And so (kai) many (polys) they will mislead (planaomai – they deceive and delude, causing to go astray)." (Matthew 24:5)

I would count the billions of Christians who have been led away from Yahowah and His Towrah, who have been deceived and deluded by Paul's Gospel, as "many." In fact, it would be impossible to identify another individual who has misled more people than Paul.

"Then (tote) if (ean) someone (tis) might say (eipon – may speak) to you (umeis), 'Behold (idou – indeed, suddenly now, look, and pay special attention, emphasizing that), here in this place (hode), the Messiah / Christ (o $X\Sigma$ – this placeholder could have been used to convey Chestus, Christos, or Mashyach),' or (e), 'In this case, over there (hode),' you should not think that this is trustworthy or reliable (me pisteuo)" (Matthew 24:23)

Paul claimed to have seen his Christos on the road to Damascus, and then again in Arabia. And he is the only one to have made such a claim within the lifetimes of those in this audience. Therefore, the sandal still fits Paul and him alone.

"Because (gar) those pretending to be useful implements Doing the Work (pseudochrestui) and (kai) false prophets (pseudoprophetai) will arise and take a stand (egeiromai – arousing and stirring the comatose), and (kai) they will give (didomi – they will claim the authority to provide, offer or bestow) many great (megas – significant and surprising, important and astonishing) signs (semeion) and (kai) wonders (teras – miraculous and portentous events) in order to (hoste – therefore as a result to) momentarily deceive and mislead (planao – in a moment in time attempt to delude, temporarily wandering away from the truth so lead astray (aorist active)), if possible (ei dynatos – if able), even (kai) those who choose to be called out (tous eklektos – those who select

and are selected because of the word, from *ek*, out of, and *legos*, the Word)." (Matthew 24:24)

This is obviously predictive of when Paulos took his stand against God and rose up before those who would have known Dowd as the Messiah in Yaruwshalaim. Sha'uwl even tried to impress them by bragging about the "signs and wonders" he had performed using the exact same phrasing they had warned about. Therefore, should this narrative be accurate, they should have remembered this conversation and responded appropriately. And so should we. Paul continues to fail every test.

Should this have been the Messiah Dowd addressing contemporaries, he was even more specific regarding Paul, tailoring his prophetic prediction to reflect the wannabe Apostle's boast that he would meet with him in Arabia...

"Pay close attention (idou – indeed look, being especially observant, encouraging the listener to focus upon this subject), I've told vou this beforehand, **forewarning you** (proeipon umin – I have spoken to you about this previously, predicting in advance that it will actively and actually occur in your future (perfect active indicative)). (24:25) Then when, therefore (ean oun indeed when the condition is met and surely), someone says to you (eiposin umin), 'Look, suddenly (idou calling everyone's attention to emphasize a narrative), in the wilderness (en te eremo – in a deserted, remote, and uninhabited place in the desert) it is currently present (estin – it is presently, actively, and actually (present tense, active voice, indicative mood in the third person, singular and thus "it exists," and not "I exist"), vou should not leave (me exerchomai – you ought not go forth). Indeed, **you** (*idou* – emphasizing this to you) **in the** (*en tois*) **inner room** (tameion – the reserved and secure chamber of a household and storehouse where [the Spirit] will be distributed) should not consider this to be truthful (me pisteuo – you should not think that this is reliable)."

(Matthew 24:25-26)

Juxtapose this with Paul's claim to have encountered Iesou Christo on the road to Damascus, and then to meeting with him in Arabia, and we discover, once again, Paul is not only a perfect fit for this warning, he is the only candidate who made these claims within the lifetimes of this audience. So, either Dowd, now represented by Gospel Jesus, erred in this prophecy, or he was warning us not to trust Sha'uwl's claims.

You do not need me to tell you that Paul and his traveling companions were the only men who claimed to be "Apostles" in Ephesus during the short time span covered in Revelation...

"I am aware of and recognize (oida) your (sou) works and undertakings (ergon – the things you have responded to and have engaged in), the difficult and **exhausting encounters** (kai ton kopos – the bothersome troubling burdens encountered), and vour unswerving and enduring perseverance (kai ten hypomone – continual steadfastness and unwavering dependability, fortitude under circumstances where others would succumb) and that (kai oti) you cannot possibly accept, tolerate, support, nor endure (ou dynamai bastazo - vou haven't the will, desire, ability, or state of mind to take up with, walk along side of, lift up, or carry forward, advance, sustain, or promote) that which is incorrect, immoral, injurious, pernicious, destructive, or baneful (kakos – errant, wicked, wrong, evil, harmful, noisome, morally corrupt, diseased, culpable, mischievous, demonic, or hurtful having an ill effect, a bad nature which is not as it ought to be, and a mode of thinking, feeling, or acting which is invalid).

And you have observed, examined, and objectively tested (*kai peirazo* – you have scrutinized, coming to learn the nature and character of others through inquiry, judging

them and catching the mistakes of) **those who claim and maintain** (*tous phasko* – those who say, affirm, profess, declare, promise, or preach) **of themselves** (*eautous*) **that they are** (*eimi*) **Apostles** (*apostolos* – special messengers who are prepared and sent forth) **but are not** (*kai ouk eisin*). **And** (*kai*) **you have found them** (*heurisko autos* – you have examined and scrutinized them, you have come to understand, discovering and learning through closely observing them, that they are) **false, deceitful, and deliberate liars** (*pseudes* – are pretending to be something they are not, they are erroneous deceivers)." (Revelation 2:2)

Frankly, this assessment, and those that follow, are so specific it's a wonder Paul's reputation survived them. Especially relevant in this regard is that Ephesus was the only city listed among the seven described in the Revelation letters where Paul and his pals were known to have preached. And it is the only one with a warning against false Apostles. Surely this is not a coincidence.

Further, this indictment was scribed in the present tense and within years of the time Sha'uwl wrote his letter to the Ephesians. Considering the fact that Paul and his traveling companions were the only men who claimed to be Apostles in Ephesus during this short span of time, Sha'uwl was being condemned as an "errant, demonic, deceitful, charlatan." And it only gets worse from there because John would go on to speak of Paul and Pals as the "Synagogue of Satan." He said that they were Jezebelian in their advancement of the religion of Ba'al.

We are without excuse. Christians cannot claim that they were not warned about this devil.

But there is even more to this prediction than just a scathing indictment against Paul in the form of praise for not acquiescing to his false teachings. The voice of Revelation would go on to suggest that, while the Ephesians rejected the "self-proclaimed Apostle," they ingested some of his poison:

"Nevertheless, I hold (echo – regard, count, and consider) this against (kata – in opposition to, as something that is depressing about, a downer concerning) you, that you have forsaken (aphiemi – laid aside and sent away, departed from and left, dismissed, divorced, neglected, abandoned, and rejected) your first (protos – foremost, most important, influential, honorable, and desirable) love (agape – familial devotion, benevolence, object of affection, and moral and caring friendship).

Remember (*mnemoneuo* – be mindful of, think about, make mention of and respond to) therefore the source from whence (*pothen* – the place, origin, and condition from where and why) you have descended from a higher place to a lower one (*ekpipto* – fallen and dropped away, become thrust down and lowered, gone from standing upright to prostrate, bowing down and falling under judgment, overcome by the attack of demonic spirits who bring grief, terror, and death).

Change your perspective and attitude and think differently (metanoeo — reconsider and change your mindset) and bring forth the most desirable investments of your time, works and deeds, or else I will suddenly remove your lampstand from its place unless you reconsider, changing your thinking and your attitude (metanoeo)." (Revelation 2:4-5)

The proof that Paul and his associates preached in Ephesus, that they presented a contrarian view, and thus singled themselves out as being the deceitful liars who were falsely claiming to be apostles, is recorded by Luke. And while we considered this evidence previously, when it comes to knowing the truth, a little reinforcement is always a good idea – especially when myths are prevalent, and the consequences are this significant.

Corrected and amplified modestly from the Nestle-Aland McReynolds Interlinear's presentation, here is the testimony which demonstrates conclusively that Paul and his disciples represented the false apostles of whom Revelation spoke:

"But it became opportune for Apollos to be in Corinth, so Paulos, having gone through the uppermost parts, came down to Ephesus so as to find some disciples. (Acts 19:1)

But he said against and regarding them, 'If conditionally, the holy spirit you received having trusted the ones but not him, then not the holy spirit there is we heard.' (Acts 19:2)

He said, 'But into what then were you immersed?' And they said, 'Into Yahowchanan's immersion.' (Acts 19:3) But Paulos said, 'Yahowchanan immersed an immersion to change the minds of the people, saying to those coming after him that they might believe this is in the Iesous.' (Acts 19:4)

So having heard, they were immersed into the name of the Lord Iesou. (Acts 19:5) And having set on them the hands of Paulou, it came, the spirit of the holy on them. They were speaking but in tongues and were uttering prophecies. All men were as the twelve." (Acts 19:6-7)

While it is impossible based upon the writing quality to know for certain what actually happened, it appears that Paul was threatened by the information he received from Apollos in Corinth. He knew that his message was vastly different than everyone else's and he was convinced that one or more of them was treading upon his turf by speaking to these Gentiles. So, he headed south, arriving in Ephesus to find the disciples who had challenged him. When he arrived, rather than meeting with Shim'own / Peter or Yahowchanan / John, Sha'uwl sought to undermine them,

suggesting that the Spirit they received as a result of responding to Yahowchanan was not the right Spirit – substituting one of his own.

Then this dialogue gets a bit murky because Paul's next sentence has two hypothetical conditions, three buts, and a negation in the original Greek text. Navigating through them, it appears that Paul was troubled by the idea that the Ephesians had been immersed in Yahowchanan's message. Paul immediately claimed that Yahowchanan had instituted unauthorized changes. He then questioned the nature of the Spirit they had received. After listening to Paul's contrarian view, a dozen Ephesians were baptized by Paul, with Paul laying his hands on them. This then imbued these men with an entirely different spirit, one which caused them to blather on in tongues, believing that they were inspired prophets. But whatever they were saying, the twelve were now Sha'uwl's disciples, just as Gospel Jesus had chosen twelve.

It is telling, however, that Gospel Jesus never immersed or baptized anyone, so there is no need for it and no established way to do it. Therefore, it was absurd to suggest that Yahowchanan's technique was wrong and Sha'uwl's was right. Further, baptism is not the means Yahowah or Covenant depicts for participation in God's Family. There is no mention of it anywhere in the Towrah.

Unfortunately, Paul was just warming up. His hypocrisy was in full bloom as he presented his Euangelion as the alternative to observing Yahowah's Towrah, which he mislabeled as an onerous set of laws. And while there is no Hebrew word for "obey," and while Torah does not mean "law," Sha'uwl routinely demanded that his audience obey him...

"But having gone into the synagogue he was preaching fearlessly for three months, disputing (dialegomai – arguing and contending) and persuading (*peitho* – to coax followers to become disciples and to seduce them to obey) **about the kingdom of the god.** (Acts 19:8)

But some were being stubborn (*sklerynomai* – were being hardheaded and obstinate, even offensive and intolerable, refusing to listen) and they were disobedient (*apeitheo* – they were disobeying, refusing to believe, rejecting faith, being noncompliant, rebellious, and insubordinate), speaking abusively of and maligning (*kakologeo* – cursing and badmouthing, insulting and denouncing) the way before the crowd.

Having revolted against, forsaken, and alienated them (aphistamai – abandoned, avoiding association with them), he appointed and marked off boundaries, separating (aphorize – he set aside and excluded in an attempt to get rid of) the Disciples (tous mathetes – those who had been taught) through daily disputes (dialegomai – arguments and speeches presenting a different message) in the lecture hall of Tyrannus. (Acts 19:9)

And this took place for two years so that everyone residing in Asia heard the word of the Lord, both Judeans and Greeks." (Acts 19:10) (We are continuing to rely on the Nestle-Aland's McReynolds English Interlinear to recount Paul's testimony, while augmenting and clarifying it using the most highly regarded lexicons.)

If you recall, Revelation specifically stated that there were some in Ephesus who did not believe the false apostle, a reality which has been resoundingly born out in Paul's own words. And while the Ephesians were commended for rejecting the liar and his lies, Sha'uwl saw them differently. The very people one commended, the other condemned, calling them "sklerynomai – stubborn, hardheaded, and obstinate, even offensive and intolerable for refusing to listen." Based upon skleros, Paul viewed those he could not beguile as "hard, harsh, and rough men who were stern,

intolerant, offensive, and violent." That's almost funny considering the source.

Sha'uwl went on say that his rivals were *apeitheo*, which means that he saw the disciples as being "insubordinate" because they "disobeyed him and rejected his faith." If that does not get your attention, considering whom he was rebelling against, you may want to check your pulse. One of the most egotistical and presumptuous men to ever purport to speak for God called his rivals, the men Gospel Jesus had chosen, "*apeitheo* – disobedient," and that was because they "*apeitheo* – refused to believe" him when his message differed from the one God had conveyed to them in word and deed.

Paul was laying down the law, his law, to which everyone had to obey or suffer the consequences. There was a new Lord in town.

Contentious to the bitter end, Paul once again bragged of "dialegomai — arguing against and disputing" the disciples because their "thinking was markedly different." But this time, Paul had given up preaching in synagogues — in the place where there would have been men who actually knew something of the Towrah. Sha'uwl turned instead to the "Tyrannos Schole," where Tyrannos denotes "the Lord is a Tyrant." There should be no mistaking that Paul's Lord was indeed a despot seeking supremacy. And Paul was lecturing on his behalf.

Although I have shared it repeatedly, it is a little-known fact that if Paul's preaching is reflected in his letters, he never accurately conveyed anything Gospel Jesus said. In just one of his thirteen letters, he made a brief passing attempt, citing a few words spoken about the connection between the fulfillment of Passover and the Covenant, albeit taking his testimony completely out of context while misquoting him to infer that this covenant would be new and different. So rest assured, when Sha'uwl

claims that everyone in Asia heard him "preach the word of the Lord," he was preaching Satan's mantra. Reinforcing this reality, Yahowah consistently refers to the Adversary as "ba'al – lord" because Satan craves supremacy, mastery, control, obedience, subordination, enslavement, and ownership." Sha'uwl's predilection for these very same things is revealing.

Albeit in Hebrew, not Greek, and in the Towrah, not New Testament, Yahowah tells us that "dunamis – ability, inherent power, miracles, signs, and wonders" typify braggadocious false prophets. But since Christians do not listen to him in deference to Paul, they typically associate such things with their god. And yet here, Paul is saying that God had nothing to do with them. His supernatural power and his extraordinary mastery and skill were the work of his hands, conceived, fashioned, and brought forth without God's assistance.

"The ability to perform miraculous miracles and powerful supernatural wonders (dynamis), and not having obtained in association with the god (te ou tas tygchano o theos – having disclaimed an experience with, having disavowed happening upon or meeting with, even having relationship with God), were performed through the hands of (dia ton cheiron – by way of the person, authority, control, and power of) Paulou." (Acts 19:11)

I realize that this sounds too incriminating to be true, not unlike Paul admitting to being both insane and demonpossessed. I encourage skeptics to verify the meaning of *te* (likewise and corresponding to, serving as the marker of a relationship), *ou* (constituting a negation and denial), *tas* (the definite article in the accusative form), and especially *tygchano* for yourself. It was negated in this statement by "ou – not in any way" and precedes "tas theos – of God." Therefore, in this context, it denotes "having disclaimed an experience with God, having disavowed happening upon or meeting with God, and of not having a relationship with

God."

And while that is incriminating, by turning to *tygchano*'s secondary connotation, we find Paul admitting to "not hitting the mark regarding extraordinary and unexpected performances which require uncommon skill." Therefore, it appears that the very attitude that got Satan expelled from heaven was now afflicting Paulos.

And his legend grew with these fanciful claims...

"Also, upon the weak was to be carried away from the skin of him, handkerchiefs or aprons and to be settled upon them the illnesses and annoying spirits (pneumata ta poneros — worthless, morally corrupt, seriously faulty, toilsome, and wicked spirits) to depart out and leave." (Acts 19:12)

Paul is claiming that napkins or aprons were placed upon his skin and then carried to those who were sick, and that, as a result, annoying spirits were exorcised from the diseased. This is creepy in the extreme, not unlike today's charlatans who fleece their flock by pretending to heal the sick during religious spectacles. It is another case of Paul claiming to be divine. But this time, he was also incriminating himself by suggesting that "evil spirits" cause "disease" and must be "exorcised" to heal the "sick."

The "spirits to depart out" were called "poneros – annoying, burdensome, harassing, troublesome, wicked, corrupt, worthless, faulty, and criminal." It is the same revolting word Paul associated with "the old system," which he later identified as the Torah. And here, the Spirit associated with Yahowchanan / John was the one rejected by Sha'uwl / Paul and replaced by another of his choosing during the baptism. Therefore, I suspect that the reason Paul saw the Set-Apart Spirit as "annoying" is that She was opposed to everything he said and did.

When Paul's own testimony is considered as a legacy

of Revelation's denunciation of the apostles of Ephesus, it is Paul alone who is convicted of that charge. His confession was also scribed in his first letter to Timothy.

"Paulos, Apostle of Christou Iesou by mandate, command, and direct order of God, deliverer of us, and Christou Iesou, the hope of us, (1 Timothy 1:1) to Timothy, genuine, lawful, and legitimate child in faith, grace, mercy, peace from god, father, and Christou Iesou, the Lord of us. (1 Timothy 1:2)

In as much as I pleaded with you to remain longer and continue on in Ephesus while I was proceeding to Macedonia in order that you might command certain individuals not to teach a different doctrine..." (1 Timothy 1:3)

As clearly as words allow, Paulos was confessing to the crime committed in Ephesus. Sha'uwl admitted that Ephesus was the primary battleground in his war against more appropriate teaching as it had been conveyed through Yahowchanan / John – their first love. Having fought for years against both, Paul would deploy every resource to keep God's emissaries at bay.

Seeking to undermine the Towrah with its long genealogies (wherein the beneficiaries of the Covenant are documented), Paul wrote: "...nor give oneself over to myths and fables or endless genealogies with unlimited family lineages, or whatever worthless speculation and aimless arguments they maintain and cling to instead of, alternatively, the administration and oversight of god in the faith or belief system." (1 Timothy 1:4)

"They were disabled through avoidance, straying and turning away by meaningless conversations, idle and empty talk, senseless and vain words. (1 Timothy 1:6)

Deciding and desirous of being teachers of the

Towrah, not ever providing or understanding, considering, or comprehending it, neither in what they say nor what they are concerned about and state with such confidence, insisting upon, maintaining, and proclaiming so assuredly. (1 Timothy 1:7)

But we have come to be somewhat aware that the good use of the Towrah is if conditionally someone might deal with it correctly in accordance to the rules. (1 Timothy 1:8)

Having realized this, the Towrah is not in place for the righteous or saved, but for the Towrahless, for the disobedient who are not subject to religious beliefs, for unholy sinners and disobedient outcasts who are mistaken, for those who are accessible and openminded who kill their own fathers, and for murderers of their own mothers, those slaughtering mankind, (1 Timothy 1:9) for the sexually immoral and perverted. for homosexual pedophiles and sodomites, for slave traders and kidnappers, for liars and perjurers who provide false witness, and also if some other, different, or alternative thing be opposed to the accurate and sound doctrine (1 Timothy 1:10) in accord with the beneficial message of the brilliant and glorious, the blessed and fortunate god which was entrusted to me, myself." (1 Timothy 1:11)

With this confession, Revelation's warning regarding the false apostles operating in Ephesus becomes nearly as incriminating as Yahowah's prophetic revelation in *Chabaquwq* | Habakkuk.

ያለሕ ተ

Twistianity V4: Incredible ...Faith is for Fools

4

Harpax | Self-Promoting

It Was Obvious...

Paul, to the exclusion of all other individuals, became the living embodiment of the warning offered throughout the Sermon on the Mount. The admonition, however, has fallen on deaf ears, and it has remained unheralded ever since. In light of what we have read, every word seems to undermine Paul's credibility and, with it, the foundation of Christianity.

As we pursue this speech for the second time, I would like to reiterate several insights shared previously. Luke copied Mark and Matthew copied Mark and Luke, and to such an extent that, without plagiarism, the last Gospel would disappear. The 20% not pilfered from Paul's cronies, Mark and Luke, was stolen from the 'Ebownym – including every word of the Sermon on the Mount. You will find the evidence for this conclusion in volume 5, chapter 6, of *Twistianity*, *Foolology*.

Therefore, the only rational explanation for the existence of the longest and most important public address found anywhere in the New Testament turning up in the last book written, one that exists solely based on plagiarizing other sources without properly crediting them, is that it was derived from an earlier, more credible, source.

In this case, the stakes are even higher because the Iesous Christos promoted by Paul and incorporated decades later into the Euangelion of his co-conspirators, Mark and Luke, was a replacement player, a counterfeit caricature conceived to corrupt the accolades and achievements of Dowd – the Son of God and Messiah, the Chosen One and Exemplar of the Covenant, the Shepherd and the Lamb, our Savior and King. The lone legacy contained in the spurious hearsay accounts of what was actually said and done was likely spoken by Dowd and retained in Hebrew by the 'Ebownym who witnessed these events. And that is why the public pronouncements in the Sermon on the Mount and Olivet Discourse are so devastatingly counter to the story the charlatans were telling and so consistent with Dowd's testimony.

Therefore, since we know based upon what he wrote about these events that $Dowd \mid David$ arrived at this time to honor his Father's Towrah promises by fulfilling Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, the most sensible explanation is that the Messiah and Son of God spoke these words...

"You should not think or assume that I actually came to tear down, invalidate, put an end to, or discard, subvert, abrogate, weaken, dismantle, or abolish any of the implications, influence, or validity of the Towrah or the Prophets.

I actually came not to invalidate or to abrogate, to abolish or dismiss, any implication or its influence but, instead, to completely fulfill, proclaim, and complete, conveying the true meaning and thinking, rendering it totally and perfectly. (Matthew 5:17)

Because in truth, I say to you, up to the point that with absolute certainty the heaven and the earth cease to exist, not ever under any circumstance shall one aspect of the smallest letter, the Yowd, nor so much as a single stroke of the pen distinguishing any aspect of any Hebrew letter cease to be relevant, be averted or neglected, having any chance of being ignored or disregarded from the Towrah until with absolute certainty everything takes place, becoming a reality.

(Matthew 5:18)

As a result, whoever may at any time dismiss or attempt to do away with, seeking to toss aside, invalidate or abolish, one of the smallest and least important of these prescriptions and instructions which are enjoined, these authorized directions and teachings, expounding so as to enjoin people in this manner, he will actually be provided the name and will be judicially and legally summoned as "Little and Lowly (elachistos – Paulos in Latin, meaning: small and inadequate, insignificant and insufficient, irrelevant and unimportant, immaterial and inconsequential)" by the kingdom of heaven.

But by contrast, whosoever may act upon it, engaging through it, making the most of it, while teaching it and sharing its instructions, this will properly be referred to as great and important, astonishingly valuable and sensible among those who reign within the heavens." (Matthew 5:19)

His pronouncement was as unequivocal as it was opposed to the Christian Euangelion / Gospel Paulos contrived. To discount or discard any aspect of the Towrah, an individual such as Paulos has to contradict Yahowah, Moseh, and Dowd, in addition to the longest and most important declaration found within the New Testament.

It is irrational to believe someone who constantly contradicts the message of the one he claims granted him authorization to speak on his behalf. This realization alone renders Christianity a colossal fraud.

The notion of a "New Testament" is torn asunder because God's original testimony remains valid. So based upon this statement, Paul's letters, which seek to invalidate the Towrah, must be discarded.

While it should be obvious that Dowd honored the

prophecies proclaimed pursuant to him, and that Christou Iesou was a creative construct calculated to counterfeit him, Christians lose every which way. They cannot discount the Sermon on the Mount without simultaneously renouncing the credibility of Gospel Jesus. And the moment that is done, everything crumbles. But on the other hand, to believe God, you have to reject Christianity.

Equally telling, the majority of Yahowah's prophecies, including His return and His ultimate renewal and restoration of the Covenant with Yisra'el and Yahuwdah, have not yet occurred, and the heavens and earth remain. Therefore, the Torah still stands by this decree. Now that's something for Christians to think about, especially considering the subject and speaker. Therefore, as a Christian reading this, what do you suppose the merits might be of believing in something which is shown to be invalid?

Then, of course, there is that other proof: the Prophets themselves. They affirm that Yahowah is returning with Dowd, not Jesus, that they are returning for Yisra'el, not Christians, and that in the end, the Towrah will be incorporated into the lives of the Covenant's Children rather than a New Testament on behalf of a Church. The Christian position is preposterous because it runs counter to the testimony of the God upon which it claims to be based.

After all, it is in the Towrah that we read something even more emphatic than the Sermon on the Mount, something which obliterates Sha'uwl's / Paul's credibility. Moseh, speaking for Yahowah, said...

"With regard to ('eth) every (kol) word (dabar – statement) which to show the way to benefit from the relationship ('asher – to reveal the path to get the most out of life) I am ('any) instructing (tsawah – providing guidance and direction to) you ('eth 'eth), observe it

(shamar – closely examine and carefully consider it, focusing your attention on it) for the purpose of (la) engaging in and acting upon it ('asah – responding by profiting from and celebrating it), not adding to it (lo' yasaph 'al – never increasing it (through a New Testament, for example)) and not subtracting from it (wa lo' gara' min – reducing or diminishing the intent (by suggesting that it can be distilled into a single promise, a single act, a single statement, or a single profession of faith, for example)). (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 12:32)

When someone who claims to speak for God arises (ky quwm naby' - so if someone acting as a prophet is established and is honored) among vou (ba gereb 'atah). or someone who says that they have received Divine **revelations** ('ow chalam chalowm – someone who has a dream, even someone who promises to restore you to health), and he offers to produce a sign, especially something which would appear miraculous (wa nathan 'el 'atah 'owth – and they give you a marvelous example, account, or illustration) or claims to be able to **orchestrate an extraordinary event** ('ow mowpheth – to provide a show of power that elicits awe), (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:1) and even if the signs and wonders occur, coming to fruition (wa bow' ha 'owth wa ha mowpheth – and should it be realized), which he has spoken about to you ('asher dabar 'el 'atah), but he says (la 'amar), 'Let's choose to go after (halak 'achar – let's live for and be guided by (qal imperfect cohortative)) different and additional gods ('elohym 'acher) which you have not known ('asher lo' yada' hem – which you were unfamiliar and unaware (qal perfect)) and let's allow ourselves to be coerced and compelled into serving and worshiping them (wa 'abad hem – let's minister on their behalf (hofal imperfect - let's subject ourselves to relinquishing our freewill such that we are continually forced to be indentured to them and reduced to servitude)), (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:2) vou should not

<u>listen</u> (*lo' shama*) to the words (*'el dabar*) of that particular prophet (*ha naby' ha huw'* – who claims to have received revelations from God), healer, or dreamer (*'ow 'el chowlem ha chalowm ha huw'* – or to the one who promises to cure what ails you and restore your health through some altered state of awareness, vision, or communication).

Indeed (ky), Yahowah, your God (Yahowah 'elohym 'atem), wants to learn the truth about you (nasah 'eth 'atem — is desirous of knowing whether you can be trusted such that He is aware of who must be uprooted and displaced), in addition to knowing (la yada' — to reveal and understand) whether there is some affirmation that you (ha yesh 'atem) love ('ahab 'eth — you desire having a close personal relationship with) Yahowah, your God (Yahowah 'elohym 'atem), with all of your heart (ba kol lebab 'atem — in all of the ways you think, including your motivations and ambitions) and with all of your soul (wa ba kol nepesh 'atem — with the entirety of your personality, character, aptitude, and attitude). (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:3)

You should genuinely and continually journey through life (halak — you should consistently walk, traveling and going about (qal imperfect)) following after Yahowah, your God, without hesitation or equivocation ('achar Yahowah 'elohym 'atem — pursuing Yahowah, who is God, without delay or lingering). And it is Him that you should respect, even revere (wa 'eth huw' yare' — and be in awe of Him, honor your relationship with Him), while consistently observing (wa 'eth shamar — closely examining and carefully considering, indeed focusing intently upon) the instructive conditions of His relationship agreement (mitswah huw' — His terms and directions associated with His covenant, pondering the implications of His authoritative teaching, guidance, and instructions; from my — to contemplate tsawah — the terms

and conditions of a relationship).

And to His voice (wa ba qowl huw' – to what He has to say), listen (shama' – hear, paying close attention (qal imperfect)). You should consistently work with Him (wa 'eth huw' 'abad), and to Him (wa ba huw') enthusiastically and continually cling, staying close (dabaq – enjoy and remain in a personal relationship). (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:4)

Therefore, that person who claims to have spoken for God (wa ha naby' ha huw'), or who says that they have received Divine revelations ('ow chalam ha chalowm ha huw' - someone who has a dream, even someone who promises to restore you to health), must die (muwth – will be put to death (hofal imperfect – will have forfeited freewill and with be forced to endure an ongoing and oppressive absence of life)). This is because (ky) the words he has spoken (dabar - the statements and messages he has conveyed) are rebellious (sarah – are overtly hostile, presumptuous and revolting, an apostasy brandished) against ('al – over and above) Yahowah (YaHoWaH), your God ('elohym 'atem), who brought you out, descending to serve by withdrawing you (ha yatsa' 'eth 'atem – who extended Himself to remove you), from the realm (min 'erets – out of the nation) of religious and political persecution (Mitsraym – the Crucibles of Oppression), redeeming and ransoming you (padah 'atem - freeing and releasing you) from the house of slavery (min beyth 'ebed - from having to work to survive).

He is trying to seduce you, enticing you (nadach 'atah — scattering you), from the way (min ha derek) which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), Yahowah, your God (YaHoWaH 'elohym 'atah), directed you to walk (tsawah 'atah halak — instructed you to travel) with Him (ba huw').

As a result (wa), you should remove, purging (ba'ar – you should expunge such that he ceases to exist), this evil fellow countryman (ha ra' – this wicked immorality, this impropriety and hindrance to the relationship which is so vocal within the community) from your midst (min qereb 'atah)." (Dabarym / Words / Deuteronomy 13:5)

When the words of a man are in conflict with the word of God, that man is evil and must be rejected. Such men are known by the names Paul, Akiba, and Muhammad.

Since we are now undeniably aware of Yahowah's assessment of those who attempt to dismiss and discard any portion of His Towrah, it is now impossible to consider Paul's purpose for writing Galatians, which was to demean and devalue the Towrah, favorably. So how is it that Sha'uwl convinced the world that God had authorized him to do precisely what God and the Messiah just testified should not, and could not, be done?

Indirectly incriminating Sha'uwl, a man who not only dismissed the Towrah, but who also claimed to be a Rabbi and Pharisee, in addition to being a religious expert, scholar, and writer, please consider what the Messiah said next:

"For indeed, I say to you all, that unless your righteousness, integrity, and standing in the relationship is abundantly superior to and eminently more appropriate than the religious teachers, experts, scribes, and scholars (ton Grammateus – government officials, politicians, public servants, reporters, writers, clerks, lawyers, and judges), and Pharisees (Pharisaios – members of a fundamentalist religious party comprised of Jews who coveted authority and established religious rituals and traditions), you will absolutely never move into or experience the realm of the heavens." (Matthew 5:20)

The mythos of Christendom was rendered moot by the

Sermon on the Mount. And yet still, Dowd had a lot more to say which is germane to our evaluation of Paul. Speaking to those who are willing to invest the time required to actually know Yahowah, to those who actively seek to learn the truth, to those willing to engage in the process which leads to admission into God's home, he provided a set of instructions which are dismissive of blind faith...

"You should ask (aiteo — at the present time it is desirable for everyone to act on their own initiative to earnestly request information, knowledge, and answers) and it will be given (didomi—in the future this will reliably produce the desired result) to you. You should seek (zeteo—currently it is desirable for everyone to attempt to find information, searching for knowledge and answers) and you will actually receive an education.

You should knock (*krouo* – everyone should act on their own recognizance to physically demonstrate and announce their presence at the door desiring acceptance and admittance) and it will be opened to you. (Matthew 7:7)

For then the one asking receives, the one seeking, earnestly trying to obtain information through personal interaction, actually finds it by participating in the discovery, and for the one knocking, announcing his or her presence at the door desiring acceptance, it will be opened." (Matthew 7:8)

The Messiah's statement is wholly consistent with Yahowah's *Towrah* | Guidance. God encourages us to be observant, which is to closely examine and carefully consider His instructions, especially the provisions associated with the Covenant. He encourages us to listen to His prescriptions for living so that we can act upon what we discover and thereby come to be invited into His Home. This, however, is the antithesis of Paul's proposition which is salvation through faith from a god they know nothing

about. With faith, both the process and response are rendered meaningless.

In the next statement, Dowd directs our attention, not to himself, but instead to Yahowah, our Heavenly Father. His reference is to the Father's gift – something which is found in the Towrah. But beyond this, by juxtaposing these thoughts, he is also revealing where we should look to find the door to seek acceptance. He is even contrasting the merits of Yahowah's offer and promises with the statements and promises of a man.

"Should you be considering an alternative, what man currently exists from among you whom, when his son will ask for a loaf of bread, will give him a stone? (Matthew 7:9)

Or should you be considering a logical contrast between opposites, when he asks for a fish, will he hand him a snake? (Matthew 7:10)

If, therefore, you all presently and actively being troublesome and morally corrupt (poneros – seriously flawed, evil and annoying, blind and diseased) have in the past been familiar with and have actually known how to give good and beneficial gifts to your children, how much more, by contrast, will your Father, the One in the Heavens, actually give by personally responding, bestowing something good, generous, and beneficial to those asking Him?" (Matthew 7:11)

Paulos is offering faith in his euangelion, comprised of his epistles and preaching, while Yahowah is offering the benefits of the Covenant through His *Towrah* | Guidance. Which offer do you suppose might be more life-sustaining, enriching, and empowering?

Since the answer to this question is obvious, why do Christians claim that their religion is based upon Gospel Jesus being the predicted Christ proposed in the Book of Daniel? And in light of this, how did Sha'uwl manage to convince anyone that he wasn't lying?

The moral of the story is that, since we do not want a millstone, a premature burial, a poisonous snake, or a serpent representing Satan given to us by men or by their institutions and would be vastly better served with Yahowah's generous and beneficial gift, we ought to offer our fellow man access to God's gift – providing them with the offer found in our Heavenly Father's Towrah and Prophets.

"Anything, therefore, to whatever the degree or extent you might want or may enjoy as a result of men being human doing so to you, also in this way, you should choose to actively do to them.

This then actually and presently is the Towrah and the Prophets: (Matthew 7:12) under the auspices of freewill, you all should choose at some point in time to enter, personally engaging by moving through the narrow, specific, seldom-tread, and exacting door (tes stenos pule – the doorway with strict requirements, the passageway which is unpopular and seldom walked, an infrequently-trodden gateway to be firmly established, and to be upheld).

Because broad, manmade, and crafted to be wide open (*platys* – molded, malleable, plastic, and easily plied, a wide and artificial thoroughfare; from *plasso* – formed and molded by man) **is the door and spacious** (*eurychoros* – as encompassing as nations, widely regional, and broadly societal; sharing a base with *eusebeia* – especially the religious, speaking of belief systems and their devout and pious practices) **is the way which misleads and separates** (*e apago* – that takes away, leading through deception; from "*ago* – directs, leads, and guides" to "*apo* – separation") **into utter destruction** (*apoleia* – needlessly squandering and ruining the valuable resource of one's

existence, causing it to perish; from *apollumi* – to be put entirely out of the way, to be rendered useless and to be abolished, coming to an end and ceasing to exist).

And a great many (kai polys – the vast preponderance, an enormous number) are those who are influenced into moving while suffering the consequences of entering (oi eiserchomai – who as a result of being acted upon are affected by taking the first step toward and then going in, manipulated in the process of beginning a journey while experiencing the effect of going out) through it. (Matthew 7:13)

Certainly, the specific doorway has strict requirements, it is narrow, seldom-tread, and it is an exacting passageway (e stenos pule – the doorway is highly restrictive, the passageway is unpopular and infrequently walked), and it completely goes against the crowd to the point of persecution (kai thlibomai – it is so totally unpopular the past act influences the future to the point of hardship and harassment, even to oppression and affliction), the one way which leads, separating those guided unto life (zoe – vigorous and flourishing life, the fullness of a restored and active existence), but very few (oligos – an extremely small quantity over a very short time) are those finding it (heuriskomai autos – presently learning and actively discovering the location of it, themselves experiencing it)." (Matthew 7:14)

This is one of the most devastating declarations made against religion because the one thing religions like Hinduism, Buddhism, Christianity, Judaism, Islam, and Socialist Secular Humanism have in common is that they are popular. A great many people have placed their faith in them, ranging from tens of millions to many billions. But here, in the midst of the Sermon on the Mount, the Messiah Dowd just said that the popular ways are not only artificial and man-made, but they also lead to destruction, needlessly squandering countless souls.

While this statement has negative implications regarding Hinduism, Buddhism, Judaism, and Islam, as well as the zealousness of Progressive politics, when Dowd's credentials are established, there is no out for Christianity. Based on this declaration alone in the midst of this public pronouncement, the moment Constantine legalized the Christian religion throughout the Roman Empire, there was no longer any hope that the broad-based and now popular religion could be the path to life. It must, therefore, be one of the many ways which lead to destruction.

The King and prophet did not say that Christianity was destructive because it would become popular, but only that the path to life is unpopular. Christianity is deadly because it is based upon Sha'uwl's man-made and artificial path.

I am not trying to rub salt into an open wound, but I would be remiss if I did not remind Christians that in *Chabaquwq* | Habakkuk, Yahowah specifically revealed that there would be a "broad path," a "duplicitous and improper way, associated with Sha'uwl that would be the plague of death."

You do not need me to tell you that the Sermon on the Mount popped Paul's balloon twenty years before the Devil's Advocate began spewing hot air because Dowd was not yet finished warning Jews about the consequence of disregarding the Towrah. With these words, the Messiah would tell everyone willing to listen to him not to trust Paul...

"At the present time, you all should be especially alert, being on guard by closely examining and carefully considering, thereby turning away from the false prophets, those pretending to be divinely inspired spokesmen, who come to you, currently appearing before you from within, and thus from the same race and place, by dressing up in sheep's clothing, yet they

actually are self-promoting, self-serving, and swindling (harpax – vicious, carnivorous, and thieving, robbing, extorting, and destructive, ferocious, rapacious, and snatching; extracting and compelling under duress; from harpazo: to violently, forcibly, and eagerly claim and then seize for oneself so as to pluck and carry away as) wolves." (Matthew 7:15)

While the combination of these warnings and Paul's admissions are devastating, leaving Sha'uwl, his disciples, co-conspirators, and church as the only potential culprits, there was a subtlety in the depiction of the wolf we should acknowledge. The predator was described using a derivative of the same term Paulos selected to present his errant portrayal of a "harpazo – rapture." It was such an odd choice for Paul, especially considering its negative connotations that, by being translated using it in this public declaration, we were afforded yet another clue regarding the identity of this wolf in sheep's clothing.

God is into the details. In His Towrah, He revealed: "Benyamyn | Benjamin is a wolf viciously tearing apart, continually mangling and actually killing, plucking the life out of his victims, in the early part of the day, consistently devouring his prey. And during the dark of night at the end of the day, he divides and destroys, apportioning and distributing that which has been spoiled." (Bare syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 49:27)

Confessing, Sha'uwl wrote in Romans 11:1: "For indeed, I am an Israelite, from the seed of Abraham, from the tribe of Benjamin (Benjamin – a transliteration of the Hebrew Benyamyn)."

There were many from the tribe of Benjamin, but there is only one man known to have publicly proclaimed to have been a descendant of Benjamin who was present in Yaruwshalaim during the time the Messiah Dowd delivered his Instruction on the Mount. Beyond this, Sha'uwl, who

was studying to be a rabbi at the time, also admitted to faking his true identity, which is the very essence of a wolf in sheep's clothing. Proof of Paul's willingness to change his outward appearance to take advantage of an unsuspecting audience is found in this confession...

"And I became to the Jews like Jews in order that I might make a profit by procuring an advantage over Jews. To those under the Towrah, I appeared to be under the Towrah, myself not actually being under Towrah, but instead for the purpose that to those under the Towrah, I might procure an advantage. (1 Corinthians 9:20)

To those Towrahless, and thus without the Towrah, I appeared Towrahless, not being Towrahless of God, to the contrary and making a contrast, in the Torah of Christou in order that I might make a profit by procuring an advantage and winning over those without the Towrah. (1 Corinthians 9:21)

I came to exist to the inept and morally weak, incapacitated and inadequate, in order that of those impotent and sick, I might procure an advantage. To everyone I have become every kind of thing in order that surely, by all means, some I might save." (1 Corinthians 9:22)

I don't suppose that Paul could have made his confession any clearer for us. As a self-serving insider and instigator, he feigned an alliance with God so that he could more easily snatch souls away from Yahowah using all manner of duplicity and fraud.

One would have to be naïve not to see Paul in these warnings, especially, "Be alert and turn away from false prophets who come to us from within, dressed in sheep's clothing who are actually self-serving and self-promoting wolves." And then by examining Yahowah's test, we know for certain that Paul was a "false prophet."

As a Jew, he came to this audience as one of them, presenting himself as the ultimate insider. And yet while he claimed to speak for the imaginary Iesou Christo, he never quoted Gospel Jesus. As such, he "dressed himself up as" one of the "sheep" when he appointed himself an apostle. And as we know, Paul, more than anyone who has ever claimed allegiance with the tribe of Benjamin (something which can no longer be done in that all genealogical records were destroyed in 70 CE), was the "wolf" Yahowah predicted would savage His flock. And then when we recognize that this warning came in the midst of a discussion regarding the eternal role the Torah plays in our lives, the very thing Paul sought to undermine, we are left with a singular conclusion: Paul of Tarsus was the false prophet, the Wolf in Sheep's Clothing, the insider, who led many to their death and destruction by way of his popular path to Hell.

This is especially poignant because, on another occasion, the character of Gospel Jesus spoke of the comparative influence he would have versus Paulos. And should this be true, then "Jesus" is overtly stating that Paul would be responsible for Christianity, not himself.

"I, myself, have come in the name of my Father, and yet you do not accept me nor prefer me. But when another, completely different individual, comes forth, presenting himself in his own name, that individual, that lone and specific man, you all will actually receive, accept, choose, and prefer." (John 5:43)

If you do not know Yahowah's name, you do not know Him. If you do not know Dowd's name or acknowledge his accolades and accomplishments then you do not know the Passover Lamb. When their names and titles are changed or replaced, the consequence is bewildering and devastating. And when the object of one's belief ceases to be credible, their faith is in vain.

Considering how often the founder of the Christian religion wrote: "but I, Paulos, say...", it is a wonder more people do not recognize him as the one who not only came in his own name, a moniker he actually chose for himself, but also as the one so many have received. Paulos even said, "imitate me." He wrote: "if someone teaches in opposition to what I say let him be accursed." He was not only fixated on himself, he claimed the entire world for himself. And today, the vast preponderance of Christian Bible studies, sermons, and quotations are based upon Paul's letters – and almost never upon the Instruction on the Mount.

Recognizing that the New Testament's most famous, longest, and revealing public presentation is so demeaning of Paul and the religion he founded, now with Paulos' initial letter behind us, let's listen to the conclusion of the many arguments raised against this man and his faith.

"From their fruit, by conducting a careful, thorough, and competent inquiry in the future, you all will be able to use evidence and reason to genuinely comprehend them.

Is it even rationally possible to collect a bunch of grapes from a thorn (akantha – something sharp and pointed found on a thorny bramble or briar), or from a thistle, figs?" (Matthew 7:16)

Akantha, translated as "thorn" in verse 16, is from akmen, which means "point." It may be directing our attention toward Paul's most incriminating statements, both of which we will reconsider later in this chapter.

This essay on false prophets continued with... "In this way, every good and useful fruit tree produces exceptionally suitable and commendable, genuine and approved, advantageous and valuable, highly beneficial and proper production and results. But a tree which is corrupt, rotten, and harmful bears diseased and

worthless (poneros – seriously flawed and faulty, annoying and perilous, malicious, troubling, and painful) fruit." (Matthew 7:17)

With the test so simple, with the evidence so plentiful, with the stakes so high, why do you suppose so few people have deployed this criterion to evaluate the fruit of Paul's pen? Equally troubling, with the assessment so definitive, expressly saying that cherry-picking snippets from a rotten source is not acceptable, why are so many Christians willing to exonerate Paul because they rather like some of what he has to say?

"It is not possible for a good and useful fruit tree to produce seriously flawed or disadvantageous (poneros – diseased, faulty, annoying perilous, troubling, counterproductive, or evil) fruit (karpos – production and results), nor a tree which is corrupt, rotten, and harmful (sapros – bad, unprofitable, unsuitable, and destructive) to make, produce, or provide suitable or commendable fruit and results." (Matthew 7:18)

A bad tree can on occasion produce something edible, but such is not the case with a rotten prophet. If a person is speaking for Yahowah, everything they write and say is beneficial and reliable. With His prophets, because He is directing them, there are no mistakes and no misleading statements. If there is a single error, one putrid statement, the smallest corruption in someone's testimony who claims his words have been nurtured by God, we must reject that source entirely. Therefore, any one of the statements Paul made in the corpus of his letters is by itself, sufficient to require the rejection of the entirety of his letters – rejecting every word as harmful. Even that which may appear appropriate in an inappropriate source must be rejected because that appearance only serves to make the venom more enticing to ingest.

When it comes to providing the proper perspective,

there are few insights more important than recognizing that Satan and his messengers make their nauseating fruit appear delectable by coloring it with strokes from God's brush. These resulting counterfeits fool the unsuspecting, the unobservant, and the indiscriminate into believing that a message crafted by the Adversary will lead them to paradise. But just as a counterfeit bill is completely worthless even when ninety-nine percent of its strokes are genuine, the more a false prophet says that is true, the more deadly he becomes.

Credibility is Yahowah's strong suit, which is why deceivers like Paul misappropriate it to make their lies appear credible. Paul has fooled five billion souls by deploying this strategy. And Satan, with the assistance of Paul, Akiba, and Muhammad, has deceived ten billion souls, beginning long ago with Adam and Chawah.

"Any and every tree not producing suitable, fitting, commendable, and advantageous fruit shall actually be cut off and done away with. And toward the fire (pyr - a metaphor for judgment), it will be thrown. (Matthew 7:19)

So then, by their fruit, their production and results, you will be able through careful observation and studious contemplation to actually know and understand them." (Matthew 7:19-20)

Taking a closer look at the Greek word used to convey the concluding sentiment in this statement, *epiginosko* speaks of that which can be known for certain based upon a close examination and careful evaluation of the available evidence. It is being presented as the antithesis of, and thus the alternative to faith. Therefore, to the degree that this statement was accurately translated, this is especially relevant. And that is because faith is Paul's lone alternative to observing the Towrah and knowing what God actually revealed.

It is surprising, but nonetheless true, that God and man differ dramatically on the concept which has become synonymous with religion. God, rather than asking us to blindly believe Him, wants us to read His testimony so that we come to know Him. That is why the Towrah and Prophets were written and given to us. And this voyage of discovery which leads to knowing Yahowah is vastly superior to believing that He exists. Similarly, actually engaging in His Covenant is better than believing that you have a relationship with God.

Then, speaking of the consequence of being influenced by Sha'uwl and his Lord, Satan, we read...

"Not anyone saying to me, 'Lord (kyrie – master, owner, one who rules over, controls, or enslaves) Lord,' will actually as a result enter into the kingdom of the heavens, but by contrast the one presently acting upon and actively engaging in the purpose and desire of my Father, the One in the heavens." (Matthew 7:21)

If you are still among those referring to God by Satan's title, then you are unaware of Yahowah's will – which is to serve His Covenant children as their Father. Lord and father are mutually exclusive concepts. God cannot be your Father if He is your Lord.

The only reason Yahowah created the universe, conceived life, and provided His guidance was to offer us the choice to engage in His family-oriented Covenant relationship. By mischaracterizing God's nature and purpose in the way Paul has done, those who refer to God as "the Lord" are upending our Heavenly Father's intent. This then bars entry into heaven. And that is because salvation is a byproduct or benefit of the Covenant. It is yet another thing Christians have reversed.

And should you be clinging to the myth that God is referred to as "the Lord" throughout the "Bible," the truth is just the opposite. God spoke or wrote His name,

"Yahowah (१९११) – the proper pronunciation of Yahowah, our 'elowah – God as directed in His ToWRah – teaching regarding His HaYah – existence and our ShaLoWM – restoration)" 7,000 times in the Towrah, Naby', wa Mizmowr. Christians then copyedited God, substituting "Lord" for His name on every occasion, deliberately misleading the faithful.

Equally instructive, if one must act upon the purpose and desire of our Heavenly Father to enter heaven, then salvation does not come by way of faith as Paul asserts. To respond to God's will, His intent, we must first come to know what He is offering and what He wants. And that brings us right back to the Towrah, to the one place Yahowah introduces His purpose and plan.

Since this comes as a shock to those lost in religion, as believers almost universally refer to their god as "Lord," especially Christians, the Instruction on the Mount completely destroyed their every illusion.

"Many (polys — a very great number and the preponderance of people) will say to me in that specific day, 'Lord (kyrie — master, owner, one who rules over, controls, or enslaves) Lord, was it not in your name that we actively spoke genuinely inspired utterances, and in your name, we drove out demons, and in your name, many mighty and miraculous things we made and did?" (Matthew 7:22)

But the answer to that question is a resounding, "No!" Not one Christian in a million knows or uses Yahowah's name. In fact, once a person comes to know the Hebrew name the Greek Iesou tries to mimic – Yahowsha' – and comes to appreciate its meaning, he or she can no longer be a Christian. And that is because Yahowsha' means "Yahowah Saves." And if Christians believe that Christ is a translation of *Mashyach* | Messiah, where do they suppose that title originated and to whom does it rightfully

belong?

Thanks largely to Paul, you will not find a church where the sermon is delivered in Yahowah's name. Christians deliver Sunday's message based on Paul's letters instead. In all of their many books, in all of their vast libraries, in all of their superficial Bible studies, in all of their thoughtless radio and television programs, and in all of their religious institutions, they never speak or write in the name of Yahowah, our God. Most do not know God's name. And that's a shame.

As for driving out demons, the moment you come to understand that Christian clerics, like Paul, are inspired by Satan, it is easy to see why they would be able to exorcise demons. The Adversary controls both. So, casting out demonic spirits becomes the perfect ruse.

"Mighty deeds and miracles" are so often claimed by those inspired by the Adversary that Yahowah tells us that when we see them we ought to be especially wary. Yahowah is not a showoff, but Satan is. God does not have to prove His status or power, but Satan does. Moreover, Christians almost universally claim that their lives or those that they love have been miraculously transformed, something they errantly attribute to God. Therefore, these things are neither proof nor valid, neither good nor appropriate.

In an informed and rational world, the Instruction on the Mount would have scuttled Paul's claims and destroyed the religion of Christianity with them. Therefore, it is ironic Christians believe that their religion was created by the individual who denounced it before it was born.

"And then at that time, I will profess to them that because I never at any time knew you (oudepote ginosko umas – at no time was I acquainted with you, not even once or for a moment did I acknowledge you or understand you), you all must depart from me (apochoreo apo emou – you

are now ordered to leave, going away and separating yourselves from me), **those of you involved in Towrahlessness** (*anomia* – who are in opposition to and have attempted to negate the Towrah, thereby those of you without the Towrah, who demonstrate a contempt for the Towrah and are thereby in violation of the allotment which provides an inheritance)." (Matthew 7:23)

There are two reasons the multitudes were sent away, both of which are related, either of which results in being rejected by God. Initially, it is because neither God nor His Son "ever knew them," which means that the overwhelming preponderance of people don't know them either. If they are involved in a relationship with their god, their god is n more real than the Easter Bunny or Santa Claus.

No matter what they may have felt or believed, they were not engaged in a relationship with Yahowah. Beyond this, "not even once for a moment did I acknowledge you or understand you," means that their prayers, opinions, and pontifications regarding their Lord and their religion were incomprehensible and fell on deaf ears. And this means that every argument Christians pose to justify their opposition toward Yahowah's name, toward observing Yahowah's Towrah, or toward engaging in the Covenant is moot. God is not interested in them.

This realization took me a very long time to fully assimilate. But God's position is both simple and reasonable, even necessary. Salvation is only afforded to the children of the Covenant. Its benefits entail immortality, becoming perfected, adoption, enrichment, and empowerment. Salvation is then a byproduct of these benefits. It would be senseless, even irritating, for God to save those who do not know Him – those who hold contrarian views toward Him. After all, God has to live with those who are saved for eternity. And if He saved everyone, heaven would be like hell – no different than the

mess we have made for ourselves here on earth through politics and religion, militarism and patriotism.

To reject the Towrah is to be rejected by God. But according to Paul, the inverse is true. He writes that a person must reject the Towrah to be saved. Who do you suppose is right? Or better question yet, since Paul claims to speak on behalf of the individual his letters contradict, how could he be right?

Have you been listening? This has been a scathing indictment of Pauline Doctrine and Christian teaching. Yahowah's name matters as does His Torah. And the presence of miracles does not equate to the presence of God as Christian apologists claim. Countless Christians have justified their faith by claiming to have witnessed inspired healings and character transformations in the name of "Jesus Christ," unaware of the fact that Gospel Jesus said that observing the Towrah, not miracles, was the proper means to evaluate whether or not someone actually has a relationship with the Father.

"Everyone, therefore, then who presently and actively listens to these statements of mine, and he or she genuinely acts upon them, will be likened to an intelligent and astute, sensible and thoughtful individual who edifies and strengthens his or her house upon the rock." (Matthew 7:24)

Second only to their disdain for Yahowah's testimony, as God's Word is written in the Torah, Prophets, and Psalms, the Christian aversion to the revelations which comprise the Sermon on the Mount is especially hypocritical. They are somehow unaware that the Prophets spoke with the same voice. So, while Christians will acknowledge John's assertion that Gospel Jesus was the Word of God, there is a disconnect in their minds between that statement and the realization that this would have made him the living embodiment of the Torah and Prophets

– all of which they either reject or convolute.

"And even when the rain (e broche – a besprinkling (akin to a baptism)) descends, the rivers come, and the rapidly shifting winds blow, descending upon this specific home and household (te oikia – the family), then it shall not fail because the foundation was previously established and is enduring upon bedrock (petra – solid rock)." (Matthew 7:25) While Christians will tell you that Paul won the argument over the viability of the foundation God had laid with His Towrah, even Gospel Jesus begs to differ.

ያለሕ ት

It should be readily apparent that Sha'uwl was an egomaniac who admitted that he was demon-possessed. And that alone is more than sufficient to discard the New Testament and the religion with it...

"Because if I might want to brag, honestly I would not be imprudent or unjustified. For then, I will say, I am presently refraining. But someone who is not approaching me might have reason to promote an opinion beyond what he sees in me, or something he hears from me, (2 Corinthians 12:6) especially regarding the preeminence and awe-inspiring aspects of the revelations and disclosures.

Therefore, in order that I not become overly proud and be excessively lifted up beyond what would be justified, there was given to me a sharp goad and troubling thorn in the body, a messenger and envoy of Satan, in order to restrain me, so that as a result, at the present time, there is the possibility that I might not be conceited, currently exalting myself beyond what would be justified." (2 Corinthians 12:6-7)

Speaking of this thorny goad, he also said: "And every one of us having fallen down to the earth, I heard a voice saying to me in the Hebrew language, 'Sha'uwl, Sha'uwl, Why are you actually pursuing me? It's hard, demanding and difficult, for you to resist against the goad, the pointed sharp stick used to prick and prod and thus control animals." (Acts 26:14) And as we now know, he quoted Dionysus, the Greek god who was merged with Dowd to create the caricature of Jesus Christ.

It is also readily apparent that Paul was insane – a schizophrenic psychopath. He told you himself. "Having become insane (paraphroneo – having become deranged, completely irrational, and out of my mind, being senseless and devoid of understanding), I speak for the sake of and about myself, with exceedingly great works and extraordinary burdens in overwhelming imprisonment by an abundance of guards, in extremely severe beatings and blows, in death dying many times, often, and again and again." (2 Corinthians 11:23)

Since Paul's psychosis is germane to our investigation, let's reconsider some of the other insane things the Devil's Advocate had to say to the Corinthians.

Contradicting his own overt animosity toward legalism, the founder of the Christian religion hypocritically wrote: "And we are ready to punish all disobedience, whenever your obedience is complete." (2 Corinthians 10:6) Not only is "obedience" something Yahowah opposes, but justice is also His, not ours.

In his role promoting such rubbish, the always arrogant, self-promoter, wrote: "Even if I should boast somewhat further about our authority...I will not be put to shame." (2 Corinthians 10:8) I imagine Satan thinking the same thing.

This is followed by another odd and incriminating comment: "For I do not wish to seem as if I would terrify

you by my letters." (2 Corinthians 10:9) Sure, the tone is condescending and the prose bizarre, but unless written by a despot with a large and ruthless army, why would a letter "terrify" anyone? It is as if Paul was trying to dismiss his foes the same way homosexuals and Muslims do today when they refer to them as being "Homophobic" and "Islamophobic."

An even more peculiar reference is conveyed by: "For they say, 'His letters are weighty and strong, but his personal presence is unimpressive, and his speech is contemptible." (2 Corinthians 10:10) While we ought not care what Paul looked like, you would have to be delusional to view his rhetoric as weighty. But he was correct in this regard: his speech was contemptible.

Paul digresses further in the opening of the 11th chapter of his second letter to the Corinthians, writing: "I wish that you would bear with me in a little foolishness; but indeed you are bearing with me." (2 Corinthians 11:1) Unless I'm reading this wrong, to put up with Paul is to be foolish. But why would anyone want to suffer such foolishness if he or she could instead observe God's brilliance by reading His Towrah?

Sha'uwl was afraid that his simplistic and erroneous presentation of Iesou would be exposed and criticized by those who knew better, so he wrote: "For if one comes and preaches another Jesus whom we have not preached, or you receive a different spirit which you have not received, or a different gospel which you have not accepted, you bear beautifully." (2 Corinthians 11:4 from the NASB) And yet we know that the Messiah Dowd bears little resemblance to the Christian Jesus, a character who has far more in common with Dionysus and Mithras than Yahowah or His Son. The Pauline Christian misnomer is decidedly not the living manifestation of the Word of God but is instead a caricature contrived to annul it.

This leads to another arrogant and indeed errant announcement: "For I consider myself not in the least inferior to the most eminent apostles." (2 Corinthians 11:5) Paul's pride became blinding.

Incapable of being rational, he considered himself brilliant: "But even if I am unskilled in speech, yet I am not so in knowledge; in fact, in every way we have made evident to you in all things." (2 Corinthians 11:6) If Paul was a fraction as smart as he claimed to be, he would have educated his audience by drawing their attention to the terms and conditions of the Covenant. He would have explained how the Covenant's benefits were enabled by Dowd's compassionate and courageous work during the *Miqra'ey*. But instead, he condemned the Covenant, created one of his own, and denounced the Invitations to Meet with God because they got in the way of his faith.

A systematic review of the literature emanating out of the mid-to-late 1st century reveals that the only prophets and apostles whom Paul could have viewed as being in competition with him, and whose message was opposed to his, were the disciples and perhaps those who had learned from them. But if they were wrong, how could Paul be right? And that conundrum is what makes this next statement especially toxic. "For such are false prophets, treacherous and deceitful (dolios – tricky and clever) workmen (ergates – perpetrators) masquerading as (metaschematizo – converted and transformed so as to appear, disguised and pretending to be) Christou's (XPY) Apostles (apostolos – a prepared messenger who is sent out)." (2 Corinthians 11:13)

This then is Paul's perspective, his foolish and contrarian message: "Furthermore (palin – also and again) I say (lego), let no one (me) presume of me (oe tis me dokei – someone should not be of the opinion) that I am (einai) ignorant and irrational (aphron – foolish, stupid, senseless, and devoid of reason).

But (de) even if actually like this and foolish (ei me ge kai os aphron — if perhaps ignorant and really senselessness), you will receive (dechomai — believe and welcome) me (me) in order that (ina) I (kago) as someone little (to micron — small) I may boast in myself (kauchaomai — might brag and glory in me).

What (o) I say (lalo) is not (ou) according to (kata) the Lord's (KN) way of speaking (laleo – sayings), but to the contrary (alla) as (os) in (en) foolishness (aphrosyne – recklessness and thoughtlessness, senselessness and folly) in (en) this (houtos) substance and nature (hypostasis – essence or objective aspect and underlying reality behind everything; a compound of hupo, under, and histemi, standing upright) of (tes) boasting (kauchesis – pride and glorifying oneself)." (2 Corinthians 11:16-17) If this is correct, Paul is admitting the obvious. He was not speaking for Yahowah or Gospel Jesus but was instead speaking foolishly by bragging on his own behalf.

Paul was not finished exposing himself. "Because (epei – since) many (polloi) may boast (kauchaomai – brag and glorify themselves) according to (kata) the flesh (sarx – their physical prowess), I also (kago) glorify myself and brag (kauchaomai – boast)." (2 Corinthians 11:18) His personality and Satan's began to morph, becoming indistinguishable.

It was at this point in *Twistianity* that we began to realize that Paul was psychotic. "For indeed (gar – because), gladly (hedeos – with delight and enjoyment) you accept (anechomai – bear, endure, and put up with) the senseless and foolish (aphron – ignorant and irrational) being (ontes) wise (phronimos – shrewd and intelligent)." (2 Corinthians 11:19)

And if not psychotic, then surely nauseating. This is making my stomach turn... "Because (gar) you put up with (anechomai – you accept as valid, true, and forebear)

someone who and something which (ei tis – whosoever and whatever) makes you subservient, completely enslaving you (katadouloo umas – imposes their unrelenting authority over you), someone who and something which (ei tis - anyone and whatever) is exploitive (katesoiei – devouring and destructive, taking complete advantage by being divisive), someone who and something which (ei tis – anyone and whosoever) is controlling (lambano - grasps hold of and acquires, possesses and takes advantage of), someone who and something which (ei tis – anyone and whatever) is exalted (epairomai – is highly regarded), even someone who or something which (ei tis) flays the skin (dero) of your (umas) person (prosopon - being and head, frontal proximity, appearance, and presence)." (2 Corinthians 11:20)

His letter devolved into a volcano of verbal diarrhea: "Relative to (kata) this disgrace and shame (atimia – this dishonorable approach, this vile ignominy and disparaging way), I say (lego), in this manner (os) that (oti) we (emeis) have been weakened and have become powerless (astheneo – we have become incapacitated and diseased, infirmed and feeble, through corruption and perversion).

But (de) in (en) this (o), whomsoever (an tis) might dare be so extreme (tolmao – may be so bold and fearless, defiantly go so far regardless of the opposition) in (en) foolishness (aphrosyne – thoughtless ignorance, foolish folly without reflection or consideration, reckless stupidity, and rash senselessness and irrationality), I say (lego), I also (kayo) am extremely daring and bold in opposition (tolmao kago – have the courage to actually and actively defy)." (2 Corinthians 11:21)

Continuing to hallucinate, the delirious and deranged wannabe apostle wrote: "By Jews five times, forty beside one, I received. (2 Corinthians 11:24) Three times I was

beaten with sticks, once I was stoned, three times I was shipwrecked. A night and a day, I was caused to drown in the depths (*bythos* – plunge to the bottom, sinking into the deep or abyss). (2 Corinthians 11:25)

Many times in perilous journeys, in dangerous rivers, in threats from bandits, from perilous kin, from dangerous races, in a threatening city, in perilous solitude, in a dangerous body of water, by pseudo brothers, (2 Corinthians 11:26) in bothersome and difficult work and in toilsome hardship, in constant sleeplessness, in prolonged, severe hunger and thirst, in frequently going without food, in cold and nakedness, (2 Corinthians 11:27) independently and by myself (choris – without help, apart, alone, disassociated, and separated, estranged without a relationship), besides the addition of the constant stopping to quell rebellions (o epistasis – of halting to suppress attacks and upheavals, of the pressure of authority, and disturbing hindrance associated with riotous mobs) of the extent of my daily anxiety and distracting care of all of the called-out assemblies. (2 Corinthians 11:28) He was hallucinating.

If one were to believe the village idiot, not only was Paulos killed multiple times, evidently facilitating his own personal resurrections, he was the first to cruise in a submarine, having spent twenty-four hours at the bottom of the sea.

It is not often that we are afforded a window into a deranged and psychotic mind, but Paul, in addition to being insane, was a megalomaniac and was ever ready to share his afflictions and affinities. And now he seems to be telling us that when he is empowered, Yahowah and His Torah are weakened, becoming incapacitated and impotent. And that so long as he is not shot down in flames, God's credibility is questioned, with His Towrah becoming unbelievable as a result of having been slandered and scandalized.

"Who is weak and incapacitated (tis astheneo – what is powerless, incapable, and impotent by being corrupted and perverted) when I am not incapacitated nor weak (kai ouk astheneo)? Who stumbles, ceasing to be credible (tis skandalizomai – who is slandered and scandalized becoming unbelievable, even offensive, being trapped, distrusted and deserted) when I am not (kai ouk ego) myself destroyed in the fire (pyroomai – myself consumed by flames, burning with passion, greatly worried and distressed, tempted with desires, or aroused sexually, incensed or indignant)? (2 Corinthians 11:29)

So, since it is necessary to brag (ei kauchasthai dei) of my limitation and weakness (ta tes astheneia mou – of this infirmity, lack of insight, frailty, incompetence and inadequacy of mine), I will boast (astheneia – I will brag, glorifying myself)." (2 Corinthians 11:30)

And speaking of psychosis, after what we have just read, Paul's next statement borders on schizophrenic. "The God ($o \Theta \Sigma$) and father (pater) of the Lord (tou KY) Iesou (IHY) has known (oida – has actually and completely been aware of and has recognized and acknowledged) the one being (o on) praised and worthy of commendation (eulogetos – one being blessed; from eulogeo – with praiseworthy words and beneficial speech) throughout the universe and forever (eis tous aion) because (hoti) I absolutely cannot lie (ou pseudomai – could never deceive or mislead by speaking falsely or conveying anything that is not true)." (2 Corinthians 11:31)

In the midst of his braggadocious diatribe, with Sha'uwl presenting himself as the source of universal and everlasting truth, the most reasonable conclusion is that Paulos is presenting himself as commendable and praiseworthy – the source of healing words and beneficial speech. As a further affirmation, he has already told us that God knew him and chose him before he was born. As such, this may be Sha'uwl's most presumptions, egotistical, and

delusional statement.

Paul is doing such a great job incriminating himself, let's stick around a little longer to see how this plays out. After all, this is serious business. This psychotic megalomaniac bamboozled billions of people with this soaring rhetoric.

"It is necessary to brag (kauchaomai dei), not advantageous (ou symphero – not beneficial). But now (de) as affirmation (men – indeed, surely and truly), I will go (erchomai – I will come) onto supernatural visions (eis optasia – to what appears to the mind by supernatural means) and (kai) revelations (apokalypsis – revealing disclosures, uncovering and unveilings) of the Lord (KY)." (2 Corinthians 12:1)

One way to avoid lying I suppose is to say that you cannot remember. But when they are allegedly transformative events in your life, encounters which provide your authority, that will not fly. Nonetheless...

"I am aware of (oida - I know, recognize, recall, or acknowledge) a man (anthropos) in (en) Christo $(I\Omega)$ before fourteen years $(pro\ etos\ dekatessares)$ whether if (eite) in (en) body (soma - as a physical being) I do not know $(ouk\ oida - I \text{ am unaware and do not recall})$ or if (eite) outside the body $(ektos\ tou\ somatos - \text{ disassociated}$ from a physical being) I do not recall or remember $(ouk\ oida - I \text{ do not know}, I \text{ am unaware}, \text{ and } I \text{ will not acknowledge}).$

The God $(\Theta\Sigma)$, He has known and has remembered (oiden – he has recognized, recalled, and acknowledged) having been violently seized and snatched away (harpazo – having been viciously attacked, ravenously plundered, forcibly possessed, harshly controlled, carried away, swindled, and extorted) like this (ton toioutos – in this kind of way) until (heos – as far as) the third heaven (tritos ouranos)." (2 Corinthians 12:2)

If he cannot remember how he encountered this individual, whether he was out of his body or just out of his mind, how does he know whom he met or what he was told? And if he cannot recall what happened, why did he provide three detailed, albeit conflicting, accounts for Luke to record in Acts? Also, if his god cannot be counted upon to remember either, then there would be no reason for Paul to ask us to forget what he said. Or should we consider this to be the deranged musings and hallucinations of an insane mind?

"And (kai) I recall (oida – I know and remember, I am aware and acknowledge) as such (ton toioutos – like this) a man (anthropos) whether if (eite) in (en) body (soma – as a physical being) I do not know (ouk oida – I am unaware and do not recall) or if (eite) without the body (choris tou somatos – apart from a physical being) I do not recall or remember (ouk oida – I do not know, I am unaware, and I will not acknowledge).

The God $(\Theta \Sigma)$, he has known and has remembered (oiden – he has recognized, recalled, and acknowledged) (2 Corinthians 12:3) because (oti) he was viciously attacked and plundered, harshly controlled and extorted (harpazo – He was violently seized and snatched away, controlled, carried swindled) away, and approaching (eis – inside and with reference to) the paradise (ton paradeisos – a Babylonian / Persian Sanskrit word for garden enclosure and hunting preserve) and he heard (kai akouo) words which cannot be spoken (arretos rhema – unspeakable and unsaid statements or matters which cannot be expressed; literally the unexpressed words) which it is not permissible, possible, or lawful (a ouk exesti – which ought not be obligatory; literally out of existence) for a man (anthropos) to speak (laleo)." (2 Corinthians 12:4)

But to Paul, hearing what he did not hear and saying what he could not say was sufficient reason for him to brag,

which he did while not boasting, unless self-glorification was in incapacitating timidity. I kid you not.

"On behalf of such things like this (hyper tou toioutos), I will actually boast (kauchaomai – I will brag, engaging in self-glorification, expressing pride in myself) for the sake of it (hyper). But myself (de emautou – so on my own accord), I will not brag (ou kauchaomai – I will not engage in self-glorification) if not (ei un) in the (en tais) incapacitating inadequacy of corruption and perversion (astheneia – infirmity and illness borne out of dishonesty, timidity and limitations associated with fraud, weakness and sickness derived from defiling and profaning, inadequacy and lack of insights caused by polluting and sullying the established conditions)." (2 Corinthians 12:5)

This leads to the confession that he was not only an egomaniac and demon-possessed but also that he was controlled by Satan.

"Because (gar – for indeed) if (ean) I might want (thelo – I may decide, desire, propose, or enjoy) to brag (dauchaomai – to boast or to glorify myself) truthfully (aletheia – honestly), I would not be (ouk esomai) unjustified or imprudent (aphron – acting rashly without reason, inappropriate or foolish).

For then (gar – because) I will say (ero) I am presently abstaining (pheidomai – I am currently refraining). But (de) someone (tis) not (un) approaching (eis) me (eme) might ponder (logizomai – may have reason to logically conclude, embrace an opinion, or hold a view) beyond (hyper – over and above and because of) what (o) he sees (blepo – he will be able to view and discern) in me (me), or (e) something (ti) he hears (akouo – he listens to, receives, pays attention to) from (ek) me (emou), (12:6) especially of the (kai te – so with regard to the) extraordinary superiority of the (hyperbole ton –

preeminence and exceedingly great, transcendent, magnificent, and awe-inspiring aspects of the exaggerated and overstated) **revelations** (*apokalypsis* – disclosures with the appearance of instructions concerning the unknown).

Therefore (dio – it should be self-evident), in order that (hina – for the purpose that) I not become overly proud and be lifted up (me hyperairomai – I not become conceited, exalting myself beyond what would be justified, so as not to be insolent, audaciously lifting myself above the source of my inspiration), there was given to me (didomi ego – there was deposited upon me, allowing me to experience, there was granted and entrusted to me for my advantage) a sharp goad and troubling thorn (skolops – a sharp-pointed prod used to control dumb animals sometimes featuring a poisonous scorpion's stinger) in the body (te sarx – incorporated into the flesh and as an aspect of my physical, animal, and human nature), a messenger (angelos – a spiritual envoy or demonic spirit) of Satan (Satan – a transliteration of satan, Hebrew for the Adversary), in order to (hina – so as to) strike and restrain me (kolaphizo – adversely harm, beat, and torment me, violently mistreating me to painfully afflict, attack, buffet, and batter me; from kolazo – to prune, control, check, curb, and restrain me), so that as a result (hina), at the present time, there is the possibility that I might not be conceited, currently exalting myself beyond what would be justified (me hyperairomai - I may not be overly proud nor excessively exalted or lifted up, overdoing it (scribed in the present tense, meaning at this time, in the passive voice, affirming that this is being done to him, with the subjective mood indicating that this outcome is a mere possibility, and in the first-person singular, thereby identifying Paulos as the one being possessed and controlled)). (2 Corinthians 12:7)

Regarding this (hyper toutou – because of and about

this), three times (tris) of the Lord (ton kupion — of the supernatural master who controls a person, the owner of slaves to whom someone belongs, the one who lords over and exercises supremacy, and the power to possess), I asked (parakaleo — I begged, urged, and pleaded) in order that (ina) it might be repelled (aphistamai — at some point it might possibly leave and be kept away, departing (aorist active subjunctive)), separated from me (apo emou — out of and disassociated from me)." (2 Corinthians 12:8)

I do not suspect that Paulos much liked being demonpossessed. It must have been maddening and manipulative. He pleaded with his spiritual accomplice, begging Satan to "aphistamai – to repel" the demon, not only "making it leave" but also "keeping it away. He knew, of course, that every "messenger of Satan," and thus every "demon," served the Adversary and thus would obey its Lord. And just as arretos was the "negation of the Word," aphistemi is the antithesis of Dowd's purpose: "to stand up for us so that we might stand with him." Therefore, to be aphistemi is to be separated from God's purpose.

If you are looking for Yahowah's help, if you want Him to respond to you, that will never happen if you call Him "Lord." This is not only Satan's title, and the name God uses to identify the Adversary, in that the name *Ba'al* means "Lord," it is the antithesis of the way our Heavenly Father wants us to relate to Him in the Family Covenant.

Paul, on the other hand, was a chameleon of many ill-fitting colors...

"Therefore (dio – for this reason it should be selfevident), I am pleased with and prefer, delighting in (eudokeo en – I enjoy and take pleasure in, I consider good and consent to) sickening perversions (astheneia – the inadequacies and infirmities caused by corruptions, illness borne of dishonesty, weakness which results from the tendency to defile, to profane, and to dishonor that which

is set apart as common, incapacitation, weakness, and lack of insights derived from a willingness to pollute and sully the established conditions), in (en) presumptuous maltreatment and outrageously damaging insults (hybris – injurious treatment and harmful behavior, the invasion of the basic rights of others, ignominious hardships and impudent insolence, pride and haughtiness. wanton violence, and tempestuous wrongdoing), in (en) the necessity and inevitability of compulsion and punishment (anagke - obligatory trouble, unyielding pressure, the destiny and advantage of distress and tribulation as well as imposed calamity), in (en) persecution and oppression (diogmos – harassment and molestation which causes people to flee in fear, driving them away through terror), and (kai) the difficulty of the distressing restrictiveness (stenochoria – the troublesome narrowness and resulting calamity and extreme affliction) **regarding** (hyper – associated with and because of) Christou (XPY) is the reason (gar – indeed, because) I am sickened by my perversions (astheneia – I am inadequate and infirmed through my corruptions, ill as a result my dishonesty, weakened by my tendency to defile, to profane, and to dishonor that which is set apart as common, incapacitated with a lack of insights derived from my willingness to pollute and sully the established conditions), and at the same time (tote) I am (eimi) empowered, competent and capable (dynatos plausible, expert, and important, mighty, powerful, and influential)." (2 Corinthians 12:10)

"I have come to be (ginomai – I have become) ignorant and irrational (aphron – senseless and foolish, stupid, acting rashly, essentially out of my mind, lacking judgment). You (umeis), yourselves, compelled me (anagkazo me – forced this upon me, drove me to this, necessitating it).

For this reason (gar), you all (umon) are obligated

to me, and under me, you owe me (opheilo upo umon – you are indebted to me and it is indispensable and obligatory that you are required) to be commended and recommended (synistemi – to be approved, established, and legitimized).

For indeed (gar – because), I lacked nothing, never falling short of (ouden hystereo – I wasn't the least bit inferior to or lacking any benefit or advantage of) the (ton) preeminent (hyperlian – super and exceptional) if even (ei kai) I am (eimi) nothing (oudeis – a worthless, meaningless nobody)." (2 Corinthians 12:11)

Turning to Sha'uwl's nemesis – Jesus – it is as if the myth knew the man sufficiently to be admonishing him. Gospel Jesus used *kerdaino*, the very same verb deployed here four times, to offer this warning:

"For what will be accomplished and who will be helped (tis gar opheleo – what value would there be and who would be benefited) by a man if (ean anthropos – on the condition an individual) the entire universe (ton holos kosmos – the totality of the whole world) he might gain, winning over, taking advantage of and profiting from (kerdaino), but (de) his soul (autou psyche) he forfeits (zemioomai – he damages undergoing punishment)?" (Matthew 16:26)

These insights are appropriate, especially when we consider Sha'uwl's elaborate justification for personal payment in 1 Corinthians 9:1-12. If we knew where he was buried, this should be written on his tombstone.

ያየት~

Let's be clear: Sha'uwl's / Paul's motivation for opposing God is irrelevant. All that matters is that he did. Yet, I recognize that human nature causes us to wonder

how Satan could have fooled Sha'uwl initially. And just as millions have pondered the nature of the thorn in Paul's side, even though it was revealed in the text, we are likewise curious to understand the impetus behind his willingness to perpetrate the most deceitful, destructive, deadly, and damning fraud in human history. Therefore, recognizing that I am moving away from that which you need to know, and from that which can be objectively known, to that which we would like to know, and which is somewhat speculative, I offer the following insights for your consideration.

The Roman name from which we have come to know Paul, "Paulos," means "Lowly and Little" in Latin, and not so coincidentally, the common trait among all of Satan's little helpers is insecurity. A truncated sense of value manifests itself in paranoia and ego. Hypocrisy reigns, which enables the wolf (which is actually a timid creature) in sheep's clothing to devour unsuspecting foes who let their guard down. Their victims are predisposed to trust an insider, believing that they are telling the truth. And in this way, these predators share Satan's persona and methods. This makes them especially easy for him to manipulate, and effective for him to use.

To satiate their cravings to fill the painful void in their lives, insecure individuals demand attention, even reverence – and they will do or say anything they believe will serve their interests. In doing so, they become exceedingly divisive. It's them against everyone, except those who are unrelentingly loyal, pledging their unwavering support – and yet even they are questioned. But these wolves are deadly, killing everything they touch by biting an opponent's heels. As opportunistic hunters, they will devour almost anything living or dead, including their own. Their insecurity drives them to be excessively territorial, and they will fight anyone who infringes on their turf. All of this makes insecure individuals particularly

vulnerable and especially susceptible to those who can fulfill their yearning to be in control, to be admired.

Examples are: Paul (the Wolf in Sheep's Clothing), Nero (the prototypical "Antichrist"), Rabbi Akiba (the Father of Judaism), Marcion (Paul's publicist), Diocletian (circa 303 CE with his persecutions), Emperor Constantine (with his claim to have seen a sign under which he could conquer), Muhammad (the demon-possessed founder of Islam), Maimonides (the man who codified Judaism), Adolf Hitler, and Stalin. My father was hopelessly insecure, as was my most important customer in my first business, even the man I unfortunately hired to replace me in my last commercial endeavor. Should you be interested in meeting them, I exposed the divisiveness of these individuals in *God Damn Religion* and *In the Company*.

Every word of Galatians oozes arrogance and hypocrisy – the telltale signs of insecurity. Sha'uwl's life was a living contradiction. After claiming that he was an "Apostle" trained by God, Paul wallowed in selfindulgence. The first half of his letter was so overtly egotistical and self-centered, it was obvious that Paul was trying to compensate for his inadequacies and rise above his foes by putting them down. After alleging to have been chosen by Gospel Jesus, he contradicted him. After telling countless lies, he said that he cannot lie. After creating the myth of Iesou, and demeaning the Torah, Paul told believers that they should follow his example. After being welcomed by the disciples, Paul demeaned them. His most repetitive phrases were "but I say," and "to the contrary." Then after ruthlessly attacking his foes, calling for their castration, Paul insisted that he not be troubled by their rebuttals.

Especially relevant in this regard, it is evident that Sha'uwl was rebuked by Yahuwdym who publicly demonstrated that he was lying. Since insecure men cannot tolerate criticism, Paul responded the same way

Muhammad would centuries later – by demonizing Jews, calling the Chosen People the "enemy of God." The argument he waged in Galatians against those who observed the Torah, flowed directly into his next letter, Sha'uwl's anti-Semitic rant in 1 Thessalonians.

Sure, there were different strokes for different folks, which is why there are different religions, but the point of vulnerability is always the same. Insecure and egotistical people like Paul, Akiba, Constantine, and Muhammad after him, crave power, reverence, and control. The founders of religious schemes lust for unbridled adoration, and they will stop at nothing to garner the undivided attention they seem to require.

Based on what we have read in this letter, Paul was the perfect patsy. He was a Pharisee, the best student of a teacher known to us through the Talmud. He was among those Gospel Jesus called hypocrites and a brood of vipers – the children of demons. He was one of Satan's children before he became Satan's messenger. So, of course, Paul thought that the lesser light and voice came from his god. It did.

Paul's life was also a living hell. His father sent him away when he was a young boy. So, he desperately tried to prove his worth by being a good student, but something went desperately wrong. Rather than become a ranking Pharisee and serve in the Sanhedrin, Paul was sent back home to sew tents. Can you imagine how demeaning this must have been for someone desperate to prove himself? For a boy who craved attention, who yearned to be respected, he was doing women's work.

Never having enjoyed a mother's love, Paul turned on women. He grew to hate them. And in a culture where homosexuality was considered an abomination, he, at the very least, struggled with his sexual orientation, expressing his love for only one person — a young man named

Timothy.

Having witnessed his dark side, his penchant for tearing others down and abusing them, those who knew Sha'uwl may have encouraged him to harass those who recognized that Dowd was the Passover Lamb. In this barbaric job, Paul would brag that he excelled. Imagine a soul dark enough to boast about such a thing. It was in this darkness, in the midst of being subhuman, that the man, who had been rejected by his father, who had been rejected by the Pharisees, and who was good at being bad, was offered the one thing he craved: respect. The Adversary who wanted to be worshiped as if he were God had found his kindred spirit. And together they would reshape the world.

It is obvious that Paul, like Constantine and Muhammad, knew that something was horribly amiss during the conversion experience. While all three embellished their account of it over time, it's apparent that they met with Satan pretending to be God. Claiming otherwise, all too soon they were committed. Then kneedeep in their own self-serving charade, they lacked the character to turn back and admit that they had been duped because their egos would not allow it. That is why Satan picked them in the first place. He knew that their need to be esteemed and to compensate for their broken childhoods drove a lust for attention and admiration which he could manipulate.

So long before Paul wrote Galatians, he knew the truth. His ploy, the conception of two covenants, was way too clever, way too diabolical, way too false, for him not to have laughed at his victims for believing his story. But there was no backing down from the perch they had placed themselves. He, like Muhammad, was demon-possessed and thus was no longer in control. He had been betrayed by the Great Betrayer, the lord of egos, the prince of lies. The first step toward the dark side had set things in motion that

could not be undone.

We know that Satan promised Muhammad, a dumb brute of a man, sex, power, money, and immortality. And he delivered on all four accounts, not that it did Muhammad any good. He was never satisfied. And we know that Satan promised General Constantine victory in a battle that would transform his life from becoming a slave as the loser, to becoming Emperor as the winner. And I suspect Satan promised Sha'uwl – a pompous elitist – that as his apostle he would become the most influential man who ever lived. He delivered.

Paul founded a religion – the largest and most influential in human history. He has been immortalized. Christians cite his words far more often than Yahowah's or those attributed to Gospel Jesus. He has become "Saint Paul" – the most famous "Apostle." They name cities and cathedrals after him.

And as a result of what he has done, the man who was rejected by his father, mother, religious teachers, the disciples, and God took his revenge and damned more souls than anyone in history. Billions have been poisoned by his words. He was the Wolf in Sheep's clothing, the one in a position to mutilate Yahowah's Word and devour Dowd's sacrifice. All the while, he claimed to be a trusted insider

ያየያ __

Twistianity V4: Incredible ...Faith is for Fools

5

When Love is Wrong

The Contrarian...

Some years ago, while translating what Yasha'yah / Isaiah foretold would befall the modern manifestation of Mow'ab for the concluding volume of Observations, I noticed some interesting parallels between it and what Paul wrote in 1 Corinthians 13. As a result, it seems fitting to commence this chapter devoted to shredding Paul's credibility at the very place the Plague of Death is most esteemed, the Love Chapter of First Corinthians. What makes this especially poignant is that the prophecy Paul pilfered from Dowd depicts Christianity's demise.

Yasha'yah / Isaiah was warning Yisra'el about the ultimate consequence of what Sha'uwl / Paul would spawn as the Questionable father of modern Mow'ab. The 16th chapter of Yasha'yah opens with an interesting twist, using a vivid and memorable word picture of a battering ram. It was deployed to encourage us to shatter the pithy quotes and disrespectful taunts of the powerful politicians and distinguished clerics associated with the modern manifestation of Mow'ab.

"You all should choose to send (shalach – of your own freewill dispatch) a battering ram (kar – a ram as in an individual or a device which can be used to break down walls and smash undesirable impediments) into the memorable quotes, disrespectful taunts, and popular sayings espoused by those who govern (mashal – of the rulers pontificating political parables and religious proverbs including the moral maxims and situational ethics

underlying the control mechanisms of the establishment) the earth ('erets – of the realm or land), assessing and then repudiating by tossing aside after contemplative reflection (min selah – pausing to weigh such things in the balance and then make light of them, ridiculing that which should be thoughtfully rejected of [from 1QIsa while the MT has sela' – lofty places and strongholds]) the lifeless who question the word (midbar) on behalf of ('el – for the benefit of) the daughters (bath – the female offspring and surrounding environs) of Mount (har) Tsyown | the Signs Posted Along the Way (Tsyown)." (Yasha'yah / Salvation is from Yahowah / Isaiah 16:1)

God is encouraging us to be judgmental rather than accepting, to speak out against liberal expressions of religion and politics rather than respect either. It is a shame that so few people are prepared or willing to follow God's advice. If those in authority were held accountable, if their lies were repudiated, the world would be a nicer, safer, and better place for everyone.

The reason "selah – to reject and repudiate" on the Great Isaiah Scroll was changed to "sela' – stronghold or rocky crag" in the Masoretic Text was likely to prevent Yisra'elites from being observant and thinking for themselves. The rabbis responsible for the copyedit did not much like the idea that they would be rejected by those who took the time to carefully consider what they were promoting.

"And so it shall be (wa hayah) like (ka) birds ('owph) fleeing (nadad) the nest (qen). The daughters (bath) of Mow'ab | Who is this Questionable Father – those whom Progressives and Pauline Christians have Caused to have Questionable Allegiances (Mow'ab – who are unthinking, belligerent and confrontational, multicultural and religious, espousing a political culture akin to the insanity of Sodom, whereby the Hebrew writings were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore to

form Christianity, the place where the Towrah's voice died) will be (hayah) scattered (shalach) at the fords (ma'barah) of 'Arnown | the piercing cries of an intense outburst ('Arnown)." (Yasha'yah / Freedom is from Yahowah / Isaiah 16:2)

They had been beguiled into believing that their Lord was God. And now, cast out and banished from his nest, they are confronted with a reality so incongruous with their beliefs that all they can do is scream, emitting an earsplitting, soul-penetrating, and anguished cry. And unfortunately, the boisterous among Progressive Christians are positioning themselves alongside Muslims while calling for the annihilation of Israel and the extermination of Jews.

From Yahowah's perspective, and pronounced throughout the Towrah and Prophets, throughout all of human history religion and government have leagued together to mislead, control, abuse, and fleece the preponderance of people, robbing them of the opportunity to know Him and the freedom to respond and benefit from His Towrah, Miqra'ey, and Beryth. The message is that while individual men and women are both good and bad, collectively mankind is deceitful, destructive, deprecating, and deadly. The larger and more powerful the nation or institution, the more egregious it becomes. The history of man is a portrait of imposed religion, constant war, repressive caste systems, and tyrannical government.

Since more (religion and politics) is less (right to know and freedom to choose) in this regard, Yahowah is particularly hostile in His rebuke of those screaming for more influence. This puts Progressives from the Christian West alongside Muslims the world over as the subject of God's broad rebuke – especially seeing how they have come together to eliminate Israel.

It is Yahowah v. Progressive Christians and

Fundamentalist Muslims. God wants to free His people from them and they want to free the world of His people.

While I did not foresee this hellish alliance 20 years ago, I have long spoken out against the caustic influence of political correctness and unsubstantiated platitudes, big government, entitlements, multiculturalism, a departure from evidence and reason, conspiracy, constraints on free speech, and academic indoctrination – all of which have metastasized and are on the verge of destroying livelihoods, liberty, and life while devouring individual responsibility and the right to know. And now, reading Yahowah's revelation to us in the wake of the visceral and viral attacks against Israel and Jews, dehumanizing and demonizing the victims of the October 7th Massacre rather than expose and condemn the perpetrators, we should see this for what it is. Yahowah is denouncing the deceitful, destructive, and deadly alliance of Progressives and Muslims from the places Paul and Muhammad corrupted. They are not only indoctrinating today's youth to hate His people and land, they have so corrupted the conscience of young people that there are now entire generations incapable of reason and of thinking their way to Him.

But it need not come to this. There has always been a better choice. God exists and He is knowable, likable, supportive, and approachable. He is also enlightening and emancipating as the ultimate sponsor of education and freewill.

"You should choose of your own volition to pursue (bow') counsel, seeking the right advice ('etsah – consider the proper course of action and then reveal the right plan after being observant and studying every option). Choose to act upon and engage in ('asah) thoughtful decision-making (palylah – exercising good judgment while forming considered conclusions).

Of your own freewill, present (shyth) your likeness

(tsel 'atah) such that it is in contrast to (ka – similar to) the darkness (ha layl)..." (Yasha'yah / Deliverance is from Yahowah / Isaiah 16:3)

The "counsel" we should pursue is found throughout the Towrah and Prophets, in addition to the Psalms and Proverbs. Consider it all Fatherly advice.

There are seven important insights which can be gleaned from Yahowah's next statement. First, those who choose to live hospitably among Yahuwdym rather than attack them, and particularly those who question the forefathers of the world's most pervasive religious and political schemes that have turned against Yisra'el, will be protected from this Adversary. This drives to the heart of one of Yahowah's most inspiring promises – to bless those who favor Yisra'el and the Covenant.

Second, since these men and women are described as "guwr – invited guests," and yet from Yah's perspective are considered "Mine," this affirms that God initiates contact with those He realizes will eventually choose to engage in His Covenant, providing for them in advance of the relationship. He is protecting them prior to their adoption. And while this is wonderful news, very few souls will avail themselves of this opportunity. Very few will risk questioning the status quo sufficiently to disassociate from religion and politics while, at the same time, choosing to know and trust Yahowah.

Third, the Adversary is as we have learned: "shadad – demonic." He wants "to be worshiped as if he were God." Satan is "shadad – an evil spirit, ruinous and destructive," a "ha mets – oppressive extortioner." He's not just an "authority figure," and thus the power behind "political and religious leaders," he "is the reason the chaff is susceptible to being blown away."

Satan "*mets* – subjects others to undue pressure such that they capitulate and obey him." He separates many

from God through "extortion, coercion, and blackmail." This means that Satan presents himself as the god of religion and uses human authority figures, religious and political leaders, to do his bidding.

Fourth, even the Adversary has a purpose. He makes the choice of participating in a religion instead of developing a relationship with our Heavenly Father appear sufficiently credible to be believed – especially to those who are neither open-minded, thoughtful, nor observant.

Fifth, once Satan's reason for existing is fulfilled, he "kalah – will be restrained, removed, and imprisoned, eliminated from the realm of the living." Headed to the lightless prison of *She'owl*, he "will be confined" with those he used

Sixth, we are being afforded the opportunity to make an important connection between the Adversary and the final beast presented in *Dany'el* / Daniel. This is the destructive force which emerged from Imperial Rome and is, therefore, the Roman Catholic Church. This connection is facilitated by "*ramas* – the one who treads upon and tramples down" the "*kol 'erets* – all the earth." It is one of many links between the international community of liberal Progressives from Christian nations and Mow'ab.

And seventh, there will be some, a precious few perhaps, thousands among billions, who will come out of *Mow'ab* to be part of the Covenant. I am one of them and I know many more. As is the case with almost every gentile who comes this way, their lives are transformed by Yahowah's words. Once mired in *Mow'ab*, they are freed from political Christianity and socialist Multiculturalism.

In our quest to know God, we all begin by questioning our religious and political affiliations. And then we follow Yah's words home, to the *Beryth*, through the Towrah, answering the *Miqra'ey*, to *Yisra'el* and to *Yahuwdah*, then ultimately to *Tsyown* and to *Dowd* through the *Naby' wa*

Mizmowr. We came out of her (*Mow'ab*) and became His people, grafted into the Family.

Yahowah is making this simple for us by revealing that the Lord uses human authority figures, and thus religious and political leaders, to elicit obedience. This demonic spirit presents himself as God, and most especially, the Lord Jesus Christ of Roman Catholicism, and thus Christianity – the world's most popular religion.

"Allow those who choose to gather together and dwell, these foreigners who are invited to congregate (guwr) with you (ba 'atah), those driven away from Me who are Mine (nadach 'any) of Mow'ab | of Political Correctness, Socialist Secular Humanism. Multiculturalism, and Pauline Christianity who have had Questionable Allegiances (Mow'ab – those who were indoctrinated by Progressives in the amoral morass of Sodom, influenced by the Hebrew writings which they have acknowledged were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore to form Christianity, those who have questioned the father of the place where the Towrah's voice died), to exist (hayah - becoming (gal imperative active)), sheltering them (sether la hem) from (min) the presence (paneh) of the destructive demon who seeks to be worshiped as God (shadad - of the ruinous demonic one who is an evil spirit, the ravaging and devastating destroyer, of the oppressive and violent demon who plunders with overpowering injustice; from shed – the evil demonic spirit who seeks to be worshiped as if he were God).

For indeed (ky), the oppressive extortioner (ha mets – the authority figure who subjects others to undue pressure to capitulate and obey through extortion, coercion, and blackmail) will be no more ('aphes). This demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God (shed) will be finished, his purpose complete, and he will then be imprisoned, forever restraining (kalah) the one who treads upon and

tramples down (*ramas* – the one who claims to be authorized while aggressively engaged in conquest and subjugation of) **the whole of the earth** (*min ha 'erets*)." (*Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 16:4)

This is so significant in its implications it bears repeating: this was written of the Beast, which was predicted in Dany'el to grow out of Imperial Rome, none other than the Roman Catholic Church – Europe and the Americas. He foretold that it would "ramas – tread upon and trample down" the entire world which it has done by projecting its political, religious, economic, and military influence. And now we know that Satan is the driving force and god behind the typically intertwined religious and political institutions emerging from the lands proudly displaying a dead god on a stick. Moreover, modern Mow'ab | Who's the Father is manifest through Progressives emerging from Christianity.

It is as if Satan wanted a third broad avenue, yet another way to turn mankind against God and His people. Christianity, the Religion of Replacement Foolology, was his first ploy, then Islam where Satan became God. This was followed by the religion of man – Socialism and Communism now under the umbrella of Progressivism. Unfortunately, while the carnage will be great, fortunately as the prophet turns the page to tomorrow, these politicized religions and their sire will be eradicated.

Imagine a world without religion or politics...

"Then genuine mercy and enduring love (wa chesed – unfailing kindness and affection in a mutually beneficial and giving relationship which is faithful and passionate) will be established and sustained (kuwn – enduring) on the seat of honor (kise').

And upon it (wa 'al huw' – on account of it) he will be restored and live (yashab – he will be renewed and abide within the relationship, he will sit and abide) in (ba

— with and by means of) **reliable truth** (*'emeth* — that which is trustworthy, right, and correct, valid, certain, and dependable, constant and enduring, never-changing) **within** (*ba*) **the brilliant dwelling and home** (*'ohel*) **of Dowd** | **the Beloved** (*Dowd*) **by exercising good judgment** (*shaphat* — correctly deciding what is right by being judgmental in defending the truth) **while** (*wa*) **seeking and then considering** (*darash*) **the means to appropriately resolve disputes** (*mishpat* — to make informed and rational decisions regarding vindication and condemnation), **becoming expeditious**, **experienced**, **and effective** (*wa mahyr*) **regarding that which is right and vindicating** (*tsedeq* — in what is correct, honest, accurate)." (*Yasha 'yah* / Isaiah 16:5)

This is as it should be. After revealing the consequence of ignoring and rejecting Him, Yahowah conveys the benefits associated with trusting Him. The answer is Dowd. It is why I serve as his Herald.

The Messiah and Savior, the Firstborn Son of God, our Shepherd, is returning to a remnant of his people. And it is by knowing who he is, and what he has said and done, that those who will be reconciled into the Covenant Family will prevail.

God is merciful to those who strive to sit alongside $Dowd \mid David$, to those who seek the truth so as to be restored. For that, our focus must be on making informed and rational decisions that make us right with God by undoing the wrongs of Replacement Foolology.

In this light, and being resolutely rational, we should see the Pauline Epistles as the uncircumcised Goliath doing battle against Dowd's *Mizmowr* / Psalms. The sling provides the skill to accurately project the word of God, represented by the stone that killed the perceived giant of a man. Paul's Greek letters and Dowd's Hebrew lyrics are adversaries on opposing sides, one representing Satan and

the other, Yahowah.

It has been said that religion is the leading cause of death on Earth. But actually, this should be said of governments and empires. From the first human civilization to the last, it has been an unending stream of slaughter. And it all flows downward from the immoral and arrogant despots, from the self-aggrandizing who claim a Divine sanction to rule to the brutality they have inspired. The more influence and power they wield, the more who are enslaved, deprived, and die.

Sha'uwl's masterpiece of deception was his political and religious ode to the Romans. It gave birth to the culture which encouraged Rome to destroy *Yahuwdah* | Judah. He was, by his own admission, exceedingly proud of having set all of this into motion. No one has more negatively affected the lives of God's Chosen People than Paulos – the master of deception – the modern integrator of religion and politics on behalf of the Beast. He is the author of the most heinous anti-Semitic cult ever conceived.

Clearly, Paul was presumptive and unreasonable in his attribution of status to the manifest destiny of his new covenant. He was prone to immoral outbursts and angry attacks, all motivated by an inflated sense of superiority. His protestations, which were never warranted or supported by God, historical reality, prophecy, or reason, were little more than senseless braggadocio. He took Greeks and Romans into battle against God and His people, silencing the voice of the Towrah in the process. Billions of beguiled souls would die. He, and the demonic spirit inspiring him, would remain Yahowah's mortal enemy to the bitter end – concluding with the demise of Mow'ab.

As we continue to consider what *Mow'ab* represents today, there should be no mistaking Sha'uwl's / Paul's influence as the Questionable Father of political religion in this prophetic portrait. The resulting modern Mow'ab has

become as religious as it is political, as multicultural as it is anti-Semitic. Ancient and modern Mow'ab would also express their duplicity by engaging in rhetoric and alliances supportive of Yisra'el while promoting armed insurrections against God's people behind their back.

As the torch was recently passed from the billionaire narcissist to the senile socialist. Israel will soon be dealt a devastating blow by way of the least qualified individual to lead a nation. The systematic abandonment of God's people by the most Christian of nations has been a consequence of the precipitous decline in America's electorate and resulting political leadership. If what we witness in the US is the blessed fruit of democracy, I'd rather live among lions. And should you think I'm being unfair in my rebuke of the United States, you should consider Yahowah's denunciation of modern Babel, Mow'ab, and Tarshish in Yasha'yah 17 and 18. God finds Kackles and her Squad no laughing matter. Who needs an Adversary or Hell when you have Progressives? Until the rise of this cast of sinister characters, it was hard to envision why Yahowah singled out the United States for condemnation in the dismembering of Israel, but now it is plastered in the headlines.

However, to be fair, when one considers the state of discontent in Israel, with Progressive malcontents warring against the elected government of religious parasites, and we witness Jews tearing each other up rather than pushing back against liberal international pressure to accept defeat, all while unable to acknowledge that Palestine is a myth and their enemy is Islam, we find a nation kicked back into the Shettles begging for friends in all the wrong places – still unwilling to listen to Yah.

"We have heard (shama') of the violent agitation and arrogance (ga'own – of the moral depravity, undeserved status, and pompous rhetoric) of Mow'ab | those of a Questionable Father raised as Pauline

Christians and becoming amoral Progressive socialists and secularists (*Mow'ab* – this unthinking and combative, multiethnic religious and sectarian culture indoctrinated in the irrational aspects of Sodom, having squandered the benefits of the Hebrew writings because they were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore, taking them to the place where the Towrah's voice died).

He has become exceedingly proud, self-aggrandizing and self-promoting (ge' me'od — self-important while utterly overstating and overhyping his current status and past, especially his perceived triumphs), along with his delusional projection of himself as magnificent and moral while inspiriting animosity (ga'awah huw'— glorifying his prior achievements).

In addition (wa). his presumptive unreasonable attribution of merit and status, even manifest destiny (ga'own huw' – his self-infatuation and sense of preeminence), and (wa) his improper and immoral outbursts which occur far and wide. displaying an inflated sense of superiority ('ebrah huw' - his vicious behavior, uncontrolled anger, all without a legitimate basis while influencing the world around him), are not right (lo' ken - are improper, dishonest, delusional, and without merit, invalid and demonstrably inaccurate) nor is his bragging and boasting, most especially his prideful speech which is not warranted predictions his unrealized pronouncements in the name of a false god, speaking of things which do not come true, his propensity to invent alternate realities, weaving them into a web of lies)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:6)

If we were to institutionalize the arrogance of Mow'ab, extrapolating it from its Questionable Father to nations, there are aspects of this which could otherwise have applied to Egypt, Assyria, and Babylon, to Greece, Sparta, Carthage, and Rome, to the Mayans, Incas, and

Aztecs, to the Holy Roman Empire and to Imperial Japan, to the Soviet Union and to Nazi Germany. But they did not survive long enough to be touted as realistic candidates for the Mow'ab of prophecy.

As we listen to the prognostications, there are strokes that appear applicable to the unGodly mix of Trump's self-serving boasts of America first. Consider his saber-rattling and propensity to build an ever-larger military to position the nation as the guardian of world commerce. It is seen in the boisterous extrapolation of America's false sense of Manifest Destiny, its propensity to govern through political parties, the country's obtrusive federal agents and police along with its belligerent military. The United States has a propensity for agitating and arrogant diatribes. The nation's fatal flaw remains its collective inability to see things in context and thus to understand the consequences of its impulsive and intrusive use of force. The problem is intrinsic to America's mindset and is only going to get worse. In politics, the Shitym always rises to the top!

If you think that this assessment may be presumptuous, compose a list of other potential candidates, looking for a nation similarly influenced by Christianity and Multiculturalism, which is equally arrogant and militaristic, and compare its views on Manifest Destiny to Yahowah's predictions. Even if you can, you may want to show some reserve, at least long enough to hear what Yahowah has to say about these people, their proclivities and consequences, in the 17th and 18th chapters of *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah – where God is very specific.

Beyond religions, governments, and militaries, there are, of course, glimpses of Satan in all of this. He prefers death to life, violence to peace, pompous rhetoric to the unpretentious truth. The Adversary has always been immoral, overstating his status by projecting himself as politically correct and enlightened, even as God, hoping that the masses acknowledge his desire for preeminence.

As the most infamous man Satan would possess, this all reads like Paul's letters. He continually bragged of his self-importance, of his signs and wonders, while boasting, "But I, Paul, say..." Throughout his litany of fourteen epistles and his diatribes throughout Acts, his unrealized predictions and assertions remain in conflict with the facts. His was always an alternate reality, something we call Replacement Theology. He wove a web of lies to promote his Lord as god and himself as his lone authorized agent to the world.

It is in Paul's letters that the Torah died. It is in Paul's letters that hatred and violence against Jews become a religious obsession. Paul was indeed the Questionable Father of Christianity.

In upcoming chapters, we will consider what *Dowd* | David had to say about Sha'uwl / Paul. In the process, we will come to understand why Yahowah calls him the Son of Evil and the Father of Lies.

If you are a Yahuwd | Jew and have been somewhat put off by the propensity to expose and condemn Sha'uwl / Paul and the religion he perpetrated throughout Twistianity, if you think that my critique of him over the rabbis who begot Judaism does not pertain to you, I appreciate your concerns. It is entirely my fault. While I came to realize that Paul was anti-Torah more than a decade ago, and while I wrote Questioning Paul, the forerunner of Twistianity to prove it, it was not until quite recently that I became sufficiently aware of the full implications of Replacement Foolology as it pertains to Dowd, the Son of God and Messiah, as well as its effect on Jews and Israel.

I am no longer naïve in this regard. Long before you have completed volumes 4 and 5 of *Twistianity*, considered *Observations*, and then read *Coming Home*, you will see Sha'uwl / Paul and the religion he conceived through as the corruptive lens obscuring his counterfeit, and as the

principal reason Jews have suffered more than any other people these past two thousand years. You may even come to despise Paul more than does our Creator.

Paul has done more to harm Jews than Akiba and Maimonides, Vespasian and Hadrian, Muhammad and Hitler. If it were not for Paul, they would not have prevailed. If not for Paul, at least some Jews, having recognized Dowd as the Messiah, Son of God, and Passover Lamb, would have left an indelible record of their experience. But because of Paul's counterfeit, Yahuwdym have come to despise everything about the Dionysian deity, 'Jesus Christ,' that he popularized. And because of Paul, the stage was set for hatred and conflict. Blame the Jews has become the central spoke of conspiracy – the world's fastest-growing religion. And blame the Jews is now the unifying theme of Progressives.

Therefore, there is no one God despises more than this solitary soul – the man who robbed His Son. There are more dire warnings regarding the founder of Christianity than anyone else.

Now for this tantalizing tidbit, one I did not foresee coming. Sha'uwl / Question Him / Paul was not just the Questionable Father of Christianity, he also laid the foundation for Multiculturalism and Political Correctness. the core tenets of Socialist Secular Humanism – and thus for Progressives. Yahowah has connected the dots for us, equating Mow'ab with the extreme liberals whose immoral and irrational rants echo the mantra of Sodom. They have risen to establish the religion of man. And like Christianity and Islam before them, the enemy of the emerging psychosis is Yisra'el. This trend in the wrong direction, toward ever more global and governmental influence, is particularly dire. Progressive globalists are now allied with Muslims against Jews. Without the support of Progressive politicians, the Progressive UN, the Progressive Media and control over Social Media, and the Progressive influence in academia, particularly on college campuses, the Muslims calling for the extermination of Jews wouldn't be allowed to spew their venom, turning the world against God's people.

Through indoctrination and the abandonment of reason, indeed morality, Progressives have paved the way for the demise of life on planet Earth. And the man who set the stage long ago with Replacement Foolology, an abandonment of evidence and reason, with platitudes over truth, and with politicized religion was *Sha'uwl* / Question Him — known today as Paul. This may be why most Progressives, even ultra-liberal Jews, celebrate Christian holidays and culture. They epitomize the uncircumcised and estranged.

But the same is true for those duped into believing that God is a Lord who wants to be worshiped and obeyed, for those who have no concept of what our Heavenly Father is actually like, much less what He is offering and expects in return. For all of them, sorrow awaits. And based upon the affirmed testimony of the Dead Sea Scrolls, Yahowah is not interested in listening to their morbid musings. He knows that those lost in modern *Mow'ab* have brought this upon themselves.

The question becomes – how are we to think of those caught up in modern Mow'ab? Should we pity them and seek to help them? Or should we keep our distance from them? Perhaps the answer is somewhere in between showing sympathy toward those who have been misled and yet open to the truth while remaining overtly critical of Mow'ab's political and religious leadership, especially their societal platitudes, military adventurism, and religious rites. The masses have been duped – indeed played. They are trapped in an irrational web of faith and fables.

Those things considered, here is Yahowah's answer...

"Therefore, since this is so, let not (la ken lo'-indeed in concert with a logical interpretation of the facts with regard to the sequence of events, what has happened and will occur, do not allow ["not" is from 1QIsa]) Mow'ab / the Questionable Origins of Political Correctness, **Socialist** Multiculturalism. Humanism. **Christianity** (*Mow'ab* – Who is your Father, *Lowt's* | Lot's son by his eldest daughter, an unthinking, confrontational, multicultural, religious and political society indoctrinated from within and defined by Sodom, those who have squandered the benefits of the Hebrew writings because they were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore to take them to the place where the Towrah's voice died; from ma - to question the who, what, and why of 'ab - the father, related to mowba' and mow'al - a path which leads in the opposite direction) cry out in sorrow (yalal - howl and wail like a distressed animal in a lifeless place; note: yalal is one of two verbs defining Satan's name – Hylel) for Mow'ab | on behalf of the Questionable Origins of **Progressives and Christianity** (Mow'ab – for this religious and political culture indoctrinated within and defined by Sodom, who have squandered the benefits of the Hebrew writings because they were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore to take them to the place where the Towrah's voice died).

Instead, each and every individual (kol huw') should express sorrow, lamenting (yalal) the unknown masses (la 'ashysh — the unaffiliated and thus unknown populations existing in proximity to one another who are under great pressure, depressed and offered to pagan gods) of Qyr Charas | the Destructive and Divisive Lure of the Lyrics Inscribed by Malevolent Schemers (Qyr Charas — that which was molded of clay to be contentious).

Ponder the serious implications associated with this message (*hagah* – give considerable thought to this material, speaking to those willing to listen) **among** (*'ak*)

the afflicted who are unmercifully abused (naka')." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:7)

The answer to our question is blunt and direct. He does not want to hear *Mow'ab*, today's Progressive Christians and Socialists, complain that they have been mistreated when they were coddled in the most favorable and upwardly mobile conditions for minorities within any society and culture in human history. So, Yahowah does want us to lament the fate of the unknown masses, those not yet defined by Mow'ab, 'Amown, and 'Esa'ow, such that Yisra'el and Yahuwdah may remain independent and free.

While Yah wants us to show compassion for His people, which suggests that we should try and help them, He is not explicitly asking us to reach out to the overtly religious and political because it is too late and they are indoctrinated beyond hope. Moreover, with the fate of the Chosen People hanging in the balance, they are part of the problem, not the solution. Lost in their political and religious delusions, and *wayyyyyy* too self-righteous and defensive of their beliefs, we are unlikely to find even the smallest crack for the truth to take root or grow.

Yahowah has defined the unknown masses as "qyr charas — disparaging and divisive, molded to be contentious." They are a "formed and fragile earthen altar" to the ways of man. They have been "qyr charas — baited and lured away, ensnared behind a smokescreen of homage to the lifeless and fabricated natural things of the earth, becoming little more than shards of broken pottery and fractured earthen vessels that men have made and then destroyed." They are as we witness Progressive protesters among Islamic agitators. They are pro-Fakestinian and anti-Israel.

Those under the influence of Mow'ab are unknown to Yahowah because they have been "qyr charas – infected

with an eruptive disease derived from too much exposure to the sun, by blasphemy through defiant taunts." In the end, they will be "cut down while gnashing their teeth as a result of the decisions they have made regarding man's decrees, suffering birth pangs while being fettered and charred, burned by the sun, pursuant to an evil plot schemed up by those associated with the occult who conspired in secret." They would take man back to Sodom while Yah is calling His people out of Babel.

As we transition to the next statement, the progenitor of the way of "Mow'ab – Questionable Father" is "Sha'uwl – Question Him," the Plague of Death and father of the religion that will bewilder and mislead many, keeping them from being known to God. It is hard to miss Pauline Christianity's love affair with the substitute wine and round wafers of Communion and the Eucharist – both ancient pagan substitutions for Pesach and Matsah.

Roman Catholicism is the mother of Christianity, a religion that was fathered by *Sha'uwl* | Question Him. It grew out of Imperial Rome, and is the final Beast, making it the religious manifestation of Babylon. The Roman Church is also the offspring of 'Esa'ow and thus the modern incarnation of 'Edowm.

Therefore, from an expansive view, this particular "Questionable Father" could also be referencing the last in a long line of revolting and pompous popes, the "Holy Father" who is now a Progressive. Pope Francis is politically and economically a Multicultural Socialist – indeed, Communist based upon his exceedingly liberal rhetoric.

In *Howsha'* / Hosea 3:1, the "'ashyshah – cakes of dried and shriveled grapes mixed with old grape juice and offered to pagan gods" was presented in association with 'Asherah, the progenitor of Orthodox Christianity's and Roman Catholicism's "Virgin with Child, Mother of God,

and Queen of Heaven." They have been burnt by overexposure to the sun – Satan's favorite guise, the author of Sunday, Christmas, and Easter observances.

"Indeed (ky), the cultivated fields and expansive growth (shedemah) of Cheshbown | of the Schemers and their Weapons at this time of reckoning (Cheshbown – of the evil plans of the snipers and their intent to kill, and of those who throw stones based upon their own accounts and reasoning) will shrivel up and wither away as a result of being indecisive and lacking the will to make the right decision ('amal – will languish as they are weakened for choosing poorly, having no personal discipline, and being overly fixated on satiating their sexual desires).

The twisted vine and moral poison (wa gephen – the bent tendril of corruption once associated with Sodom) of Sabamah | of those who are Overly Affluent and Satiated with Alcohol (Sabamah – of the wealthy who are abrasive, some of whom are odiferous while others are obnoxiously sweet, satiated with excess, gluttonous, and hedonistic), those who own and control (ba'al – the masters and rulers, the lords who possess) the gentile nations (gowym – the pagan peoples who are culturally, geographically, and ethnically estranged from Yisra'el), will strike out in an inebriated and destructive manner (halam – will be incapacitated because they are intoxicated, all while trampling and beating down their befuddled and drugged victims).

Their shoots (sarowq hy' – their choicest vines and their selected tendrils) will continually ('ad – will serve as prey for the predators, even as eternal plunder and an everlasting spoil) reach and entangle, infecting and plaguing (naga' – extending to and inflicting, organically spreading as a fungus to traumatize biological life as a pandemic, sickening), Ya'azyr | the Empowered who are Disrespectful and Emboldened (Ya'azyr – the ferocious,

vicious, and overly aggressive and those who support them who are barbarous, insolent, and rude, the vicious and audacious, the violent who are brutal and cruel along with their coconspirators), **misleading them, causing them to err and go astray** (*ta'ah* – deceiving them by promoting faith in that which is invalid such that they are confused and stagger aimlessly around) to a wasteland where the word is questioned (*midbar* – to a desolate place without sufficient water and nutrients to sustain life, a place without the word and that which has been spoken).

But their shoots (*sheluchowt hy*' – her wild and untrained tendrils emerging from the same trunk) **will be rejected and forsaken** (*natash* – will be abandoned, cast off, and left behind, disassociated and excluded from association or relationship) **as they are passed over in intoxicating** (*'abar*) **seas** (*yam* – the oceans serving as a synonym for Gentiles estranged from the Land)." (*Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 16:8)

Since this is addressing the militaristic and bombastic propensity of the modern incarnation of Mow'ab, it is going to come as quite a shock to those who have been led to believe that they are enlightened or that their god is blessing them. However, the inability to think as a result of being besieged by Progressivism, and its consequence, the tendency to be indecisive, lacking personal discipline while being unrestrained and irresponsible, naturally leads to this eventuality. Those who are uninformed, and lack the will to make rational decisions, will be led to their demise.

Apart from the Roman Catholic Church, there is no institution in our world other than the United States capable of influencing the preponderance of Gentiles and their nations. And when one adds "gluttony, hedonism, and intoxication" to the mix, they stand together, exposed and alone. This is not only modern Mow'ab but also religious and political Babylon.

The conclusion of this statement is reminiscent of the Pope being granted the opportunity to speak to a joint session of Congress in 2015. With the superpower and universal faith working together to mislead the world, unsuspecting eyes were away from the Word.

America is the land of "shedemah – cultivated fields" and "expansive growth." It is the land of "Cheshbown – schemers and snipers" and of "weapons and siege machines." It is the nation most prone to "throw stones based upon its own accounts and reasoning."

Modern Mow'ab will "'amal – shrivel up and wither away as a result of being indecisive." Paralyzed by Progressive Politics, its people have been rendered incapable of "making the right decision." They lack personal discipline and a sense of objectivity and responsibility. Like Sodom, they are "overly fixated on satiating sexual and sensory desires" many of which are counterproductive.

Rome's long shadow over Europe and its seed in the New World has cultivated "sabamah – affluent cultures satiated with alcohol." It has produced a culture that is both "sweet-sounding and yet odiferous." At the epicenter of modern Mow'ab, Americans are "gluttonous and hedonistic, the model of excess." And no other nation, not since Carthage or Rome, has "ba'al – owned or controlled" more of the "gowym – gentile world" or has been more prone to "halam – strike out in an inebriated and destructive manner."

America's shoots have entangled the world economically, politically, and militarily. And yet the world's lone "ya'azyr – superpower is as disrespectful of the rights of others as it is emboldened to ferociously, aggressively, and audaciously project its influence and tentacles." It is the land of Gentiles across the sea.

Before we press on through the text, we are confronted

by a seldom-considered reality. The Yisra'elite who copied the text of Yasha'yah from one scroll to another, creating the Great Isaiah Scroll, was not perfect. Yasha'yah 16:8-9 serves as proof. The eye of the scribe of 1QIsa apparently skipped from Sabamah in verse 8 to Sabamah in verse 9 and omitted everything in between. His omission is affirmed in the LXX and MT. Inadvertent errors, while the most common type of mistake found among the Dead Sea Scrolls, are still rare. Although, outside of this group of scribes, other Hebrew copyists have been conscientious throughout the ages, with the DSS differing from the Masoretic Text (prior to the subjective application of their diacritical markings) by as little as one word in fourteen – most of those being minor aberrations. The opposite, however, is true with the scriptural text underlying today's Mow'ab: the Christian New Testament. There are twice as many known variants between the oldest manuscripts and the current amalgamized text as there are words in those books.

Yahowah takes no pleasure in the loss of life that has besieged our planet. But before we jump to any conclusions and extend such sympathy beyond where it is deserved, realize that Ruth, an amazing, intelligent, and courageous woman who spoke on behalf of Yahowah, was the greatgrandmother of Yahowah's beloved, Dowd. She was *Mow'abite*. Her story reflects her quest to faithfully follow her mother-in-law back to Yahuwdah after her husband's death. She engages in a relationship with Yahowah along the way, declaring to Naomi: "Where you go I will go, and where you stay I will stay. Your people will be my people and your God, my God." (Ruth 1:16) It is the same declaration this exile from modern Mow'ab makes every day.

"Therefore ('al ken), I express sorrow for the loss of life (bakah) associated with (ba) the emotional anguish and expressions of sorrow (beky) among (ba)

 $Ya'azyr \mid$ the Empowered who are Disrespectful and Emboldened (Ya'azyr – the overly aggressive and those who support them, the empowered who are insolent and rude, the vicious and audacious who are brutal and cruel).

The moral poison of the bent vine (gephen – the corruption associated with that which has grown, spreading far and wide from Sadom | Sodom and from elevated individuals, those in the highest places with individual status) of Sabamah | of those who are Overly Affluent and Satiated with Alcohol (Sabamah – of those with an abrasive approach to wealth, some of whom are odiferous while others are obnoxiously sweet), I will drench you (rawah 'atah – I have had more than enough of you so you will experience being saturated) in My tears (dim 'ah 'any – discharge from an open wound which is raw and sore).

Cheshbown | at a Time of Reckoning for the Grand Schemes and their Weapons (Cheshbown –accounting for inappropriate decisions, misguided reasoning, and the improper devices of the instigators and their military armaments, of those who throw stones based upon their own accounts and perceptions) and (wa) 'El'aleh | where Allah is Exalted as a God ('El'aleh – from 'el – God (akin to the erroneous rendering of Allahu-Akbar as 'God is Great'), 'alah is also a burnt offering as in a holocaust), indeed (ky) because of ('al) your summer fruit (qayts 'atah – that which you produce during the warmer months when you are awakened from your stupor) and (wa) concerning ('al) your intended harvest (qatsyr 'atah your attempt to reap what you have sown), your exuberant **shouts** (*hedad* – your euphoric cheering and clamorous chanting, even boisterous jeering and celebratory taunts) shall diminish, dissipate, and die (naphal – will fall prostrate and go away, ceasing forever)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:9)

Thankfully, for those of us who realize that "Allahu Akbar" is the Islamic Prayer of Fear, and that it was

established by Muhammad in his failed attempt to impose his god's superiority over the God of the *Yahuwdym* / Jews, we will not have to hear it again. Allah is the Adversary and Yahowah is God.

But one thing has become abundantly clear: in the end, Muslims will be no better off than Christians or Secular Humanists. It matters not if you believe that Allah or Jesus is God, or think that there is no God, because each approach is wrong.

When Yahowah states that He will "bakah – express sorrow for the loss of life" among "ya'azyr – the Empowered, Disrespectful, and Emboldened who are ferocious and overly aggressive as well as those who support them," He is also saying that, while He values life, they will die. Then with a telling reference to Sodom, God reveals that "sabamah – the overly affluent who are satiated with alcohol and known for their excess," represent the "gephen – moral poison of the corrupt and bent vine rooted in Sodom which has grown, spreading far and wide."

Yahowah places *Cheshbown* and '*El'aleh*, America and the Islamic nations it has enriched and armed, in the same hideous pot – a poisonous and corrupting concoction that will be neutralized. As for the "summer fruit," consider this the last hurrah for the escalation of strife between Islamic jihadists and the U.S. military before both succumb during the fall of man – during the time when their exuberant chanting and boisterous jeering of the patriotic and religious will dissipate and die.

Reaffirming that Yahowah has heard far too many people scream "Praise the Lord" and "Allahu-Akbar," He prophetically reveals...

"Then (wa) their jubilation as an expression of their religious devotion (simchah - their exuberant worship and exaltation of their god) and <math>(wa) their joyous

shouts and shrieks (gyl - their superior attitude and terrorizing expressions)**will cease to exist**('asaph - shall be taken away and removed).

From (min) the fertile land (ha karmel – that which is cultivated including orchards) and in (wa bin) the vineyards (ha kerem - where grapes are grown (a reference to Yisra'el and thus to the so-called "Palestinians")) there will be no loud or rhythmic public **proclamations** (lo' ranan – there will be no summons to worship or calls to prayer), no shouts of impending triumph (lo' ruwa' - no lurid or brash chants, no call to invade or to battle, no warning, and no good news). Wine (yayn) in (ba) the presses and vats (ha yeaeb) will no **longer flow out** (*lo' darak*).

Those who trample and tread (ha darak – those who walk over others, directing others, causing them to march off to battle and shoot their weapons), exuberantly shouting and euphorically crying (hedad – singing hymns as an act of worship, reciting prayers of thanksgiving, or vocally supporting their troops), I will put an end to on the Shabat (shabat – I will stop them and then eliminate them, causing them to disappear so that I can celebrate the Shabat)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:10)

There will come a time in which God, working through $Dowd \mid David$, will put an end to all forms of religious devotion – from its terrorizing expressions to its irritating hymns, from every summons to worship to calls to prayer and fiery sermons, even to patriotic platitudes in support of a nation's troops. It is among the best things a loving and protective Father can do for His children.

There is yet another allusion to Roman Catholicism which should be acknowledged. It was the Beast emerging from Imperial Rome which was predicted in Dany'el to "darak – trample and tread" upon the whole world. Moreover, it was the Roman Catholic Church, in absolute

defiance of Yahowah's instructions, that outlawed the observance of the Shabat in deference to their Lord.

"Therefore ('al-ken), this is My innermost and anguished response (me'ah 'any) to (la) Mow'ab | those with a Questionable Heritage who are Politically Correct, Socialist Secular, Multicultural Humanists, and especially Progressive Pauline Christians (Mow'ab – the unthinking, belligerent, multi-ethnic, religious and political societies indoctrinated within and defined by the legacy of Sodom who have squandered the benefits of the Hebrew writings because they were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore by Paul to take them to the place where the Towrah's voice died, leading them in the wrong direction).

They are like (ka) the loud, disturbing, intoxicating, and haunting groaning (hamah - the distressing, disquieting, and reverberating lament, uninhibited, angry, and drunken snarl, the distracting and confusing, maddening and disquieting way) of a lyre (ha kinowr). engendering (wa)My apprehensive reaction (gereb 'any – My innermost and anguished response) toward (la) Oyr Charas | the Destructive and Divisive Lure of the Lyrics Inscribed **by Malevolent Schemers** (*Qyr Charas* – that which was molded of clay to be contentious, that which is broken and will be thrown away as adversarial and destructive vomit, disparaging and divisive, lured away and ensnared behind a smokescreen by those who envision and write malevolent schemes, conceiving cults in such a way that the writer's actual motives are concealed so as to silence critics in concert with an eruptive disease from too much exposure sun in association with the occult conspiratorialists)." (Yasha'vah / Isaiah 16:11)

The modern manifestation of Mow'ab was predicted to sound like an irritating rendition of the same instrument Dowd played so brilliantly. But they would be out of tune with David's narrative, dancing to an entirely different melody and lyrics. What God had enjoyed was now insufferable, the loud roar of maddening confusion designed to distract from His message and melody of life. That is to say that God finds the rhetoric and sermons, the platitudes and prayers, the secular songs and sectarian hymns, of Mow'ab, of Secular Humanists and Progressive Pauline Christians, to be akin to the belligerent outbursts of an obnoxious drunken man and the snarl of an angry beast.

Having invested considerable time contemplating the implications of *Qyr Cheres*, I have come to see it as evidence of the deplorable and lamentable attribute of Mow'ab, likely even the appalling lyrics of the people's songs. *Qyr* is a "wall which separates and divides." Related words convey: "adversarial, smokescreen, a decree given at the end of a spear, a funeral dirge, to spew out vomit then cover up the smell with perfume and incense, to pluck away, to trivialize and render meaningless, even insignificant, and to slaughter, slay, and kill."

An accurate depiction of *Cheres* is developed by doing a modicum of digging. *Cheres* is vocalized according to the Masoretes' diacritical markings (which were added in the 11th century CE) by the addition of a dot on the left side of the Shin (rather than the right), converting it to a Sin. It is translated as "earthen or earth, potsherd or pottery, vessel or shard."

Since God is not agonizing over broken pots, the observant will find that *cheres* is an amalgamation of words. This begins with "*cheres* – an eruptive disease contracted from excess exposure to the sun." It is promoted by those who "*charaph* – defy and jeopardize through blasphemy," so as to "*chereph* – to pluck away," by "*cherpah* – acting shamefully," while "*charats* – decisively maiming and mutilating" those who are otherwise Sodomites. They are thus "*charar* – scorched and burned" by "*charash* – the imagined and inscribed evil plots of magicians, those who use religious magic tricks to lure in

and silence the stupefied masses."

The root of *cheres*, which is differentiated from *cheresh* in the modern lexicon by the placement of the diacritical markings, means "to envision and write malevolent schemes, to conceive and engrave plans which cut those who read them into the resulting cult, in such a way that the writer's actual motives are concealed so as to silence critics." It speaks of the "artificers and illusionists who deploy ruses to fool the foolish."

It must sadden God that His creation has not only sought to end the life He conceived but, adding insult to injury, has chosen to worship false gods in the process, perverting everything He had intended. And since we now know that *Mow'ab* represents the questionable father of Christianity and Multicultural Socialist Secular Humanism championed today by anti-Semitic Progressives, we are being made aware that this demonic beast will become visible, accepting human form to pull off his last charade.

"And it will come to exist (wa hayah), therefore, that (ky) Mow'ab, the **Politically** Progressive, Multicultural Socialist Secularists and **Pauline** Christians (Mow'ab), will present themselves and be seen (ra'ah – will be revealed and properly viewed, he and it will be shown for what he and it actually represents) because (ky - marking the point in time) he and it will **become incapacitated** (la'ah – he and it will fail, lacking the capacity to achieve his ambitions, lacking the capacity to respond) upon the most elevated places of worship ('al ha bamah – upon and before its pagan shrines and sacred religious centers, battlefields, and memorials).

When he comes to (wa bow' 'el) his sanctuary in his temple, his shrines and holy places (miqdash huw'), to provide justification and pray (la hitpalal – to plead for intercession and arbitrate, arguing on his behalf, requesting conciliation having foreseen where this was all headed), he

will fail, neither prevailing nor comprehending what is happening (lo'yakol – he will accomplish nothing and be powerless, he will not be able to process what's occurring, grasp the consequence, or attain what he desires)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:12)

The first part of this requires the subject to orchestrate his own fate and then endure it. To his credit and shame, Paul took ownership of his pronouncements but not his inspiration.

I've long recognized that the Towrahless One, known to Christians as the Antichrist, will be indistinguishable from *Sha'uwl* / Question Him / Paul. He will convey the same message replete with all of the character flaws. And this prophetic presentation of the corporeal manifestation of Mow'ab seems to affirm that I was right.

Along with Sha'uwl, Satan will be incapacitated in the very place where he garnered his power and influence: the most elevated places of worship. It is Divine justice. To the very end, he will justify his ambitions, pleading the case for his religious and political ideals. He will be like the men he has possessed, arbitrating and arguing for conciliation.

The Towrah will prevail and they will not. They will have accomplished nothing, except to prevent billions from knowing God. Having sought to influence, they will be powerless. Having claimed to know, they will be clueless.

It is also telling that Satan, without a "neshamah – conscience," does not understand what's about to befall him or even why it will occur. This reduces the Adversary to the status of an implement – a tool.

It is little wonder Satan's religious schemes are so similar, and that they are all counterfeits. Rather than composing a strategy of his own, Satan twists Yahowah's plan to suit his agenda. Such is the case with Paul's manifesto.

At this point, the prophet reminds us that he is simply the messenger and that the words we are reading were spoken by our Creator, Yahowah. Further, Yasha'yah reveals that this prophecy regarding *Mow'ab* would be for another time – our time.

"This is (zeh) the Word (ha dabar – the message and communication) which, to reveal the correct way to the most beneficial relationship and to get the most out of life ('asher), Yahowah (\PY\P) - the pronunciation of *YaHoWaH*) **spoke** (*dabar* – communicated using words) concerning ('el) Mow'ab, the Politically Progressive, Multicultural Socialist Secularists and Pauline **Christians of Ouestionable Parentage** (Mow'ab – Who is Your Father, the unthinking and warlike religious and political culture indoctrinated in the immoral cesspool of Sodom, having squandered the benefits of the Hebrew writings because they were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore to take them to the place where the Towrah's voice died), as a logical and temporal expression of the future revealed in the past (min 'az - regarding this time)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:13)

I will remain grateful for the influence of 'asher. It, more than any other word, led me to Yah. And over the years I have been enriched by it, coming to realize that it speaks of "a beneficial relationship which is achieved by walking along the correct and narrow path which gives meaning to life." It provides special meaning in this context, positioned as it is between "ha dabar – the Word" and Yahowah's name.

As we ponder the future implications of the concluding statements in the 16^{th} chapter of Yasha'yah, we would be wise to travel through time, if only in our minds, to ascertain when, if ever, these events transpired. The reason for doing so is to underscore the realization that this "min 'az – logical and temporal expression of the future revealed in the past" speaks of our world today. Ancient

Mow'ab no longer exists, and therefore, to understand the prophecy, we must evaluate the clues we have been given and apply them to the present time.

Modern Mow'ab is noted for its "expansive growth," "cultivated fields," and their "weapons" during the "time of reckoning," which is likely upon Yahowah's return to judge the world. These people pride themselves in having "deadly snipers" while "throwing stones at others based upon their own accounts and reasoning." In spite of these things, this future manifestation of Mow'ab is "going to shrivel up and wither away" as a result of "being indecisive" while "lacking the capacity and will to make the right decision." They will be "morally corrupt and fixated on satiating all manner of sexual desires," which must be "perversions" considering the association with Sodom.

Mow'ab's demise is partly attributed to "alcoholism and drug abuse," and will occur in spite of the nation's "abrasive display of wealth" and "gluttonous use of resources." Each description appears to point to America, specifically, and Europe and Roman Catholicism, more generally, especially in the aftermath of the debilitating response to the Coronavirus. Further, the realization that modern Mow'ab has been attempting to "lord over and control the gentile nations" reinforces the likelihood that God is condemning the United States, Europe, and the Roman Catholic Church – political and religious Babylon.

These people have "incapacitated" themselves in the process of "striking out" against others "in a destructive manner." Such is the case with the invasions of Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. With the largest military in human history, America is "emboldened" to use it, and has become "ferociously aggressive and audacious," especially in the "desert wastelands" of the Middle East "where Allah is Exalted as God."

Whether Yahowah is addressing what has already occurred, or what is about to befall humankind as a result of *Mow'ab's* | America's weapons and its will to deploy them, God is "lamenting the enormous loss of life." Millions have already succumbed, but billions more will die

In the process, Mow'ab's "voice will be silenced." Her "propensity for religious devotion" and "boisterous protests" "will dissipate." The "shouts of victory will subside." The nation and institution "treading upon the earth" will be "stopped dead in her tracks." Those of "divisive rhetoric" and "malevolent schemes" will be no more, "incapacitated at the highest levels of political power and religious authority." Their "prayers and pleas" will go "unanswered." Neither the people nor their leaders "will comprehend what is happening to them or understand why they are powerless to stop it."

As we press forward in the prophecy, we are confronted by a "tedious and perfunctory" period of "three years' time," replete with "obligatory servitude" and "menial and monotonous work" in "pursuit of provisions, fame, and fortune." It is a time known for viewing "wealth and status" as "contemptible and condemnable." We are seeing shades of this in the socialistic and Progressive, indeed, anti-Semitic, Black Lives Matter protests. And while the religious, political, and military elite have been despicable and disreputable for all of human history, previously the masses have coveted their rank and wealth. Further, there were no protests because the few who dared oppose the hierarchical structure were ostracized and tortured. Speaking against the religious, military, and political establishment was not done in ancient Mow'ab and it is just now becoming sustainable in an Islamic kingdom and Communist nation.

The signs are so pervasive, they are hard to miss. American and European governance is predicated upon the entitlement mentality of socialism which promotes the redistribution of wealth. We justify as Progressive, governments taking possessions from those who earned them and giving their assets to those who have voted to tax them away from those they disdain.

It is the underlying current of the Arab Spring that precipitated the greatest migration of displaced people in human history – one that will turn an ignorant and irrational world into a lazy and uninspired one with countless anti-Semites. Fact is, anti-Semitism is largely based upon this very notion that Jews have somehow conspired to enrich themselves by robbing the masses, thereby justifying the conspirator's actions against them.

"So now (wa 'atah – then henceforth, this being so, and from now on) Yahowah (Yahowah – a transliteration of ችንች – , our 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence) has spoken (dabar – has communicated using words, expressed Himself verbally in speech, and conveyed His message with words), saying (la 'amar – to answer, declare, promise, and convey),

'In (ba) three (shalosh) years' time (shanah), like (ka – akin to) the years (shanah) of a day laborer performing a perfunctory, tedious, and repetitive menial job on an hourly basis (sakyr – of a short- or long-term contract worker without status or property who is hired to serve another in an obligatory and monotonous fashion and who is compensated for his effort based strictly on the time they are at the jobsite working, especially an unthinking mercenary who is committed to fight for a prescribed period, usually measured in years, in the cause of another rather than for patriotism, fortune, or fame; from sakar and karah – to earn wages by hiring oneself out, bargaining to trade one's labor for some other benefit, especially during a feast when bargaining over its provisions), the attribution of power and status (wa kabowd – the

abundant wealth and dictatorial rulers, the vast property and extravagant possessions of those with high status and rank) will be seen as contemptible and will be disdained and condemned (qalah – will be viewed as degraded, even despised as loathsome and shameful, precipitating a shriveling up and withering away in ignominy) as it pertains to Mow'ab, the Politically Progressive, Multicultural Socialist **Secularists** and **Christians** (Mow'ab – Who is Your Father: from ma – to question the who, what, and why of 'ab – the father, the unthinking and warlike religious and political culture indoctrinated in the irrational and immoral cesspool of Sodom, having squandered the benefits of the Hebrew writings because they were twisted and intermixed with pagan lore to take them to the place where the Towrah's voice died)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 16:14 (in part))

It is exciting to ponder the possibilities. When does this long, seemingly endless, three years commence and conclude? The internal clues suggest that we should attribute it to the Time of Ya'aqob's Troubles, more commonly known among Christians as the "Tribulation." This would have it begin on Shabuw'ah, May 22nd, 2026, and conclude with Taruw'ah, Kipurym, and Sukah between September 23rd and October 7th, 2033.

Encouraged by anti-Semitic Christians and by the liberal multiculturalists and socialists behind the increased levels of mindless Progressive protests, then armed and angered by America, Islamic Jihadists will flood into Israel at this time – at the rate of 100 to 1,000 times what God's people endured on October 7th, 2023. Equally troubling, in the midst of this, the world will be incapacitated by global depression and carved into warring factions.

Sunni Muslims will be aided and abetted by the United States and Europe while the Shia will be fighting with the support of the Russians and Chinese. The assault against the Promised Land will be horrific, but a remnant of Yisra'el will prevail as a result of this message and Yahowah's intervention with His Son, Dowd. But as for the rest of the world, we would be wise to expect a nuclear confrontation which will be devastatingly deadly.

As we progress, it becomes ever more obvious that Yahowah isn't speaking about the ancient kingdom of *Mow'ab* or even Jordan, on whose territory it once resided, but instead, the Gentile nations, institutions, and peoples aligned with the Lord *Ba'al*, a.k.a., *Satan* through Paul's influence.

"In all (ba kol – even with the totality) of its great (ha rab – its expansive, numerous, large, excess, and massive) wealth and agitated multitude, even its enormous **military** (hamown – riches and riotous people, possessioncraving and noisy population, excessive troops and weapons, disgruntled and confused masses, most of whom are enraged and clamorous, disquieted and troubled, boisterous and chaotic, crying out loud and mourning), those who remain (wa sha'ar – the bodies and souls of those who are left, the residue and remnant, those still clinging to life who have been left behind) will be worthless and de minimis (ma'at – limited in number and easily countable, also feeble and diminished), lowly and **little** (miz'ar – of no value (serving as a synonym for Pauline Christians based upon the Latin name Paulos chose for himself, meaning "Lowly and Little"); from ze'yet – to exist for a short time, dwindling to nothingness thereafter and of no significance), the antithesis of enriched and **empowered** (lo' kabyr – their lives ended, impoverished and without merit, estranged from the Almighty, powerless incapacitated, contracted, diminished. impoverished, ceasing to exist)." (Yasha'yah / Freedom is from Yahowah / Isaiah 16:14)

As a direct result of immorality and ignorance, greed and arrogance, as well as a heavy dose of general stupidity, Islamic fiefdoms are superbly armed by Christian and secular nations. But the militants will be no match for Yahowah who will destroy them and their weapons of war in the midst of their crusade against Yisra'el.

However, God will not intervene to protect America, Europe, Russia, or China. They, along with their Christian and Communist ideals, will be decimated. The enriched will be impoverished, and the empowered will be incapacitated. Their collective political, economic, and military might will be vanquished. The resulting carnage will leave the world bereft of life.

The few worthless souls who remain, those who are still under the spell of *Paulos* | the Lowly and Little, will be left to wonder what ever happened to the promises their Lord made to them in their New Testament. What happened to the American Dream, Manifest Destiny, the allure of anti-Semitic conspiracies, and the enlightened rhetoric of Multicultural Political Correctness? It will be ashes to ashes and dust to dust as they are all consumed in the atomic conflagration.

And while you may not yet concur with this extrapolation from the text, rest assured, Yahowah has a great deal more to say about the United States, Christianity, and Socialist Secular Humanism. He is about to issue a warning regarding their assault on His people and the ensuing war. And we will not have to wait long to hear it because this is the subject of *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 17 and 18, which commence with His next word. As has been the case with every world power, no matter the size of their army or their accumulated wealth, they eventually come crashing down – especially those who trifle with Yisra'el.

This has been a marvelously clear presentation of cause and consequence, of the nature of the modern manifestation of Mow'ab and what will befall them. Mow'ab represents a vast swath of people living without regard for Yahowah, including the Politically Progressive,

Ultra-Liberal Socialists, Multicultural, Secular Humanists, and Pauline Christians. And while being political and religious is not recognized as a crime among humans, God cannot ignore what their ilk has done to harass Yisra'el and lead so many away from Him.

ያለሕ ተ

I would like to flesh out a tantalizing insight that I perceived not long ago. Paul was not just the Questionable Father of Christianity, he also laid the foundation for Multicultural Political Progressives and the core tenets of Socialism. And that is why Mow'ab is equal parts secular and sectarian, a blending together of the immoral and irrational mantra of Sodom with Christianity.

There are a number of reasons that I have drawn this conclusion. First, Paul's most cited prose is found in the 13th chapter of 1st Corinthians where love without constraint is presented as the solution for everything. Sha'uwl's "love conquers all" has become the mantra of those who rally against hate as part of the Liberal, Multicultural, Politically Progressive, Socialism so prevalent in the nations influenced by Christianity.

But it is more than just the immoral approach to indiscriminate love without an aversion to that which is harmful, or the irrational notion of loving before knowing – even though both permeate Progressive culture. And going to the root of the problem, Christians must turn off their brains to love *Sha'uwl* | Question Him. Even in the detailed strokes of his most often cited presentation, this man became the Questionable Father of modern Mow'ab, which reveals his duplicity. So, let's review 1 Corinthians 13, one errant Pauline claim at a time.

Foreshadowing the Politically Correct influence on

Multiculturalism and Progressivism, Sha'uwl's lone and failed attempt to compete against Dowd | the Beloved became little more than a hypocritical contradiction in the end. Most of Paul's letters, including those he wrote to the Galatians. Corinthians, Philippians, Ephesians, Thessalonians, and Hebrews opened with Sha'uwl disparaging those communities for turning against him. It is, therefore, incongruous and hypocritical for him to speak of being the paradigm for unconditional love. The same is true of liberals who march in the streets today, carrying signs and shouting slogans such as "Love trumps hate," "Love! Not hate! Make America great!" or "No justice! No Peace!" while they, themselves, hate those who are critical of their ridiculous notions. They are more hateful than those they oppose.

As is the case with the me-myself-and-I selfish attitude of modern Mow'ab, even Paul's "love chapter" is self-indulgent. From beginning to end, he keeps the focus on himself, his agenda, and his jaundiced perceptions. He did not state that his followers should love, but instead:

"If I speak in the tongues of men and of angels, but have not love (charity in the KJV), I am only a resounding (sounding brass in the KJV and noisy in the ESV and NLT) gong or a clanging cymbal." (1 Corinthians 13:1 NIV)

The most rational explanation for this self-justifying approach is that Sha'uwl's love story is actually his *mea culpa*, his plea to have his shortcomings and abject failures overlooked. Just as the pretense of love is a smokescreen for many of the most hateful and envious of Progressives today, this was also true with Paul.

I have occasionally wondered why *Sha'uwl* | Question Him chose such an obscure metaphor with the "clanging cymbal" and why he replicated it with the "noisy sounding brass gong." Having found the answer, it affirms my theory. From beginning to end, the wannabe apostle

Sha'uwl was in competition with Dowd, Yahowah's Beloved son, the man God actually *mashyach* | anointed as His Messiah to lead, instruct, and save His people.

In the lyrics of his first *Mizmowr* / Psalm, *Dowd* | David declared that Yahowah's Towrah was the love of His life, and that everything worthwhile about him was a result of considering and sharing God's *Towrah* | Teaching and Guidance. By contrast, *Sha'uwl* | Paul committed his life to silencing the Towrah's voice.

Dowd's concluding *Mizmowr* / Psalm is the 150th. It begins: "You should choose to shine a light of appreciation (halal) on Yah (Yah)."

It concludes: "You should, of your own freewill, proudly commend Him (halal huw') with a loud announcement which can be heard coming from ('al shema') a clanging cymbal (tsaltsal).

Decide of your own freewill to clearly acclaim His worthiness (halal huw') with a resounding alarm and shout for joy upon (taruw'ah 'al) a percussive brass gong (tsaltsal).

Let every (kol) soul with a conscience, with the ability to understand (neshamah), literally and continually, clearly and proudly, celebrate and announce one's appreciation (halal) for Yah (Yah).

You should choose to shine luminously, brightly showing the enlightening and commendable nature (halal) of Yah (Yah)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics / Psalm 150:5-6)

And now we know. As it was in the deadly conflict between the wannabe King Sha'uwl and the Messiah Dowd, since Dowd was right, Sha'uwl was wrong. It was obvious, and he knew it, so this was nothing more than a cheap swipe at the man God actually chose to reveal His nature and purpose to all mankind. Taking that which was proclaimed by and was given to Dowd, and reassigning it

to serve his twisted agenda, is the heart and soul of what made *Sha'uwl* | Paul so malicious and malignant. Paul engaged in identity theft and Dowd, the Beloved Son of God, was his victim.

Usurping Dowd's prose was just the beginning. Sha'uwl would misappropriate *Dowd's* | David's Messianic title and his unique position as the Firstborn Son of God. He did so because Dowd was afforded the titles Sha'uwl needed to support the myth of Iesou Christo. The many hundreds of prophecies pertaining to Dowd had to be shifted in favor of the Christian man-god to give the resulting caricature a divine veneer. A new religion could not be based upon a potential Savior unless the actual Passover Lamb was given a new name. It would require the most egregious heist in history.

Dowd was plundered by Sha'uwl for yet another reason. The Chosen One epitomizes the realization that Paul's opposition to Yahowah's Towrah was without merit. Dowd, as a flawed man, was considered right with God and was vindicated as a result of the Towrah. God's *Towrah* | Guidance did not condemn him – it perfected him.

Pursuing the conclusion of his initial point, and wholly unlike those in the Covenant who treasure the Hebrew voice of the Towrah wa Naby', Paul did not appear literate in any language, most especially Hebrew. He needed interpreters and scribes because the quality of his writing was deplorable and his reasoning deficient. Even with them, the text of his letters has to be revamped and rehabilitated appear lucid. Even his pronouncements were SO appalling, almost community in which he spoke rejected him. And his predilection for revisionist history renders everything he claimed suspect. It would all serve as a harbinger for modern Mow'ab, the land of texts and tweets, a time when the language is impoverished, and logic is replaced by unfounded opinions that are wielded as if they were facts.

"And if I have prophetic powers..." (1 Corinthians 13:1 ESV) Unlike all of Yahowah's actual messengers, *Sha'uwl* | Question Him was not a prophet. In his lone attempt—his inclusion in the "Rapture"—he got everything wrong, including the timing, the actual Miqra', and the nonviolent nature of the harvest, which he missed by nineteen centuries and sixty-six years.

Therefore, Paul knows that for the foolish to believe him, they will have to overlook this glaring deficiency. Likewise, today, few preachers or pundits bother to authenticate his or her work. They neither appreciate the value of context nor understand the lessons from the past that explain the present and shape the future. And even with God telling us what is going to happen, they remain clueless as to who is returning (Yahowah and not Jesus), when (Yowm Kipurym year 6000 Yah [10.02.2033]), why (to reconcile His relationship with Yisra'el), or with whom (Dowd to serve as King).

And speaking of being wrong, there is no such thing as "prophetic powers." There is no "gift of prophecy," either. Paul was mistaken on both accounts. It is Yahowah who has the power to reveal the future in our past, not the men or women through whom He reveals this information. Moreover, being a prophet is anything but a gift. Speaking for God irks far more people than it pleases.

"And if I...can fathom all mysteries and all knowledge, and if I have all faith..." (1 Corinthians 13:2 NIV) Paul needed his audience to overlook the fact that he could not contribute to our ability to understand man's purpose, opportunity, or responsibility. Nor could he credibly demystify God's nature, distinguishing Yahowah from the religious gods men like himself had made.

This was, of course, the opposite of what we find among Yahowah's prophets, where God, who is set apart, becomes knowable. In this way, understanding eliminates the need for faith. Those of us who read what Yahowah revealed so long ago are enlightened and enriched by an unending array of brilliant insights – not even a whiff of which is found in Sha'uwl's fourteen epistles.

This is also reminiscent of modern Mow'ab, a time of great scientific achievement in the midst of an explosion of information, when far fewer than one in a million contributes to what can be known and understood about Yahowah, who He is, what He is offering, and what He expects in return. In fact, today, most people not only don't care, like Paul, they are averse to His message because it is counter to their own.

Paul's insistence that love trumps knowledge, even understanding, is not only incongruous with everything we have learned from Yahowah, it is emblematic of today's Politically Progressive and Multicultural society. Truth is universally rejected when it is in opposition to the irrational concept of accepting, even respecting, mutually exclusive and wholly incompatible ideas as being equally valid.

Sha'uwl's fallacy has, therefore, come to underpin the debilitating notion that opinions are entitlements that should never be challenged by evidence or reason. The Christian makes no distinction between the things of God and Babel, which makes his polytheistic faith so luxuriant. As a result of indoctrination and intoxicants, man's world has become such an unmitigated and counterproductive web of lies, socially, politically, religiously, militarily, economically, and conspiratorially, like Pauline Doctrine, without blind faith, it would all come crashing down.

Speaking of faith, the amount of it is irrelevant. A modicum of faith in that which is true will prevail, while all manner of faith in that which is unreliable is useless, even counterproductive.

"...but have not love, I am nothing..." (1 Corinthians 13:2 NIV) Okay, let's admit it. Paul got that one right. He

was nothing, of less value than a plague-infected rat.

"If I give away all I possess..." (1 Corinthians 13:3) Thanks anyway, but no one in their right mind would accept what Paul was offering. Further, he was a complete hypocrite. In breach of God's instructions, Paul was never charitable, and then demanded it from others, especially when he was the beneficiary. Moreover, our donations do not impress God. Being good does not matter either. With God, we are assessed on a single condition: being right. In this regard, Sha'uwl and Dowd were on opposite ends of the spectrum.

The Pauline approach to giving is similar to today's Mow'abites who are unwilling to work and yet demand that those who have been successful surrender their wealth to them. Those who are the least charitable pretend to be moral and enlightened when they condemn the most philanthropic. Given their way, those who have been productive would have to surrender all they have earned to those who have failed to accomplish anything with their lives.

Punishing success while rewarding failure is even touted as enlightened, compassionate, and progressive, even fair. It is one step down from youth soccer where everyone receives the same trophy because with Progressives, those who prevail at life are denounced while life's losers are rewarded and acclaimed.

"...and if I deliver up my body to be burned, but have not love, I gain nothing." (1 Corinthians 13:3) This is wrong every which way. Human sacrifice has always been the most hideous expression of religion and yet Paul is touting it as something noble. Not only does the Towrah specifically denounce burning people in Dabarym 18, the reason Yahowah turned the Mow'abites over to the whims of Yisra'el is that King Mesha sacrificed his own son as a burnt offering to appease his Lord. (2 Kings 3:26-27) From

God's perspective, their demise was akin to ridding His home of the plague. There is nothing loving about human sacrifice. While common among almost all ancient civilizations, it was always wrong. Self-immolation is the worst of the worst.

But the author of this pathetic proposition was in competition with Dowd, and his Lord wanted to rival Yah. Therefore, *Sha'uwl* | Question Him bragged about all the physical hardships he endured, actually fabricating almost all of them for sympathy and acclaim. He would insist that his sacrifices were actually required to finish the job inadequately fulfilled on Passover.

Then, when he was challenged by the *Yahuwdym* | Jews who listened to his speeches and recognized that he was contradicting the very words of the God he claimed inspired him, Paul responded with an ad hominem attack, falsely labeling his opponents "Judaizers." Engaging in yet another fallacy, Paul played to his audiences' sympathies, suggesting that they ought to believe a man who would take a beating for his beliefs. If that were true, we should all be honoring Allah for inspiring Islamic suicide bombers.

This misguided notion of personal sacrifice would reverberate throughout the ages into its current expression rampant among today's Politically Progressive and Multiculturalist Christian societies. Patriots are told to admire the sacrifices of those who gave their lives fighting for their country in the misguided notion that warriors are responsible for their freedom, security, and prosperity. This myth is part of the putrid glue that binds modern Mow'ab together, and it is a myth that is embraced by the religious right and political left.

Incidentally, other than adulation and fame, neither of which is appropriate among those serving with Yah, what was *Sha'uwl* | Question Him seeking to gain? Even when our message accurately reflects Yahowah's testimony, we

gain nothing by sharing it because it is for the benefit of those who are willing to listen. Even our personal enrichment and enlightenment, our liberation and empowerment, and our sense of confidence and courage, are all unaffected by our love. They are the result of Yah's love, and of us observing and considering what He had to say, not our emotional feelings.

Moreover, we are not giving anything to anyone. The benefits of the Covenant are not ours to bestow, but instead Yahowah's to offer. So, unless Sha'uwl wants the faithful to believe that he is the source of salvation, the extent to which he is considered loving is irrelevant.

"Love does not envy; it does not boast; it is not arrogant..." (1 Corinthians 13:4) This gave birth to the hypocrisy the modern manifestation of the Mow'abites would manifest because the man who wrote these words was the most envious and braggadocious individual to ever claim Divine inspiration. To read Paul's letters, and particularly the confession found at the conclusion of his second epistle to Corinth, and then compare it to this pronouncement, leaves us with a single acceptable conclusion: Paul lied.

Sha'uwl was not only a conceited and bombastic hypocrite, the only person he actually loved was the one man he circumcised, Timothy. At the very least, based upon this act and his confession in Romans 7, Paul was confused over his sexual orientation. Today, in modern Mow'ab, social arrogance is prolific. The preponderance of liberals boast about their social and political views while conservatives brag about their sense of patriotism for their military and on behalf of their religion.

And of course, in modern Mow'ab, sexual mores are exceedingly disorienting, with all manner of abnormal behaviors being considered praiseworthy. Perversions prevail as they did in Sodom long ago.

Incidentally, in this context, the only appropriate definition of "envy" would be "to want to be loved in return." As such, Paul is wrong. Love always seeks its own. It is best when reciprocated and worst when rebuffed.

"...or rude. It does not insist on its own way; it is not irritable or resentful." (1 Corinthians 13:5 ESV) It is hard to read these words and type at the same time because I am shaking my head in wonder. Second only to his admitted arrogance, Paul is best known for "insisting on his own way." Anyone who disagreed with "but I, Paul, say" was viciously attacked and condemned – they still are.

As for irritable, resentful, and rude, read what Paul has to say about Peter, John, and James, as well as his critics, the alleged "Judaizers." He called the Galatians "traitors" as well as "stupid." And while Sha'uwl was often enraged, even violent by his own admission, his greatest crime was denouncing and demeaning Yahowah, His Towrah, Covenant, prophets, and people. He did so for a single reason: to be seen as superior to all – even the chosen.

And that makes a mockery of the NIV translation of these same words: "It does not dishonor others, it is not self-seeking, it is not easily angered." (1 Corinthians 13:5 NIV) Sha'uwl was the living embodiment of everything he said he opposed. It is little wonder She'owl was named after Sha'uwl. He offered his soul for the naming rights.

"...It keeps no record of wrongs." (1 Corinthians 13:5 NIV) Paul wrote these words as a smokescreen, knowing that he had been wrong about everything. It was also conveyed to dismiss what was written in the Towrah, Prophets, and Psalms – wherein Yahowah holds His people and others accountable, consistently differentiating between right and wrong.

A society with social amnesia, as is the case with ours today, that keeps no record of wrongs is assured of never learning – always getting worse, never better. This is not

only emblematic of the unwillingness to admit the cause of 9/11 or 10/07 and holding Islam accountable, for example, but it is also a core element of Political Correctness, and thus of modern Mow'ab. Another example would be Black Lives Matter seeking to blame Caucasian men for killing Blacks when the opposite is true. When a Black man or woman is murdered, 93% of the time, the perpetrator is also Black. And in interracial crime, in which the victim and assailant are of different ethnicities, in 80% of these homicides the offender is Black. The record of wrongs proves that they are wrong. And, of course, Black Lives Matter was sponsored by Progressives and Marxists who are vehemently anti-Semitic and are now marching for Fakestinians, Islam, and Hamas against Israel and Jews.

Similarly, the liberal response to the spread of the COVID-19 virus was to deprive everyone of their liberty and livelihood. Even when it was demonstrated that the lockdowns made no difference in the spread of the disease, and that they were counterproductive, causing severe psychological problems while greatly exacerbating domestic abuse, suicide, drug addiction, and economic devastation, the response was to expand the degree of government control over people's lives. Worst of all, the "fear porn" promoted in the media caused preponderance of people capitulate, willingly to surrendering their freedom.

A number of years ago, I began a *Yada Yahowah* Series entitled *Observations* by bluntly stating that "Hate is a virtue." The problem isn't a deficiency in love but instead a failure in knowing what and how to hate. We ought to despise Sha'uwl for the hell he has unleashed upon God's People. Likewise, we should disdain rape and rapists, murder, incest, and pedophilia, kidnapping, bribery, and blackmail, along with those who deprive people of their freedom, livelihood, and dignity – including the Progressive favorite which advocates the mutilation of

children for sexual confusion.

While they would not use "delight" or "rejoice," this is something we would expect to hear from politicians presenting their political agenda while demeaning their opponents: "Love does not delight in evil but rejoices with the truth." (1 Corinthians 13:6 NIV)

Paul, like today's Christian preachers and Secular politicians, defined "evil" as anything that was counter to his own mantra. And truth became relative, whereby it was true if he said it and false if it came from a contrarian source, even if that source was God. Today, in modern Mow'ab, as was the case in ancient Sodom, truth is defined by what is acceptable and popular and thus Politically Correct – even when wrong.

And speaking of Multicultural Political Progressive rubbish, this could be the byline of many Socialist organizations: "Love bears all things, believes all things, hopes all things, endures all things." (1 Corinthians 13:7 ESV) It is also mistaken from beginning to end.

To love, we must reject, even oppose, those who would harm our family and God's people. The Progressive notion that we should endure and embrace religions like Islam when Muslims perpetrate 99% of all terrorist acts, abuse hundreds of millions of women, and are intolerant of everyone else is irresponsible and suicidal. They were and remain the most egregious colonizers since the fall of Rome. Nothing is more oppressive, cruel, murderous, dishonest, counterproductive, or genocidal.

To be rational, we must reject what is untrue. Believing all things is absurdly stupid because most religious, political, military, and conspiratorial pontifications are false and counter to people's interests. But that is what Paul and the Politically Correct he inspired must have the masses conditioned to accept; otherwise, those who dare exercise good judgment would destroy their

illusions.

There is nothing good that comes from false hope. It placates believers to the point that they become too complacent to search for that upon which they can confidently rely. It is the public's placebo, something they swallow and then hope for the best. But in being placated by it, they spurn remedies that would actually prevail.

At its heart, the Progressive Socialist mantra expounds the preposterous notion that "love never fails." (1 Corinthians 13:8)

If that were true, then God does not love. And if Christians were rational, they would reject their religion on this basis alone, because they believe in an all-loving god who could neither avoid his own death nor maintain a relationship with his own people. Moreover, Socialist Progressives would have to recant their past because, in the modern history of socialism, they have neither been peaceful nor productive, consistently devolving into poverty and chaos.

By placing his words, "but I, Paul, say...," above the words of the Most High, and in lockstep with the arrogant spirit who possessed him, Sha'uwl would convey the antithesis of what Yahowah has communicated to us. He wrote: "But where there are prophecies, they will cease; where there are tongues, they will be stilled; where there is knowledge, it will pass away." (1 Corinthians 13:8 NIV) This is utter nonsense. And yet it must be accepted as true for one to respect Paul and be a Christian.

Just because Paul was a false prophet, does not bring closure to prophesy. Even in eternity, what Yahowah has said will ring true. Moreover, God's prophecies run the gamut of time, meaning that they are also accurate historically. Paul, and those he inspired in modern Mow'ab, may prefer revisionist history and replacement theology, but the truth never goes out of style.

As for tongues, Paul's interpretation of babbling like idiots has never been good or Godly. That which was never sanctioned would have no reason to be stilled. During forever, we will speak in the language of Yahowah – '*Ibry* | Hebrew.

Knowledge is the enemy of Faith, just as is light for darkness. One cannot exist in the presence of the other.

Rather than knowledge passing away, it is what will get us into heaven and what will occupy and excite us while we are there.

If I may quote the Messiah on this issue during the Sermon on the Mount: "Do not assume that I have come to weaken, invalidate, or abolish the Towrah or the Prophets. I have not come to do away with it, but instead to completely fulfill it.

Truly, I say to you, until heaven and earth pass away, not one jot, the smallest letter in Hebrew, nor tittle, the strokes comprising Hebrew letters, shall pass away or be disregarded from that which was established in the Towrah until the time and place it all happens.

Therefore, whoever dismisses or invalidates the least of these terms and conditions, or teaches people to do the same, they will be called 'Lowly and Little' in the kingdom of heaven.

And whoever acts upon them, and teaches them, they will be called 'Significant' in the kingdom of heaven." (Matthew 5:17-19)

Communication between Yahowah and His creation will become much more prolific following His return during Yowm Kipurym. And when He writes His Towrah inside of us, our ability to know and understand will grow exponentially. According to the prophecy in *Yirmayah* / Jeremiah 31, a time is quickly approaching when Yahowah

will equip His Covenant's children to live productive lives throughout time and space. It will serve to guide us throughout eternity as we explore the universe.

It is, however, truly amazing that even bolstered by scientific advancements, lightning-fast computers, a proliferation of knowledge, and instant worldwide communication, man can't seem to predict the consequences of invading Afghanistan, of giving Iraq to Iran, initiating the Syrian war, of coddling Islam such that women continue to be abused, selling Islamic nations American weapons, or returning Gaza to Islamic control.

He would even write, "for now we see only a reflection as in a mirror." (1 Corinthians 13:12 NIV) It was a reference to the Sophist philosophy of Socrates.

"Mirroring" became known as the Socratic Method, or "Method of Elenchus," which Socrates applied to examine the key moral concepts such as Good versus Evil, as well as Truth and Justice. Socrates, by turning his hypothetical "mirror" upon himself, was seen as "modern man," as a philosopher placed in a world that did not yet understand him. And like Sha'uwl, Socrates was a very religious man who was guided by his demon. Socrates, also like Paul, thought that only he, and those who accepted his philosophy, were qualified to impose their will on others. Even more interesting, Socrates wrote that communal love was superior to anything the Athenian gods had to say, making him an interesting individual for Paul to emulate.

I do not concur with Paul's assessment. I have been translating Yahowah's Towrah, Naby', wa Mizmowr, while sharing the insights derived from God's Word, since 2002, often devoting ten to fourteen hours a day, six to seven days a week to this endeavor. The truth is the antithesis of Paul's occluded and inverted view. Yahowah's message is straightforward and clearly presented. The closer we look, the more we learn.

There is, however, a vivid contrast between an unencumbered observation and the twisted perversions we would expect from a carnival mirror. This is attested by comparing any one of Dowd's Psalms with Paul's epistles. The resulting contrast is enlightening versus intoxicating, brilliant verses obscured, and logical rather than absurd.

The reference to a mirrored reflection was likely Sha'uwl's way of justifying his convoluted prose. The observant will also recognize that what we are gazing upon when we read his letters is the same bizarre figure he witnessed when looking into "a mirror, dimly." We are seeing Paul, himself, reflected in his words.

Paul would conclude his ode to his pagan trinity with: "And now these three remain: Faith, Hope, and Love. But the greatest of these is Love." (1 Corinthians 13:13 NIV) Faith and Hope are the same things. Neither has any evidential value.

For a passenger aboard the Sinking Ship of Christendom, the SS Paul, all the faith in the world will not keep it or them afloat. It and they will be scuttled upon Dowd's return, with the officers escorted to She'owl. They can hope that God will save them, but it isn't going to happen. It is, therefore, wasted energy and counterproductive, keeping the faithful and hopeful from choosing and pursuing a viable option.

Faith in something as worthless as Pauline Christianity provides nothing other than false hope. It is cruel. As for love, like faith, its value can be no greater than the object of its attention, which is why it is more often debilitating than enabling. We humans tend to love what we should hate and hate what we should love. Like in religion, the emotion often incapacitates an individual, blinding them to the truth while precluding them from dealing with reality.

What is left in the end is truth. And what remains credible and reliable is Yahowah, His Towrah, and His

Covenant.

Pistis was the Greek goddess of Faith. She was known as Fidēs in Latin, denoting a belief that drove to the heart of Greco-Roman, and now American, culture. Pistis became one of Paul's favorite Greek words, permeating his letters. In fact, Paul's Salvation by Faith was extrapolated from this goddess' name and borrowed from the rhetorical notions of Greek Gnosticism and mythology.

In Greece at the time, the priests and philosophers alike viewed *pistis* | faith as a pervasive approach to elliptical thinking where the focus was on the effect rather than the truth. This notion has been swallowed wholesale by both Christians and Socialist Secular Humanists. It is the underpinning of Political Correctness.

During Paul's day, as the arrogance of the Roman Republic transitioned into the viciousness of Imperial Rome, faith was broadly expressed by the slogan, Pia Fidelis. It was first used to show the Roman Legions' loyalty to the Emperor, with some placing the image of the pagan goddess, Minerva, on their standards. The Legio I Minervia was even named after the goddess and was consecrated by and fought alongside Emperor Domitian. The goddess Libertas in her Greek manifestation, Athena, was the model for the Statue of Liberty, from which the ultimate expressions of Multiculturalism are celebrated.

In that it is interesting, the XI Claudia Legion was awarded the cognomen of Pia Fidelis ("Pious and Faithful") six times. It was wielded by Julius Caesar in his campaign against the Helvetians in 58 BCE. It was deployed again against Caesar's rival, Pompey the Great, in 49 BCE when Julius invaded Italy. Reconstituted under Octavian, it was used by the Second Triumvirate against Brutus and Cassius in the battle of Philippi – the location of Paul's letter to the Philippians. Later, Octavian would deploy Legio XI Claudia to gain supremacy over the

Mediterranean world, renaming himself, Augustus. Then just as Paul was writing these words, the XI Legion was again awarded the Pia Fidelis by Claudius to suppress an internal rebellion. But that's not the end of the story.

The Legion Fidelis sided with Vespasian, the man responsible for destroying the Temple in Yaruwshalaim. And during the reign of Hadrian, a unit carved out of the Legio XI was sent to Judaea to suppress the messianic revolt of Rabbi Akiba and Simon Bar Kokhba in 133 CE – one of the most brutal engagements Rome ever fought.

The acceptance of an institution, for which there is no credence, is a matter of faith. As church fathers wrote in defense of Paul's faith-based, knowledge-deprived, doctrine: "Faith is neither the submission of reason, nor the acceptance, simply and absolutely upon testimony, of what reason cannot achieve. Faith is being able to cleave to a power of goodness appealing to our higher and real selves, not our lower and apparent selves." (From Literature and Dogma 1873)

Elpis was the personification of Hope in Greek mythology. Considering Paul's affinity for acclaim, it is telling that she was the mother of Pheme – the goddess of fame and renown.

Aphrodite was the Greek goddess of Love. She was worshiped as Venus in Rome and considered the Morning Star. Her cult was centered in Cyprus and Corinth, the place from which Paul had just come and the very place he wrote this ode to Love. She was the Greek version of 'Astarte, also known as Ishtar – from whom Pauline Christians find their devotion to the Madonna and Child, the Queen of Heaven, the Mother of God, and for Easter. According to Paul, to the Greeks, and to the Babylonians before them, she is the greatest of all.

But alas, Sha'uwl lied, not only contradicting God but also himself. For with him, and based upon his letters, these three remained: the Charities, Satan, and Paul. And the greatest of these was Paul.

In addition to his Politically Correct and Multicultural essay on love, Paul is responsible for the Christian amalgamation of Church and State. This is firmly established in the letter Paul devoted to the Romans. In what could have been entitled, "Heil Caesar," Paul claimed that all governments, especially Rome's, were Divinely authorized and that men should be subservient to them.

As we survey what Paul promoted in the 13th chapter of Romans, we should remain cognizant of the fact that Dowd, acknowledged as *Gabry'el* | God's Most Capable and Courageous Man, told *Dany'el* | Daniel that Imperial Rome would be the most vicious Beast to grow out of Babylon. Also, since context and perspective are essential to understanding, keep in mind that Imperial Rome humiliated, whipped, and crucified Dowd – torturing the King of Yisra'el to death during Pesach. It was Imperial Rome that destroyed Yahowah's Covenant Home, ransacking His city, and enslaving His people. The Romans used what they stole from it to build their Colosseum – a site where the worst of human behavior was celebrated in the name of religion, politics, and militarism.

It was Imperial Rome that returned sixty years later to bludgeon the Chosen People yet again, slaughtering and enslaving ever more Jews. They would rename Yahuwdah after the long-extinct Philistines as their way of saying that Rome was more influential than Yisra'el, Yahuwdym, or Yahowah. And lest we forget, it was Imperial Rome that evolved into the final Beast, the Whore of Babylon, that has tread upon the whole world – the Roman Catholic Church. Mankind has known no greater menace or a more unGodly institution. And yet according to Paul, their torturous Inquisition was God's will and served a productive purpose.

Those looking to identify the modern manifestation of Mow'ab need to look no further than what grew out of the integration of Paul's Multicultural and Progressive Love Story and his endorsement of Roman authority. Emperor Theodosius implemented the result, as Imperial Rome became the Roman Catholic Church beginning in 400 CE. For the next 1,400 years, clerics and kings would impose their will over Europe, effectively enslaving the serfs while imposing yet another caste system.

Consistent with the priests of Babylon, Egypt, Greece, and Rome, and wholly incompatible with Yahowah's prophets, *Sha'uwl* | Question Him blended religion and politics together and wrote glowingly of man's most abusive regime. They have become modern Mow'ab.

On the Secular side of this equation, the Socialists behind Progressive Multiculturalism strive to impose government control over every aspect of people's lives – including what they believe in, hope for, and love – what they can say, watch, and read. The more intrusive and controlling the better. They trust the government and depend upon it. They view government service as man's highest calling.

And now following the onslaught of COVID-19, liberals have seized upon the opportunity to control everyone's lives along with their livelihoods, shuttering businesses and depriving freedoms. But little do they know that their ignorance will bite everyone in the end, with their authoritarian response to the virus becoming vastly more costly and deadly than the disease itself. God is right; mankind is a plague upon this planet.

If that were not enough, we must also be mindful that to be included in Yahowah's Covenant, we must do the opposite of what Paul has written – which is to walk away from one's country. As you read these words and consider the vicious history of nations and empires, contemplate

how it is possible that anyone believed *Sha'uwl* | Question Him after he claimed his God authorized the following capitulation to the Beast of Rome that bludgeoned His people in Romans 13...

"Let everyone be subject to the governing authorities, for there is no authority except that which God has established.

The authorities that exist have been established by God.

Consequently, whoever rebels against the authority is rebelling against what God has instituted.

And those who do so will bring judgment on themselves.

For rulers hold no terror for those who do right, but for those who do wrong.

Do you want to be free from fear of the one in authority?

Then do what is right and you will be commended. For the one in authority is God's servant for your good.

But if you do wrong, be afraid, for rulers do not bear the sword for no reason.

They are God's servants, agents of wrath to bring punishment on the wrongdoer.

Therefore, it is necessary to submit to the authorities, not only because of possible punishment, but also a matter of conscience."

(Romans 13:1-5)

In the long litany of putrid prose that flowed from Paul's poison pen, this bogus bill, second only to his pathetic attempts to negate the Towrah and replace its Covenant, was the deadliest and most debilitating. It is how the Beast that was Imperial Rome morphed into the ultimate monster – the Roman Catholic Church. It is how clerics and kings managed to enslave Europe and conquer lands for millennia.

In this regard, the deadly and debilitating consequence of King Sha'uwl foreshadows the self-proclaimed Apostle Sha'uwl. Both were pro-government and claimed God's authority and authorization. And yet both were disowned by Yahowah because of their dismissal and perversion of His Towrah Instructions. And thereafter, both were demonpossessed. Both were Benjamite wolves who devoured the unsuspecting. Both were resolute adversaries of Dowd, Yahowah's beloved. And both led all who followed them to their demise.

Speaking of government, Paul, like the embodiment of Mow'ab which would follow, was socialistic. His version of the church was predicated on: from each according to his ability and to each according to his need. It was all about donating, I mean, sharing the wealth.

Paul was multicultural, claiming the entire world of nations and ethnicities estranged from Yisra'el for himself. He was yesteryear's globalist. And he embraced the cultures and religions of other nations. After being struck blind and lame, he began his crusade in Damascus, a place Yahowah clearly detests. Then he sailed off to Cyprus, where Paul consulted with Bar-Yahshua' – a sorcerer. In Corinth, he met with Aquila, who had just come from Rome during the time Rome was banishing Jews.

Paulos even sailed with him across the Aegean to Ephesus to meet Apollos – a man named after the king of Greek gods. He would then appeal to Caesar during his meeting with Governor Porcius Festus, which led to an audience with the Roman-appointed Edowmite king over the Roman province of Yahuwdah. He would write Romans in Greece and Hebrews in Rome.

Paul was Politically Correct. He said what his Greek

and Roman audiences wanted to hear, even when what he was saying was incorrect. Truth was relative, and contradictions were always acceptable. If he were alive today, at times, his oratory would find favor among conspiratorialists who are fixated upon inventing theories that enable them to blame others for their miserable lives.

Given the chance, his antics would be amazingly popular among the patriotic neocons devoted to a fellow narcissist, Donald Trump. And yet ever the chameleon, much of what Paul touted would resonate with the socialist dunderheads marching in the streets today demanding something for nothing based upon one fictitious premise or another. He, like the spirit inspiring him, offered bait in many flavors.

Paul tried, albeit pathetically, to present himself as enlightened and philosophical, incorporating Gnosticism, the religious philosophy of Greece, into his speeches and letters. Promoted by the likes of Socrates and Plato, Gnosticism was manifest as a progressive, intellectual spirituality predicated on the presumption of enlightenment. Today it underlies the philosophy of liberal Progressives. However, let it be known, like the Politically Correct, the Greeks were wrong about almost everything.

Similar to liberals today, Paul was eager to debate, based on his rules, believing that his platitudes would prevail as evidence after rational parameters were discarded. Likewise, Paul recognized that people prefer the ease of offering opinions to the challenge of forming an enlightened and considered conclusion.

Paul was forgiving of everything and everyone with the exception of those who challenged him. Toward them, he was hateful and slanderous. This, too, is mirrored in modern liberalism. Those who march as protestors despise those who disagree with them while pretending to denounce hate. They are a living contradiction. In this vein, Paul, like most modern Progressives, allied with diverse audiences by stoking anti-Semitic rhetoric. He would be right at home promoting the mythology of the so-called "Palestinians" while advancing their irrational and immoral Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions movement. One can almost hear him claim that the Jews were occupying Gaza, that they are colonizers, and that they, rather than Muslims, are perpetrating genocide.

In keeping with the most acclaimed pastors and politicians, Paul presented himself as man's savior. He was Akiba and Bar Kokhba, Vespasian and Hadrian, Constantine and Theodosius, Muhammad and Hitler, Lenin and Mao, all before their time.

ያለሕ ተ

Twistianity
V4: Incredible
...Faith is for Fools

6

Anti-Semite

Worse than his Writing...

The initial draft of *Questioning Paul* was completed in 2009. Two years later, I rewrote it from the perspective of the prophetic portrayal of Sha'uwl presented in *Chabaquwq* | Habakkuk. The result was more accusatory, in keeping with Yahowah's assessment of the miserable man He called "the Plague of Death."

A decade would pass before I would return to it, editing *Questioning Paul* a third time in early 2021. I learned a great deal in the intervening period, particularly while studying *Yasha'yah* | Isaiah, the *Mashal* | Proverbs and *Mizmowr* | Psalms for the eight volumes which currently comprise *Observations* and *Coming Home*. Based upon these insights, I began to update everything I had written to correctly reflect what Yahowah had revealed through His Prophets.

It was during this time that I began to fathom the most extraordinary insights, something the world had missed for the better part of 3,000 years. God's message would transform the lives of *Yahuwdym* | Jews while obliterating the tenets of Judaism and Christianity, undermining the Talmud and New Testament.

According to Yahowah, *Dowd* | David, the Chosen and Beloved of the Father, is the Son of God. He is the Shepherd, Messiah, and King. And he is the one who is returning to reestablish and lead Yisra'el. These designations, therefore, do not apply to Iesou Christou

much less Jesus Christ. Accordingly, Paul was wrong – but so were Mark, Luke, and Matthew.

However, even then, that rewrite wouldn't reveal the full extent of what we should know about the Messiah and Son of God. While closer to the truth than anyone had been in 2,500 years, the most extraordinary discovery was a year away. You see, Dowd was more than the sum of his titles because he was also our savior. Dowd volunteered to serve as the Passover Lamb and then to fulfill Matsah, extending and perfecting the lives of every Covenant member. He returned in 30 CE, a thousand years after his reign as king, and just three years in advance of the 80th Yowbel year of 4000 Yah. His basar | corporeal body served as the Zarowa' | Sacrificial Lamb to open the Door to Life and to Heaven during Passover. The following day, on Matsah, a Shabat in 33 CE, Dowd's *nepesh* | soul was laden with the religious and political guilt of his people so that he could deposit it all in She'owl, never to be seen again.

With this realization, one proclaimed throughout the Torah, Prophets, and Psalms, there is no longer any place for the Christian replacement – not by any name or stolen title. Simply stated, Jesus never existed. He was a counterfeit for Dowd.

In the previous rewrite, I had concluded that, while Dowd was the Messiah and Son of God, I did not yet know that he had volunteered to fulfill Pesach and Matsah. So, to honor His promises, I had thought that Yahowah might have projected His soul into a corporeal being named Yahowsha', serving as an avatar of sorts, and that He fulfilled the Miqra'ey in this manner. Jesus was then, by this reckoning, a blend of Dowd and the avatar, Yahowsha'. But there is no need for any of that, and in fact, it gets in the way of a far more compelling and courageous truth.

I came upon the ultimate discovery when retranslating

the first thirty *Mizmowr* | Psalms of Dowd for an updated version of *Coming Home*. It was then that I realized that Father and Son were in one accord, that Dowd had decided that he wanted to serve his people in this magnificent way, and that Yahowah supported His Son's decision. Both realized that by enduring two days of extreme anguish Dowd would earn the respect and devotion of every Covenant member for all eternity. It is why the 22nd and 88th Mizmowr were written in first person, describing the ordeal. It is why Dowd was acknowledged throughout the prophets as the most exceptional man who ever lived. It is why he is called the Zarowa' and Savior. And it is why the 89th Mizmowr was scribed as the Cornerstone of the Covenant in year 3000 Yah.

Therefore, it is time we consider some of the prophetic testimony about Dowd, all leading to Dowd's Song in the 89th *Mizmowr*. The truth is the opposite of what Sha'uwl / Paul and his colleagues would say in their attempt to misappropriate what Dowd represents and what he has achieved. Once we understand what Paul and his pals said, and why they said it, we will examine the prophetic text in the Psalms, Shamuw'el, and Yasha'yah in subsequent chapters to better appreciate the remarkable lives of this exceptional man.

As it would transpire, the first man Paul tried to intimidate and then condemn by name, Roman Catholicism's first pope, was complicit in the crime of the millennium. This bumbling fisherman was evidently culpable of misidentifying the Messiah, having done so with devastating consequences for those lured into the new religions these men were promulgating. He was born as Shim'own ben Yownah but was allegedly renamed Kephash to commemorate an inauspicious event. Here, I'm using the Greek transliteration of the Hebrew word as it now appears in the spurious, plagiarized, and anti-Semitic Gospel of Matthew.

As was the Roman Church's custom, this new moniker was wrongly interpreted to mean "rock" based on *keph* | stone (Strong's H3710). However, *kephash* (Strong's H3728) means "to be bent over and trampled." Most are unaware of this because, with Christians hating all things Hebrew, the man's replacement name, Kephash, was immediately, inappropriately, and errantly translated as *petra* | rock in Greek. Then, to make it gender-appropriate, *petra* became Petros and was subsequently transliterated as Peter. I share this with you because Christians were not only adept at identity theft, misappropriating, and counterfeiting, they changed names with reckless abandon. And this propensity to replace one name with another lies at the heart of the Christian experience.

Beyond the irresponsible breaches in scholarship to derive the resulting, and now commonly accepted, moniker, "Peter," other problems remain. Since it was Dowd, who returned to honor the Towrah's Miqra'ey on behalf of its Beryth and people, Yisra'el, under Yahowah's sponsorship, he would not have had Disciples. While Dowd was unique among kings in that he was an accomplished shepherd and teacher, he had committed his lessons to writing a thousand years earlier. He was here as the Pesach 'Ayil and not as Professor Change Everything. If Dowd wanted companions this time around, he would have chosen individuals with whom he shared something in common and, thus, fellow shepherds, soldiers, or accomplished lyricists. Dowd was not a fisherman or a dunderhead.

While Dowd was the Messiah and Son of the Living God, he would not have asked the flaky fisherman who he thought he might have been. Those pronouncements were indelibly etched into the prophetic pronouncements made centuries earlier. And even if we were to play along with the replacement cast of characters, the Messiah would have had no reason to alter a Hebrew name, especially one that

nullifies the transition from *Shim'own* | He Listens to *Kephash* | He is Bent and Trampled. And as we discovered previously, the retrofitted dialog regarding the impetus for the new name immediately transitions to identifying Shim'own, now Kephash, as "Satan."

This known, a character now referred to as "Peter" in the 2nd chapter of English translations of Luke's incredulous Acts of the Apostles comes out bumbling and stumbling in his first public address. As he attempts to explain the non-existent fulfillment of the still future Shabuw'ah Harvest, he did as was the custom of Christianity's founding fathers. He was grasping at prophetic straws, desperate to find something God revealed which could be misconstrued to explain the absurd characterization of this non-event in association with the mischaracterization of his Messianic counterfeit. As a result, in Acts 2:17-21, "Peter," allegedly speaking to disbelieving Jews, spews out an unrecognizable paraphrase of *Yow'el* | Joel 2:28-32.

So that you are forewarned, fourth only to Dowd, Moseh, and Yasha'yah, Yow'el is my favorite prophet. He is not only exceptionally insightful and articulate, his message is for those of us living today, on the cusp of the Time of Israel's Troubles and less than a decade prior to Dowd's return.

Also interesting in this regard, during this corrective pass through *Questioning Paul*, now *Twistianity*, I began by citing Yow'el. However, when I was some 80 pages into the new introduction, I realized that it was too essential to God's people at this time to serve as an introduction to a series of books denouncing Christianity. So, I removed it, thinking that it was better suited to serve as the beginning of the next volume to be written, *Coming Home ~ Dowd | Beloved*. And while I highlighted it at the time by integrating it into the Yada Yah Radio Towrah Teachings, I suspect that it will also be included here, perhaps by

increasing *Twistianity* from four to five volumes in the process.

In this case, had believers or their skeptics been informed and rational, the misappropriation of the prophet's depictions of the horrible and wonderful events pursuant to the Last Days would have been sufficient to renounce Peter, the Book of Acts, and the Christian New Testament. It vividly depicts a far more horrific repeat of the October 7th Massacre followed by Yahowah's return with His Son, the Gibowr | Most Combative and Courageous Man, to restore Yahuwdym and rid Yisra'el of all traces of gowym | gentiles. Therefore, the Shabuw'ah Harvest, when associated with Yow'el's prophecies, places it at the commencement of the Time of Israel's Troubles, and thus on May 22nd, 2026, seven years before the Messiah's return, not in 33 CE. The Promise of the Shabat denotes the Harvest of Standing Grain, and the withdrawal of the Covenant Family comprised of Yahuwdym and Gowym immediately prior to the final Islamic assault on Israel in which a thousand-fold the aerial bombardments and belligerent mujahideen will invade Israel to terrorize. rape, adduct, mutilate, torture, incinerate, and annihilate Jews.

Therefore, Peter's gross miscalculation should have foreclosed the credibility of the new religion before it was born. Rather than announcing the fulfillment of the fourth Miqra'ey or the birth of a Gentile Church to replace Israel, Yow'el was heralding the fulfillment of the final four *Miqra'ey* | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet as the worst of times leading to the best of times and the eternal restoration of Yisra'el and the obliteration of all traces of religion and politics. Yow'el's prophecy presents the antithesis of what "Peter" mischaracterized.

Undeterred by his colossal miscalculation, the man acclaimed as Roman Catholicism's first Pope, errantly professed...

"And it shall come to pass (eimi) all (pas) who (hos), and as many as (an), call upon (epikaleomai) the (ho) personal and proper name (onoma) of the Kurio | Lord (K Ω) will be saved (sozo)." (Acts 2:21)

Actually, just the opposite. Those who call on the Lord will follow Satan to She'owl. For those looking to go in the other direction, the one name that matters is Yahowah.

At the time, the Roman province of *Yahuwdah* | Judah was comprised of Yahuwdym. The Yisra'elites had been hauled away into slavery by the Assyrians seven centuries earlier. Therefore, this is incorrect...

"Israelite (Israelites) men (andros), listen to (akouo) these words (logos): Iesou (INY – a placeholder for Iesou which became Jesus in the 17^{th} century) the Nazarene (Nazoraios), is a man (andros) who publicly demonstrated (apodeiknymi) to (eis) you (sy) that he is from (apo) Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos – god) using supernatural powers and abilities (dynamis) to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance (teras).

These are signs (semeion) which (hos) Theos | God $(\Theta\Sigma)$ performed (poieomai) through (dia) him (autos) in your midst (mesos) as (kathos) you know (oida)." (Acts 2:22)

There was no one named Iesou, much less "Jesus," in the 1st century CE. There are no prophecies regarding the arrival of an *Iesou* | Jesus anywhere to be found. The man who had been foretold to arrive, many hundreds of times, to fulfill Pesach and Matsah leading to Bikuwrym, was Dowd. He had come and gone, doing exactly as had been prophesied.

Identifying the next mistake, the town of *Nazoraios* | Nazareth didn't exist at the time. There had been a small village by another name in that location several centuries

prior, but it had been abandoned by the 2nd century BCE. The myth of "Jesus of Nazareth" was developed jointly by Emperor Constantine's mother after her tour of the "Holy Land" and Constantine's propagandist, the nefarious Roman Catholic Bishop Eusebius in the 4th century CE.

Since Iesou never existed, it would have been miraculous, I suppose, for him to have made a public demonstration of Godly intent. And as we have become aware, when someone claims to be using "supernatural powers to perform miracles" they are showing off in league with Satan and not serving Yah.

The only "signs that God performed" were with His Son, Dowd, and they were all in conjunction with delivering his *basar wa nepesh* | body and soul to fulfill Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym. Moreover, if the fables had been obvious and commonly known, Saint Peter wouldn't have to remind the "Israelite men" in this way.

Therefore, this has been an inauspicious beginning to the mistaken claim to misappropriate Shabuw'ah from Yahowah and the Covenant Family. And up to their normal tricks, Christians even managed to rename the confiscated Miqra', reconstituting Seven Sevens and the Promise of the Shabat as "Pentecost."

While it was true that the Yahuwdym in Yahuwdah during the 80th Yowbel year of 4000 Yah / 33 CE failed to recognize Dowd as the Son of God fulfilling Chag Matsah, it cannot be said that they "knew" *Iesou* | Jesus of *Nazoraios* | Nazareth who performed feats of derring-do. Therefore, this is also false...

"He was appointed to (horizo) the prearranged and prophetic (prognosis) plan and purpose (boule) of Theos $(\Theta\Sigma)$, he was betrayed and given over (ekdotos) to (dia) the hand (cheir) of the 'Towrahless' (anomos) who chose to take control and lift him up (anaireo), affixing him (prospegnumi)." (Acts 2:23)

As I have attested, there isn't a single prophecy announcing an *Iesou* | Jesus of *Nazoraios* | Nazareth, or of such a person being "appointed," "pre-arranged," or part of a "prophetic plan or purpose" of *theos* | god. To have said it is to have lied. And the reason these clowns don't attempt to state otherwise, is that there is nothing to misappropriate. Every prophetic portrayal pertaining to the fulfillment of Passover points to Dowd, as do all narratives addressing the return of our king.

Twistians are keen on delegitimizing and demonizing Jews because, without doing so, there is no justification for their god abandoning them and replacing Jews with *gowy* | gentile churchites. And so, while it was "Peter's" intent to protest that his "Israelite" audience "betrayed" the mythical god-man and "gave him over" to the control of the *anomos* | Towrahless to be crucified, it was Peter, Paul, and Company who were "Towrahless."

Further, if the fabled Jesus had been a god, then men could not have controlled his destiny or caused him to die. And since the actual Messiah was there to offer his body as the Passover Lamb, had the Jews contributed to the process, they should be acclaimed not condemned. Also, the prearranged prophetic plan of Yahowah and His Son Dowd, was for his basar wa nepesh to fulfill Chag Matsah – nothing more and nothing less. While it would have been lovely if Yahuwdym recognized and appreciated the sacrifice, as opposed to ignoring and rejecting it, "betrayal" was never part of the plan.

And since the false claim has been repeated, it is worth noting that there is no mention of anything Peter was proposing discussed in the Towrah or Prophets. They speak of Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children, of the Towrah, Beryth, and Miqra'ey, of Dowd who is the Messiah, Son of God, and Savior, and of the ultimate reconciliation of Yahuwdym with Yisra'el coinsiding with the reestablishment of the Beryth at the expense of Gentiles

who will be expunged at this time. Therefore, like his rival Paul, Peter is unable to offer anything which was honest and true.

Since the time of 'Abraham, and then augmented by Moseh, the Children of Yisra'el have never been Towrahless. While they have superseded the Towrah with the Talmud, the Towrah is still touted as part of their lives. Imperial Rome was *anomos*, as is the Roman Catholic Church, and as were Peter and Paul. So, I suppose it was an unintended confession.

As we know, there is every indication that Jews failed to recognize Dowd and they did not acknowledge his fulfillment of Chag Matsah. This remains true today. However, there is a difference between ignorance and complicity, between not knowing and betraying. Also, Twistians are far more complicit in this betrayal because they deliberately attributed Dowd's Chag Matsah sacrifice to their mythical misnomer *Iesou* | Jesus who they claimed was murdered and resurrected wholly disjoined from Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym.

Even when the Greek is corrected to reflect the Hebrew $El \mid God$, none of this occurred...

"Theos $(\Theta\Omega)$ restored him to life and raised him (anistamai), releasing and dismissing him (lou) from the suffering and pain (odin) of death (thanatos) because (kathoti) it was not (ou) possible (dynatos) for him to exist (eimi) held and influenced (krateo) by (hypo) these things." (Acts 2:24)

The Passover Lamb is neither resurrected nor revived. That would defeat the purpose. Typically, the lamb is consumed to nourish the family during this celebration of life among the firstborn. However, since the body fulfilling Pesach was Dowd's, and since no one seemed to care at the time, discretion dictated, as did the Towrah, that the remains of his mutilated basar be incinerated that night. It

had served its purpose.

So, this is another false statement. Dowd's soul never died, not 3,000 years ago or 2,000 years ago. Had Dowd's *nepesh* died, there would have been no way to fulfill Matsah or Bikuwrym, nor any way for him to return as King less than a decade from this writing in 2033.

While still in the body, Dowd's soul was not released from the pain and suffering of death. He endured it as is graphically portrayed in the 22nd Mizmowr. Before his soul was removed from the Roman execution stake, the disposable body died in the role of the Pesach 'Ayil.

It was *She'owl* | Hell, not *odin* | suffering pain or *thanatos* | death which was incapable of holding Dowd's soul. This distinction is monumental and yet butchered by the alleged disciple.

And it is in the midst of this sobering introduction to the misappropriated Shabuw'ah Harvest that we find the first recorded statement replacing Dowd with someone else in the role of Messiah. And as is typical of Christians, it comes by way of misrepresenting a prophetic pronouncement – this time Dowd's 16th *Mizmowr* / Psalm...

"For (gar - because) David $(\Delta\Delta - a placeholder for Dowd using the Greek transliteration <math>Dauid$) said (lego) of (eis) him (autos), 'I foresee (proorao) the $Kurios \mid Lord$ $(K\Omega)$ in front of (enopion) me (ego) always (pas) on my behalf (dia) because (hoti) from (ek - out of) his right hand (dexios) I will not be $(me\ eimi)$ shaken or swayed (saleuomai). (Acts 2:25)

Therefore (dia), my heart (kardia) rejoices (euphrainomai), and my tongue (glossa) delights (agalliao) that also (eti) my flesh (sarkos) will abide and endure (kataskenoo) as a result of (epi) a confident and trusting expectation (elpis). (Acts 2:26)

Because (hoti) my soul (psyche) will not (ou) be utterly forsaken and totally abandoned (egkataleipo) in Hades (hades). Neither (oude) will Your Holy One (hosios) be allowed (didomi) to see (horao) decay and decomposition (diaphthora). (Acts 2:27)

Reveal and make known to (gnorizo) me (ego) the way (hodos) to a complete (pleroo) life (zoe) of joy and gladness (euphrosyne) in proximity to (meta) Your presence (prosopon)." (Acts 2:28)

This "citation" from Psalm 16 proves that "Peter" was deliberately misleading his audience in typical Christian fashion. If Peter and Paul weren't lying, they weren't talking.

The erroneously purloined and quoted Mizmowr was written in first person by Dowd. The Messiah knew what to anticipate from his Father because he knew what God wanted to provide and what He expected in return. It is a simple equation: be observant and trust Yahowah, and He will attend to your needs, providing comfort and protection. It begins...

"A poem (miktam - a written piece of literature, inscribed by staining the parchment upon which it is indelibly conveyed; from ma – to ponder and question and kethem – the elements which are pure gold) of **Dowd** (la Dowd – concerning the Beloved).

You want to watch closely over me and be concerned about me (shamar 'any — You keep a caring focus upon me, tending to me by choice, and You are observant regarding me (qal imperative)), God ('el), because (ky) in You (ba 'atah — with You), I confide and rely, I trust so I am kept safe and comforted (chasah — I depend on for protection and safekeeping)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:1)

Dowd, more than anyone, had reason to gloat. God

chose him, anointed him Mashyach, empowered him with His Spirit, called him His Son, said that he was right, made him a prophet, and picked him to shepherd His people, serving as their king forevermore. From Yahowah's perspective, Dowd was and remains the brightest and most articulate man in human history. And yet, Dowd recognized that apart from Yah, even after fulfilling the Miqra'ey, he had nothing to offer. And yet together, they would offer the keys to understanding the Towrah and to participating in the Covenant.

"I say ('amar – I confess and convey, expressing in words), to approach (la – drawing near and concerning) Yahowah (Yahowah – God's name pronounced as taught and guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation from 'elowah – our God), 'You are my foundation and support ('edown 'any 'atah – You are my Upright One who is the Pillar of my Tabernacle), I have nothing to offer which is worthwhile or useful (tobah 'any bal – I have nothing good, satisfying, or beneficial) apart from You ('al 'atah – over You, God)."" (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:2)

Together they were pure poetry, composing prose that was both satisfying and beneficial. And thanks to what they accomplished through this collaboration, by harmoniously composing these lyrics, we have become part of their celebration.

"Regarding (la – concerning) the Set Apart (qadowsh – the separated and uncommon) in the Land (ba ha 'erets – within the country and material realm) who show the way to the relationship ('asher – who lead to the benefits of the proper path), they are also (hem wa) awesome ('adyr – marvelous, desirable, and splendid, honorable and worthy), and in them (ba hem – with them) is all my satisfaction and enjoyment (kol chephets – is my greatest joy, engendering my complete support and

appreciation)." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:3)

Dowd is addressing the Covenant Family. He realizes the more the merrier. He understands how we can all be part of the same refrain. And he is pleased to share his Father, his Land, his relationship, and his joy with all who will listen to his poem.

Yes, Dowd has been given more than anyone else, more than we can possibly imagine, and yet, what he finds truly awesome is sharing it with his brothers and sisters. He is excited about what matters most: adding to Yah's Family.

There are two reasons for this. First, life with Yah is marvelous. There is more to give than there are souls seeking an inheritance. And second, life is traumatic for those who impetuously chase after imaginary gods. Dowd, having experienced the best his Father has to offer, wants the same result for his people. That is what qualifies him to serve as Yah's shepherd.

There is an undercurrent of appreciation in these words. The surviving remnant of Yisra'el will finally come to realize that they owe their very existence to Dowd. By fulfilling the Miqra'ey, he made it possible for us to receive the benefits of the Covenant. However, most will forego the greatest of gifts...

"The sorrows and suffering ('atsebeth – the anxiety and anguish, the unfavorable circumstances and mental state, as well as the trauma) of those (hem) who are impetuous and who chase after (mahar – who are rash and without wisdom, who are fearful, and unwilling to invest the time to study, and who quickly buy into) another ('achar – someone or something different, either following along or doing so to develop a following) will be great as they will be numerous and influential (rabah – will multiply, becoming the preponderance of people, and who through their popularity will gain tremendous status).

Their drink offerings of blood (nesek hem min dam – their sacred and pagan liquid libations to a deity associated with killing and death [a.k.a. the Roman Catholic claim that "Jesus" died and that they are drinking his blood during their Eucharist]), I will never pour or offer (bal nasak – I will not endorse or cause to happen, especially with all of their associations with pagan gods, religious rites, and death). And I will not advance or promote (ba nasa' – I will never lift up or bear, honor or respect, desire or tolerate) their names ('eth shem hem) on my lips ('al sapah – as part of my speech)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:4)

There is a religion that has become enormous and influential, and its rites include drink offerings of blood: Christianity and especially the Roman Catholic Church. Dowd is professing that he will never endorse anything the largest and most powerful institution to co-opt his reputation does or says. He will not partake in their Eucharist or promote their names. He, as is the case with every one of Yahowah's prophets, never speaks on behalf of a Christ, Christian, Jesus, Catholic, Cross, or Church. These names are not part of God's plan. Moreover, there is no justification whatsoever for the most practiced of Catholic religious rites: the Eucharist.

The Roman Catholic Church deliberately and dishonestly stole what Yahowah gave to His Son and to His people, all to shore up its complete lack of credibility. Therefore, Dowd has a great deal to say about the consequence of their malfeasance. Christianity not only became the most pervasive and abusive adversary to his people, but no institution in human history would also mislead as many souls. And they would do so through Replacement Foolology: stealing for themselves God's promises to His beloved Son and our Savior.

However, there is another horrifying possibility directly related to drinking blood. For those who have read

volume three, *Babel: Chemah* ~ *Venomous*, you may recall the grotesque nature of the Feast of the Beast as it was presented in the waning chapters of Ezekiel. Upon Satan's arrival in Jerusalem on the 1st of 'Abyb in 2033, his initial order of business will be to replace the Towrah's Mow'edym with his own macabre variations. His inaugural event will be to eat, in cannibalistic fashion, the *gibowrym* | most capable and courageous men of God. And during this ghoulish event, the celebrants will drink the blood of those they have sacrificed. While the Lord of Babel speaks of literally eating human flesh and drinking blood, the underlying symbolic intent is to devour Dowd and his Heralds – the two Witnesses.

Rather than irritating Yahowah by doing the opposite of what His Towrah instructs, as was the case with Peter and Paul, Dowd selected a different cup from which to drink...

"Yahowah's (Yahowah — God's proper name pronounced as taught and guided by His towrah — instructions regarding His hayah — existence and our shalowm — reconciliation from 'elowah — our God) gift is my decision, my fate, my assigned share, and my reward (manath cheleq 'any — is my allotment by choice, my parcel of ground, my portion of what is offered, and my nourishment) as well as my cup and receptacle (wa kows 'any — and the vessel which holds what I will drink). You ('atah) uphold (tamak — hold and control) my lot and destiny (gowral 'any — my portion and allotment, even my systematic means of making decisions, delineating the outcome)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:5)

All of those who participate in the Eucharist (where Catholic priests claim that they have turned wine into the blood of Jesus), or even partake in Communion (the Protestant alternative to Passover), will die, as did their imaginary god. Life is afforded to those who decide to accept Yahowah's reward. And this begins with

recognizing that Dowd served as the Passover Lamb.

When we are evaluated through the Towrah, we become acceptable in all of the delightful ways Yahowah intended. Through it, we inherit far more than the universe.

"The measuring lines (chebel – the inheritance and boundary lines, the shares and apportionments, symbolically even the rigging of the vessel and the joyful processions and boisterous parades) have aligned for me (naphal la 'any – have been allotted and distributed to be) in highly acceptable and delightful ways (ba ha na'ym – in pleasant and favorable places). Surely ('aph – indeed, and in addition), I have been offered ('al 'any) an enjoyable and pleasing, especially brilliant (shaphar – a lovely and beautiful, radiant and bright) inheritance (nachalah – association and share)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:6)

This is true not only for Dowd, Yah's beloved Son, but for all of us who follow his lead. He was the *Bakowr* | Firstborn of Chag Matsah, but hardly the last. The Messiah opened the door and provided the way for us to enjoy Bikuwrym, participate in the Shabuw'ah and Taruw'ah Harvests and celebrate his Kipurym homecoming.

Beyond this, the universe we have been given to explore is not only ninety-three billion light-years across, but it also exists in two additional dimensions beyond those we can presently perceive – and Heaven is yet another dimension beyond. Our inheritance through the Covenant is indeed bright and beautiful. As a result, it is fitting and right...

"I will commend the excellence of (barak 'eth – I favor the goodness and I am thankful for the greatness of) **Yahowah** (Yahowah – God's proper name pronounced as taught and guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation from 'elowah – our God), who, to show the way to the

benefits of the relationship ('asher – who, to lead the way to the path to get the greatest joy out of life), determines the plan and provides me with counsel and purpose (ya'ats 'any – decides the direction and offers me advice), even as ('aph – and surely also), during the night (laylah), my emotional response to being Yah's implement (kilvah 'any - my kidneys (which the ancient Hebrews perceived as the source of emotions), core nature, inclinations, and feelings, my innermost being; compound of kol – total, kaly – implement of Yah) disciplined teaching, provides me with **instruction, and correction** (yasar 'any – facilitates my training and acceptance, direction and guidance, and my appreciation for cause and consequence)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:7)

Even the most gifted teacher required instruction and he received his from the Best. And to a marvelous extent, Dowd enjoyed serving as Yahowah's implement. He relished God's plan for his life and the counsel He provided to reveal his purpose. He saw the Towrah for what its name implies: the source of disciplined teaching and proper instruction. His life's mission became commending what Yahowah had revealed to show the way to receive the benefits of this relationship. As a result, Dowd became the centerpiece of God's plan for His people. He is the Cornerstone upon which the Covenant Family and Home are built. He is the Life, the Light, and the Way.

Everything God has said and done coalesces such that we are in the right place when we capitalize on the life and lyrics of the Zarowa'.

"I intensely desire to firmly place (shawah – I genuinely want to put) Yahowah (Yahowah – God's proper name pronounced as taught and guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation from 'elowah – our God) before me (la neged 'any – in front of me) always and

continuously (tamyd – regularly and consistently, even perpetually).

Indeed (*ky*), as a result of (*min*) me being right (*yamyn 'any* – my orientation, right side, or right hand, even looking east as the sun rises; from *yaman* – to choose to be right), **I shall neither be shaken nor fall into an unfavorable or unresolvable circumstance** (*bal mowt* – I will not slip up, be dislodged, habitually stagger, fail, or fall, nor will I lose control, be random in my approach, or found to be consistently inadequate (nifal imperfect – it is by being right that Dowd carries out and also receives the benefits which are ongoing throughout time))." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:8)

Dowd was not bragging. He was telling the truth. He knew that he was right, not only because Yahowah declared him so, but also because he had thoughtfully considered the Towrah. And having come to understand it, he had responded correctly. He was, therefore, aware of his Father's promises in this regard, and knew that, as a result of being right, he would never be found inadequate or fail.

Knowing that he would fulfill Pesach and Matsah, Dowd was aware that he had made being right possible, not only for himself but also for his people. And by having done so, he realizes that he has made the rest of us right with Yah.

To know Yahowah in this way, to rely on God as Dowd has done, is to be bold and adventurous, living life to its fullest while proclaiming the truth without fear or hesitation. I know this not only because Yahowah inspired Dowd to say it, but because I've experienced it. In the 23 years that I've openly exposed and condemned Islam, Christianity, Judaism, Communism, Multiculturalism, Progressivism, Patriotism, Wokeism, Militarism, and Conspiracies, while unequivocally advocating Yahowah's testimony, I have never been random in my approach,

never encountered an unresolvable circumstance, never fallen into an unfavorable situation, never been dislodged from the truth, never lost control of any situation, nor have I been overwhelmed or failed. Striving to be right with Yah by observing and accepting His witness to us enables all of this. It has been the most rewarding and enjoyable part of my life, as it was with Dowd before me.

"Therefore (la ken – it is reasonable and rational as a consequence that), my attitude and thinking (leb 'any – my inclination and disposition, my judgment and approach, my character and nature, my internal resolve and thoughtful response) are joyous (samach – are upbeat and happy, elated and content). What's more (wa), the manifestation of power which is abundant and valued within my persona (kabowd 'any – the glorious and rewarding Divine presence within me) expresses His joy over this enormously favorable and uplifting situation and outcome (gyl – sings and shouts as a result, calling out in jubilation, utterly delighted in this situation)."

Yahowah chose Dowd as His Firstborn Son, anointed him Mashyach, inspired him as a prophet, appointed him Shepherd and King, empowering him with His Spirit for our benefit as well as his. The point of all of this is that we can live like Dowd, be like Dowd, and experience what Dowd enjoyed when we study his words and apply them to our lives. Therefore, it is appropriate and desirable for us to acknowledge that, as a result of the Covenant, we experience similar benefits. We should all be singing along.

Returning his attention to the most adversarial influence on both Jews and Gentiles, Dowd levels a broadside attack against the Gnostic influence on the development of Judaism and Christianity. For example, in Judaism, G-d is incorporeal, therefore, unassociated with anything material, such as a son or a right hand. According to the rabbis, and in direct contradiction to Yahowah, their

HaShem cannot manifest an aspect of His nature through fire, nor can He have eyes or a voice. He cannot return because it would have been impossible for Him to have been here. But then again, with the Haredi, afraid of the sciences and still stuck in the past, the potential of E=mc², where matter is a diminished form of energy, eludes them.

Gnosticism is also a problem for Christianity because the argument that Sha'uwl / Paul used to usurp Yahowah, His Towrah, His Covenant, His People, and His Mashyach of their relevance, was to opine that they were of the flesh, which, from the Gnostic perspective, was corruptible and evil. Paul would then claim that, by contrast, his faith was spiritual and thus believable and worthy. He imposed his errant perceptions on 'Abraham and Dowd to make his point. Unfortunately for Paul, and those who foolishly believe he spoke for God, the flesh isn't evil. Moreover, there are beneficial and malevolent spirits – with the most adversarial of them inspiring Paul and the most beneficial inspiring Dowd to write these words...

"In addition ('aph – moreover and furthermore), my Herald, proclaiming the good news regarding my corporeal body (basar – the pronouncement and positive news regarding my physical human and animal nature, and the substance which sustains my life), lives and abides (shakan – dwells and remains, camping out for a considerable period of time) with confidence (la betach – safely and securely, without any concern, through trusting and relying; from batach – to trust and rely with confidence and be bold, living without fear)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:9)

Basar, even when scribed as a noun, is still defined by its verbal root. Written identically as basar, it speaks of a herald proclaiming the good news – something listeners should receive positively. During Dowd's first of three lives, Shamuw'el was his Basar | Herald. Then came 'Eythan, who scribed the 89th Mizmowr in Dowd's honor.

And while the prophets all spoke of him, Dowd would not be heralded again in the fashion of *Basar* until the emergence of *Yada Yahowah* two decades ago. The truth is finally being told regarding what the Messiah volunteered to achieve on our behalf. And for the first time since he wrote these words 3,000 years ago, we are fully attuned to the role Dowd's *basar* | corporeal body played in the fulfillment of Passover.

Since Dowd and his Herald are correct regarding the importance of Dowd's *basar* | corporeal body, then Paul was not only wrong, Christianity's faulty foundation crumbles with this *basar* | pronouncement. As is the case with everything in this debate, trust and reliance, indeed, confidence is a byproduct of knowing and understanding insights such as this, while faith bridges the gap when a believer is ignorant and irrational. The reason Yahowah inspired Dowd to share this with us is so that we could replace one with the other and, like His prophet, be right about God.

Speaking of not knowing, should you be of the belief that this poem hasn't been about commending Yahowah and condemning Shim'own / Peter and the religion he cofounded with Sha'uwl / Paul, consider what follows, especially in light of what Peter stated during his first recorded speech: "For David says of Him, 'I saw the Lord continually before me, because He is at my right hand, so that I will not be shaken. Therefore, my heart was glad and my tongue was overjoyed; moreover my flesh also will live in hope; For You will not abandon my soul to Hades, Nor will You allow Your Holy One to undergo decay. You have made known to me the ways of life; You will make me full of gladness with Your presence." (Acts 2:25-28)

And he was not alone in trying to replace Dowd with the myth of Iesou: "Now when David had served God's purpose in his own generation, he fell asleep; he was buried with his ancestors and his body decayed." (Acts 13:36) Between them, their false statements prove that the principal actors engaged in promoting the Christian New Testament were deliberately deceitful regarding something near and dear to God's heart.

By contrast, this is what the Messiah Dowd wrote 3,000 years ago...

"By way of contrast (ky – emphasizing this point while exposing the contrary position), You will not abandon Your association with (lo' 'azab - You will not neglect, reject, forsake, or desert, never releasing (qal imperfect)) **my soul** (nepesh 'any – my consciousness, my inner nature, character, and personality) with regard to **Sha'uwl** (la She'owl / la Sha'uwl – by approaching She'owl or on behalf of the goals of Sha'uwl), never allowing (lo' nathan – never offering or giving, not permitting or surrendering (qal imperfect)) Your Set-**Apart one** (*chasyd 'atah* – Your dedicated and loyal one, Your special and unique individual (adjective singular)) to see (ra'ah - to look upon, to experience, to gloat about, orto find pleasure in) **corruption or degradation** (shachath - what it is like to be corrupt or corrupted, useless or ruined, marred or blemished, dishonest exploitation or fraud, especially in association with the slime of the dungeon and pit in which such corrupt and ruinous captives are destroyed)." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:10)

This was written a thousand years in advance to invalidate Christianity as well as Judaism. Dowd is not dead, and in his second life, his body was not buried. He was speaking of himself and not of a Lord. Peter and Paul couldn't have been more wrong.

What's particularly illuminating about this statement is that both Peter and Paul (mis)quoted it as Divinely inspired, thereby acknowledging that it was from their god, implying it to be true. And yet by misappropriating it and then perverting the message to establish the lie of "Jesus,"

Peter and Paul both demonstrated that they should not be trusted. Paul even doubled down on his errant rendering by stating... "So it is also stated elsewhere: 'You will not let your holy one see decay." (Acts 13:35).

The contrasts in the debate are between relationship and religion, between right and wrong, between Yahowah and Dowd vs. Shim'own and Sha'uwl, between the Towrah and New Testament, and between the Covenant and religious cults. There is considerable incongruity and conflict between the Towrah and either the Talmud or New Testament.

God is on Dowd's side, which is why Shim'own and Sha'uwl misquoted this statement and twisted it to claim otherwise. But forewarned is forearmed. We know that Yahowah will not allow the continuance of the Christian exploitation of His beloved Son, this willful disregard of the truth, or this degradation of His people and promises. It is so obvious that Dowd was speaking of himself and not a future Lord that with every word it's apparent that Yahowah is seething over this travesty.

"You have made known to me (yada' 'any – You are my source of understanding, You reveal information and instruction to me, You enable me to be aware and perceptive such that I am acquainted with, able to reveal and experience (hifil imperfect second-person masculine singular – You alone constantly and consistently equip and enable me to know and understand such that I become ever more like You)) the way to ('orach – the path, manner, conduct, and route to travel which leads from this place to) life (chay – living a bountiful, blessed, favorable, and prosperous existence, nourished, restored, and renewed; from chayah – to live and remain alive, with life restored and sustained).

There is total satisfaction and contentment in the abundant (soba' – there is complete and abounding)

transcendent joy (*simchah* – sublime delight with an uplifting cheerful attitude, favorably entertaining the senses) **associated with Your presence** (*'eth paneh 'atah* – through Your appearance and in association with Your face).

The pleasure of being accepted (na'ym – the benefits of being considered favorably and becoming acceptable, along with the melodious contentment and satisfaction) by being right with You (ba yamyn 'atah — with my orientation on Your right side, with my right hand in Yours, and with me looking east in the morning toward You as the sun rises; from yaman — having chosen to be right) is glorious and forevermore (netsach — is splendid and unending, producing everlasting status and permanent prominence)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:11)

When we compare what Peter claimed to what Dowd said, it's enough to make one wonder how it is that anyone dare claim to be a Christian scholar. From this:

"I will express and extol the excellence of (barak 'eth) Yahowah (Yahowah), who, to show the way to the benefits of the relationship ('asher), determines the plan and provides me with counsel and purpose (ya'ats 'any), even as ('aph), during the night (laylah), my emotional response to being Yah's implement (kilyah 'any) provides me with disciplined teaching, proper instruction, and correction (yasar 'any). (Mizmowr 16:7)

I intensely desire to firmly place (shawah) Yahowah (Yahowah) before me (la neged 'any) always and continuously (tamyd). Indeed (ky), as a result of (min) me being right (yamyn 'any), I shall neither be shaken nor fall into an unfavorable or unresolvable circumstance (bal mowt). (Mizmowr 16:8)

Therefore (la ken), my attitude and thinking (leb 'any) are joyous (samach). What's more (wa), the manifestation of power which is abundant and valued

within my persona (kabowd 'any) expresses His joy over this enormously favorable and uplifting situation and outcome (gyl). In addition ('aph), my Herald, proclaiming the good news regarding my corporeal body (basar), lives and abides (shakan) with confidence (la betach). (Mizmowr 16:9)

By way of contrast (ky), You will not abandon Your association with (lo' 'azab) my soul (nepesh 'any) with regard to Sha'uwl (la She'owl / la Sha'uwl), never allowing (lo' nathan) Your Set-Apart One (chasyd 'atah) to experience corruption or degradation (shachath). (Mizmowr 16:10)

You have made known to me (yada' 'any) the way to ('orach) life (chay). There is total satisfaction and contentment in the abundant (soba') transcendent joy (simchah) associated with Your presence ('eth paneh 'atah). The pleasure of being accepted (na'ym) by being right with You (ba yamyn 'atah) is glorious and forevermore (netsach)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:11)

To this: "For David says of Him, 'I saw the Lord continually before me, because He is at my right hand, so that I will not be shaken. Therefore, my heart was glad and my tongue was overjoyed; moreover my flesh also will live in hope; For You will not abandon my soul to Hades, Nor will You allow Your Holy One to undergo decay. You have made known to me the ways of life; You will make me full of gladness with Your presence." (Acts 2:25-28)

There is no chance whatsoever that this was an inadvertent mistake. Peter deliberately twisted the word of God to advance the religious deception known as Christianity. By comparing these statements, it becomes immediately obvious that the most moral and rational approach to the New Testament is to expose and condemn it.

The Messiah and Son of God, Dowd, is certifiably not

dead. This is why he was able to fulfill Chag Matsah and why he will return as King. And since Dowd said the opposite of what Peter alleged, his soliloquy was a complete fraud, intentional and diabolical.

Ignoring what Dowd revealed, only to quote-mine and extract something he could *kephash* | bend, Peter misappropriated a prophecy affirming that Dowd would serve Yahowah by fulfilling Chag Matsah and live forevermore in God's presence. He lied so that an imaginary character drawn out of pagan mythology and given a fictitious name could replace the Messiah. By errantly claiming that Dowd had passed the torch to his Lord and was dead and buried, in a prophecy which says the opposite, his goal was to transfer what was said about Dowd, including his title and throne, to his false god. This twisted and ruthless bastard engendered the Messiah's wrath and Yahowah's eternal damnation because he fooled billions of souls by claiming...

"Gentlemen (andros), brothers (adelphos), it is possible (exesti) after all to say (eipon) to you in open public discourse, enjoying freedom of speech (parresia) with regard to (pros) and concerning (peri) the patriarch (patriaches) David ($\Delta\Delta$ – placeholder for Dauid, a Greek transliteration for Dowd), that (hoti) he both died (teleutao) and was buried (thapto), and his tomb (mnema) exists (eimi) among (en) us, even until (achri) this (houtos) day (hemera)." (Acts 2:29)

What do you suppose the odds were against Peter and Paul, who were irreconcilable and bitter foes, promoting the same lie in their first public address by misappropriating the same otherwise obscure verse to rob and bury Dowd, one in Acts 2 and the other in Acts 13? They both stole lyrics Dowd wrote to Yahowah, affirming that God would never allow his soul to die, to not only claim the opposite, but to justify applying the prophecy to "Jesus" since there were none which spoke of their

mythical man-god. Why haven't Christian scholars demonstrated the integrity to explain the obvious – that this means the myth of Christ and the allure of Christianity were built on an elaborate hoax that is neither credible nor accurate?

And this isn't some minuscule sidebar that can be swept aside as poetic license, a slip of the tongue, or a figure of speech. It is an outright lie, a complete inversion of the Psalm, designed to dismiss Dowd such that his titles, accolades, and accomplishments could be misappropriated to create the false impression that their Iesou was the Christou, and that Theos had predicted his every move. And it is all rubbish – unadulterated bull excrement.

As a consequence of these deliberate deceptions and falsifications, billions have been beguiled into ignoring who Dowd is and what he has said and done relative to our guidance and reconciliation. This vital truth was buried under the grotesque contention that there was a Jesus Christ, a man-god, who was killed by Jews so that Christians could replace them and live. As a result, religious Jews countered with a false Messiah of their own – a miscalculation that led to the Diaspora and the Holocaust. And in response to the growing popularity and hostility of the emerging religion, and its abuse of them, Jews, rather than return to Yah, denied God's ability to provide for their reconciliation through the Messiah.

Even if we focus on the smaller picture drawn from Peter's false declaration, by deliberately misrepresenting the prophetic portrait painted by the Son of God, Roman Catholicism's first Pope demonstrated that he should never be trusted. He would lie about anything and everything.

There were no "gentlemen" in this audience. These lost souls were not "brothers." Theirs would be a war of words resulting in the dehumanizing and demonizing of Jews. Free speech didn't exist under the political

subjugation of Rome nor under Judaism or Christianity. And even today, it is quickly vanishing. Dowd was not a patriarch. He is alive, not dead. Even the burial site of his first body is unknown and there is no tomb commemorating him. But that's all chicken scratching in the dirt compared to why this false premise was stated and where it would lead.

"Being (hyparcho) therefore (oun) a prophet (prophetes), and knowing (oida) that (hoti) Theos | God $(\Theta\Sigma)$ swore (omnuo) an oath (horkos) that from out (ek) of the fruit (darpos) of his loins (osphys), would sit (kathizo) upon (epi) His (autos) throne (thronos), he foresaw (proorao) and spoke (laleo) about (peri) the rising up and standing upright (anastasis) of the Christon (XPN – placeholder for Christon, which became Christ), because namely (hoti), he was not (oute) left behind and abandoned so as to remain (egkataleipo) at (eis) Hades (hades), nor (oute) did his flesh (sarx) see (horao) decomposition (diaphthora)." (Acts 2:30-31)

Guilty as charged. Case closed. Peter condemned himself to an eternity in She'owl. In his first recorded public address, he has spewed nothing but outright lies and now, for the second time, deliberately misquoted God.

The prophecy Peter is misappropriating is my personal favorite for many reasons. It is not only the narrative that led me to what has become *Yada Yahowah*, since it was the first passage I attempted to translate, I love the subject and the humor while celebrating the profound place 2 Shamuw'el 7 leads. In addition, I am somewhat proud of the fact that I may have been the first person to comprehend its implications and then share the insights gleaned from it since it was written 3,000 years ago. In fact, it was while translating what the Father said to His Son for *Observations* a decade ago that my eyes were finally opened to the realization Dowd was and remains what has been falsely claimed by Christians for Jesus.

What follows is essential, among the most important prophecies ever written. Coming to understand it over the course of the past twenty-three years has done more to equip me to serve as Yahowah's witness than perhaps any other. And when you come to appreciate it for all it conveys, it will change your life as well. So, here is Yahowah's discussion with His Son, the King of Yisra'el, regarding homes, who is responsible for building them and for whom...

"It came to pass (wa hayah ky) when the king sat down and relaxed in his home (ha melek yashab ba beyth huw') because Yahowah (wa YaHoWaH) had given him a comforting respite (nuwach la huw') from his adversaries and rancorous foes (min sabyb min kol 'oyeb huw'), (Shamuw'el 2 7:1) that the king said (wa 'amar ha melek) to Nathan, the prophet ('el Nathan ha naby'), 'Please look around (ra'ah na'). I live ('anoky yashab) in a house of cedar (ba beyth 'erez), but the Ark of God (wa 'arown ha 'elohym) sits within curtains (yashab ba tawek ha yarya'ah).' (Shamuw'el 2 7:2)

So, Nathan said to the king (wa 'amar Nathan 'el ha melek), 'Choose to go and do all that is in your best judgment (kol 'asher ba lebab 'atah) because, surely (ky), Yahowah is with you (Yahowah 'im 'atah).' (Shamuw'el 27:3)

However, that evening (wa hayah ba ha laylah ha huw'), the word of Yahowah came to Nathan (dabar Yahowah hayah 'el Nathan). He conveyed (la 'amar), (Shamuw'el 2 7:4) 'Go and tell (halak wa 'amar 'el) Dowd, My coworker (Dowd 'ebed 'any), that this is what Yahowah says (koh Yahowah 'amar). "Why should you build Me a home to live in (ha 'atah banah la 'any beyth la yashab 'any)? (Shamuw'el 2 7:5)

Indeed (ky), I have not lived (lo'yashab) in a house (ba beyth) since the time (wa min yowm) that I lifted up

to be with Me ('alah 'any 'eth) the Children of Yisra'el (beny Yisra'el) out of the crucibles of oppression in Mitsraym (min Mitsraym). Then, as an ongoing witness to the restoring testimony, up to (wa 'ad) this day (ha yowm ha zeh), I have been moving about (wa hayah halak) in a tent dwelling as a tabernacle and home (ba 'ohel wa ba mishkan). (Shamuw'el 2 7:6)

During any of that time, when showing the way to the benefits of the relationship, that (ba kol 'asher) I traveled (halak) with all of the Children of Yisra'el (ba kol beny Yisra'el), did I say a single word (ha dabar dabar) to one ('eth 'echad) of the leaders of the tribes of Yisra'el (shebet Yisra'el), whom I instructed ('asher tsawah) to shepherd (la ra'ah) My people ('eth 'am 'any), Yisra'el (Yisra'el), to say (la 'amar), "So why not (la mah lo') build for Me a house of cedar (banah la 'any beyth 'erez)?" (Shamuw'el 2 7:7)

Now, therefore (wa 'atah), this is what you should say (koh 'amar) to My associate, Dowd (la 'ebed 'any la Dowd), as a result (koh), Yahowah of the spiritual implements (Yahowah tsaba') says ('amar), "I took you ('any laqach 'atah) from the sheepfolds (min ha neweh), from chasing after lambs (min 'achar ha tso'n), to be the conspicuous and informative leader (la hayah nagyd) among My People ('al 'am 'any), over Yisra'el ('al Yisra'el). (Shamuw'el 2 7:8)

I have been with you (wa hayah 'im 'atah) throughout it all (ba kol) revealing the benefits of the relationship ('asher) as you journeyed through life (halak). I have cut off (wa karat), accordingly, all of your enemies ('eth kol 'oyeb 'atah) from your presence (min paneh 'atah). And I have engaged on your behalf to make for you (wa 'asah la 'atah) an exceptionally important name, the greatest designation, and the most distinguished reputation (shem ha gadowl), when compared to the most glorified designations (ka shem ha

gadowl) pursuant to the relationship ('asher) on the Earth (ba ha 'erets). (Shamuw'el 2 7:9)

Furthermore (wa), I will appoint and establish (sym) a dwelling place (maqowm) for My People (la 'am 'any), Yisra'el (la Yisra'el). I will plant them (wa nata' huw') such that they may abide (wa shakan) below throughout a less chaotic time than this (tachath huw') and no longer be agitated, anguished, or terrorized (ragaz 'owd). They will not continue to be (wa lo' yasaph) afflicted or degraded, disparaged or denigrated ('anah), by unjust and harmful sons, perverted and violent descendants of evil (beny 'awlah), as will have formerly been the case (ka 'asher ba ha ri'shown). (Shamuw'el 27:10)

From the day (wa la min ha yowm) which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), I appointed and instructed (tsawah) Judges (shaphat) on behalf of My people ('al 'am 'any), Yisra'el (Yisra'el), I will provide a respite (wa nuwach la 'atah) from all of your enemies (min kol 'oyeb 'atah). In addition (wa), Yahowah (Yahowah) is boldly and publicly announcing to you (nagad la 'atah) that, indeed (ky), He will act, engaging with you to create the family and home of Yahowah (beyth 'asah la 'atah Yahowah)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:11)

Not only has God been addressing the ultimate and still future restoration of His people such that they are no longer abused by anyone, He just affirmed that "He will act, engaging with [Dowd] to create the family and home of Yahowah." The "family and home of Yahowah" is the Covenant and it is "created" through the Mow'ed Miqra'ey. Therefore, God is announcing that He and His Son would fulfill the Invitations to be Called Out and Meet together, thereby enabling the benefits of the Beryth. And that would make this prophecy among the least appropriate to misappropriate on behalf of an illusory savior. By doing so, those influenced by the lie will forego what Father and

Son are offering in favor of a fable.

There would be two more lives to live, both more meaningful than the first. And while it is uncommon for a soul to be bestowed three lives, every Covenant member will enjoy two. Like Dowd, the two Witnesses will also live three lives, with the middle one being of service to others.

Surely (ky), your time will be thoroughly proclaimed and completely fulfilled (male' yowmym 'atah). When you will have laid down your life (wa shakab) in association with your ancestors ('eth 'ab 'atah), I will rise up and take a stand to bring to fruition (quwm) with your seed what you have sown ('eth zera' 'atah), something more in the end without hesitation for you ('achar 'atah). For the benefit of the relationship ('asher), it will be extracted and withdrawn (yatsa') out of a small particle of your physiological nature (min me'eh)."

More than any other throughout the Prophets, Dowd's lives and lyrics have been thoroughly proclaimed and the promises made through them have been or soon will be fulfilled. His first life was just the beginning. He would be brought back twice more based on what he has sown for our edification. And what's more, even after fulfilling Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children in year 6000 Yah, in the end, Yahowah is promising to take yet another triumphant stand with His Son on behalf of the Covenant. And in lives two and three, the physical component of his nature would be reconstituted through his DNA.

So now after foreshadowing the 89th Mizmowr by speaking to His Son, Yahowah transitioned to speaking to us about him. And while this approach is common throughout the Prophets, even if God intended to transition away from speaking to Dowd to addressing his heir, his son was *Shalomoh* | Solomon, not Jesus. And so while Solomon

was next on the throne, why would Yahowah mention such a thing when succession was commonplace and taken for granted — especially in the context of enabling the Covenant and achieving great things through Dowd? Moreover, should the reader be stupid, or desperate, and attempt to extrapolate "seed" to "Jesus," why wasn't he named and why didn't he sit on a throne as king?

Therefore, there are only two informed options available to us. Either Yahowah was continuing to be prophetic and profound, telling us what He was going to achieve through Dowd, or God went from prophetic and profound to mundane to tell Dowd that his son would be next to occupy Yisra'el's throne. I think that the answer is obvious, especially in the context of what has been and will be professed by God.

"'And so (wa), I will establish (kuwn) his reign over his kingdom ('eth mamlakah huw')."" (Shamuw'el 2 7:12)

I want you to appreciate a number of things which have taken me over twenty years to fully comprehend. To begin, the primary meaning of ky is not "when" but, instead, "surely, truthfully, or as a result." It, therefore, provides a transition from one pronouncement to the next – from God talking to Dowd to Yah speaking to us about him

Second, the verb, *male'*, was scribed in the imperfect conjugation. As a result, it cannot be addressing something which is over, such as Dowd's previous existence. Instead, in the imperfect, *male'* speaks of an ongoing fulfillment – thereby addressing Dowd's eternal reign as King after having fulfilled the seven *Mow'edym* | Eternal Witnesses to the Appointed Meeting Times.

After Dowd's relaxing intermission between each of the three phases of his life, with *shakab* scribed in the perfect conjugation, thus indicating that his rest would be for a finite period of them, and soon over, Yahowah is promising to intervene in the course of human events to *quwm 'eth zera'* | establish what Dowd has sown. *Zera'* speaks of seeds that, when planted, take root and grow, producing a productive harvest if properly cultivated. It serves as a metaphor for the tens of thousands of thoughtful words this man wrote on our behalf and the many meaningful things he achieved. As the *Zarowa'* (a title that is based upon *zera'*), Dowd spread the seeds of Chag Matsah such that his sacrifice will bear fruit during the Harvests of Taruw'ah and Kipurym.

Yahowah is not doing this "after" Dowd but, instead, "without hesitating or delaying and without equivocating." Such is the primary meaning of 'achar as derived from its verbal root.

Further, it was 'asher which brought me to this place, and to this prophecy, helping me better understand the nature of the relationship Yahowah is striving to achieve – much of which is correctly conveyed in the Father and Son relationship He developed with Dowd. More than anyone who ever lived, the Messiah embodies "'asher – showing the way to the benefits of the relationship."

Yatsa' is the operative verb underlying the "Exodus." It means "to withdraw, to extract, and to deliver." It does not speak of an individual's seed, in the sense of sperm, gushing out of his manhood. Equally revealing, the primary meaning of ma'al is not "viscera," but instead, it describes "a minute, exceedingly small, particle which comprises something much larger." That particle is Dowd's DNA.

Dowd's reappearance as King will be manifest, not only through the redeployment of his *nepesh* | soul, but also by reconstituting his DNA. This manifestation of the Messiah will adroitly convey Dowd's authority, personality, and appearance. The returning Messiah, the Son of God, and the King of Kings, is, therefore, Dowd. And that is why Yahowah is now speaking of him to us,

telling us what they will accomplish together...

"He, himself, shall restore and rebuild (huw' banah) the family home (beyth) for (la) My name (shem 'any). And (wa) I will prepare and establish (kuwn) the throne ('eth kise') of his kingdom (mamlakah huw') forevermore as a continuing witness ('ad 'owlam). (Shamuw'el 2 7:13)

I was, I am, and I always will be ('any hayah) for him (la huw') as a Father (la 'ab), and (wa) he was, is, and always will be (huw' hayah) for Me as (la 'any) a son (la ben)."

It's true that Shalomoh built the Covenant Home of Yahowah on the Temple Mount Dowd acquired and with the materials his father had accumulated. But, Solomon got distracted, trying to please the many pagan women he had brought into his harem. So his reign was limited and ended poorly. Israel would be shattered, broken into pieces and destroyed within a decade of Shalomoh's turbulent time on the throne. Therefore, his kingdom was not everlasting. This claim can only be made of one man – Dowd – because he is returning to reign forevermore.

Reminding us that he was still addressing Dowd, the King was the only man Yahowah referred to as His son, doing so three times. Therefore, it is obvious that the only transition has been from Yahowah speaking directly to His Son to talking to us about him. And as a result, to claim this prophetic pronouncement on behalf of the mythical misnomer Jesus is nothing short of identity theft. There is no rational way to make it apply.

And yet, that is exactly what Shim'own / Peter tried to imply by misappropriating Yahowah's promise to Dowd, and to us through his counterfeit. In fact, the disciple's crime was so egregious, I will resubmit his declaration at the conclusion of Yahowah's prophecy so that he stands convicted.

Speaking to us about His beloved Son, Yahowah specifically warned His people that the likes of Peter, Paul, and pals would misappropriate and corrupt almost everything He said of him...

"So when that which is associated with him is warped and perverted, twisted such that it is contrary to what has been stated ('asher ba 'awah huw'), I will resolve the dispute against him, vindicating him, deciding with him to expose and issue a condemnation (wa yakah huw') against the weapons and staves wielded by rulers of the nations (ba shebet) of men ('ishym) and against (wa ba) the fungus and plague that has fallen (nega') upon the descendants (ben) of 'Adam ('adam)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:14)

Should anyone believe that Peter and Paul were right in misappropriating and misconstruing Yahowah's prophetic declarations, stealing His Son's accolades, achievements, titles, and promises, you may want to reconsider. Or, you may find yourself condemned as a coconspirator alongside these religious criminals.

If it isn't already obvious, I am not an impartial Witness. My allegiance is with Yahowah, His Son, Dowd, and His People, the Children of Yisra'el. I value God's *Towrah* | Teaching and Guidance, His *Beryth* | Familial Covenant, and His *Mow'edym* | Eternal Witnesses to the Restoring Testimony over the New Testament, Babylonian Talmud, or overtly Satanic Quran. I prefer truth to lies and reason to faith. Therefore, when those I care about are wrongly disparaged by religious criminals like Peter, Paul, and Pals, I will not pull any punches or hesitate in excoriating them. And turns out, I'm in good company because Yahowah just admitted that He will do the same.

Peter, by making the statements recorded in the 2nd chapter of Acts, became God's enemy. Good Fathers don't take kindly to those who pervert their relationship with a

beloved Son. And when the consequence of their corruption results in a deadly plague and the spread of religious fungus, there will be hell to pay. So, just like Paul citing the prophecy in Habakkuk which condemned him, Peter did the same thing by pilfering this Divine narrative regarding Dowd.

Yahowah's declaration provided a wonderful insight and explanation as to why He opposes Replacement Foolology and the religious crusade to transfer the promises made to the Messiah to create a Christ. God was not a fan...

"Therefore (wa), My steadfast devotion, enduring love, commitment to the relationship, and unmitigated favor (chesed 'any) will not be removed from him, be disassociated or diminished regarding him, nor replaced, substituting another for him (lo' suwr min huw') as was the case when (ka 'asher) I turned away from and completely rejected (suwr min) associating with ('im) Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl) whom, to show the proper way ('asher), I removed (suwr) from your presence (min la paneh 'atah)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:15)

Unlike most people, Yahowah is loyal, and His love is enduring. He is eternally committed to the Covenant relationship. And this is especially so regarding His Son, our King, Dowd. While everything was removed from him to create the mythology of Christianity, having done so comes with a strong rebuke from God. Yahowah even condemns the primary culprit by name – Sha'uwl. Just as Dowd's initial demon-possessed nemesis was disavowed and perished apart from the people, the same was true of the Sha'uwl known to Christians as Paul. And while he died separated, rejected, and alone, in the final condemnation, all traces of his religion will be expunged from the Earth.

Speaking directly to Dowd again, and thus to us

through him, God concludes...

"And so (wa), your family (beyth 'atah) and (wa) your position of authority, your kingdom and reign (malakah 'atah), are assured, as it is trustworthy and dependable, firmly established, credible, and enduring ('aman) forever as a continuing witness ('ad 'owlam) in My presence (la paneh 'atah). Your throne and seat of honor (kise' 'atah) will be (hayah) established and sustained (kuwn) forevermore as restoring testimony ('ad 'owlam).' (Shamuw'el 2 7:16)

Consistent with all of these words (ka kol ha dabarym ha 'eleh) and in accord with (wa ka) the entire revelation (kol ha chizyown ha zeh), in this manner, Nathan accurately conveyed this (ken dabar Nathan) to Dowd ('el Dowd)." (Shamuw'el / Listen to Him / 2 Samuel 7:17)

This prophecy is about houses, who builds them and who lives in them. It is about Dowd, who had a home, not "Jesus" who was homeless throughout the myths conceived about him. It is all about what God is going to do for, with, and through His beloved Son. It is also about seeds and thrones, who sows them and who sits upon them.

And when it comes to such things, Yahowah is beside Himself, annoyed to the point that He has made His point in such a vivid way there shouldn't have been a single church, mosque, temple, or shrine called a house of God, and yet, men have erected millions of them. The three so-called Abrahamic religions predicated on false messiahs — Christianity, Judaism, and Islam — should have been vociferously renounced in their infancy and never have been allowed to grow.

Simply stated: To Dowd or not to Dowd, that is the question whose answer determines the eternal fate of one's soul.

More to the point, everything in the Towrah and Prophets was shared to encourage a Father-son, Father-daughter relationship through the Covenant and as a result of the Miqra'ey. Therefore, God has never asked us to worship Him, bow down before Him, or pray to Him. He does not want us to build houses for Him, either. The fact is, Yahowah is in the construction business, and His primary expertise is in building the most extraordinary home in the universe for His Covenant Family.

Having returned to the prophecy where my journey began some 23 years ago, with "'asher – associated with" in 2 Shamuw'el 7:14, we have found the answer to whose home and throne is being established.

Along the way, Yahowah revealed something exceedingly important while the religious have sought to convolute it to serve their perverted interests. That which the great name changers, Sha'uwl / Paul and Shim'own / Peter, and their coconspirators, took from Dowd in a desperate attempt to make their Iesou / Jesus appear divine, became part and parcel of Christianity. It was the first phase of Replacement Theology which would go on to replace Jews with Christians. It was all a lie – one advanced by misappropriating prophecy.

Dowd | David, the individual being addressed, was robbed by Christians to create the illusion that their "Jesus" was the Messiah. That is why we find Yahowah coming to the defense of His Son, wiping out those who would pervert and twist what has been said of Dowd, the actual Son of God, Mashyach, Savior, and returning King.

This is particularly important for *Yahuwdym* | Jews, because, while it would be wise to acknowledge Dowd's sacrifice as Passover Lamb, he is also your Messiah, your King, your Prophet, and your Shepherd. My job is to prepare you to benefit from this reality.

God chose a shepherd boy, a child chasing after sheep,

to lead His people and enlighten the world. He did not choose a scholar, a theologian, an expert in linguistics, a star athlete, a social media celebrity, or a man with a proven record in politics or the military. That is not to suggest that the lad was without merit. He was brilliant and thoughtful, articulate and courageous, attributes Yahowah would cultivate over time. The result is the *Mizmowr* and *Mashal* | Psalms and Proverbs.

Yahowah is constantly reminding us of the fact that He is focused upon Yisra'el. The descendants of Ya'aqob are His people. This has not and will not change. And with this recognition, there is no room for a Gentile Church.

Dowd had long since established a united Yisra'el in the Promised Land, incorporating Yaruwshalaim into the fold. So, when Yahowah promised to "appoint a place for My people, Yisra'el, planting them there such that they will never have to move again," He was addressing a time nearly 3,000 years removed from this discussion.

Three thousand years ago, the honor of building Yahowah's Home was given to Dowd's son, Solomon – a man whose voice we will hear again. So, for God to say that Dowd will also be afforded this distinction, fulfilling his heart's desire, means that Dowd will oversee the construction of the Millennial Temple.

After having fulfilled Pesach and Matsah leading to Bikuwrym, Dowd will return on Kipurym, this time to serve as the King of Kings. All the Psalmist has sown will come to fruition. The closest thing to a resurrection will occur upon His return when he returns for the final time to reside over his kingdom. His will be the place of honor as it is his witness that makes him the most deserving candidate.

Dowd is the Son of God. And as a proud Father, Yahowah will hold those who have robbed him of this dignity accountable. He will chastise those who have perverted and twisted the promises He has made to His Beloved – such as those who have misappropriated this prophecy. Second only to the embezzlement of His name by the religious, this is the crime which most irks the Almighty. And in this regard, restoring Dowd's rightful place alongside His Father is the primary reason every book within the *Yada Yahowah* Series has been rewritten. It is one of the reasons Yahowah said that we have come to appreciate the same things. I have developed an enduring bromance with God's Son. I am obsessed with learning as much as can be known about God through him.

Yahowah is equally enthralled with Dowd and infinitely more in love with him. And that is why He is so averse to Shim'own / Peter and Sha'uwl / Paul, the men most responsible for abusing his Son's reputation. With the House of Christianity eradicated, Yahowah's steadfast and enduring love for Dowd will never be extinguished. And that is the message of the prophecy that has had the greatest influence on my life with Yah. I welcome it into yours.

But no matter what you choose, please disregard this man's contrarian mantra...

"Israelite (Israelites) men (andros), listen to (akouo) these words (logos): Iesou (INY – placeholder for Iesou which became Jesus in the 17^{th} century) the Nazarene (Nazoraios), is a man (andros) who publicly demonstrated (apodeiknymi) to (eis) you (sy) that he is from (apo) Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos – god) using supernatural powers and abilities (dynamis) to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance (teras). These are signs (semeion) which (hos) Theos | God ($\Theta\Sigma$) performed (poieomai) through (dia) him (autos) in your midst (mesos) as (kathos) you know (oida). (Acts 2:22)

He was appointed to (horizo) the prearranged and prophetic (prognosis) plan and purpose (boule) of Theos

 $(\Theta\Sigma)$, he was betrayed and given over (ekdotos) to (dia) the hand (cheir) of the Towrahless (anomos) who chose to take control and lift him up (anaireo), affixing him (prospegnumi). (Acts 2:23)

Theos $(\Theta\Omega)$ restored him to life and raised him (anistamai), releasing and dismissing him (lou) from the suffering and pain (odin) of death (thanatos) because (kathoti) it was not (ou) possible (dynatos) for him to exist (eimi) held and influenced (krateo) by (hypo) these things. (Acts 2:24)

For (gar - because) David $(\Delta \Delta - a \text{ placeholder for Dowd using the Greek transliteration } Dauid)$ said (lego) of (eis) him (autos), 'I foresee (proorao) the $Kurios \mid Lord$ $(K\Omega)$ in front of (enopion) me (ego) always (pas) on my behalf (dia) because (hoti) from (ek - out of) his right hand (dexios) I will not be $(me \ eimi)$ shaken or swayed (saleuomai). $(Acts \ 2:25)$

Therefore (dia), my heart (kardia) rejoices (euphrainomai), and my tongue (glossa) delights (agalliao) that also (eti) my flesh (sarkos) will abide and endure (kataskenoo) as a result of (epi) a confident and trusting expectation (elpis). (Acts 2:26)

Because (hoti) my soul (psyche) will not (ou) be utterly forsaken and totally abandoned (egkataleipo) in Hades (hades). Neither (oude) will Your Holy One (hosios) be allowed (didomi) to see (horao) decay and decomposition (diaphthora). (Acts 2:27)

Reveal and make known to (gnorizo) me (ego) the way (hodos) to a complete (pleroo) life (zoe) of joy and gladness (euphrosyne) in proximity to (meta) Your presence (prosopon).' (Acts 2:28)

Gentlemen (andros), brothers (adelphos), it is possible (exesti) after all to say (eipon) to you in open public discourse, enjoying freedom of speech (parresia)

with regard to (pros) and concerning (peri) the patriarch (patriaches) David $(\Delta\Delta - placeholder)$ for Dauid, a Greek transliteration for Dowd), that (hoti) he both died (teleutao) and was buried (thapto), and his tomb (mnema) exists (eimi) among (en) us, even until (achri) this (houtos) day (hemera). (Acts 2:29)

"Being (hyparcho) therefore (oun) a prophet (prophetes), and knowing (oida) that (hoti) Theos | God $(\Theta\Sigma)$ swore (omnuo) an oath (horkos) that from out (ek) of the fruit (darpos) of his loins (osphys), would sit (kathizo) upon (epi) His (autos) throne (thronos), he foresaw (proorao) and spoke (laleo) about (peri) the rising up and standing upright (anastasis) of the Christon (XPN – placeholder for Christon, which became Christ), because namely (hoti), he was not (oute) left behind and abandoned so as to remain (egkataleipo) at (eis) Hades (hades), nor (oute) did his flesh (sarx) see (horao) decomposition (diaphthora)." (Acts 2:30-31)

And there we have it, right from the ass's mouth. All it took was a couple of errant citations misappropriated from the Prophets to do away with Dowd so that Iesou could bear his credentials. In this way, with these words, the myth of Replacement Foolology was forged. And all who believed him died.

ያለሕ ተ

Twistianity
V4: Incredible
...Faith is for Fools

7 Fool's Folly

No greater unlove than this...

It takes a swamp to create a religion. So, moving on to the next rat in this one, we find that Sha'uwl / Paul played the same losing hand.

His narrative begins with a revealing and incriminating name. Not only is Sha'uwl synonymous with She'owl in Hebrew, King Sha'uwl's propensity to twist the Towrah while being demon-possessed and attacking Dowd makes Israel's first king a harbinger of Christianity's foremost apostle. Moreover, it was under Sha'uwl's given name that Yahowah prophesied against him, calling him the "Plague of Death" in Chabaquwq and the "Father of Lies" in Dowd's Mizmowr.

What we are about to read lies at the heart of the Christian fable. It is among the most reprehensible things man has ever spoken or written against Yahowah and His Son, His People and Towrah. It is worse than Galatians, worse than Romans, worse even than Corinthians and Timothy.

Paul's inaugural bout of anti-Semitism, leading to Replacement Foolology, was presented in Acts 13, with Sha'uwl telling Luke what he wanted his publicist to disseminate. So, while he was not present, and did not even know Paul at this early date, Luke would later become Paul's attaché, promoter, propagandist, and personal healer. Luke would write for Sha'uwl, not Yahowah. The same is true of Mark and Matthew.

The presentation of Sha'uwl's first recorded speech comes immediately after the self-proclaimed apostle claimed to have blinded a man who, like himself, he said was demon-possessed. We will consider that story in a moment, as it is germane to Sha'uwl's transition from being Jewish and speaking Hebrew to appealing to Greeks by writing in their language as a Roman.

As the 12th chapter of Acts comes to a close, "Paul" is still known by and is acting under his Hebrew name, *Sha'uwl* | Saul. This is particularly telling because, at the time, he had just returned from his scathing indictment before the disciples in *Yaruwshalaim* | Jerusalem. It wasn't until after he had been rejected by them that he would seek to reboot his fledgling credibility by altering his identity – something which became epidemic in Christendom.

The 13th chapter of Luke's Acts of the Apostles opens with *Sha'uwl* | Saul sailing to the Greek island of Cyprus in the manner of Odysseus. It was there we are told that he elected to do the last thing one would expect from a credible witness: He replaced his given name, the one Yahowah cited to condemn him in *Chabaquwq* | Habakkuk and in the *Mizmowr* | Psalms. He did so to avoid the stigma of these horrendous prophecies. But more than this, Paulos was now a Roman appealing to Greeks, using their language, customs, philosophy, and gods.

His Latin moniker, Paulos, would more closely identify him with Apollo – the Greek Father of the Gods. That is telling because, at the time, Cyprus was best known for male sexual tourism and producing effigies of Apollo, rife with allusions to the sun.

According to Luke, Sha'uwl's transformation from Jew to Roman began upon "encountering a certain magician, a Jewish false prophet whose name was Bar-Jesus." (Acts 13:6) As is the case with almost everything that follows in Acts, this meeting did not occur. It is a lie

from beginning to end. Paul and Luke were in cahoots (along with Mark and Timothy).

This alleged encounter was contrived by Luke to develop Paul's mystique. The story, like Luke's fanciful fable of "John the Baptist," replete with childish tales of dancing embryos, was among Luke's earliest pieces of fiction. These novel accounts are only rivaled by Luke's portrayal of Sha'uwl's encounter with Stephen the Martyr (Acts 7:54-60) – which was also a myth.

The three stories, while not as well told, are less credible than Homer's presentation of Odysseus' storied journey as he battled against the Greek pantheon in the Odyssey. And that is particularly telling because the Odyssey contained many of the same elements found in Paul's prodigious role throughout the Acts of the Apostles: a long journey recounted by the hero of the story in the first person, his testing and claims of divine inspiration, his arrogance and deception, his harrowing escapes replete with curses and miracles, even the aid of the Charis, as one man single-handedly challenged the gods (Zeus, Helios, Circe, and Athena to name a few), engendering their ire. Odysseus' chief antagonist was Circe, a mean-spirited sorceress who turned men into swine. This is especially telling because the Christian Church is transliterated from the name of this demonic goddess.

Just as Virgil's Aeneid drew from Homer's Odyssey to create the Roman utopia envisioned by Augustus with religion and politics inextricably intertwined, Luke and Acts were written in the style of the Greek and Roman epics and borrowed heavily from both. For example, it is only in Luke and Acts that supernatural beings interact with men in the fashion of the Odyssey and Aeneid. Angels make proclamations, open doors, provide directions, and remove chains.

But there may be far more to this literary connection

with ancient Greece. Mark, who was deliberately solicited and then groomed to be Paul's means to a Gospel, seems to have conceived elements of his story by placing Iesous in the role of Odysseus. Both epics begin by summoning an oracle, Homer by citing the Muse and Mark by misappropriating Isaiah. In both accounts, the son's patrimony would be confirmed by a god in the form of a bird – in Mark's case a dove. This confirmation prepares Odysseus and then Jesus to face an enemy in the very next scene: Telemachus for the former and Satan with the latter. Both would be tested and suffer. Both are carpenters. Both would seek to be welcomed home to restore the rightful kingdom of their fathers.

Mark, like his mentor, Paul, sought to malign and marginalize the disciples. In addition to the traitorous acts of Judas and the betrayals of Peter and John, the disciples were presented as an embarrassing band of nitwits, both cowardly and greedy. This is reminiscent of the way Odysseus was plagued with an unfaithful and dimwitted crew who displayed rather tragic flaws. Like Jesus' cast of characters, Odysseus' colleagues asked foolish questions and never seemed to understand what the hero represented in the context of the nation and its people.

In one account, the crew are sailors, while in the other, they are a band of fishermen who make their living in boats. Peter, like Eurylochus, challenged the doomsday predictions of his master to his own peril. Both were accused of being under the influence of an evil demon. Both broke their vows. Beyond Peter, the depiction of James and John, the sons of Zebedee, appears rooted in the legends associated with Castor and Pollux, the Sons of Thunder.

Mark's Jesus, similar to Odysseus, could never reveal his true identity because his home was rife with greedy suitors and murderous usurpers. And while the abrupt conclusion of Mark 16:6 stops short of affording the hero the opportunity to prove his resurrection – both Odysseus and Jesus are said to have journeyed to Hades and returned alive.

Allusions to the Odyssey and the conclusion of the Iliad explain some of the most enigmatic aspects of Mark's Gospel, from the disciples being inept and cowardly to Jesus' recurring appeals to secrecy. There are similarities between Penelope's suitors and the Jewish authorities. There is even a parallel between Circe, Odysseus' demonic foe, and the demon Jesus battled in Mark 5.

Jesus' transfiguration appears preceded by Odysseus projecting himself before his son. Both stories entertain readers with the heroes engaging with blind beggars and with their epic entries into famed cities. Jesus' anointing by a woman in Mark 13-14 owes much to Odysseus being anointed by Eurycleia. In both cases, the woman was the only one to recognize the hero.

And Jesus' prayer to avoid his execution at Gethsemane appears to be modeled after the conclusion of Odyssey, in which Odysseus enjoys a "last supper" with Circe before sailing to Hades. And in the matter of Jesus' death, Mark may have drawn inspiration from Homer's Iliad. Jesus imitates Achilles in his prediction of his imminent demise but, otherwise, resembles Hector, both of whom endure a violent death. Especially telling, their corpses were rescued for burial. It is by Priam in the Iliad and Joseph of Arimathea in Mark. To some extent, the young man at the tomb, on what would become known as Easter sunrise in Mark, emulates Elpenor from the Odyssey.

Of note regarding this fanciful tale of Paul's alleged confrontation with Bar-Jesus, we learn that Mark's account of "John the Baptist" may have been influenced by Homer's depiction of the death of Agamemnon. Mark's story of "John the Baptist's" execution has no basis in fact,

and yet by implicating women, it draws heavily from the Odyssey. Further, both times Mark has Jesus feeding the multitudes there are parallels to the two feasts presented in Odyssey 3 and 4. While the justification is not as convincing, Jesus' ability to walk on water imitates, to some extent, Hermes who scampers "o'er the seas" with "flying feet" in the Iliad.

While many of these connections are obvious, Professor Dennis MacDonald's *The Homeric Epics and the Gospel of Mark*, published by Yale University in 2000, takes this much further than I have done. His book includes the following side-by-side comparison:

Iliad 24:

"Priam, king of Troy, sets out at night to rescue the body of his son, Hector, from his murderer, Achilles. The journey was dangerous. He entered Achilles' abode and asked for the body of Hector. Achilles was amazed that Priam dared to enter his home. Achilles sent two soldiers to get the ransom, and summoned maidservants to 'wash and anoint him'. Hector's body had been saved from desecration. 'So when the maids had bathed and anointed the body sleek with olive oil and wrapped it round and round in a braided battle-shirt and handsome battle-cape, then Achilles himself lifted it and placed it upon a bier'. [Hector's bones would be placed in an ossuary, buried in the ground, and covered with stones.] [Priam left with the body at night and brought it to Troy for a fitting burial.] Cassandra was the first to see Priam coming with the bier in the wagon. Three women led in the lament: Andromache, Hecuba, and Helen. After elaborate preparations, they burned Hector's body at dawn."

Mark 15:42-16:2:

"When it was late, and since it was the day of Preparation, that is, the day before the sabbath, Joseph of Arimathea, a distinguished member of the council, who

was also himself waiting expectantly for the kingdom of God, dared to go to Pilate and asked for the body of Jesus. Then Pilate was amazed that he might already be dead; and summoning the centurion, he asked him whether he had been dead for some time. [A woman earlier had anointed Jesus.] When he learned from the centurion that he was dead, he granted the body to Joseph. [Jesus' rapid death and burial saved the corpse from desecration.] Then Joseph bought a linen cloth and, taking down the body, wrapped it in the linen cloth and placed it in a tomb that had been hewn out of rock. He then rolled a stone against the door of the tomb. Mary Magdalene and Mary, the mother of Jesus saw where the body was laid. When the sabbath was over, Mary Magdalene and Mary, the mother of James, and Salome bought spices, so that they might go and anoint him. And very early on the first day of the week, when the sun had risen, they went to the tomb."

Other parallels are even more conclusive, albeit less significant to the historian than to the literary critic. For example, the parable of the Wicked Tenants told in Mark 12:1-12, along with the famous phrase, "for you do not know when the master of the house will come" revealed in Mark 13:34-5, evokes the account of Odysseus returning in disguise to surprise the suitors who have turned his house into a den of sin.

Other examples include an explanation for something that would otherwise make no sense in the Gospel of Mark. Why do the chief priests need Judas to identify Jesus in order to arrest him? They had debated him numerous times and in person. His triumphal entry into Jerusalem was exceedingly public. However, if MacDonald is correct, then Judas was modeled after Melanthius, which resolves this puzzle.

Melanthius, whose name means "the Dark Deity," is the servant who betrays Odysseus and even fetches arms for the suitors to fight him. This is reminiscent of how Judas brought armed guards to arrest Jesus. And since Odysseus' antagonists, known as suitors in the Odyssey, were unable to recognize him, Odysseus identifies himself upon their arrival. MacDonald also develops a comparison between the suitors and the Jewish authorities. In this regard, since Melanthius' name means "The Dark Deity," whereas Mark seems to be maligning the Jews with Judas, whose name is simply *Yahuwdah* | Judah – the kingdom of the Jews who serve Paul, Mark, Luke, and Matthew as those who sought to kill Jesus.

Similarly, why does Pilate agree to free a prisoner as if it were a Roman tradition to do so? Given Pilate's reputation for callous disregard for Jewish sentiments, it is implausible to have him promoting a tradition for which there is no evidence of any kind. But if Barabbas is understood to be Irus, Odysseus' swindling rival in the hall of the suitors, the story becomes a clever fiction. Both Irus and Barabbas were scoundrels. Irus' name was derived from the goddess, Iris, while Barabbas means "son of the father," designating him as a divine rival. Moreover, Homer reveals that Irus' actual name was Arnaeus, which means: "the Lamb."

Therefore, by using *Irus* | Arnaeus and Barabbas in this way, Mark was able to imply, among those familiar with the Odyssey, that the Jews chose the wrong "Son of the Father" and "Lamb." Rather than accept the Odysseusian "Jesus," the "dastardly Jews," according to Mark's borrowed fable, called out for the man who represented the Old Covenant and its presentation of the Messiah as a man of arms who would free and protect Israel.

In his review of The Homeric Epics and the Gospel of Mark, Richard Carrier would write: "MacDonald goes on to develop many similar points that not only scream of Homer being on Mark's mind, but also explain strange features of Mark. The list is surprisingly long: Why did Jesus, who nevertheless taught openly and performed

miracles everywhere, try to keep everything a secret? Why did Jesus stay asleep in a boat during a deadly storm? Why did Jesus drown two thousand pigs? Why does Mark invent a false story about "John the Baptist's" execution, one that implicates women? Why are the disciples surprised that Jesus can multiply food even when they had already seen him do it before? Why does Jesus curse a fig tree for not bearing fruit out of season? How does Mark know what Jesus said when he was alone at Gethsemane? What is the meaning of the mysterious naked boy at Jesus' arrest? Why does Mark never once mention Mary Magdalene, or the other two women at the crucifixion, or even Joseph of Arimathea, until after Jesus has died? Why is the temple veil specifically torn top to bottom at Jesus' death? Why is Joseph of Arimathea able to procure the body of a convict so soon from Pilate? Why do we never hear of Joseph of Arimathea again? Why does Jesus die so quickly? Why do the women go to anoint Jesus after he is buried? Why do they go at dawn, rather than the previous night when the Sabbath had already ended? All these mysteries are explained by the same, single thesis. This is a sign of a good theory. With one theoretical concept, not only countless parallels are identified, but numerous oddities are explained."

Richard Carrier would conclude..."MacDonald's book is built like a crescendo: as one reads on, the cases not only accumulate, they actually get better and better, clearer and clearer. In the story of the Gerasene swine (Mark 5), MacDonald finds that 18 verses have thematic parallels in the Odyssey, 13 of those in exactly the same order! And even with some of those out of order, the order is not random but is inverted, and thus a connection remains evident.

"In the story of Salome and the execution of John, MacDonald finds seven thematic parallels with the Murder of Agamemnon, all of them in the same order, and on top of that he details two other general parallels. And the two food miracles, forming a doublet in Mark, contain details that match a similar doublet of feasts in the Odyssey, and contain them in the same respective order: 'Details in the [first] story of Nestor's feast not found in the [second] story of Menelaus appear in the [first] feeding of the five thousand and not in its twin,' while 'details in the [second] story of Menelaus not found in the [first] story of Nestor appear in the [second] feeding of the four thousand and not in the first story,' so that 'the chances of these correspondences deriving from accident are slim.'"

"MacDonald finds more than 11 parallels between Mark's account of the crucifixion and the death of Hector, all but one of those in the same order (and that one exception is in inverted order), and 11 more parallels between Mark's account of the burial of Jesus and Homer's account of the burial of Hector, all in the same order. It is notable that resurrection [anastasis in the Greek epic] was a theme in the Iliad: the concept appears three times, twice in declarations of its impossibility, once in a metaphor for Hector's survival of certain death. It thus contained a fitting challenge that Mark was happy to answer with a simple prose epic that everywhere flaunted the fact that anastasis was, indeed, possible and real. While Hector, Elpenor, and Patroclus were all burned and buried at dawn, the tomb of Jesus was empty at dawn; while the Iliad and Odyssey were epics about mortality, the Gospel was an epic about immortality."

Homer served as the textbook for primary Greek education. Students were taught to imitate his style and prose, and many could cite his fables from memory. He advanced the ideals of Greek heroism along with the integration of politics and religion as influential individuals vied for position and power among the gods. In this light, much of Mark's account, which later became the basis of Luke and then Matthew, was designed to present Jesus as

a superior alternative to Odysseus. And in this light, we ought not be surprised to find that several of the oldest codices of the Gospels were written over much older and faded texts of the Iliad and Odyssey.

Returning to the errant portrayal which led us to explore the similarities between the Iliad and Odyssey and early Christian literature, I had objected to Luke's contention that Sha'uwl had "encountered a certain magician, a Jewish false prophet whose name was Bar-Jesus." (Acts 13:6) Written *Iesous* in the Greek text, this name appears to have been used by Christians to replace Yahowsha' – a name they borrowed and then altered from Moseh's successor, Yahowsha' ben Nuwn. Further, bar is the Aramaic variation of ben | son in Hebrew. Therefore, Sha'uwl was being linguistically dyslexic, calling the Yahuwdy | Jew, who allegedly confronted him, "Son of Yahowsha'." However, if neither Sha'uwl nor Luke could write the Hebrew name, Yahowsha', meaning Yahowah Delivers, Liberates, and Saves, correctly, why did they replace it with the Greek monikers, Iesou, Iesous, and Iesoun many hundreds of times throughout their New Testament. And if they couldn't get this man's Hebrew name right, what is the likelihood that they accurately conveyed their Lord's name?

But it gets worse because the self-proclaimed apostle further tarnished his credibility by claiming, "But Elymas the magician, for thus his name is translated, was opposing them, seeking to turn the proconsul away for the faith." (Acts 13:8)

"Elymas" is not a translation of Yahowsha', which means "Yahowah Delivers, Frees, Saves." In Hebrew, 'El is God's title and the "y" following it makes it "my God." Ma'as, transliterated simply as mas, means "to reject, despise, and abhor, finding loathsome." So rather than being a translation of Yahowsha', 'Elymas conveys "My God Despises and Rejects Me," thereby serving as Paul's

epitaph, telling all who would actually bother to "translate" it that Yahowsha's "God rejected, despised, and abhorred" Paul. And that may explain why Paul was opposed to Bar Yahowsha', also known as 'Elymas. So indeed, Sha'uwl may have drifted away because all of his oars were not in the water.

Consistent with this confession, and as we are aware, Sha'uwl announced in the closing chapter of 2^{nd} Corinthians that the spirit that possessed him was from Satan. And that means that his spirit was "*choly* – diseased, afflicting, and sickening," not holy.

"But Saul, who was also Paul, filled with the Holy Spirit, fixed his gaze upon him, and said, 'You who are full of all deceit and fraud, you son of the Devil, you enemy of all righteousness, will you not cease to make crooked the straight ways of the Lord?" (Acts 13:9-10)

Yahowah will identify Sha'uwl as the Son of Evil and the Father of Lies in the 89th *Mizmowr* / Psalm. And through the prophet *Chabaquwq* / Habakkuk, God revealed that Sha'uwl would be fraudulent and deceitful. Aware of Yahowah's assessment, Sha'uwl not only changed his name to escape scrutiny, but the Son of Satan also concocted this story to direct attention away from his identity and failings at the commencement of his ministry.

As is the case with all narcissists, Sha'uwl projected his faults onto his opponent. He is casting his own deceitful and fraudulent nature on Yahowsha'. The newly-minted Paul wants his audience to view him as God's miracle worker while disassociating the promise of salvation from someone named *Yahowsha'* | Yahowah Emancipates and Saves. Paul even tossed in a little "John the Baptist" folklore with his twisted variation of the "make crooked the straight ways of the Lord." It was, of course, his Lord, the Devil, who inspired the story.

Paul's speech preceded the Gospel of Mark by two

decades or more. The reason this is relevant is because it explains why Mark would later misappropriate an errant portrayal of this prophecy: "make straight ways of the Lord." Although Yasha'yah | Isaiah attributed the line to Dowd's Herald such that Yahuwdym would be ready for his return, Mark would wrongly attribute it to "John the Baptist" to announce the arrival of the Christian "Jesus Christ." But it is just another iteration of identity theft. Further, there was no "John the Baptist," and to the degree baptism existed at the time, it was part of the Sibylline Oracles and had nothing to do with Dowd, the Messiah, Son of God, Yahowah, Yisra'el, or even Yahowsha'. The invention of "John the Baptist" was likely Paul's idea, as we will learn in a moment.

It is also telling that the dividing line between Dowd and Sha'uwl in the Mizmowr and Mashal is that the Son of God was "tsadaq – right and thus righteous" and the Son of Evil was "ra' – wrong." Therefore, Paul is attempting to preempt the criticism and circumvent such comparisons.

Just as Paul routinely misappropriated Yahowah's testimony to invert the truth such that it would serve his agenda, the same tactic is at play here. He was the one who was full of deceit and perpetrating a fraud. Further, by giving him the "Son of Evil" moniker, God views Sha'uwl as the Spawn of the Devil. And as the Father of Lies, Sha'uwl was the enemy of all things right.

It is further telling that Paul's Lord would treat this man the same way he had treated Saul on the road to Damascus. "And now, behold, the hand of the Lord is upon you, and you will be blind and not see the sun for a time." (Acts 13:11) For those paying attention and not similarly blinded by their faith, for those who can see the light, this serves as the perfect introduction to what follows. The consummate liar was calling the truth "incorrect."

And speaking of lies and liars, I checked a score of

Christian sites to see how they explained the obvious error in Acts 13:6-8, where Elymas was called a translation of Bar-Jesus. Without exception, they lied to their audience and wrote "Elymas is the Aramaic translation of Jesus." It is a case of the deceptively dishonest deviants on behalf of their duplicitous despot trying desperately to prevent their disingenuous faith from being dismissed as deceitful.

The plethora of deliberate deceptions presented in conjunction with the announcement that Sha'uwl would write and preach under a different name would have caused the religious to question their faith if they were rational. The fact that Luke's introduction to Paul, one which serves as the preamble to his first public address, is so obviously riddled with inaccuracies that it should have been sufficient to still the faith before it began.

A methodical and thoughtful review of the absurdity of these introductory comments affirms that Paul and Luke were in cahoots, and that their intent was to mislead. A man named *Yahowsha'* | Yahowah Liberates, Delivers, and Saves has been cast as the adversary, as a duplicitous and demonic figure, who is now under the watchful eye and debilitating control of Paul and his Lord.

While this encounter never occurred, it was concocted by the Devil's Advocates as a preemptive strike. It was designed to disarm Sha'uwl's foes and present Paul as the new sheriff in town. He, without listening to a word, had the ability to peer into a man's soul and the authority to judge him. And he had the power to block the sun, which is to forestall life and preclude enlightenment. Moreover, he could accomplish all of this by weaving a web of lies in conjunction with his Lord and no one would be the wiser.

This, and almost everything that follows, is so rife with deliberate dishonesty, so utterly invalid and irrational, it is as if Satan and his Apostles are thumbing their collective noses at God. They are, in essence, declaring: *These people*

You have created are so senseless and gullible we can rob them of their souls and they will condemn You and praise us in the process. Give up on them. Let us toy with them because they are not worthy of Your attention. They will revel in our lies and worship us.

The story of his initial sermon, as Paul regaled it to Luke, begins: "From Paphos, Paul and his companions sailed to Perga in Pamphylia, where John left them to return to Jerusalem. (Acts 13:13) From Perga they went on to Pisidian Antioch. On the Sabbath they entered the synagogue and sat down." (Acts 13:14)

Antioch had once been part of Midas' Phrygian kingdom before the territory was integrated into the Macedonian Empire. By this time, it was included within the Galatian province of Rome. The city of Pisidian was founded by Caesar Augustus. As a result, the Temple of Augustus rose high above the community whose ruins now lie within central Turkey.

During Sha'uwl's "First Missionary Journey," the local population worshiped Cybele as the goddess who governed many aspects of a Roman's life (fertility and castration, immortality and self-mutilation, while serving as a protectress and healer), along with Dionysus, the prototype for the Pauline "*Iesou Christo* – Jesus Christ." This known, you would never find the Messiah in such a tawdry place – one that would be renamed Hadrianopolis – after the most anti-Semitic and murderous of all Romans. There is no doubt that Paul influenced the city in his typical malignant fashion – as his toxic stench still permeates the region.

A question, however, lingers. Why would Sha'uwl enter a synagogue after agreeing to limit his preaching to the uncircumcised, giving the circumcised to Peter? Either Paul's written assurances in Galatians were untrustworthy or Sha'uwl was there to pick a fight. And if his word wasn't

reputable, why would anyone trust his letters?

In addition, why is Paul observing the Shabat? Was this so early in his ministry that he had not yet begun to rail against the Towrah? And if so, then wouldn't Paul's position against the Towrah be one that evolved over time as a reaction to his antagonists, instead of as a result of Divine inspiration as he claimed?

"After the reading from the Law (actually: *Towrah* | Teaching) and the Prophets, the leaders of the synagogue sent word to them, saying, 'Brothers, if you have a word of exhortation for the people, please speak." (Acts 13:15)

"Standing up, Paul motioned with his hand and said: 'Fellow Israelites and you Gentiles who worship God (actually: those who know Yahowah understand that He does not want to be worshiped), listen to me! (therein is the problem: Paul wanted everyone to listen to him)." (Acts 13:16)

Like Peter and Muhammad, Paul was a self-loathing Jew. He hated his people, and yet he wanted them to accept him. This condition was likely the result of his father spurning him and then of him failing rabbinical school. He had a chip on his shoulder and a hole in his heart as big as all Yisra'el. And it was when his people rejected him, that he turned against them, becoming a psychopath.

Unfortunately for Sha'uwl, most Jews were typically smarter and better informed than him, which irritated Paul immensely. It is why he used logical fallacies to confuse them, including a constant profusion of ad hominem assaults. It is also why he turned his attention to "Gentiles." They were ignorant of the Towrah, and they were thus much easier to fool. And that is the purpose of this little adventure.

Trying to keep it real, those considered "Gentiles" have always been few and far between in Jewish religious

circles. Even today, Gowym conversions are frowned upon and typically demeaned and disregarded. Religious Jews are a horrible lot, as lost as was Paul. Their endorsement would have been meaningless even if it had been offered.

Moreover, had there been a *gowy* or *gowym* in the synagogue, they would have chafed at being called "Gentiles." *Gentilis* is a Latin word, and thus Roman nomenclature to describe "men of family, persons belonging to the same kin and country." It was used to address "pagans who were not Romans." Based upon the Latin meaning, it was actually the Yisra'elites who were "*Gentilis* – not of Rome." Paul was, therefore, seeking to convey a distinction where none existed.

As a direct result of Paul's first public address here in Acts, the Roman Catholic | Universal Church assumed that they were now Yisra'el with regard to every promise and prophecy. According to Paul and the Church, Rome had become Yisra'el, and Yisra'el had become the *Gentilis*. Such is the nature of Replacement Theology.

I typically translate *gowy* and *gowym* as "ethnicities estranged from and nations distinct from Yisra'el, comprised of pagan peoples" and sometimes add, "who are dead men walking." But should you wonder why I have included "gentile" within translations on occasion, it is because the word has evolved to mean "not of Israel." But at the time Paul wielded it before this audience, that was not what it meant. And so, it is important that we ponder the reason he created this distinction.

In typical fashion, Sha'uwl blended right with wrong... "The God of the people of Israel chose our ancestors; he made the people prosper (actually: they were impoverished by drought initially and lived as exiles before being enslaved) during their stay in Egypt; with mighty power he led them out of that country." (Acts 13:17)

Yahowah is so vested in Yisra'el, He refers to Himself

as the "God of Yisra'el" and as the "God of 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob." He does not, however, refer to Himself as "the God of the people of Israel." The reason is because while Yisra'el represents a noble paradigm, that of Engaging and Enduring with God, the people comprising the nation have typically been hostile toward God.

It is surprising that Paul got so much of his declaration of the Yisra'elites in Mitsraym wrong. During their "stay in Egypt," the Hebrews were tortured, abused, and murdered. They were as impoverished as they would ultimately be under the subjugation of the Roman Catholic Church.

Furthermore, Yahowah led His people out of Egypt with Moseh – a broken-down elderly shepherd. And the event which finally shattered Pharaoh's resolve was Passover – the Miqra' Sha'uwl never mentioned and seemed to loathe.

"For about forty years he endured their conduct in the wilderness (while accurate, this was intended to be demeaning); (Acts 13:18) and he overthrew seven nations in Canaan (neither accurate nor relevant), giving their land to his people as their inheritance (a statement which is in direct conflict with Replacement Theology)." (Acts 13:19)

If Yisra'el was given to the Chosen People by God, then how is it that the people who took it from them, the Romans, were said to be authorized by God? Is Paul's god similarly unreliable?

"All this took about 450 years (actually: the Hebrews were exiles in Egypt for 430 years, with the last 80 years as slaves, followed by another 40 years leaving Egypt during the Exodus). After this, God gave them judges until the time of Samuel the prophet." (Acts 13:20)

To be precise, Ya'aqob's son, Yowseph was sold to Yishma''elite slavers and taken to Mitsraym in 1841 BCE,

then sold again to Powtiyphar. Forty-seven years later in 1788 BCE, Yowseph's brothers entered Mitsraym, fleeing from a drought in Yisra'el. Then in 1550 BCE, 230 years later, after Pharaoh Ahmose I defeated the Hyksos who had ruled Egypt, the status of the Yisra'elites declined from non-citizens to slaves. Their condition worsened further under Pharaoh Amenhotep I in 1529 BCE as their firstborn sons were slaughtered. Yahowah's liberation of His people then commenced in 1448 BCE while Thutmose III, known as the Great, was on the throne. Beginning with Ya'aqob's arrival with his extended family in 1788 BCE to the Exodus in 1447, they had been exiles or slaves for 341 years. And they spent another 40 years wandering around in the wilderness for bad behavior. Paul's estimate was errant by 69 years, suggesting that he wasn't any better at math than he was at language.

And of course, the message that is lost on Christians is that Yahowah liberated His people, removing them from the negative consequences of religious and political control. And along the way, He not only provided His *Towrah* | Guidance, He denounced their propensity to be religious.

Further, Shamuw'el was actually the last of the Judges. It was through him, and during the transition away from Divine oversight to human governance, that Yahowah overtly condemned the political systems Paul claimed were Godly in Romans.

Recognizing that Shamuw'el was also a prophet, the fact that his testimony and Paul's statements regarding government are totally opposed to one another, Paul's claims are thereby rendered invalid. Also telling, after Yisra'el made the wrong choice, and after Sha'uwl proved to be as rotten as God has predicted, Yahowah asked Shamuw'el to anoint Dowd as His *Mashyach* | Messiah – something Paul failed to mention because it voids his argument.

"Then the people asked for a king, and he gave them Saul the son of Kish (actually: the people rejected Yahowah when they chose Sha'uwl), of the tribe of Benjamin, who ruled forty years." (Acts 13:21) It was audacious for *this* Sha'uwl, to mention *that* Sha'uwl because the king's conflict with the Towrah, his testimony, name, tribe, and demon possession were prophetically presented to condemn Sha'uwl/Paul—the self-proclaimed apostle to the world.

The length of Sha'uwl's reign is not known. The oldest surviving Hebrew text does not say, the Septuagint claims forty-two years, and it appears to have been twenty-five years when calculated using other references. Further, while there are extant Dead Sea Scroll readings for most of Shamuw'el, the 13th chapter of 1 Samuel is missing.

The story of King *Sha'uwl* | Saul is a harbinger of the Apostle *Sha'uwl* | Paul. They have the same name, are from the same tribe, and are both Towrah-averse, demonpossessed, and deadly. And both Sha'uwls sought to disparage Dowd, Yahowah's Son and Messiah.

Keep in mind that the transition away from the Judges to a king was among the most cathartic episodes in the Towrah and Prophets. God stated that, by choosing to do so, the people were rejecting Him. And yet there is not so much as a hint of the anguish underlying this transition in Paul's oratory. He did not even bother to mention that King Sha'uwl took his own life, committing suicide before being beheaded by the Philistines.

The following statements regarding *Dowd* | David are untrue. Yahowah chose Dowd 22 years before removing Sha'uwl. Further, one of the many reasons that Dowd serves as the embodiment of the Covenant and the antidote for Sha'uwl's religion is because he did not do everything Yahowah wanted. In this way, Dowd proved that a person does not have to "obey" every aspect of the Towrah to be

seen as right with God.

"After removing (actually: rejecting) Saul, he made David (more correctly, Dowd) their king. God testified concerning him: 'I have found David son of Jesse, a man after my own heart; he will do everything I want him to do." (Acts 13:22)

That is a whopper of a deception, so typical of Paul. He began by blending truth and lies and then added his own deceptive embellishments. Keeping in mind that King Sha'uwl is prophetic of the Christian Sha'uwl, the text actually reads:

"So Shamuw'el said to Sha'uwl, 'You have acted foolishly. You have not observed the conditions of the relationship of Yahowah, your God, which He appointed for you." (Shamuw'el / Listen to Him / 1 Samuel 13:13) "So now your kingdom shall not stand.

Yahowah has sought for Himself a man whose inclinations and judgment are similar to His own. And Yahowah has appointed him leader over His people because you have not closely examined or carefully considered that which, to reveal the benefits of the relationship, Yahowah established for you." (Shamuw'el / Listen to Him / 1 Samuel 13:14)

Shamuw'el's inspired testimony is a far cry from: "he will do everything I want him to do." It was not a careless mistake. *Shamar*, which means "closely examined and carefully considered," is the same word Christians misrepresent as "keep" when directed toward the Towrah, creating the false impression that it is comprised of "Laws" that must be "obeyed." And while it is a small point among big issues, while Dowd was the son of Yshay, it was not stated in conjunction with this conversation, nor relevant to it, and thus was inappropriately and incorrectly cited.

In truth, Yshay | Jesse was a dunderhead. He did not

contribute anything of value to the story. Sha'uwl was using him to imply that "David" had inferior parentage compared to his *Iesou* | "Jesus," who he errantly presented as God's son, inverting their roles.

"From this man's descendants God has brought to Israel the Savior Jesus (actually: the title "Savior" is afforded to Dowd by Yahowah), as he promised." (Acts 13:23) Yahowah made no promises regarding "Jesus" or of any descendant beyond his son, Shalomoh. The names Iesou and Jesus are never mentioned in prophecy – ever!

From Paul's perspective, Dowd's lone contribution to Yahowah's plan was sperm. That is about as demeaning as it gets. Moreover, having revisited the prophetic pronouncement in *Shamuw'el* / 2 Samuel 7, to which he is inferring, we now know that the mythical misnomer Jesus Christ was neither mentioned, identified, nor promised in the text. Paul has misrepresented the facts ten times and has now lied thrice in his initial public address. Hitler was a more accurate communicator.

While it is a gnat among camels, Yisra'el did not exist at the time, only Yahuwdah. The ten tribes comprising the Northern Kingdom had been taken from the land and enslaved by the Assyrians six hundred years earlier. Further, Gospel Jesus' affiliation with Dowd was through *Yahuwdym* | Jews – the very people this Benjamite wants to destroy.

"Before the coming of Jesus (actually: no one by that name lived in the 1st century CE), John (actually: Yahowchanan if he existed at all) preached repentance and baptism (actually: he didn't preach baptism and he did not speak of repentance) to all the people of Israel (at the time the ten tribes comprising Yisra'el were long gone)." (Acts 13:24) When someone claims to speak for God, accuracy is paramount. Paul is consistently careless and routinely incorrect.

This statement is the first indication of a person named "John the Baptist." It is also the first time baptism is mentioned in association with Christianity. It is the initial presentation of "repentance" in conjunction with "Jesus" as well. These are all Paul's ideas, and they are all wrong. Paul is wholly culpable to the extent they are repeated in Mark, Luke, and Matthew.

Let's start at the beginning. There was no one named "Jesus." The notion of a misnomer arriving with Dowd's titles is as ignorant as it is irrational.

There was no "away in a manger," no "wise men," and no "star of Bethlehem." For that matter, there was no "Nazareth" either. There was no birthday celebration on winter solstice, and thus not on Christmas. There was no baptism by John or anyone else. The Set-Apart Spirit did not fly down in the form of a dove. There was no voice from heaven announcing pride in a son – although according to Paul, his god was plenty chatty – so was Constantine's.

All of this was concocted by the Devil's Advocate and his pals to replace Dowd with Jesus, to make it appear as if Jesus were God, to replace circumcision with baptism, and then replace "all of the people of Israel" with the Gentile Church.

This speech coincides with the inscriptions found in Galatians, Paul's first letter, one written shortly after the Jerusalem Summit in 50 CE. Mark, whom Paul would coopt to compose the first "Gospel" was a decade away from being under his spell. Luke, Paul's other coconspirator, would write next, incorporating fifty percent of Mark's material into his work of fiction. And in this regard, one of the most expansive retellings between one and the other revolves around developing a narrative surrounding "John the Baptist" that would have made a Greek god proud. He would have his god assisting in his mother's conception,

angelic announcements, family ties with Iesou, and babies seeing through their mother's wombs to bounce with joy during an embryonic embrace. It's enough to make one's heart flutter.

So, what are we to make of Paul's introduction of baptism here in this public address and then again in Galatians (3:27-29)? Since he has not demonstrated much creativity, how did he come upon the idea of replacing circumcision with baptism? He did not get it from the Towrah – that's for certain.

The answer is found in the Sibylline Oracles. Then Sibyls were Greek prophetesses who uttered revelations concerning the machinations of the Greek pantheon while intoxicated. The originals were lost in a fire on the order of Roman General Flavius Stilicho in the 4th century CE. Two hundred years later, in the 6th century, a new edition was published, presenting an odd pastiche of Hellenistic and Roman mythology interspersed with Jewish, Gnostic, and early Christian legends – some foreshadowing the Book of Revelation. As such, the Sibylline Oracles are considered to be among the best sources of information regarding the origin of Christian beliefs in the 1st century, especially as they were flavored by Hellenistic Jewish myths and Gnostic notions. They were preserved, cherished, and copied by Christian writers in Alexandria – which not-socoincidentally is the same place every extant codex of the Christian New Testament was scribed from the late 1st through early 4th centuries – without exception. They were initially written by Jews deploying the motifs of Homer and then adapted to Christian purposes. Sound familiar?

Affirmation of their existence at this time, their popularity, and thus their availability to Paul, was provided by the Jewish historian Flavius Josephus in the late 1st century. Christian apologist Athenagoras of Athens (perfect name for a Christian) cited the Oracles in writing *A Plea for the Christians* to Marcus Aurelius circa 176 CE,

inclusive of references to Homer and a litany of Roman gods. Christian Church Fathers, the likes of Justin Martyr (ca. 150), Theophilus, the Bishop of Antioch (ca. 180), Clement of Alexandria (ca. 200), Lactantius (ca. 305), and St. Augustine in the *City of God* (ca. 400), not only quoted from them but were all willing to Christianize them, inserting the phrase "the Son of God" in the place of the gods of Greek and Roman mythology.

The Oracles began with Jews blending stories from the Towrah with Greek mythology but grew to become Christian propaganda. It should also be known that both Theophilus and Clement claimed that the Sibyl prophetess was inspired in the manner of the "Old Testament" prophets. Their claims should not be surprising because there is a tremendous affinity between Mark and the Sibylline Oracles and an even greater one with Matthew.

The Sibylline Oracles were likely the wellspring from which Paul's anti-Semitism was perceived as credible. The responsibility for the scourging is explicitly stated: it is Israel: "Then indeed Israel, with abominable lips and poisonous spitting, will give this man blows." (Sib Or 1:365–366) This anti-Semitic rhetoric appears earlier, in lines 360–361: "And then Israel, intoxicated, will not perceive, nor yet will she hear, afflicted with weak ears."

In Book 1 (pp 362–363), the Sibyls announce that "when the raging wrath of the Most High comes upon the Hebrews, it will also take faith away from them." Paul will say the same thing in this very speech. Then in an accusation intended to heighten animosity against Israel: "Impiously, smitten in breast, and heart with an evil craze, not seeing with their eyes, more blind than blind rats, more terrible than poisonous creeping beasts, shackled with heavy sleep." (Sib Or 1:360–371) In Book 6, we find: "For you alone, land of Sodom, is destined calamity. For you were malicious and did not recognize your own God when he came with mortal eyes. But you crowned him with

acanthus / thorns, and terrible gall you mixed for insult and drink. That will cause you calamity."

Then this, from the Sibyls to Paul: "For it has been again revealed that there would no longer be obedience to a temple nor to a secret law hidden behind the illusions of the world, once the eternal sovereign has come down to earth." (Book 8 v. 307–309)

It is in these Oracles that the very phrases from "Jesus" and "John the Baptist" emerge. In particular, Book 4 of the Sibylline Oracles insists on "baptism as a prerequisite of salvation" along with the "rejection of the Temple cult," providing Paul's inspiration for both.

The line Paul cited, the one applied to "John the Baptist," is introduced in Book 1, lines 408-415, along with the first reference to baptism: "But when a voice shall through the desert land, it will come bearing tiding to men, and to all shall call to make straight paths, and cast wickedness out and illuminate with water all the bodies of mankind, that being born again they may no longer go astray from what is righteous." It is literally right out of his first speech and letter. Paul plagiarized the Sibylline Oracles.

This is followed by: "And on it shall the Hebrew people stumble." And: "But when the maddening wrath of the Most High shall come upon the Hebrews, and take faith away from them, because they slew the Son of the Heavenly God. Then also with foul lips shall Israel give him cuffs and spittle drugged. And gall for good and vinegar unmixed for drink will they with evil madness smitten...more blind than moles, more terrible than crawling poisonous beasts." (Book 1 v. 440-45) We will read these lines later in Paul's sermon.

A few verses later: "So then also shall the Temple of Solomon come to an end as a mighty sign for men, when he shall go to the house of Hades, proclaiming resurrection for the dead. But when in three days he shall come again, unto the light, and show his form to men. And teach all things, ascending in the clouds, unto the house of heaven shall he go, leaving the world a Gospel Covenant." (Book 1 v. 455-64) Yikes!

In Book 4, line 214: "Wash your whole body in perennial streams, lifting up your hands to heaven seeking a pardon for former deeds and expiate with praise bitter impiety, and God will give repentance." And this became the basis of Paul's mantra on the connection between baptism and salvation.

Then this from the opening line of Book 6 of the Sibylline Oracles: "Preexistence, incarnation, and baptizo | baptism of the Son of God...the great Son of the Immortal, from whom there is a throne to be held fast given by the Father. He was brought forth, then He raised him up according to the flesh given, washed at the mouth of the River Jordan...at first he shall see God's sweet Spirit descending with the wings of a white dove." Sound familiar? He would even take the throne away from the Messiah Dowd and give it eternally to Iesous. Rest assured; we'll consider God's assessment of this in the next chapter.

From Book 7, beginning on line 110: "As the Father did beget Thee, the Word, Father, I sent forth a bird, swift messenger of words, with holy waters, besprinkling thy *baptizo* | baptism, O Word, through which Thou didst make thyself manifest."

In Rome at the time, no prophetic oracle was more important or famous than the Sibyl. Under the inspiration of Apollo, a procession of Sibyls prophesied the future of Rome. They were memorialized in Virgil's Aeneid and later in Michelangelo's Sistine Chapel beside murals depicting the prophets of the "Old Testament."

Based upon this, and our review of the Iliad and Odyssey, it's not looking good for Pauline inspiration. But

then again, I was never fond of the dunderhead god underlying Paul's claims.

"As John was completing his work, he said: 'Who do you suppose I am? I am not the one you are looking for. But there is one coming after me whose sandals I am not worthy to untie." (Acts 13:25) It is telling that Paul omitted the one relevant citation attributed to the mythical Yahowchanan, the one we will examine from Yasha'yah / Isaiah 40. It was the closest analog to the Sibylline Oracles' pronouncement of a "voice calling out" "in the desert" "making straight the ways." The actual prophecy, coincidently, correctly points to the Herald announcing Dowd's return. As it would transpire, now Paul is stealing from me in addition to my King.

The story of "John the Baptist" was contrived to model *Iesous* | Jesus as a superior alternative to the ultimate Greek hero Odysseus and to usurp the credibility associated with the Sibylline Oracles. Paul needed a Muse to bring his characterization of God to center stage. And make no mistake, this myth was inspired by Paul, not Mark or Luke, who later embellished their Apostle's delusions. With "John the Baptist" he would kill three birds with a single stone. Jesus would be proclaimed the Son of God. He would be anointed by way of a dove. And he would be baptized with no mention of circumcision.

"Fellow children of Abraham and you God-fearing Gentiles (actually: God does not want anyone to fear Him), it is to us that this message of salvation has been sent." (Acts 13:26) Actually: Yahowah's message of reconciliation was sent through actual prophets like Moseh and Dowd, and indeed, *Yasha'yah* | Salvation is from Yahowah. Paul brought death, not restoration.

Then aligning his narrative with that found within the Sibylline Oracles, Paul proclaimed... "The people of Jerusalem and their rulers did not recognize Jesus." It is

entirely possible that many, like the 'Ebownym, recognized Dowd as the Passover Lamb, but those who did, Paul sought to kill. So did Rabbi Akiba after him.

Soon enough, I will make my case on behalf of the 'Ebyownym because, if not for them, the Sermon on the Mount and Olivet Discourse, both exceedingly anti-Christian narratives, would be unknown.

Yet in condemning him (in actuality, the Romans, not Jews, condemned the King of Yisra'el) they fulfilled the words of the prophets that are read every Sabbath." (Acts 13:27) The only "prophecies" fulfilled with regard to Paul's jaundiced narrative were attributed to the Sibyl. Christians, desperate to validate their faith, began to write for the Sibyl and created a plethora of "prophecies" which were written after the events they "predicted."

And while Dowd's sacrifice during Pesach and Matsah was vividly portrayed, since the actual Messiah plays no role in Christianity, none of them apply to the new religion. And there isn't a single prophecy from Yahowah regarding Jews condemning or killing the Passover Lamb – not one. Therefore, nothing was fulfilled in this regard that could have been read on any Shabat, much less during every Shabat. It is a bald-faced lie, one of the most egregious and costly in history.

Sometimes gross deceptions take on a life of their own. Such is the case with this one propagated by Paul. Judea was under Roman control and only the Romans had the authority to condemn someone in one of their provinces. Even the spurious and conflicting Christian accounts found in the New Testament acknowledge this reality. Had Jews judged and condemned the myth of Gospel Jesus to die, he would have been stoned, not crucified.

More to the point, it is the Lamb's express purpose to be condemned and die. Whatever the Jews may have done to facilitate this outcome should be embraced with gratitude. Had the Pesach 'Ayil not fulfilled his purpose on this day, death would be the end of life for everyone.

There are three very specific prophecies which explain the fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, two by Dowd (Psalm 22 and 88) and one in Yasha'yah (Isaiah 53). Nothing is said about Jews condemning anyone in conjunction with their fulfillment as it would have invalidated the prophecies.

This is the beginning of the greatest deception ever sold. It lies at the heart of the Christian myth. It is the reason Christians would degrade, harass, rob, torment, and murder Jews by the millions over the millennia. It is the basis of the bogus bill known as Replacement Theology. Jews would be called "Christ killers," thereby justifying the unjustifiable.

No lie has been as debilitating or as hateful. It is why Yahowah condemned Paul, calling him the Father of Lies in the 89th Psalm.

As a consequence of Paul's disingenuous premise, of fraudulently attributing his delusion to God, of him falsely accusing God's People of a crime Paul's people actually committed, and of the Roman Catholic Church believing the liar and condemning Yahuwdym for what they had not done, the Son of God and Messiah will avenge these crimes. Upon Yahowah's return with Dowd, every trace of Christianity will be obliterated with its leaders incarcerated in *She'owl* | Hell.

If you may recall, while stupefied by the idiocy of Sha'uwl's claim, *Chabaquwq's* | Habakkuk's retort was: "But God, You are eternal. We do not die."

While Romans ignorantly and savagely condemned the Passover Lamb to die, they did not kill God. This reality is brilliantly explained in Dowd's 22nd Mizmowr, which is why he wrote it of this very moment.

The symbolism of the Christian cross and crucifix, of a dead god having been tortured by the Romans, is the single most repulsive and appalling graven image man has ever contrived – and yet it is the primary symbol of mankind's most popular religion.

It appears that my assessment of Satan's thoughts was correct: These people You have created are so senseless and gullible we can rob them of their souls and they will condemn You and praise us in the process. Give up on them. Let us toy with them because they are not worthy of Your attention. They will revel in our lies and worship us.

And the Father of Lies is as Yahowah foretold: a habitual and prolific liar...

"Though they found no proper ground for a death sentence, they asked Pilate to have him executed." (Acts 13:28) In truth, we have no credible records of what happened in public much less behind closed doors.

The Romans, who detailed every important decision and event in writing, did not document anything in this regard. Recognizing that this was a huge affront to their credibility, Christians would later fabricate the missing evidence, putting words in the mouths of Roman authorities that they would never have said. Most of what is attributed to Josephus is a product of Roman Catholicism because they held the only copy and had no compunction in editing it to their liking.

At this time, Jewish authorities were also meticulous in their documentation — and nothing was more cathartic for them than what occurred at this time. And yet there isn't a single line in all of their records about a person named Iesou / Jesus or a trial on these grounds.

Further, none of those who would eventually speak or write about these events were witnesses. Paul was clueless as to what the Sanhedrin may or may not have said or done. Neither Mark, Luke, nor Matthew was even in Judea at the time. And Yahowchanan, as an uneducated fisherman, would not have been invited into the inner circle of the Jewish religious and political elite.

Every word, motive, and act was projected back upon the people Paul wanted to denigrate. The problem wasn't Jews judging, rejecting, and condemning the Christian Jesus, but instead their failure to judge, reject, and condemn Paul.

Even having flunked out of rabbinic school, Paul would have known that the Sanhedrin, had they sought to judge anyone, would have found reason to kill him based upon their Talmud. If they had heard someone speak Yahowah's name for example, this was a religious crime punishable by death. However, should a trial have been held and a verdict reached, the Sanhedrin would have been powerless to carry it out. Furthermore, the notion that the Sanhedrin would have gone to Pilate to plead with him to carry out a religious sentence is absurd. They despised him and he them.

If we are to believe the Christian New Testament, it was Rome that found no grounds for a death sentence but killed him, nevertheless. That said, we must tread with caution because very little of what Christians wrote in their "Gospels" regarding the events that played out before Pilate is historically valid or internally consistent. Later, in a subsequent chapter, we will study the origins of the "Gospel of Matthew" to ascertain how it came to reflect Paul's anti-Semitic sentiments.

Paul has already made seventeen claims which are demonstrably inaccurate and has deliberately lied on nine occasions. It was an inauspicious beginning to the most reprehensible and deadly speech ever recorded.

"When they had carried out all that was written about him (In actuality: apart from what Dowd wrote in Mizmowr 22 and 88 and what Yasha'yah wrote in 53, very little was written about the only reason he was here, which was the fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym. More importantly, "they," as in the Jews, did not carry this out. It was the Romans who crucified the Messiah. Jews did not stone him.), they took him down from the cross and laid him in a tomb (while Dowd predicted his crucifixion five centuries before the Roman torture was invented, seven centuries before Rome existed, and a millennia before he would endure it, the Christian depiction of a "cross" errantly portrays how crucifixions were conducted and is inappropriate since it had long been a pagan symbol. Further, only his discarded body, not his soul, was taken to a tomb)." (Acts 13:29)

Let's be clear: there have never been any prophecies about a person named Iesou, Iesous, Iesoun, Christou, Christos, or Christon anywhere to be found in the entirety of God's Word. And the predictions Christians have ascribed to Iesou Christou to buttress their faith were actually written about $Dowd \mid David -$ and were simply stolen from him. (So much for the "Ten Commandments" and "Thou shall not Steal.") Further, the one thing that actually mattered, the fulfillment of Chag Matsah, was never mentioned by Peter or Paul. It is one of many inconvenient truths eroding Christian credibility.

The foundation of Christendom was comprised of fables, misappropriations, substitutions, and outright lies. Bodily resurrection from death to life was among the most unrealistic and egregious. It would not only be impossible following the lethality and duration of the torture, it would have been ghoulish and counterproductive. It was also pagan. Nevertheless...

"But God raised him from the dead." (Acts 13:30) In reality, only Dowd's expendable *basar* | physical body, representing the Passover Lamb, suffered that indignity. This was good news because the Messiah's second body

was prepared for this purpose. He surrendered it willingly and allowed the Romans to mutilate it beyond recognition. Later that day, after fulfilling the mission, Dowd's corporeal body was discarded and then incinerated. It could not have been raised since it no longer existed. Therefore, there was no resurrection. The dead did not come back to life

At the conclusion of Pesach, the Messiah's *nepesh* | soul, representing Dowd's conscience and life, continued to live and to serve. By design, he was given the guilt of every Covenant member during *Matsah* so that he could carry it into She'owl and deposit it there, never to be seen again. This was the most important part of his mission. At the conclusion of *Matsah* and now during *Bikuwrym*, Dowd's soul was released from She'owl and was escorted by the Ruwach Qodesh back to Shamaym to celebrate all he had accomplished with his Father.

And while these are irreconcilable differences, they are not the only problems associated with Paul's oratory. He not only failed to explain the connection to Passover, shutting the Doorway to Life while closing the Entrance to Heaven, his omission was far worse. Paul said nothing of *Matsah* | UnYeasted Bread, jumping from death to life without benefit or purpose. As a result of ignoring *Matsah* | UnYeasted Bread, *Pesach* | Passover became counterproductive, and salvation was now impossible. Pesach without Matsah is eternal life without perfection, necessitating incarceration in *She'owl* | Hell. Sha'uwl would take believers home – to his eternal abode.

Such is the essence of Christianity. The Messiah's sacrifice as the Lamb apart from Passover renders the ordeal useless, foreclosing on the possibility of eternal life. And Passover, even if understood, without UnYeasted Bread, would leave a soul eternally imperfect, forever laden with the fungus of religious and political contamination. Such souls are eternally separated from

God, incarcerated forever in *She'owl* | Hell.

If that were not bad enough, by condemning Jews in the midst of his debilitating diatribe, blaming them for what he or the Romans had done, Yahuwdym naturally and responsibly rejected everything associated with the Christian Iesou Christo. And in the process, they also missed what Paul missed: the actual Messiah's and Son of God's role as the Pesach 'Ayil and Dowd's subsequent fulfillment of Matsah. This is what provided the means to Bikuwrym – and life in the Covenant. For that, more than anything else, Sha'uwl was afforded naming rights to Hell.

There is no historical record of what follows, and had it occurred, it would have been the biggest news ever recorded in the Roman Empire and among Jews. The fact that there isn't a single eyewitness account of a resurrection speaks volumes regarding the fact it did not occur.

"And for many days he was seen by those who had traveled with him from Galilee to Jerusalem. They are now his witnesses to our people." (Acts 13:31)

Should you wonder why such stories were added to the "Gospel" account, either by the imposter writing under the pseudonym Matthew at the conclusion of the 1st century, or two centuries later by Eusebius for the Roman Church, you now know. It was done to harmonize Paul's testimony with the Christian myth he conceived.

Of course, this led to another problem. If Peter and Paul managed to convince the world that Jews killed their god, then god is dead and the living ought to be worshiping Jews. So, he had his dead god come back to life so that he could condemn the Jews who allegedly killed him and thereby sanction Replacement Foolology. This is important because if Iesou Christo cannot be shown renouncing his people and then accepting others who are unrelated, based upon the myth of the former's complicity in his death, then there is no basis for Christianity.

And yet, how pathetic does god have to be such that he can be killed by men? And don't Christians know that "Jesus" was a "*Yahuwd* – Jew?"

Whether it was the ignorance or compromised thinking of his audience, Paul's revisionist history and absurd depictions would haunt Jews and beguile Gentiles for two thousand years. These words would lead to genocide against the Jewish people in their homeland and throughout Roman Catholic and Christian Europe.

Religious myths die hard, so let's be blunt. Crucifixion was a widely used, torturous, and public form of execution developed by Rome to suppress rebellion. Consider Spartacus. He and his fellow slaves were all crucified. Had the Jews killed "Jesus," they would have stoned him.

Paul makes no mention of his imaginary Christos being the Lamb of God even though he realized that this was how the Zarowa' was presented by *Yasha'yah* | Isaiah. And unlike Dowd in the Psalms, Paul completely ignored what occurred on the Shabat of Matsah. For this, there would be horrendous consequences and no excuse. The crime against humanity was deliberately inflicted.

And according to Paul, that was good news...

"We tell you the good news: What God promised our ancestors (Acts 13:32) he has fulfilled for us, their children, by raising up Jesus. As it is written in the second Psalm: 'You are my son; today I have become your father.' (Acts 13:33)

There was no promise made to "raise up Jesus." There wasn't even a promise made to "raise up Dowd." He didn't hover above She'owl on Bikuwrym but was, instead, released from it. The Passover Lamb does not have an encore. It is not sacrificed to restore its own life. It is offered so that those capitalizing upon the Miqra' might endure.

This is the signature act of Paul's religion, and he got it wrong. The truth matters, and the fact remains that Dowd's mutilated and tortured body, as the Passover Lamb, was discarded and incinerated that same night. His basar was not raised or reanimated. Even his soul went on to serve us on Matsah, perfecting us, which would be hard to do if Dowd were dead.

This is now the second time that Paul has announced the Messiah's death. And it is telling that he wasn't credited with accomplishing anything worthwhile on either occasion. There has been no mention of the fact that we were offered eternal life, the perfection of our soul, and adoption into Yahowah's Covenant Family, along with enrichment and empowerment as a direct result of what Dowd achieved.

Therefore, not only is the greatest gift ever offered rejected, Christians are left with death being the means to bodily resurrection and salvation. But how, why, and according to whom? Unable to answer these questions, unwilling to even try, bereft of a prophecy that they could corrupt to insist otherwise, it is the cross, itself, the implement of torturous death, that becomes the miracle worker. And it is this idiocy that led to the conception and celebration of the Dead God on a Stick.

That is not to say that Paul didn't try to twist something from the prophets to validate the notion that death is the source of life. He cited the 2nd Mizmowr / Psalm. But even then, it spoke of Dowd, not the counterfeit, and the misappropriated passage said nothing about raising anybody, much less "Jesus." Rationally, this is akin to Caeser saying that he can prove that Odysseus actually endured the Odyssey because Nimrod was a Babylonian. A lie is not proven accurate by an unrelated statement.

Dowd's 2nd Mizmowr is one of my favorites. Its lessons are many and they are profound, but there is no

mention of a resurrection or of Jesus. Enormously relevant, it is irrelevant to the point the Plague of Death was making.

Sha'uwl's deliberate deceptions drive right to the heart of the matter. They demonstrate that Yahowah was right in saying that Paul sought to nullify the achievements of His Son, Dowd. Now, after killing him a second time, while bypassing his sacrifice, Paul is stealing Dowd's status as the beloved Son of God.

Moreover, this was not difficult. Dowd actually cited the Psalm that he had written and which applied to him and to this situation. It is the 22nd, not the 2nd. But more on that later in volume 5, *Foolology*. For now, let's consider how Dowd's 1st Mizmowr introduced his 2nd Song and then how this led to the acknowledgment that he was God's beloved Son.

"Joyful with me and blessed by me, fortunate in the relationship and stepping along the straightforward path which I have provided to give meaning to life ('ashery) is the individual (ha 'ysh) who, as a result of the relationship ('asher), does not walk (lo' halak) in the counsel of those who are religious and incorrect (ba 'etsah rasha'), does not stand (lo 'amad) in the way (wa ba derek) of those who are misleading with their errant platitudes (chata'), neither sits nor dwells (lo' yashab) in the company (ba mowshab) of those who babble, confounding by scoffing and ridiculing (lets). (Mizmowr 1:1)

Instead and by contrast (ky 'im) within the Towrah | Teaching and Guidance (ba Towrah) of Yahowah (Yahowah) is what is valuable and matters to him (chephets huw').

And in His *Towrah* | Directions and Instructions (wa ba Towrah huw'), he meditates, giving serious thought and consideration to the information so as to speak decisively (hagah) day and night (yowm wa

laylah). (Mizmowr 1:2)

Then (wa) he is like (hayah ka) a tree ('ets) planted (shathal) near ('al) an idyllic stream of flowing water (peleg maym) such that as a result of this beneficial relationship ('asher) he produces and bestows (nathan) his fruit (pery huw') in his appointed time (ba 'eth huw').

His uplifting branch (wa 'aleh huw') will not wither and he will never lack understanding (lo' nabel). In everything that he engages in (wa kol 'asah) to reveal the benefits of the relationship ('asher), he will succeed and prosper (tsalach). (Mizmowr 1:3)

This is not true, or even remotely similar (lo' ken), with those who are incorrect, with those who will be condemned for leading others astray and for misrepresenting God's character (ha rasha') who, rather instead, will be like (ky 'im ka) the chaff which is driven away and refuted ('asher nadaph huw') by the Spirit (ruwach). (Mizmowr 1:4)

Therefore, based upon this reasoning ('al ken), those who are incorrect, misled, and misleading (ha rasha') will not stand upright (lo' quwm) during the judgment (ba ha mishpat) nor (wa) those who are wrong (chata') in the enduring community of witnesses (ba 'edah) of those who are upright, correct, and vindicated (tsadyq). (Mizmowr 1:5)

Surely (ky), Yahowah (Yahowah) knows (yada') the way (derek) of those who are upright, correct, and vindicated (tsadyq), but (wa) those whose path is incorrect (derek rasha') will perish ('abad)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 1:6)

It is as if the lyrics of Dowd's initial Mizmowr were written for us at this moment, especially as we excoriate Paul while celebrating Yahowah's Towrah. And this conclusion remains true as we move from the 1st to the 2nd

Mizmowr.

"For what reason (la mah – why, toward what end and for what purpose one should ask) do noisy and confused throngs of scheming and rebellious Gentiles gather together to conspire in open defiance (ragash gowym – do vociferous and disorderly crowds of restless religious, political, and militant ethnicities and countries estranged from Yisra'el rise up vehemently to hastily agitate in fellowship with one another, with races attempting to be noticed and gain attention through their perceived and contrived plots, erupting like an open wound, swirling around and churning things up, creating a disturbing commotion as part of an unrestrained cult of worshipers conceiving and promoting clandestine conspiracies)?

The people of these nations who are transformed while massing under an antiquated and unifying religious, political, and conspiratorial leader (wa la'om - these groups who associate together under an individual who changes them as a result of primitive and arcane concepts) **choose to plot and speak** (hagah – are driven away by their choices and utterances, having wrongly decided after selectively searching their musings along with their imagined grievances and intra-personal beliefs, all formed after giving considerable attention to selective information while scheming to remove the people who are the focus of their complaint) in vain, deluded in their fantasies (ryq - by promoting their unreal claims and worthless myths for absolutely no reason, having drawn their weapons without benefit, reason, or cause while revealing their unfulfilling and empty lives as scoundrels brandishing idle plans)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 2:1)

This is also prophetic of our day when conspiracies, especially those which inappropriately impugn Jews, are so prolific, they are woven into the fabric of the human psyche. When the opposite of what is true becomes widely

accepted, as is the case with Christianity, Judaism, Islam, and particularly with Progressive Politics, we find God asking His creation why they are promoting such stupid notions. What is the end game? What is the purpose? What is to be gained by these "ragash gowym — noisy and confused throngs of unrestrained, scheming, and rebellious Gentiles conspiring together in open defiance such that as a cult they have become wholly unreceptive to the truth?" They have become akin to zombies, in that they, like the mindless walking dead, have nothing to live for except to prey on those they have not yet infected.

With this thought-provoking question and pronouncement reverberating in our minds, let's see where Dowd takes those of us listening to him...

"The rulers (melek – the governmental leaders) of the Earth ('erets) solely on their own initiative, continually set themselves up (yatsab – prominently present themselves without justification or authorization, take a stand and defend themselves and their self-interests (hitpael imperfect – consistently establish themselves on their own initiative, without any outside influence, authorization, justification, or authority)).

Those who govern (rozen — those in command claiming primacy of authority and an esteemed status) lay a foundation to conspire and rebel (yasad — have from the beginning schemed to rise up through their associations and organizations to be nefarious (nifal perfect — those who govern will not only conspire at some point in time, they will suffer the effects of their rebellion)) all together (yachad — in a united fashion) against ('al — because of, in front of, and over and above) Yahowah (Yahowah — an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah | God guided by His towrah | instructions regarding His hayah | existence and our shalowm | reconciliation) and (wa) against ('al — because of, in front of, and over and above) His Mashyach | Anointed (mashyach huw' — One

demonstrably set apart for His service using an application of olive oil as a symbol of His Set-Apart Spirit to demonstrate His authorization and authority)." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 2:2)

There are those, like Paul, who claim that God has chosen the leaders of nations and that He is guiding them. They would all be wrong. They have always been wrong. And in this case, the hitpael mood serves as proof, revealing that they have acted on their own initiative.

While there is evidence of disingenuous men and women in every religious and political scheme, and plenty of proof that they manipulate the system for their own personal advantage, even that the amalgamation of religion and politics is commonplace and counterproductive, the issue here is that these adversaries of truth – religion and politics – have conspired against the Messiah and Son of God to rob him of his accolades and accomplishments to the detriment of humankind.

As Dowd's inspired testimony has, and will continue to demonstrate, Father and Son, *Yahowah wa Mashyach huw'*, work hand in hand, side by side, to achieve reconciliation through the Miqra'ey on behalf of the Beryth. The Beryth is God's Family and Dowd is His Firstborn.

It isn't until we come to appreciate this relationship, and perceive why, when, and how it has led to this most favorable resolution of our guilt, that we truly know who God is and what He is offering. And in this light, a nameless Mashyach, the perception of unfulfilled Mow'edym, the advocacy of Jesus Christ, a New Testament, or a Talmud is an affront to the Son and an attack on the Father.

The good news here for Yisra'el is that God's people are being reintroduced to the choice between Yahowah and Dowd on one side and the Adversary and religion on the

other. The *Mashyach* | Anointed they are being asked to accept is the Shepherd and the Lamb, as well as the King of the Millennial Kingdom. And because the beloved Son of Yah is Dowd, he is neither unknown nor is he a Christian Messiah crafted in the image of Dionysus.

Also reassuring, Dowd is the only one who meets all of the attributes acclaimed in the 9th chapter of Yasha'yah because as the Son of God and Mashyach, he is also the ultimate "gibowr – the mightiest of leaders and a gallant warrior committed to defending his people." Upon his return, he will, once again, subdue Yisra'el's gowym enemies, unify the kingdom, and bring peace to the Land.

Looking for a warrior messiah to replace the Christian pacifist in the Yowbel year of 133 CE, Rabbi Akiba transferred these attributes to his warlord, Simon bar Kokhba, fooling many in Israel into following him to their doom at the hands of Rome. But what they have all missed is that Dowd was and will be the heroic *Mashyach* | Anointed Messiah his people have long desired.

Sadly, the reason Akiba foisted this false Messiah on Jews was that he was seeking to justify Judaism's failure to recognize that Dowd had come to fulfill Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym in the Yowbel year of 4000 Yah. To pronounce that bar Kokhba was ha Mashyach was to renounce what Dowd had done. It was among the most egregious crimes perpetrated against God's people, and it was committed by the founder of Judaism.

There was a first, a second, and there will be a third coming of Dowd, the Son of God and Messiah. In Judaism, Christianity, and Islam the religious have misconstrued the first and misappropriated the next two. And to do so, they must deny what Dowd wrote in these Mizmowr, particularly the 22nd through the 31st, as well as everything *Yasha'yah* | Isaiah and the other prophets had to say about him. The truth is as obvious as words allow. It is the

religious mindset that sullied them.

Dowd knows that man seeks to control while God wants to free humankind from religious and political influence. He understands that we must be liberated from human dominion before we can participate in the Covenant. So, at this time, Dowd, Yahowah's *Mashyach*, is asking his people to break their allegiance to all forms of governmental and religious control.

"Let us choose of our own volition to break and pull off (nathaq – to snap off and tear away, set free and purged, separated by bursting loose from) their bonds which trap and ensnare ('eth mowsrah hem – that which binds and immobilizes, their spinnerets and tethers, their fetters, shackles, and chains, and thus religious and political restraints, man's yokes which control and tame) and (wa) desire to cast off and throw away (shalak – reject, toss aside and fling) from us (min 'anachnuw) their twisted and interwoven threads which bind and immobilize ('aboth hem – their fibrous ropes and cordage, their intertwined web of silky and sticky spinnerets which fasten and tie, that which they can twist and pervert to harness and control)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 2:3)

This has yet to occur so Dowd is speaking prophetically of our present day, hoping that Yisra'el will listen to him and remove the twisted, interwoven, and entangled threads which bind them to human institutions. It is only once this is done that any of us, including Yisra'el, can return to Yahowah.

"He who inhabits, establishing His dwelling place (yashab – He who sits and restores, lives and renews) in the heavens (ba ha shamaym – in the spiritual realm) holds them in contempt and will pulverize them (sachaq – mocks and scoffs at them, lacking any concern over rubbing His enemies away because He disrespects and disdains them, seeing them as a source of derision over

whom He scoffs (qal imperfect)).

Yahowah (*Yahowah* – the proper pronunciation of the name of '*elowah* – God as directed in His *towrah* – teaching regarding His *hayah* existence and our *shalowm* – restoration) **ridicules their foreign behavior and mocks their unfamiliar language** (*la'ag la hem* – scoffs and disparages their unintelligible stammering and holds them in derision (qal imperfect))." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 2:4)

For most of human history, God has ignored the political and religious mumblings of men. The exceptions have been when it led to the suffering of His people and their pain became too great to bear. However, since we have not yet witnessed Yahowah scoffing with disdain over the ridiculousness of man's behavior and unintelligible stammering, this is prophetic of the days leading to His return when evil reaches a menacing crescendo.

Yahowah has every right to hold those who have claimed to speak for Him in contempt for subjugating His people and denigrating His Son. And it will be appropriate for Him to pulverize them for centuries of oppressive religious and political behavior against Jews. Although, it will be no laughing matter...

"Then ('az — at this time and place) He will communicate, expressing Himself (dabar — He will make statements, speaking (piel imperfect)) toward them ('el hem — in their direction and against them) showing His frustration and resentment (ba 'aph huw' — in His overt animosity and resolute anger) and in His burning indignation (ba charown huw' — demonstrating His intense hatred and resounding anger), will overwhelm and bewilder them (bahal hem — causing them to tremble by terrifying them (piel imperfect))." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 2:5)

This is a picture of what we should expect in year 6000

Yah, in the Fall of 2033 on our Roman Pagan calendars. Here we find God holding those in leadership positions within society accountable. Before sending the religious and political authorities who misled His creation while claiming to represent Him off to their eternal incarceration, He is going to excoriate them. So much for the "judge not lest you be judged" theory.

What follows could be in Yahowah's voice, but I think it reads more naturally to make the transition where Dowd announces it. So, while all of 2:6 could reflect God speaking through Dowd to us, since the next sentence is unquestionably in Dowd's voice, and the citation is clearly from Yahowah, this is likely Dowd's intent...

"I, myself, have offered leadership (wa 'any nasak – and I have exercised my authority by being a capable leader and pouring forth guidance (qal perfect)) providing counsel through my governance (melek 'any – providing my advice to consider and respond to as the authorized ruler and designated authority, through my kingship to ponder) upon ('al – alongside) Tsyown | the Signs Posted Along the Way (Tsyown – the instruction on the signposts identifying the proper direction and marking the place where the aspirations of the Covenant are known), my Set-Apart Mountain (har qodesh 'any)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 2:6)

The integration of Dowd into the lyrics of his own Psalm at this point is important because he is telling those living today that he has provided guidance that we'd be wise to consider. Since Dowd was *Mashyach* | Anointed by Yahowah, he leads with God's authority to provide counsel for humankind to ponder. And there is no question that Dowd took his role seriously, pouring out God's guidance through every word he wrote. It is Dowd's lyrics that were inscribed on the signs posted upon Mount Tsyown. He wrote them and then led the way to God through the lyrics of his Psalms, most especially the 19th and 119th on the

Towrah and the 22nd and 88th during his fulfillment of the Miqra'ey in this same place. Tsyown is as much Dowd's as it is Yahowah's. Both had homes built there.

There may be some who think it is unseemly for Dowd to express himself in this way, as there is no hint of humility in these words. But he is speaking as he should be, appropriately taking credit for his role in bringing the willing into the Covenant through the Towrah. Nothing is more important. Likewise, even our work on Yahowah's behalf, our willingness to expound upon what Dowd has done, should be as important to us as it is to God's people. And following Dowd's example, we should expose and condemn man's ways while sharing Yah's words and His way such that it becomes a source of great satisfaction.

When we come to appreciate the subtleties of the Messiah's earliest revelations, we find that he often spoke of fulfilling the Mow'edym. Everything leads to this conclusion, and nothing leads away from it. And yet, inexplicably, this is the statement Paul pilfered to infer that his man-god Iesou Christou was raised and resurrected.

It is obvious to anyone not trying to deceive that this statement is between Yahowah and Dowd...

"I will choose to account for, proclaiming in writing (saphar – I will, of my own freewill, record and recount, putting the decree into effect by informing through written communication and documentation) the decree and prescription for living (choq – the clearly engraved announcement that cuts us into the relationship) of the Almighty ('el – of God) which Yahowah (Yahowah) said ('amar – declared) to me ('el 'any – regarding me), 'You are My Son (ben 'any 'atah). This day (ha yowm – at this time and in the light of day) I ('any) bring you forth as your Father (yalad 'atah – am responsible for you as a father gives birth to and raises a child such that they grow, bearing and assisting you).' (Mizmowr 2:7)

Feel free to ask questions about this, seeking to learn the answers because literally out of Me/me and from Me/me (sha'al min 'any — of your own freewill choose to inquire and request from Me/me, questioning why) there will be an inherited share of the land of the Gentile nations that will be given to you and unto successive generations (gowym nachalah 'atah — part of your inherited property and heritage will come from places that are not part of Yisra'el), even unto (wa) the distant reaches of the Earth, even the material realm ('ephes 'erets) becoming your property ('achuzah 'atah — a gift to you, a place which belongs to you)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 2:8)

Dowd wrote the 2nd *Mizmowr* / Psalm, so this is addressing his relationship with Yahowah. From God's perspective, and that is the only one that matters in this regard: Dowd is His Son. Yahowah is Dowd's Father. And as Yahowah's most beloved, His firstborn, *Dowd* | David will be receiving the lion's share of his Father's inheritance. This also explains why, upon Yahowah's return, the Kingdom of Dowd will be reestablished to govern forevermore.

But it goes far deeper than this because it was only Yahowah's *Bakowr* | Firstborn who could properly experience the Miqra' of Bikuwrym following his fulfillment of Pesach and Matsah. Collectively, these Mow'edym provide Yahowah's prescription for living. And in this case, by serving as the sacrificial soul during Chag Matsah, Dowd was in a position to offer his people their inheritance. And this is why I wrote Me/me. I wanted you to be aware that just as this could be in recognition of what Yahowah is offering His Son, it is also true that this is what His Son has enabled for all who celebrate his sacrifice.

As is the case elsewhere, in the 2^{nd} *Mizmowr* / Psalm, we find irrefutable proof that $Dowd \mid David$ is the Son of

God and Yahowah's Messiah. And just as it is presented in *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 9, it is Yahowah who is offering His Son to us. Further, when we look back to the previous statement, "this day" is directed toward what occurred when Dowd led the way home on Tsyown as he fulfilled the Migra'ey.

In fact, the very reason Yahowah made this declaration this way, saying that "I will bring you forth as your Father, assisting you," is so that we would come to appreciate how these Psalms and Isaiah's prophecies work hand-in-glove. One explains the other, working together to frame the perfect picture.

This proclamation was also made so that we could confidently trust everything *Dowd* | David has to say about Yahowah, His Towrah and Covenant, about Yahuwdah, Yisra'el, and most especially the Miqra'ey. He knows what it means to be observant and understand what God is offering and expects in return. Further, when we come to appreciate the kind of familial relationship Yah and Dowd experienced, we now know that we, too, can come to enjoy this Father/child bond Dowd established with God.

"This day" that Yahowah is bringing forth His Son is prophetic, like the rest of the 2nd *Mizmowr* / Psalm, of his Second and Third Coming, of the fulfillment of Bikuwrym and Kipurym. It wasn't just Dowd's work as a songwriter but as a savior and future king. Yahowah is affirming that He is bringing His *ben* | son and *mashyach* | messiah forth to fulfill Chag Matsah and His *melek* | king to reign over and defend Yisra'el

These realizations are even more indelible etched into prophecy in Zakaryah 12, where we read...

"And (wa) for a time, I will pour out (shaphak – I will provide an outpouring and build upon) upon the House ('al beyth – on behalf of the family and home) of Dowd (Dowyd – the Beloved (of the 1076 occurrences of

DWD this was one of the few in a prophetic text scribed DWYD – adding a Yowd representing the hand of Yah)), and upon (wa 'al – also on behalf of) those who dwell in (yashab – the inhabitants who establish their abode and remain in) Yaruwshalaim (Yaruwshalaim – the Source of Guidance and Teaching on Reconciliation), a spirit of compassion and acceptance (ruwach chen – the spirit of favor and mercy, of a beautiful and beneficial Spiritual Garment), (wa) for those requesting mercy and clemency (tachanuwn – of being treated with kindness and affection, with compassion; from chanan – mercy and favorable treatment).

Then (wa), they will be able to look (nabat - they, atthis time, will be equipped to observe, focus upon, pay attention to, and regard, think about and then appropriately respond) to Me ('el 'any) accompanied by the one who ('eth 'asher – with whom by association, and to show the way to the benefits of the relationship) they had reviled and pierced (dagar – they had thrust into by driving sharp implements completely through (gal perfect)), and (wa) they will lament (saphad – they will sob, expressing their genuine sorrow (gal perfect)) over him ('al huw') just like (ka - similar to the way) one cries (misped - one shrieks)and howls) over the most uniquely special child and **valued life** ('al ha yachyd – for the only son; from yachad - who reunited and joined together), anguished and infuriated (wa marar - grieving furiously, angry and enraged, even frantic) over him ('al huw' - on his account), consistent with (ka) the anguish suffered over (marar 'al - the frustration and rage endured over) the **firstborn** (ha bakowr – the first son born into a family)." (Zakaryah / Remember Yah / Zechariah 12:10)

When read from this perspective, Yahowah's statement should bring tears to our eyes. Rather than recognize the Passover Lamb was the Son of God and Messiah, God's People watched as the Romans tortured

him and then they denied him.

While we have ventured far enough into the 2nd Mizmowr to prove that Paul grossly misrepresented it to promote his fraud, there is more that we can learn...

"You shall break up their evil nature and shatter their mistaken ways (ra'a hem) with an iron staff and scepter (ba barzel shebeth) as if they were (ka) a potter's (yowtser clay) vessel as a means to contain and control (kaly) and then (wa) You will shatter and separate them (naphats hem). (Mizmowr 2:9)

Therefore, now (wa 'atah) political and religious leaders, military and government officials (melek) choose to be prudent and circumspect (sakal) and elect to heed this warning (yasar), making the rational decision in support of (shaphat) the Land ('erets). (Mizmowr 2:10)

With reverence and respect (ba yare') work with and serve alongside ('abad 'eth) Yahowah (Yahowah) and rejoice (wa gyl) to the point of quivering (ra'ad). (Mizmowr 2:11)

Reach out and touch, contacting as a sign of affection, demonstrating your mutual adoration for the relationship, demonstrating your affinity (nashaq) for the radiant and favorite son, the brilliant and purifying heir (bar), lest (pen) he is perceived as indignant and is displeased (`anaph) and you perish (`abad) in this way (derek). For indeed (ky), his righteous indignation $(`aph\ huw')$ can be kindled (ba'ar) for a few and for very little (me'at) comparatively (ka).

Joyful with me and blessed by me ('ashery) are all (kol) who put their trust in him (chasah ba huw')." (Mizmowr / Song to Sing / Psalm 2:12)

By venturing from Acts into the Psalms, we found affirmation that Dowd is the Son of God and that Paul is

the Plague of Death. Sha'uwl was a lousy liar. And while this said nothing about bodily resurrection, his incessant need to misappropriate something positive regarding Dowd and Yisra'el, and then wrongly attribute it to "Jesus" and "Gentiles" has come at the cost of billions of souls.

What is to be gained by lying about the things of God, especially when the truth is vastly superior, so clearly presented, readily available, and easily verified? And why, with this being obvious, did anyone believe him?

For those keeping count, that's 21 errors of fact and 14 intentional lies, with three of these grossly misrepresenting Yahowah's testimony. God does not take kindly to such dishonesty, especially regarding those who deliberately deceive by twisting His words such that He is made to appear untrustworthy regarding His Son and Messiah.

This next statement is another brazen affirmation of Paul's villainy, as it blatantly states that "God" disavowed His solemn oath and promise to Dowd. Paul is actually claiming that his god was responsible for Replacement Foolology. To believe Paul is to believe that God robbed His Firstborn Son and Anointed Messiah, the Passover Lamb no less, and a prophet, of the "blessing promised to him/David."

Fortunately, God isn't a scumbag like Sha'uwl / Paul. He never said anything even remotely akin to this — and couldn't. There would have been no reason to do so and the cost would have been prohibitive.

If Yahowah lied to one of His prophets, nothing He said can be trusted. If God gave away the promised blessings associated with Dowd, then there is nothing to be gained by the Miqra'ey. There is no means to eternal life, salvation, or the Covenant. And for these reasons and a trillion more, our Heavenly Father did no such thing. Paul blatantly lied about the inception of Replacement Foolology and, therefore, Christianity. This transfer of

promises and blessings could not and did not occur. And it is obvious.

Should we be daring enough to poke around in Paul's perverted mind, we'd be left shaking our heads in bewilderment and frustration. If touting the mythical misnomer Iesous Christos was so goddamn important, why wasn't "you" identified by name? If "you" is more important than Dowd, why speak to the Messiah about "him" rather than directly? How can Dowd be Yahowah's *Bakowr* | Firstborn Son if "you" was supposed to be His only begotten son? If "Jesus Christ" was the be-all and endall of creation, why didn't the Christian god whip up some original and nifty promises just for "him" rather than steal previously used ones from someone else?

For these reasons and a trillion more, Paul's next statement was as foolish as it was errant. And therefore, rather than being believed or respected, even tolerated, Christianity should be exposed as an attack on God's character and condemned.

"God raised him from the dead so that he will never be subject to decay. As God has said, 'I will give you the holy and sure blessings promised to David." (Acts 13:34)

Since there are no prophecies in which Christou Iesou was mentioned, much less called out by name, Paul consistently misappropriated those in which Dowd was designated and then claimed that they applied to someone else as if God didn't know the difference between His Firstborn Son and a religious fable. It is like suggesting that every time Yahowah said something about Yisra'el, He actually meant to say Rome but was somehow distracted or confused.

With Peter and Paul, names were fungible and interchangeable, including their own – even God's. In this way, and a trillion more, Replacement Foolology was a ludicrous proposition. It presents God as a disingenuous

idiot and those claiming to speak for him as duplicitous. And believers are simply foolish.

While Paul's statement is resolutely false, even the details are devoid of logic. For example, bodily resurrection would prolong decay not retard it. The reason we are transformed from physical beings to spiritual ones is to avoid this problem. And yet Paul would have *Iesou* | Jesus go from flesh to flesh, accomplishing nothing.

To say that Christianity was delivered by the village idiot would be too kind. Defying logic was the least of Paul's problems.

Unfortunately for Christians, what Sha'uwl claims "God has said" regarding a transfer of "blessings" and "promises" from "David" to "Jesus" never occurred therefore annulling Sha'uwl's entire proposition – and the religion of Christianity along with it. Theologians, not sufficiently honest to recognize that there was no validity to Paul's assertion, claim that their apostle was citing Isaiah 55:3, but nothing of the kind appears in the text. As with everything else Paul used to "validate" Replacement Foolology, he resolved the fact that Yahowah never said anything he claimed by making it up and expecting his audience to be sufficiently ignorant and irrational to believe him. And yet, the only thing Paul actually proved was that he was a con man who cannot be trusted. It also speaks to his conceit, and to his utter disdain for those he has played for fools. If that is what you are looking for in a spiritual guide, you have found your man.

Personally, I prefer Dowd, as does Yahowah and thus Yasha'yah. The 55th chapter of Isaiah opens with Yahowah speaking to us. Through this magnificent prophet, God is openly refuting the Pauline and Roman Catholic notions that men should seek to have their thirst quenched and be nourished by men and that the faithful should pay such men for what they are offering by giving them their money.

As we proceed, please be aware that everything which follows was presented under the auspices of freewill. It is our choice, your choice...

"Of your own accord, come (wa halak – actually choose to literally walk) without money (ba lo' keseph – without monetary reimbursement, without coins, gold, or silver, without possessions, belongings, or property, nor anything of value) and without compensation or fee (wa ba lo' machyr – without any portion of your earnings, without a price to be paid for receiving the service, nor any monetary equivalent or barter), and acquire grain which has been properly prepared (shabar – selecting ground grain, that which has been observed and scrutinized as nourishing (qal imperative)), wine (yayn - the juice ofcrushed and fermented grapes) and the finest and most **beneficial milk** (wa chalab – the bountiful food provided by mothers which is the best way to nourish young children, providing an abundance of benefits)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 55:1)

If people stopped paying ministers and no longer made donations to their church, they would no longer be fed lies. But as it is, the faithful are paying for their own demise. For proper nourishment, consume the word of God.

Rather than paying the devil his due or looking to men for scraps of rotten sustenance tossed from their table, rather than leaving a donation at the church while participating in Communion or the Eucharist, go directly to Yahowah instead. Unlike men, what He provides is both free and satisfying.

It is ironic that the Roman Catholic Church was funded by indulgences and that Protestant ministers proclaim that "Salvation is the Gift of God" and yet expect to be paid for the pronouncement. When I told the late Jerry Falwell that everything he was saying about God was untrue, the multimillionaire televangelist agreed, but then bemoaned, "If I were to reveal this publicly, those who sit in the pews of my church and make donations, and the letters which arrive each day in the mail to support my university, would cease. And if you do so, they will label you a kook."

As a result, Yahowah asks the religious...

"Why (*la mah* – for what reason) **do you consistently spend your money** (*shaqal keseph* – do you habitually contribute a calculated portion of your money, continuing to offer shekels of gold and silver, always engaging in a financial transaction with regard to your property and possessions (qal imperfect)) **on that which is not bread** (*ba lo' lechem* – with that which isn't actually food or a provision for anything) **and then** (*wa*) **labor** (*yaga' 'atah* – you work for and acquire) **for that which is neither fulfilling nor satisfying** (*ba lo' la saba'ah* – for that which is never sufficient or satisfactory; from *soba'* – satisfy and fulfill)?

You should choose to listen diligently to Me and actually hear what I have to say (shama' shama' 'el 'any - of your own freewill, you should want to make the decision to literally pay attention and hear what I, as God, am saying to you, listening very closely to Me (qal imperative infinitive absolute)) and (wa) elect to consume so that you are nourished by ('akal – choose of your own accord and freewill that which should be eaten), that which is beneficial and good (towb - pleasant and pleasing, generous and useful, valuable and proper, favorable and functional such that you prosper). And then (wa), acting on your own initiative, unaffected by outside influences, continuously take pleasure in ('anag ba – delight and indulge in the exquisitely joyous (hitpael imperfect – consistently acting on your own recognizance and not misled by any individual or institution, unencumbered by societal, religious, or political pressure, continually enjoy pampering)) the best of what is produced and offered, the most enriching and fulfilling

things (*deshen* – the most beneficial and productive things to be consumed, that which is the choicest and most delightful, and that which pertains to an anointing) **for your soul** (*nepesh 'atah* – the essence of your life, your consciousness, your attributes, attitudes, and proclivities, your inner nature and core of your being, your ability to be observant and respond)." (*Yasha'yah* / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 55:2)

It is our choice: we can freely receive that which is good for us from Yahowah or we can make donations to the men and institutions who are selling poison.

Since this is the context from which Sha'uwl / Paul quote-mined Yahowah's testimony, we should be thoroughly annoyed by his bombastic arrogance. He thinks so little of those he is deliberately misleading, he believes he can pluck out and then pervert prophetic statements, which when considered in context, destroy his credibility, without getting caught or being held accountable. Even so, the religious are unwilling to question the basis of their faith, even when their own "Scriptures" are shown to be invalid.

Christian clerics throughout the ages, searching the Towrah and prophets, have concluded that Paul was "quoting" Isaiah 55:3 in Acts 13:34 as I have attested. But when they discovered that it did not read as Paul had claimed, not one sought to condemn Paul as a charlatan. Worse, when they came to realize that his citations were grossly inaccurate and totally misleading, almost universally conveying the opposite of what the self-proclaimed apostle was proposing, no one seemed to care.

There is no record of any of the "Church Fathers" acknowledging the obvious, that Paul was contradicting God while claiming He had authorized him – which is a rational impossibility. And not one exhibited the initiative to do what we have done, which is to consider the context

of what was being revealed to determine what God actually had to say on this or any other matter.

The failure to be rational drives to the very core of what is wrong with Replacement Foolology. These spurious citations and irrational arguments explain why Paul not only started attacking and demeaning Jews who knew better but had to turn to Gentiles to prevail. While the people to whom the Towrah was given knew that Sha'uwl was lying through his rotten teeth, Gowym, unlike Yahuwdym, were clueless regarding the revelations Sha'uwl was misappropriating. They lapped up his neurotoxin as if the contentious and embittered Waters of Marybah had been rejuvenated.

By recasting the Chosen People as "scheming genocidal liars," Paul unleashed a religious genocide against them — as would Muhammad. He could falsely claim, albeit now with his own "Scriptural" justification, that God so hated Jews that He would disavow all His promises to them. Sure, it is demeaning and preposterous, and yes, it makes God out to be untrustworthy and petulant, but the Greeks and Romans openly embraced the conspiratorial plot that Jews had schemed to kill God as if it were being served by Aristotle and Plato, Homer and Virgil. It might as well have been Romulus and Remus instead of Peter and Paul.

Yahowah stated otherwise...

"Incline (natah – choose to extend) your ear ('ozen 'atah – your ability to hear) and walk to Me (wa halak 'el 'any – then choose to move toward Me). Elect to listen (shama') and your soul (nepesh 'atah) shall live (chayah – will be restored).

I will establish (karat – I have decided to cut) an everlasting ('owlam – an eternal and never-ending) Covenant (beryth – family-oriented relationship (construct singular – bound to what follows)) for you (la 'atah),

based upon My verifiable and established (ha 'aman – enduring and everlasting, trustworthy and reliable, readily confirmed) unending love and unfailing devotion (chesed – steadfast mercy and genuine affection) for Dowd (Dowd – Beloved and Adored)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 55:3)

In a rational world, this alone is sufficient to reject Paul, discard the Christian New Testament, and forego the religion of Christianity. It is by listening to Yahowah and then walking to Him guided by His testimony that our soul is restored and endures. There is only one Covenant and it is everlasting. It is readily verified and reliable. And it was established based on Yahowah's steadfast and unfailing love for Dowd.

Choosing to listen to Peter or Paul, Luke or Mark, John or Matthew instead of Yahowah, since they contradict God, would be a mistake with deadly ramifications. Believing that there is a new covenant, and thus a New Testament, following Yahowah's declaration that His existing Covenant is everlasting, would be to disregard and disrespect God and then expect Him to value your decision and soul, nonetheless. To worship "Jesus" and believe that he is your savior, when Yahowah said that His mercy, the restoration of our soul, and entry into the Covenant was predicated upon His unending love for *Dowd* | David, would be irrational.

Yahowah's promise is the opposite of what Paul claimed "God said." Yahowah asked us to listen to Him, not Paul. This had nothing whatsoever to do with "him," as in "Jesus," but was instead written of Dowd, who was addressed by name. It was not about "never being subject to decay," or being "raised from the dead," but instead about our souls continuing to live. Instead of God "giving holy and sure blessings promised to David," to "you," whomever "you" may represent, whether that be Paul, his church, or Gentiles through a new covenant, Yahowah said

that He would continue to love Dowd. Period.

Yahowah's position and Sha'uwl's proposition are in irreconcilable conflict. Any attempt to justify transferring the promises God made to Dowd to someone else through a New Testament is contrary to the evidence and without justification. Every rational and informed individual who compares Yasha'yah / Isaiah 55:3, "Incline your ear and walk to Me. Elect to listen and your soul shall live. I will establish an everlasting Covenant on your behalf based upon My verifiable and trustworthy unending love and unfailing devotion for Dowd," with "God raised him from the dead so that he will never be subject to decay. As God has said, 'I will give you the holy and sure blessings promised to David," in Acts 13:34, should we that Paul was immediately recognize deliberately misquoting and blatantly misappropriating the Word of God. It is that obvious. It is that clear-cut.

To his shame, Paul gutted Yahowah's promise, completely passing over the declaration that the Covenant was everlasting and that His promises were verifiable and trustworthy. He deliberately failed to mention the connection between Dowd and the Covenant and between the Covenant and Yahowah's desire to be loving and merciful.

Worst of all, Paul negated the possibility of salvation for all Christians. Through His prophet *Yasha'yah* | Yahowah Delivers and Saves, Yahowah not only stated that those who listen to Him will live but also, that the path to life is through His eternal Covenant. Especially relevant in this regard, God affirmed that the ability to live as part of His everlasting Family was based upon Him being trustworthy and reliable regarding His love and devotion for His Son, Dowd. The inference is that we become part of the Beryth by relying upon what Father and Son accomplished. And since there is but one means to an extended life in God's presence – Passover – the thoughtful

who have been listening know what Dowd has done for the benefit of the Covenant Family. They also realize that God did not undermine His Son and transfer benefits and promises away from him. Yahowah's love, like His Beryth, is everlasting.

Paul just made an absolute fool of himself and every Christian. The truth didn't fit with his agenda, so he altered the Word of God to infer otherwise. Then he cleverly skipped over the part about listening to God and living as a result.

In his fallacious attempt to rationalize the errant notion that faith saves and the Towrah condemns, Paul has yet to acknowledge anything God has said that would, if accurately presented, save anyone. He has deliberately and consistently misrepresented what God revealed, taking it out of context, misquoting it, and then stating the opposite of what God intended. He has done it so obviously and often that it is a wonder there are so many Christians. It speaks poorly of their collective intelligence.

Since we all benefit when we listen to Yahowah, let's consider more of what He had to say about Dowd 300 years after his first tenure as King...

"Behold (hen – pay attention), I have given him (nathan huw' – I have bestowed and offered him, producing him) as an eternal witness ('ed – to provide restoring testimony forever) to the people of the nations who are governed by antiquated philosophies (la'om – the populations congregating under obsolete cultural, social, political, or religious notions), a worthy leader (nagyd – an authorized official capable of governing, as a prince among the people) to instruct (tsawah – to guide and direct) the nations mired in the past (la'om – the people besieged by outdated and obsolete notions gathering under archaic ideas)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 55:4)

Should you be looking for the "Son who was given" in *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 9:6, you have been afforded an answer. There is none other.

As a gift from God and as an eternal witness who both leads and instructs, Yahowah is saying that we would be wise to listen to Dowd's Mizmowr and Mashal. The Messiah wasn't just the exemplar of the Covenant and its Firstborn Son, not only the Shepherd and the Passover Lamb, he was a prophet of the first order and, thus, spoke for God. As King, he unified, established, protected, led, inspired, and taught Yisra'el. And now Yahowah is saying that he will return to also serve the people of nations mired in the past and governed by antiquated philosophies. Therefore, God's existing message through Dowd will endure, even for those living outside of Yisra'el, lifting them out of the mire and enlightening them.

But I think that there is more to this because Yahuwdym rejected Dowd as did Christians. Over the past 2,500 years, no one was willing to listen to Yahowah or Dowd or acknowledge what they achieved together – enabling eternal life in the Beryth by fulfilling the Miqra'ey. Therefore, the gift to the people of other nations will transpire during Shabuw'ah – the Harvest of Standing Grain. This is when, symbolized by the two loaves and broad attendance, Gowym will join Yahuwdym in the great ingathering of saved souls – all of which Father and Son lovingly and reliably enabled by honoring the promise and delivering the benefits of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym.

When we study the Mizmowr and Mashal and then consider what has been revealed through the prophets, it becomes readily obvious that Yahowah has made Dowd an eternal witness on our behalf. He is amazingly articulate, and his insights are brilliant. He is everything Paul is not, including right. Dowd has been afforded every meaningful credential, from Son of God to Messiah, from Shepherd to King, His Chosen One and our Savior. He was a poet and

a prophet, a fighter and a lover, cerebral and emotional, and as such, Dowd was the exemplar of the Covenant and Yahowah's perception of an ideal man. He was not perfect and yet he was vindicated because he was right. Dowd knew the truth and shared it openly and accurately.

With Dowd engendering God's love and inspiring His compassion, what is to be gained by robbing Yahowah's beloved Son of what our Heavenly Father has given to him – for our benefit? Why base a religion on the oratory and pen of a man who would steal from Dowd what Yahowah has given – impoverishing everyone in the process?

Based upon what we have just read, it is fair to say that Christianity is Paul overriding God, achieving with billions what Satan sought. And that's bad.

This prophecy says nothing about "Jesus," about anyone being "holy," or "of blessings." Not a word of it or any other prophetic statement hints at transferring what God gave to Dowd to Gospel Jesus, to Sha'uwl, to the Church, or to Gentiles. It is far more likely that Paul was jealous of Yahowah's enduring love for Dowd and tried to negate the fact that Yahowah presents Dowd as what Paul was claiming for himself: as God's witness to the nations.

Should you disagree with this assessment, ask yourself why we have nearly 100 Psalms and 25 Proverbs from Dowd, most meticulously preserved among the Dead Sea Scrolls, and not a single word Gospel Jesus wrote with his own hand or even shared verbally in the language he spoke? There are more surviving copies of Dowd's writing than any other among those preserved in Qumran, along with the entire text of Yasha'yah who just spoke of him. Through the testimony of Shamuw'el we know intimate details of Dowd's life, what he said and did, all proven reliable with the inclusion of prophecy. And yet with the Christian Jesus, we have little more than the nitwits who have made a career of twisting Yahowah's testimony to

embellish a counterfeit. Do you suppose this is by accident or intent? Was the fable-ous Gospel Jesus incapable of writing as Dowd had done? Was Yahowah unable to back Paul's Iesou with a credible prophet as was the case with Shamuw'el and Nathan for Dowd? Or, as Yahowah has stated through His prophet Yasha'yah, was His intent all along for us to listen to Him speak of His Covenant through Dowd?

It speaks of Paul's audacity, that he would continue to pilfer from the very places where Yahowah destroys his credibility — to Chabaquwq, Yasha'yah, and to the Mizmowr. Desperate to find phrases he could take out of context and twist such that God's words would be misinterpreted and seen as endorsing his contrarian views, Paul became reckless. He established a paradigm by which the only way to believe him would be to remain ignorant and irrational — clueless to what was written in the *Towrah*, *Naby'*, *wa Mizmowr* | Teaching, Prophets, and Lyrics of Yahowah.

This is the ultimate dilemma for Christians. Without Paul's letters there is no Christianity because they preceded the Gospels by decades. But with Paul's letters, the religion is a grotesque lie from its inception to its execution. There is no excuse.

It is one thing to do as I am doing, which is to endeavor to more accurately and completely translate Yahowah's testimony from the oldest extant sources while sharing insights derived from what God revealed through His prophets. It is another to claim, as Paul has done, that he was inspired and authorized to be God's witness to the world. I can and do err from time to time – although I'll work tirelessly to correct my mistakes so no one is misled.

Paul, based upon his claims, cannot be afforded such latitude. He has now purposely and grossly misrepresented Yahowah's testimony four times during his First

Missionary Journey, and on each occasion, he has led his audience to believe the opposite of what was actually inspired and written. He would write 14 letters, and give many more speeches, but never once corrected anything he had erroneously stated. That is a crime worthy of She'owl in accordance with the First, Second, and Third Statements Yahowah etched in stone.

Christian theologians, for selfish reasons, will try to exonerate Paul by suggesting that he was quoting from the Septuagint. But that pig won't fly. That would be like me misrepresenting the Towrah, which was inspired and scribed in Hebrew, and is extant among the Dead Sea Scrolls, by citing a Medieval Latin translation while claiming direct Divine inspiration.

Paul boasted of being Gamliel's best student in rabbinical school, where he would have studied under Hebrew scholars. He could have read what we are reading and could have gotten it right had that been his intent.

Beyond this, there is very little in Paul's self-acclaimed accounting that rings true. His testimony has been a profusion of lies from beginning to end, from the completely fabricated account of authorizing the killing of "Stephen the Martyr," to meeting "Jesus" on the road to Damascus. His accounts are not only contradictory, they contradict the God he falsely claimed authorized and inspired him.

While we have more than enough evidence to conclusively demonstrate that Paul was deliberately deceptive in his desire to replace and negate Dowd, and that Paul was a false prophet, once again validating Yahowah's condemnation of him, there is more to the prophecy that I did not expect. Here are the next two statements for your consideration.

Speaking to His People, and to those willing to listen to Him, Yahowah revealed...

"Behold (hen – pay attention), you shall be called out, invited, and summoned (qara' – you will be asked to read and then meet, even welcomed) by a Gowy | non-Yisra'elite (gowy – a by a 'gentile' who is not ethnically Jewish) you do not know (lo' yada' – you do not recognize, acknowledge, or understand).

And further (wa), a Gowy | 'Gentile' (gowy – an individual of a different ethnicity than Yisra'el) not known (lo' yada' 'atah – not recognized, acknowledged, nor understood) by you ('atah 'el) shall chase after you ('atah ruwts – shall relatively quickly and intensely focus directly upon you) on account of (la ma'an – for the benefit of) Yahowah (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation), your God ('elohym 'atah), and also (wa) on behalf of the Set-Apart (la qadowsh – to enable the approach of the separated) of Yisra'el (Yisra'el – to Engage and Endure with God).

For indeed in truth (ky – surely, correctly, and reliably), he will lift you up and endow you with honor (pa'ar 'atah – he will distinguish you, making you the object of these revelations, affording you a very high status). (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 55:5)

Choose to seek (darash – opt to inquire about, learning information regarding, looking to) Yahowah (Yahowah – the proper pronunciation of the name of 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah existence and our shalowm – restoration) while He may be found (ba matsa' huw' – while He can be discovered and known).

Choose to call upon Him (qara' – elect to invite Him and meet with Him, read about Him and welcome Him) while He is near (ba hayah huw' qarowb – when He exists close by and is offering an intimate association and

personal relationship)." (*Yasha'yah* / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 55:6)

Each time the expressions *gowy* and *yada*' were referenced in the Great Isaiah Scroll, they were masculine singular. According to Yahowah, there is a *Gowy* engaged in and as *Yada*' who is not only summoning God's People to read what Dowd has to say and what Yasha'yah revealed but who is seeking to reendow Yisra'el and Yahuwdah with the honor and status Paul usurped. He is working on behalf of God to reintroduce Yisra'el to Yahowah. He is doing for God's People the opposite of what Paul attempted – risking his reputation and life to undo the damage of Christianity and Judaism, even Islam.

Since he is not accomplishing this for himself but, instead, at Yahowah's request and on behalf of the Chosen People, you are invited to benefit from what he is sharing. Join him in seeking to know Yahowah before it is too late.

Based upon Yahowah's frequent prophecies about him and the importance of the work he is doing, it would be unwise to discount his findings. He is the Voice calling out at this time to prepare Yahuwdym and Yisra'el for the return of their Messiah, Savior, and King.

Since there are no statements to be found in the whole of the *Towrah*, *Naby'*, *wa Mizmowr* which read as *Sha'uwl* | Paul has claimed, and since it only takes a matter of moments to prove that he not only misquoted God, but promoted the opposite of what God was revealing, why hasn't a single Christian held him accountable – telling the world that the basis of their religion has been fabricated? This realization is material, obvious, and undeniable.

Frankly, it is pathetic and appalling, "So it is also stated elsewhere: 'You will not let your holy one see decay.'" (Acts 13:35) Once again, Paul is usurping what was said of Dowd and applying it to his "Jesus," thereby discrediting the Son of God and Messiah. This is a citation

from the 16th *Mizmowr* / Psalm, where Dowd is the sole author, subject, speaker, and beneficiary.

It begins: "An engraving of Dowd. Keep Your focus upon me and be circumspect, closely examining and carefully considering what I'm doing, because in You, God, I confide and rely, placing my trust." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:1)

The Song continues: "I will commend Yahowah who provides me with advice and counsel, keeping me informed so that I can think properly. Even during the night, my inner nature, where I discern between right and wrong, instructs and corrects me. (Mizmowr 16:7)

I have continually and intensely desired to set Yahowah before me, seeking to be like Him, because by me being right, I shall never waver or fail. (*Mizmowr* 16:8)

As a result, my judgment is sound in uplifting the significant distinction and honorable reward associated with me which is ecstatically delightful. My body, and the good news I proclaim, shall abide and remain, enduring confidently while securely established. (*Mizmowr* 16:9)

Therefore, You will not abandon my soul to Sha'uwl. Neither will You permit Your Trusting and Devoted One to witness the prison of corruption and decay. (*Mizmowr* 16:10)

You have revealed and made known to me such that I understand the path to an abundant and joyful life in Your presence, being found agreeable and acceptable at Your right side forever." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 16:11)

This brilliant and endearing summation of the path to life with Yahowah is echoed in the 89th Mizmowr. In both, we are invited to follow Dowd to God. He knows the path,

has blazed the trail, and has revealed the way for our benefit.

Nowhere in it does it say: "You will not let your holy one see decay." It does not convey anything about Jesus. But what it does say affirms that Dowd's life and lyrics matter while Paul remains the Father of Lies. It is baffling that billions chose to believe Paul over Dowd. They readily discount the life of Dowd to follow Paul. In a statement we know that Yahowah personally inspired, Dowd wrote: "You have revealed and made known to me such that I understand the path to an abundant and joyful life in Your presence, being found agreeable and acceptable at Your right side forever."

A "decaying" Dowd whose value was limited to a single generation – it is the stuff of Satan's dreams...

"Now when David had served God's purpose in his own generation, he fell asleep; he was buried with his ancestors and his body decayed. (Acts 13:36) But the one whom God raised from the dead did not see decay." (Acts 13:37)

According to Sha'uwl, Dowd served a purpose which ended 3,000 years ago in 972 BCE. He fell asleep, was buried, and what was left of him has decayed, but that was not the case with "the one." The problem with this is that Yahowah said that Dowd served as an eternal witness, he served to fulfill the Miqra' in 33 CE, and that as the King, he will return in 2033. His is an eternal throne and seat of honor. God even said that Dowd would appear as brilliant as the sun in His presence – which is pretty much the antithesis of decay.

We know better, and so did Paul, and that's the point. As the Passover Lamb, Dowd's second of three *basar* | corporeal bodies served its purpose and was incinerated that evening as his soul entered She'owl with our guilt to perfect the Covenant's children on Matsah. He was,

therefore, neither "raised from the dead" nor bodily resurrected. Decay is the eventual result of every physical body — which is one of many reasons they are not resurrected. It would be counterproductive.

All of this was designed to make Dowd, the Son of God and the Messiah, our Shepherd and Savior, inferior to the man-god Paul was promoting. Instead of protecting Jews, Paul's god deplored them. And make no mistake, Paul wasn't speaking on behalf of or serving the fabled Gospel Jesus. He never once accurately quoted anything Iesou was alleged to have said – not once in 14 Epistles. This was all about Paul seeking to promote himself over Dowd, over Moseh, and indeed, over Yahowah. That is audacious, even for Satan's Advocate.

According to Yahowah, Dowd's purpose will endure forever. He will always be at Yahowah's right side, which means he will be executing judgment. When he returns, he will also serve to guide God's people. For all time, he will remain Yahowah's Messiah and King. He is God's beloved son and Chosen One who will forcefully demonstrate this by obliterating every myth associated with "Jesus." Therefore, in the 3rd Psalm, the one Sha'uwl was twisting to serve his agenda, we read...

"Yahowah (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation), what is the extent, and why the increasing number (mah rabab – who are the most concerning, how many are there, and to what purpose is the mass gathering in magnitude) of my adversaries and troubles (tsar 'any – of my foes and unfavorable situation, of my enemies and would-be oppressors, of my dire straits and narrow confines, even my opponent who is lowly and little; from tsarar – to be bound in, confined to a narrow place, besieged and tied up, vexed and harassed by those who are overtly hostile and antagonistic)?

A great many (rab – the preponderance of people, the great majority, an abundant and extensive amount, and a widespread and numerous quantity) rise up (quwm – take a stand, are established, honored and exalted, yet incited, powerful and standing fast) against me ('al 'any – over me)" (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 3:1)

Tsar is especially important to us at this moment because it is used to describe the Time of *Ya'aqob's Tsar* | Israel's Troubles, the adversarial and unfavorable situation whereby the nation will be confined and narrowed by her foes who are in hostile opposition.

Therefore, this is using one life to foreshadow another. It speaks of a much greater foe, of another Sha'uwl, of one who would turn countless billions against everything Dowd stood for and accomplished. It addressed Dowd's personal anguish when fulfilling Chag Matsah and the riotous conditions he will suppress during the Time of Ya'aqob's Troubles. It speaks of the adversary behind the great majority, the established, honored and exalted foe who would seek to relegate the Kingdom of Dowd to a bygone place and people.

"The preponderance of people (rab – the great majority, an extensive amount of people, and a widespread and numerous quantity of individuals) are saying ('amar – are declaring) of my soul (la nepesh 'any), 'There is no salvation ('ayn yashuw'ah – no deliverance, safety, victory, nor rescue) for him or through him with God (la huw' ba 'elohym).' Pause and consider this (selah)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 3:2)

Because of Paul's massive contribution to the Christian New Testament, this is what most people would say today of the likes of Dowd and those like him who are Torah-observant – that there is no salvation for him or through him. And they would be wrong, completely missing the point and purpose of the Towrah. The five

terms and conditions of the Covenant, coupled with the seven Invitations to be Called Out and be Welcomed by God, facilitate the salvation of those who accept the first and answer the second.

Moreover, Dowd was the Passover Lamb who Paul and Akiba were wont to deny. As a result of the religions they founded, most would claim that there is no salvation through what Dowd has accomplished. They would be wrong. And it would cost 80 generations of Jews and Christians their souls.

As we turn the page and embark upon the amazing discoveries that await us, we will find Yahowah specifically referring to Dowd as His anointed Messiah, as His chosen King, now and forever, as His right hand and rightful shepherd, and even as our savior. Through the lyrics and lives of this remarkably brilliant and articulate man of good judgment and exemplary character, Yahowah accomplishes all of these things. Dowd will even admit as much in the next stanza of his song. Dowd knew...

"You (wa 'atah), Yahowah (Yahowah), are a shield all around me and my source of deliverance (magen ba'ad 'any – You have provided the gift of protection over me and are a defensive weapon which shields me from attack, covering and surrounding me such that others may benefit by the same directions and path), my manifestation of power and attribution of status (kabowd 'any — everything I value and respect, my splendor and glory, my source of abundance and enrichment, my gift and reward), and the One raising (ruwm — lifting up on high, exalting and honoring) my head, first and foremost from the beginning (ro'sh 'any — my uppermost being)." (Mizmowr/Psalm 3:3)

It was never realistic to believe that Dowd was actually concerned for his safety. For example, my relationship with Yah is wonderful, but barely in the same universe when compared to Dowd's, and yet, I'm not bothered in the least with what others say of me when it pertains to the Word of God.

I know something of this, having received thousands of death threats from Muslims for having had the courage and compassion for Israel to reveal the truth about Muhammad, Islam, the Quran, and Muslims, doing so publicly on five thousand radio interviews, all under my name. And yet, I haven't lost so much as a minute's sleep over any of it. And I'm far and away less important to God in comparison to the Beloved and Anointed King of Yisra'el – our Zarowa' and Savior.

I share this because Dowd holds greater status with Yah than all of the rest of us combined. He would have had no reason to duck, causing us to realize that this reference to raising his head from the beginning, attributing great power and status to him, delivering him, speaks of something special, of something that was and soon will be.

Yahowah delivered Dowd's *nepesh* from She'owl following the fulfillment of Matsah. Then during Bikuwrym, Dowd became the *Bakowr* | Firstborn. His status was raised from *Ben 'El* | Son of God to *Yasha'* | Savior. He became the first and foremost among men.

What follows has the invitation in Dowd's voice and the answer in God's rather than the other way around.

"I communicated audibly calling out to and summoning (qowl 'any 'el qara' – using the sound of my voice I spoke out, inviting, then reading and reciting I make my declaration to, welcoming) Yahowah (Yahowah), and He answered me (wa 'anah 'any – so He responded to me, providing testimony and evidence for me) from (min – on account of and by way of) His Set-Apart Mountain (har qodesh huw' – His dedicated place of separation). Pause and consider this (selah)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 3:4)

Dowd knew where to turn his ear to listen to God. Further, his summons was answered because long before Dowd called out to Yahowah on this day, he had listened to His Towrah, engaged in His Beryth, and attended His Miqra'ey. It is the proper order of things and brings the most beneficial result. And in this case, Father and Son had determined what they would accomplish together 1,000 years in advance. They knew that they could rely upon one another.

This is announcing the Second and Third Coming, when Dowd arrived to save us and will return to lead us at the conclusion of the Summer of Earth's Great Dissent in 2033. Revived and productive, he will take action, as a result of Yahowah sustaining and supporting him throughout the past 3,000 years. If not, what reason would Dowd have for telling us in the midst of the 3rd Psalm that he did the same thing we all do almost every night: that he fell asleep and woke up refreshed and productive the next morning?

"I relaxed ('any shakab – I stretched out and reclined, lying down to rest (qal perfect)) and fell asleep (wa yashen – and slept). I will awaken revived and productive (qyts – passing through the summer and the heat of the moment, I am to be roused, feeling alive and refreshed, taking action and bearing fruit (hifil perfect)) because (ky) Yahowah (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation) sustains and supports me (samak 'any – upholds me, steadfastly focusing upon me such that I can lean on Him for whatever is necessary (qal imperfect))." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 3:5)

He fell asleep 3,000 years ago but was awakened to serve his people 1,000 years later, most especially during the fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym. And nine years from this revision of *Twistianity* in 2024, we will

witness the Third Coming of Dowd.

And yet, this was the passage Sha'uwl / Paul inserted into his diatribe to insinuate the following: "Now when David had served God's purpose in his own generation, he fell asleep; he was buried with his ancestors and his body decayed. (Acts 13:36) But the one whom God raised from the dead did not see decay." (Acts 13:37)

But that is not what Dowd wrote. And when he returns...

"I will not revere or fear (lo' yare' min – I will not respect or be afraid of) the great multitude of people (rababah 'am – the innumerable and countless people, races, the many millions of individuals) who from all around ('asher sabyb – who on all sides have taken positions on the perimeter and who have sought to surround and encircle me) have set themselves up against me (shyth 'al 'any – have placed, imposed, and established themselves, taking a stand and constituting themselves such that they are in my proximity, even over me)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 3:6)

As a consequence of Dowd's arrival to fulfill the Miqra'ey, the Beast of Imperial Rome and then its successor, the Roman Catholic Church, would torment and defame him. And then during the Time of Ya'aqob's Troubles, agitated by Islamic terrorists, great multitudes the world over will come to take their swipe at the Chosen People, especially on behalf of doctrines and faiths which are opposed to the expansive and united Yisra'el that *Dowd* | David represents. All things will come full circle with it becoming as it once was. Dowd will be one man against the religious world that has impugned his credibility and abused his people.

It was Yahowah who enabled the Lamb's sacrifice to open the Door to Life. It was Dowd's soul who endured *Matsah* to save us from ourselves, and then the Set-Apart

Spirit facilitated *Bikuwrym* | Firstborn Children. I suspect that the *Ruwach Qodesh* will be at work again during the withdrawal of Her Family on *Shabuw'ah* | the Promise of Seven at the point the Time of Israel's Troubles intensifies. Similarly, Yahowah inspired and empowered the words Dowd wrote which teach us how to observe the Towrah such that it leads to our salvation.

"Your desire and my will is for You to take a stand (quwm – my choice and Your decision is to encourage, be supportive, and establish (qal imperative paragogic heh cohortative – expressing first- and second-person volition while conveying emphasis regarding something genuine and ongoing in nature)) **Yahowah** (*Yahowah* – the proper pronunciation of the name of 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah existence and our shalowm - restoration). You want to liberate and deliver me because it is Your will to save me, causing me to be victorious (yasha' 'any – Your intent is to do what is required to rescue me, causing me, as an expression of Your will, to be like You, a savior and liberator, enabling me to become victorious while I help others similarly (hifil imperative – as an expression of second-person volition the subject (Yah) causes the object (Dowd) to engage in the process of salvation such that Dowd becomes Yah's understudy and, thus, a savior)), my God ('elohym 'any)." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 3:7 in part)

For those whose eyes and minds are open, you are witnessing some of the initial clues that lead to the most sublime of realizations. Dowd wanted to serve as the Pesach 'Ayil and Yahowah agreed with his Son's decision.

Dowd did what he needed to accomplish to save his people and his reputation. It was also what Yahowah wanted. Father and Son would take this stand together. They would support one another. And in so doing, Dowd, his people, and his reputation would be respected forevermore. Dowd became a Savior like his Father before

him.

It is Yahowah's words that ultimately save us. We find them throughout the Towrah. And no one conveyed them more brilliantly and powerfully than *Dowd* | David.

With so much accomplished, and then squandered by his people, Father and Son are obviously dismayed. There will be accountability...

"For indeed (ky), You will strike (nakah – You will verbally abuse and cripple, battering, afflicting, and destroying) accordingly all of those who are averse to and oppose me ('eth kol 'oyeb 'any – therefore anyone who demonstrates animosity, hostility, and rancor toward me, all of my foes and adversaries), crushing (shabar – smashing and shattering, breaking and separating (piel perfect)) the jawbone and teeth (lachy shen – jaw and that used for biting, chewing, and talking) of the unrighteous and unjust (rasha' – guilty and condemned, evil and wicked, troubling and vexing)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 3:7)

There would be battles to be fought which require Yahowah to defend His Son and His people from foreign accusations and invasions, saving them from those in opposition to them. And since Dowd is Yah's beloved Son, God's chosen King, His anointed Messiah, extended Branch, and prophet extraordinaire, even Sacrificial Lamb, those who speak out against him will be crushed by God. He will shatter the orifice from which their troubling and vexing diatribes have been spewed forth over the long march of time.

Dowd was far from perfect in his tumultuous life. There are times, such as the rape of his daughter, the revenge killing of his firstborn son, and his lifelong response to 'Abshalowm, where he isn't even likable. Sending a respected man into harm's way, because he fancied his wife, or sending others on an errand, because

he preferred the taste of Bethlehem's water, were reprehensible acts, as was Dowd's choice when given three options by God to negate the ill effects of continually counting upon his people's prowess as soldiers. Even the best among us had some wrongs to right. And so, did he.

It is in these things, especially when set into the context of his *Mizmowr* / Psalms and *Mashal* / Proverbs which make him perfect for the role he plays in our salvation. Dowd is like us and yet was declared "tsadaq – right and vindicated" by Yahowah, becoming His *Mashyach*, *Melek*, *Naby*', and *Bakowr*, which should inspire all of us to investigate how this occurred. What was it that *Dowd* | the Beloved did that caused God to see this remarkably flawed individual such that he became His favorite, His enduring symbol of what He wants for the rest of us – especially Yisra'el and Yahuwdah? The answer is found in his next line, and in every word of every *Mizmowr* and *Mashal* he wrote...

"Approach (la – draw near) Yahowah (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation) for the salvation (ha yashuw'ah – the deliverance and welfare, assistance and prosperity) of your family ('al 'am 'atah – upon your people) and for your benefit (barakah 'atah – for your opportunity and blessing, for your gift of prosperity, for a better circumstance and reconciliation of your relationship). Pause and consider this (selah)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 3:8)

He made it possible. We have Dowd to thank for providing access to Yahowah. He opened the door and perfected our souls, removing our guilt, his, his son's, and that of his people. It is that simple and that beneficial. Yisra'el: listen to him and come home. Yahuwdah: celebrate the return of your King.

Once we become cognizant of what Paul is trying to accomplish, we know that this abysmal deception lies at the very heart of Christian mythos due to Paul's claim that the Towrah cannot save. Sha'uwl would have Christians believe that, rather than the Towrah providing Yahowah's means to reconcile our relationship with Him and enter Heaven as part of His Covenant, it condemns those who violate its "commandments." Dowd, however, is proof otherwise. He was a flawed man who was right about God and was, therefore, vindicated. Dowd's life tears asunder Paul's justification for replacing the Towrah with his Euangelion of Charis.

Yet again, Sha'uwl has plucked a passage out of context, misapplied and misquoted it. Rather than proving his point, he has demonstrated that he cannot be trusted. After having been deliberately deceptive in the same way countless times, one would have thought that at least one Christian might have noticed his self-incriminating pattern.

In fact, it was Paul who was principally responsible for, "The preponderance of people (rab) are saying ('amar) of my soul (la nepesh 'any), 'There is no salvation ('ayn yashuw'ah) for him or through him with God (la huw' ba 'elohym).' Pause and consider this (selah)." (Mizmowr 3:2) Of all of the ways to engender Yahowah's wrath, denouncing His Son's sacrifice may be the most irritating.

Having established a false premise, and having lied in the process, *Sha'uwl* | Paul, the Son of Evil, said to those he would soon condemn... "Therefore, my friends, I want you to know that through Jesus the forgiveness of sins is proclaimed to you. (Acts 13:38) Through him everyone who believes is set free from every sin, a justification you were not able to obtain under the law of Moses." (Acts 13:39) And there you have it. Paul's plan saves and God's does not. How that is possible, he did not say.

"Umm, excuse me, Sha'uwl, Paulos, or whatever you said your name was," someone listening to Paul must have said anxiously, raising his hand, astonished at what he had just heard. "This is a non sequitur. Misrepresenting promises Yahowah made to Dowd has no bearing on your theory which, therefore, leaves it completely unsupported. Even worse, sir, the Psalm you cited to prove your point conveys the opposite, that Dowd is the Savior and that he reveals the way to God. You, therefore, are a liar!"

Although it would take me a while, at least I was able to see through Paul's deception and had the courage and compassion to denounce him publicly. Yes, it would have been better if someone way back then beat me to it, but better late than never. After all this time, it still needed to be said clearly and bluntly. Ignore it at your peril.

If you were wondering why Yahowah concluded His prophetic announcement in the 55th chapter of Yasha'yah with the following reference, now you know...

"Behold and pay attention (hen), you shall be called out, invited, and summoned, be asked to read and then meet, even being welcomed (qara') by a $Gowy \mid$ a non-Yisra'elite (gowy) you do not know, recognize, or acknowledge as Yada (lo'yada').

And further (wa), a Gowy | 'Gentile' (gowy) not known or understood (lo' yada' 'atah) by you ('atah 'el) shall chase after you, focusing directly upon you ('atah ruwts), on account of (la ma'an) Yahowah (Yahowah), your God ('elohym 'atah), and also (wa) on behalf of the Set-Apart (la qadowsh) of Yisra'el (Yisra'el). For indeed in truth (ky), he will lift you up and endow you with honor, making you the object of these revelations (pa'ar 'atah). (Yasha'yah 55:5)

Choose to seek and inquire about, learning information regarding (darash) Yahowah (Yahowah) while He may be discovered and known (ba matsa'

huw'). Choose to call upon Him, inviting Him into your life, welcoming Him by reading about Him (qara') while He is offering an intimate association and personal relationship (ba hayah huw' qarowb)." (Yasha'yah / Isaiah 55:6)

Yahowah has made it abundantly clear that the opposite of what Paul has postured is true. "Jesus" did not proclaim the forgiveness of sins. In fact, apart from the Towrah's presentation of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, and Dowd's fulfillment of them, there is no means to salvation.

And might one ask, how does one "believe" "Jesus" when so little of what the mythical misnomer is alleged to have said and done can be verified? How can one accept the notion that "Jesus" was in opposition to the Towrah when the Instruction on the Mount claims otherwise? How can anyone "believe" Paul when it is so obvious that he is lying?

How does Jesus save? Is it by dying? Was it by allowing Jews and Romans to kill him? Was it by selecting Roman crucifixion so as to create a religious icon? Are we to believe that the Roman implement of torture was imbued with magical powers? How does agony lead to serenity? Were the Romans who opposed Yahowah's people and land conscripted to work on behalf of the God they denied?

Was God such an ineffective communicator that He was relegated to annulling His message and then replacing it such that salvation would be achieved by placing one's faith in the very man He called the Plague of Death? Is anyone paying attention? Paul's unsupported assertion and logical contradiction as the central tenet of the Christian Faith is asinine.

If the God who sent His Son to fulfill Passover as the Lamb cannot be relied upon, how can the misnomer *Iesous* | Jesus be any better? If we are to believe that "Jesus" is the

"Son of God," the Messiah," even "God in the flesh," wouldn't he be the same as the God Paul is saying cannot be trusted?

And speaking of Paul's *Iesous* | Jesus, since no one existed by that designation, how is someone to go about believing in a person whose name remains unknown, whose ethnicity they are encouraged to abhor, whose statements they must negate, and whose purpose has to be annulled? If faith in this person is all that matters, then why didn't Paul quote anything he said?

In His *Towrah* | Guidance, first in *Shemowth* | Exodus and then in *Dabarym* | Deuteronomy, Yahowah delineated an act which He said was unforgivable – denying and denigrating the merit of His name. Paul and all of those he has misled are guilty of committing the unpardonable crime of negating the value of Yahowah's name by excluding it from all of their written and verbal accounts.

Further, there is no "law of Moses." The *Towrah* | Teaching is Yahowah's and it is His source of Guidance and Instruction. It is also God's lone means to save. As proof, consider Dowd's 19th *Mizmowr* / Psalm:

"On behalf of the eternal and glorious One, a *Mizmowr* | Psalm of *Dowd* | the Beloved: The heavens quantify the unit of measure, exactly and accurately of the manifestation of power, glorious presence, and overall significance of God. Its expansion and breadth make conspicuously known His handiwork. (*Mizmowr* 19:1) Day unto day pours out a proliferation of answers with words and their intent. Night unto night reveals knowledge which leads to understanding. (*Mizmowr* 19:2)

Nothing exists, and everything is senseless, without the Word, without these answers and promises. Nothing matters or survives when and where the spoken and written message of the voice which calls out is corrupted or negated, when it becomes nameless and is no longer heard or understood." (Mizmowr 19:3)

"Yahowah's Towrah, His Teaching and Guidance, is complete and perfect, restoring and transforming the soul. Yahowah's testimony is trustworthy and reliable, making understanding relatively easy for the openminded. (*Mizmowr* 19:7)

Yahowah's directions are correct, promoting the right attitude while facilitating good judgment. Yahowah's instructive conditions of His relationship agreement are purifying, illuminating the proper perspective. (Mizmowr / Psalm 19:8)

Revering and respecting Yahowah purifies and perfects forever. Yahowah's just means to resolve disputes are reliable and vindicating." (Mizmowr/Psalm 19:9)

This is music to my ears. The words of a true prophet are so much sweeter than the sour chords struck by Sha'uwl.

Since Paul has acknowledged that "David" served God's purpose, even if for a single generation, and since Dowd's words and Paul's are wholly incompatible, indeed the antithesis of one another, there is no rational way to construe Paul as having told the truth. Pause now and think about that.

The Father of Lies was so arrogant that he would often insult his audience, believing that no matter how obvious he made it, no one would bother to fact-check his citations and thus recognize that he was an imposter. And yet, the prophecy he would cite next from *Chabaquwq* / Habakkuk to intimidate and cower his audience so that they could be coerced into believing him was expressly written to do the opposite.

As we now know, 666 years before Sha'uwl presented

this speech and then penned his first letter in 52 CE, in 615 BCE (recognizing that there was no year 0 between BCE and CE), Yahowah chose a man named "Chabaquwq | Embrace This" to expose and condemn an individual named "Sha'uwl | Question Him." God's concern was that this heinous individual would lure billions of souls back to Babylon by negating His Towrah and replacing His Covenant. And yet, like a moth drawn to the fire, Paul could not seem to leave it alone. The prophet he most often cited is the one who called him out by name, labeling Sha'uwl the "Plague of Death," while at the same time renouncing everything he would come to represent.

"Take care that what the prophets have said does not happen to you: (Acts 13:40) 'Look, you scoffers, wonder and perish, for I am going to do something in your days that you would never believe, even if someone told you." (Acts 13:41)

The seldom-considered prophetic witness of Habakkuk was usurped by Paul to cast aspersions on his audience when it was actually written to warn future generations of Jews about *Sha'uwl* | Paul. The prophecy the Devil's Advocate misappropriated and misquoted is found in *Chabaquwq* / Habakkuk 1:5. In context, God's warning began with these words...

"This is the prophetic pronouncement (ha masa') which, for the benefit of the relationship and to show the way to get the most enjoyment out of life ('asher), was received as a revelation by way of witnessing a prophetic vision of the future by (chazah) Chabaquwq | Embrace This (Chabaquwq), the prophet who proclaims the message of God (ha naby'). (Chabaquwq / Habakkuk 1:1)

For how long and to what extent ('ad 'an), Yahowah (५७५५), shall I plead for relief during this desperately horrible and dangerous situation (shawa')

because (wa) You will not actually listen for a prolonged period of time (lo'shama') to my anguished appeal and summons (za'aq)?

Toward and against You ('el 'atah) there is a devastating plot comprised of cruel lies regarding being Towrahless, plundering of people without moral restraint (chamas), and as a result (wa) You must continuously withhold salvation (lo' yasha'). (Chabaquwq 1:2)

For what reason, for whom and why (la mah) are You having me witness (ra'ah 'any) this grotesque corruption and deliberate fraud ('awen) along with (wa) the distressing misery and abysmal situation being inflicted that ('amal) You are having me consider (nabat)?

The demonic spirit seeking to be worshiped as God, who is exceedingly malicious and oppressive, the Devil, himself (shod / shed), is a destructive force, completely Towrahless and lacking moral restraint (wa chamas), and yet he is conspicuously before me, publicly conveying this message right out in the open (la neged / nagad 'any).

He has been and continues to be (wa hayah) contentious and conflicting, taunting and quarrelsome, harboring in hostile opposition a different perception regarding the proper standard (ryb). He brings forth and continuously advocates (nasa') dissension regarding condemnation and vindication (wa madown). (Chabaquwq 1:3)

In this regard (ken 'al), he will consistently seek to incapacitate, invalidate, and paralyze the purpose of, striving to nullify, while bringing an end to (puwg) the Towrah | Teaching and Guidance (Towrah).

Therefore, he will never disseminate or carry

forward (wa lo' yatsa') the everlasting and eternal approach (la netsah) to vindicate by justly resolving disputes or to exercise good judgment by making informed and reasoned decisions regarding the adjudication of relational issues (mishpat).

By contrast (ky), wickedness is invasive and injustice encompasses (rasha' kathar) the means to be right and become innocent ('eth ha tsadyq).

For this reason, that which ('al ken) he brings forth and disseminates (yatsa'), perverts, distorts, and convolutes ('aqal) the way to make informed and rational decisions regarding judgment (mishpat)." (Chabaquwq 1:4)

It is sad that, after Yahowah went to this extent to expose and condemn *Sha'uwl* | Paul for our benefit, by a ratio of a million to one, men and women have chosen to believe the Father of Lies and Son of Evil over the word of the Creator. Nevertheless, this brings us to the passage in the 5th verse which the principal author of the Christian New Testament used to taunt his audience in 52 CE. It is Yahowah's warning to His people to be observant such that they are forewarned regarding the irrational means Sha'uwl would deploy to corrupt *gowym* with Christianity – and haunt them as a result.

"You can witness this (ra'ah) among the Gentiles (ba ha gowym) if you care to be consistently observant, carefully considering and evaluating (wa nabat). So, you should avoid being among those negatively influenced. You should be astonished and astounded, and thereby remain free of these societal influences. You should independently exhibit an exceptionally negative reaction, bewildered and dumbfounded, wondering how it was even possible (wa tamah tamah).

Indeed, it is true that (ky) a considerable undertaking will transpire (po'al pa'al) in your days (ba

yowmym) which you will not find credible in spite of it being truthfully and reliably verified (lo' 'aman), even when it is properly assessed, written down, and he is held accountable (ky saphar)." (Chabaquwq 1:5)

Yahowah's prophetic warning, encouraging His people to reject what they were hearing on this day, was twisted by the deceiver God was warning them about, intending for them to cower. And while it was written so that those living at a time when they could have confronted Paul in person, Yahowah knew that no one would. And therefore, this was foretold on our account. I am the first person since this was written to do as it says. And you are the beneficiary. What now?

As we are aware, Yahowah would also encourage...

"For this reason (ky), look to Me, and pay attention (hineh 'any), being upright while taking a firm stand (quwm) regarding ('eth) the Chaldeans and the religious influence of Babylon (ha Kasdym), this population of Gentiles (gowy) who are disagreeable and embittered (mar), impetuous and hasty (wa ha mahar). Through the vast expanses of the region (la merchab 'erets) he makes his way (ha halak) acting as if it was his inheritance, taking possession of (la yarash) inhabited places that are not his to own (mishkan lo' la huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:6)

He is (huw' min) terrible and repugnant, exceedingly distressing and terrorizing ('aym), as well as intimidating while demanding to be venerated (wa yare'). And yet his decisions and judgment, his plans and means to resolve disputes (huw' mishpat), are his alone (huw'). His proposals on being accepted into the relationship and to be forgiven (wa se'eth huw'), he brings forth and disseminates (yatsa')." (Chabaquwq 1:7)

Taking us further into the future, the prophet describes how the airborne weapons marshaled by the nations

influenced by this menacing lone wolf would be brought against God's people in their darkest hour, perpetrating a great injustice at the behest of cruel lies. His victims will be innumerable and dense and include both religious and political leaders, all attacking and degrading 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob, and thus the fulfillment of the Covenant with Yisra'el.

"Then at that time ('az), he will actually go with a new and completely different spirit, discarding the Spirit, sweeping Her aside, and actually exchanging the existing Spirit for a totally dissimilar spirit (chalaph ruwach). And he will arrogantly travel about, intoxicating and alienating based upon an unjustified opinion of himself, sacrificing an inheritance while revoking the prospect of salvation by repealing Passover (wa 'abar). He will be wrong, incur guilt, and will genuinely suffer punishment for his acknowledged offenses (wa 'asham). For this is (zuw) his influence (koach huw') on behalf of his god (la 'elowah huw')." (Chabaquwq 1:11)

And then this admonition renouncing Sha'uwl's most recent claim...

"Yahowah (YaHoWaH), my God ('elohym 'any), my Set-Apart One (qodesh 'any), are You not eternal, from an unlimited duration of time (ha lo' 'atah min qedem)? Yahowah (Yahowah), we will not die (lo' muwth) as the means to decide guilt or innocence (la mishpat). You have actually appointed for him (sym huw'), accordingly (wa) the rocky Crag (tsuwr) which You have assigned and positioned to argue against and rebuke him (la yakach yasad huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:12)

Too flawless (tahowr) are eyes to witness ('ayn min ra'ah) such malignant and displeasing evil (ra'). You cannot endure or prevail when (lo' yakol) looking upon or responding to (wa nabat) such a perverse and

grievous undertaking ('el 'amal). For what reason would (la mah) You look at or consider (mah nabat) the betrayal of an offensive and deceitful trickster and traitor engaged in chicanery (bagad)?

Therefore, You will enable an implement to write against this plot, facilitating an inscribed response by composing an effective demarcation. Otherwise, You will remain silent and unresponsive, taking no other action for a prolonged period (charash) concerning that which is befuddling and confusing besides countering it with effective communication, thereby devouring (ba bala') the wicked (rasha') more accurate and righteous than him (tsadyq min huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:13)

You act and engage with (wa 'asah) humankind ('adam) in a manner which could be compared to (ka) fish (dag) of the sea (ha yam), similar to (ka) creatures which move freely about (remes) without anyone ruling over them or seeking to control them (lo' mashal ba huw'). (Chabaquwq 1:14) And yet with everything associated with him (kol huw' ba), he will use a lure and hook to entice, to withdraw and then sacrifice (chakah 'alah). When (wa) he catches them, he will drag them away (garar huw') in his dedicated trap (ba cherem huw'). And he will gather them together and remove them (wa 'asaph hem) in his dragnets by kindling his victims' yearnings while emotionally agitated and mentally dysregulated (ba mikmereth huw').

And yet, concerning this ('al ken), he is elated, being intoxicated with himself (samach), and he is glad, shrieking and shouting over this outcome (wa gyl). (Chabaquwq 1:15) So therefore, in this way ('al ken), he sacrifices and slaughters (zabach) his devotees as they approach his net and are ensnared in his trap (la cherem huw'). And he blows smoke to make illicit worship seem pleasant (qatar), ensnaring his victims while remaining emotionally agitated and unstable (la mikmereth huw').

For indeed (ky), through them (ba hem) he is enriched and satisfied (shaman), through seductive words while he claims a share of his persuasive plot (cheleg huw'). In this regard, what he devours (wa ma'akal huw') is contrived, newly fashioned and artificial. recently created. entirely and synthesized, comprised of circumstances and conditions which have been amalgamated (barva'). (*Chabaguwg* 1:16)

So how is it (ha 'al ken) that he continues in vain to advocate such worthless fantasies and delusions from so far away, promoting that which is unreal and has never existed, doing so without any benefit, only to disgorge into oblivion (ryq) believers from his trap (cherem huw'), thereby (wa) eternally and intentionally ending the lives (tamyd la harag) of Gentiles (Gowym) while showing no concern, compassion, or mercy (lo' chamal)?" (Chabaquwq / Habakkuk 1:17)

By his own admission, *Sha'uwl* | Paul was demonpossessed. His Lord became the Christian god as a result. Through the Son of Evil, the Adversary would achieve his goal of being worshiped above the Most High by the preponderance of people, rising above the Almighty through religion, while turning Gowym against Yahuwdym.

Let's take a moment and compare what was actually written in *Chabaquwq* / Habakkuk 1:5 with what was alleged in Acts 13:41 such that we are reminded of Sha'uwl's propensity to pervert and twist the Word of God to suit his purposes. It is remarkably similar to the way Satan deceived Chawah in the Garden.

"Take care that what the prophets have said does not happen to you: 'Look, you scoffers, wonder and perish, for I am going to do something in your days that you would never believe, even if someone told you.'" (Acts 13:40-41)

"You can witness this among the Gentiles if you care to be observant. So, you should avoid being among those negatively influenced. You should be astonished and astounded, and thereby remain free of these societal influences. Independently exhibit an exceptionally negative reaction, wondering how it was even possible. Indeed, it is true that a considerable undertaking will transpire in your days which you will not find credible in spite of it being truthfully and reliably verified, even when it is properly assessed, written down, and he is held accountable." (Chabaquwq 1:5)

Trying to get the Jews in the synagogue to believe him, *Sha'uwl* | Paul directed their attention to Yahowah's warning against him. So why am I the first to notice?

Since Sha'uwl chose to corrupt Chabaquwq's prophecy to infer that those listening would perish if they scoffed at him, let's turn the tables by revealing what Yahowah had to say about the Father of Lies.

"Upon ('al) My requirements and responsibilities, My mission which functionally serves as a safeguard to watch over, protect, and preserve the observant (mishmereth 'any), I have decided of My own volition that I will literally and continually stand ('amad).

And (wa) I will choose to remain firm and prominently present Myself (yatsab) upon ('al) that which strengthens, protects, and fortifies, preventing a successful attack by the adversary (matsowr). Therefore (wa), I will be on the lookout (tsapah) in order to see (la ra'ah) what he will say about Me (mah dabar ba 'any).

So then (wa) how can I be expected to change My attitude, thinking, or response (mah shuwb) concerning ('al) My disapproving rebuke and subsequent chastisement and punishment (towkechath 'any)? (Chabaquwq / Habakkuk 2:1)

Yahowah (Yahowah) answered me, responding by approaching me and providing additional testimony ('anah 'any). And He said (wa 'amar), 'Write down (kathab) this revelation (chazown), and (wa) expound upon it, reiterating it using letters to teach others its significance (ba'ar) upon ('al) writing tablets, inscribing it on a panel or screen such that it is enduring and memorable (ha luwach), and so that (la ma'an) by reading this (qara' by huw'), he might run and go away (ruwts). (Chabaquwq 2:2)

Nonetheless, the subsequent realization of ('owd ky) this revelation from God (chazown) is for the Mow'ed | Appointed Meeting Times (la ha mow'ed). It provides a witness to and speaks, pouring out evidence, censuring the puffery from the blowhard (puwach) in the end, addressing those who are cut off and cast away (la ha qets). Should it seem slow to develop, the extended period of time required for this question to be resolved ('im mahah) shall not prove it false (lo' kazab).

Expect him in this regard (chakah la huw') because, indeed (ky), he will absolutely come (bow' bow'), neither being delayed nor lingering (lo' 'achar). (Chabaquwq 2:3) Pay attention because (hineh) he will be audacious and oblivious, puffed up with false pride, heedless of the truth and thus reckless and foolhardy, a genuine pain in the butt ('aphal).

His soul, attitude, and personality, especially his character (nepesh huw') is neither right nor straightforward in him because he does not consider anything appropriately, he is circuitous in his reasoning, wandering away by twisting and convoluting the teaching, such that nothing is on the level with him (lo' yashar ba huw').

As a result, it follows (wa): through trust and reliance, by being firmly established and upheld by that

which is dependable and steadfast, always truthful and reliable (ba 'emuwnah), he who is correct and thereby vindicated (tsadyq huw') shall live (chayah). (Chabaquwq 2:4)

Moreover (wa 'aph), because (ky) the intoxicating and inebriating spirit (yayn) of the mortal man (geber) of deceptive infidelity and treacherous betrayal, this traitor who is untrustworthy, unprincipled, and unreliable (bagad) is an overbearing moral failure of unwarranted self-importance, aggrandizing himself (yahyr), he will not find peace or live, nor will he find appropriate words to achieve his goal of coming home (wa lo' nawah), whomever is open to the broad path, the expanded and improper way ('asher rachab) associated with (ka) Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl).

He (huw') and (wa) his soul (nepesh huw') are like (ka) the Plague of Death, a pandemic disease that kills a large population of people (ha maweth). And so (wa) those who are gathered in and brought together by him, accepting him ('asaph 'el huw') will never be satisfied (lo' saba'). Most every gentile ethnicity (kol ha gowym) he will claim as his own and gather together unto himself (qabats 'el huw'), many such people will be included among his followers (kol ha 'am). (Chabaquwq 2:5)

They do not ask questions, any of them, about him (ha lo' 'eleh kol hem 'al). Terse references to the Word they lift up as taunts to ridicule, simplistic and contrived equivalencies, clichés and adages which become bywords to exercise dominion through comparison and counterfeit (mashal nasa'), along with (wa) allusive sayings and mocking interpretations, derisive words wrapped in enigmas arrogantly spoken (malytsah).

There are hard and perplexing questions which

need to be asked of him (chydah la). And (wa) they should say ('amar), 'Woe (howy) to the one who claims to be great so as to increase his offspring, acting like a rabbi, to the one who thrives on numbers and who considers himself exceedingly great (rabah),' none of which applies to him (lo' la huw').

In the meantime, for how long ('ad mathay) will they make pledges ('abtyt) based upon his significance, pursuant to the weight and burden of his testimony, and the esteem afforded him (kabed 'al huw')? (Chabaquwq 2:6)

Since (wa) he loads himself down (ta'an) with ('eth) thick ('aphelah) mud (tyt), why not (ha lo') quickly, even if only for a short period of time (peta'), rise up and take a stand (quwm)? And (wa) those of you who are smitten and under his influence, perhaps making payments to what he represents (nashak 'atah), wake up from your stupor (wa yaqats) such that you move away in abhorrence (zuwa' 'atah). Because otherwise (wa) you will be (hayah) considered (la) plunder and be victimized by them (mashisah la hem)." (Chabaquwq / Embrace This / Habakkuk 2:7)

In light of what Yahowah revealed through Chabaquwq, in recognition of Paul's propensity to twist and pervert the Word of God to promote his insidious plot, it's a wonder there is a single Christian who believes him. And yet there are over 2.3 billion believers. Shame on you! And shame on Yahuwdym for allowing this cancer to metastasize in your midst.

Although I have little doubt that Sha'uwl told his Greek coconspirator, Luke, to write it like this, it didn't actually happen this way. What this proves is not that "devout converts to Judaism followed Paul," but that Sha'uwl was a delusional and manipulative narcissist and psychopath. Any correlation between reality and Paul was

happenstance.

"As Paul and Barnabas were leaving the synagogue, the people invited them to speak further about these things on the next Sabbath. (Acts 13:42) When the congregation was dismissed, many of the Jews and devout converts to Judaism followed Paul and Barnabas, who talked with them and urged them to continue in the grace of God." (Acts 13:43)

This was a very tense time in the Roman Empire. Corrupt men, each more depraved than his predecessor, vied to be worshiped as Emperor. The only common thread, other than their conniving duplicity, moral depravity, and vicious barbarism, was the degrading manner they treated non-Romans, the *Gentilis*. And as evidenced by the carnage Rome would inflict upon Judea, the most vulnerable were Jews.

As such, there were no "devout converts to Judaism." For good reasons, Jews had been conditioned over the centuries to avoid the abusive and genocidal tendencies of the Egyptians, Assyrians, Babylonians, Greeks, and now Romans. They did not and do not seek converts. The more devout the Jew, the less they support the notion of accommodating foreigners.

Moreover, devout Jews religiously avoid saying the names of false gods. The Gratia, from which "Grace" is derived, were Greco-Roman goddesses of hospitality and merriment. They would have been repulsed by them.

Further, the steps of orthodox Jews are counted on the Shabat, and thus severely limited, such that they could not have followed Paul and Barnabas. If the alleged Gentiles in the synagogue were accepting of what Sha'uwl is reported to have said about "Jesus," they would no longer be devout converts to Judaism. And more to the point, if these Jews were fervent, they would have known that Sha'uwl had misappropriated and misquoted their *Tanakh*.

Along these lines, when we compare what was attributed to Gospel Jesus when he entered a synagogue, and what was occurring in this one while Sha'uwl stood to speak, we notice something that differentiates Paul from those who actually know Yahowah. They recite Yahowah's *Towrah*, *Naby'*, *wa Mizmowr* to listen to what God has to say. Paul insisted upon his audience listening to him.

Moving to Paul's next lie, keep in mind that this was a Roman city, a place soon to be called, Hadrianopolis, where Caesar Augustus was worshiped as god along with Dionysus and Cybele. Jews represented an infinitesimal percentage of the people in this place, and the "Gentiles" who lived here worshiped their Lords on the Lord's Day, Sunday, not on the Shabat. Therefore, this could not have occurred...

"On the next Sabbath almost the whole city gathered to hear the word of the Lord. (Acts 13:44) When the Jews saw the crowds, they were filled with jealousy. They began to contradict what Paul was saying and heaped abuse on him." (Acts 13:45)

Gentiles would not have gathered on the Shabat because doing so would have put them at odds with Rome and their religion. The "Lord" is Satan's title, something of which Sha'uwl would have been keenly aware. Under the control and influence of Rome, Jews would never have reacted jealously to the emergence of large crowds of Gentiles.

They would have gone into hiding, knowing that they would likely be victimized and abused – the opposite of the way Paul is portraying them. And last we checked, these Jews were in the habit of gathering together inside of their tiny synagogue on the Shabat, such that they would not have been outside, contradicting Paul.

While we are on the subject, as has been the case with

Christianity and Islam, Christians and Muslims have expressed great pride in building their churches and mosques directly over the places most revered by Jews. A tiny synagogue was recently found buried beneath the floor of the church the locals constructed directly above it. Muslims, of course, subsequently tore the church down to build a mosque.

If this event had occurred, what the Jews were accused of doing would have been the correct response. Without exception, those who are informed, rational, and compassionate are inspired to contradict what Paul has said. The correct response is to heap massive amounts of derision upon him. It is the appropriate rejoinder to the Father of Lies.

It is unlikely that Barnabas said much of anything in Paul's presence. And the fact is, Barnabas would soon walk away from Paul, taking Mark with him, disgusted by his contradictions and duplicity. In fact, according to Paul, at the end of his life everyone abandoned him except Timothy – whom he solicited to manipulate Mark. His fraud was paper-thin, and with the slightest effort, he is shown to be an imposter.

Rather than speak the Word of God as he claims, Sha'uwl twisted and contradicted Yahowah's testimony to annul it and promote his own. Paul's mantra was designed to repudiate and denigrate Jews, inciting Gentiles to rise up against them. He was serving Satan, after all.

After transferring the promises Yahowah made to Dowd to Iesous, this was the next card to be played from the bottom of this deck of Tarot cards to advance Replacement Foolology. The Jews who rejected Paul had to be replaced with the Gentiles who were ill-equipped to criticize the wolf preying upon them.

"Then Paul and Barnabas answered them boldly: 'We had to speak the word of God to you first. Since you reject

it and do not consider yourselves worthy of eternal life, we now turn to the Gentiles. (Acts 13:46) For this is what the Lord has commanded us: "I have made you a light for the Gentiles, that you may bring salvation to the ends of the earth."" (Acts 13:47)

It was the epitome of evil, Satan's crowning achievement. According to Sha'uwl / Paul, the Jews were not worthy of eternal life because they rejected the Plague of Death. So, the Devil's Advocate turned to the Gentiles, those whose familiarity with the Towrah and Prophets was so limited that he could lie with impunity.

This noted, please don't overlook the confession. Replacing Jews with Gentiles was Paul's idea. It had nothing to do with the false pretense of Jews plotting to kill Jesus. It was because Jews realized that Paul was lying.

And there is no resolving Paul's guilt. Not only was replacing Jews with Gentiles the second part of Paul's foundational dogma of Replacement Foolology, with the first being his substitution of Dowd for Iesou, this speech was made decades before the myth of conniving Jews was promoted in the Gospels.

Therefore, the anti-Semitic nature of Christianity was conceived and promoted by Paul. It is one of many reasons he earned the title Plague of Death.

This time the Devil's Advocate has returned to citing the prophet, Yasha'yah | Isaiah. The statement he has misappropriated, and errantly as well as arrogantly attributed to himself, is found in Isaiah 49:6. It was not a command but instead a question presented as a request. It was not written of Paul and Barnabas but is instead in the voice of the Prophet Yasha'yah. And it was not composed to spurn Yisra'el, replacing Jews with Gentiles, because it was written to call the Chosen People home.

Recognizing that context is the mother's milk of

understanding, let's begin listening to Yahowah's great prophet at the beginning of the chapter. He asks...

"Why not listen to me (shama' 'y 'el 'any—it's a pity you don't choose to hear what I have to say to those of you living along the sea, those surrounded by water, dwelling on the coastlines of continents; note: 'y can convey coastlines, serve as a negation, express a woe, or pose a where or why question (qal imperative — genuinely of our own accord)) you people of different nations and cultures, those of you who are still influenced by antiquated philosophies (la'om — populations congregating under obsolete cultural, social, political, or religious notions)?

And those who remain alienated, living a great distance away (min rachowq — who are separated and distant, far away from the source and thus estranged), come to your senses and pay attention (qashab — choose to be alert and attentive, accepting this information so that you can respond appropriately to it [from 1QIsa] (hifil imperative))."

Excellent question and outstanding recommendation. Why not? What do you have to lose to listen to this astute man speaking for God?

In a world awash in lost souls, other than ethnic Yisra'el and Yahuwdah, for whom Yahowah is always calling, precious few others are going to prequalify themselves for inclusion into the Covenant. At issue is walking away from religion and politics as well as the growing fascination with conspiracy and Progressive globalism to warrant an invitation. And without Yah's solicitation, Covenant membership is not likely. It is His Home, His Family, and He is wise enough to be selective. He reaches out to those He finds interesting...

"Out of the womb (min beten), even from the body of my mother (min me'ah 'em), Yahowah (Yahowah – an

accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation) called out to me, inviting me (qara' 'any – called me, summoned me, and designated me by name, encouraging me to read and then recite aloud, announcing this opportunity to meet, to be greeted and welcomed), by proclaiming and memorializing the truth with my name (zakar shem 'any)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 49:1)

His name was Yasha'yah. It is comprised of *yasha'* and *Yah*, with Yah being the familial form of Yahowah. The value of *yasha'*, however, is stunted when we relegate it to "salvation." That is its fourth connotation or, more correctly, the result of the other three. Yasha'yah actually means that Yahowah is willing to assist those prepared "to be delivered from harm's way." That is to say, He will engage "to rescue" us if we, like the Yisra'elites of old, show a willingness to answer His *Miqra'ey* | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet while walking away from *Mitsraym* | the Crucibles of Religious, Political, Militaristic, and Economic Oppression.

Yasha' is a call to "freedom." Once we are delivered from man's harmful ways, once we are prepared to be rescued in the manner Yahowah has prescribed, we can celebrate our newfound freedom in the fullest sense of yasha'. Those who trust and rely upon Yahowah, His Towrah, Miqra'ey, and Beryth are yasha' | emancipated from Mitsraym and Babel. That is what the prophet's name reveals. His name, therefore, discredits Sha'uwl's claim that they could not be saved by the Towrah.

There are several people who acknowledge that Yahowah knew them before they were born. I think it is true of everyone who serves with Yahowah to accomplish something of great importance. It would be true of Noach, 'Abraham and Sarah, Yitschaq and Ya'aqob, Moseh and

'Aharown, Yahowsha' and Shamuw'el, especially Dowd, but also Yirmayah and Yasha'yah, 'ElYah and Yada. While we live under the auspices of freewill, nothing which is vital to the intent of the Beryth, the purpose of the Miqra'ey, or the wellbeing of Yisra'el is left to chance.

"He caused my mouth (wa sym peh 'any) to be like a sharp sword (ka chereb had – and akin to an effective chisel). In the recesses of His hands (ba tsel yadym huw' – set between the object and the source of light such that His hands were modeled after and resemble the light in fewer dimensions [from 1QIsa]), He kept me safe because He loves me (chaba' 'any – He cherished our relationship and therefore protected me).

He chose for me to be like a purging and purifying missile (wa sym 'any ka la chets barar – He is responsible for causing me to become comparable to a brilliant and shining cauterizing arrow, one designed to remove and cleanse, severing some while separating others, especially those who have been chosen and who then choose for themselves by having examined, probed, and tested the means to purification [from 1QIsa]) in His arsenals (ba 'ashphah huw' – within His quiver and home [1QIsa]). He covered and concealed me (sathar 'any – He hid me from view, veiling what I have revealed)." (Yasha'yah 49:2)

The reference to one's mouth becoming a sharp sword leads us to a conclusion Yahowah has long advocated: our most effective weapons are neither fists nor knives, neither bullets nor bombs, but instead words wielded wisely. Like a cauterizing arrow, they can go right to the heart of the matter, piercing even the most cherished lies and stopping the hemorrhaging in the process.

To appreciate why God concealed His prophet, recognize that *Yasha'yah* | Isaiah, the most prolific of those who spoke for Yah, was, by his own admission, an abject failure during his lifetime. He did not "*yasha'-yah*"

anyone! So, why do you suppose Yahowah would veil the most extraordinary and comprehensive revelation of all time?

The answer is twofold. His people, Yisra'el and Yahuwdah, were not ready for the prophet's message and He knew that the remaining *Gowym* | Gentiles would do as *Sha'uwl* | Paul has done and misappropriate it, claiming it for themselves. It would not be until Sha'uwl's fraudulent embezzlement was systematically exposed and condemned, freeing His people from this plague's deadly intent, and concurrent with His desire to call His people home, that His prophet's words would be unveiled. That is what is happening before you this day.

"Then He said to me (wa 'amar la 'any), 'You are My coworker ('ebed 'any 'atah — you and I can work together as), Yisra'el (Yisra'el — One who Engages and Endures with God), through whom by revealing the way to the benefits of the relationship ('asher ba 'atah — with you and by way of the proper path to get the most out of life) I will make glorious declarations (pa'ar — I will explain My desire to raise up and beautifully adorn, honoring and exalting (hitpael imperfect — acting on My own initiative without any outside influence I will continuously expound upon the reasons behind My means to beautification and glorification))." (Yasha'yah 49:3)

Third only after Moseh and Dowd, Yasha'yah was among Yahowah's most insightful and expressive prophets. On behalf of his people, Yisra'el, he exposed the family's maladies while making God's redemptive plans for them known. By contrast, Sha'uwl, the scribbler and spewer of anti-Semitism, wanted to rid the world of them because they wouldn't accept him alongside the true prophet like Yasha'yah. For Paul to prevail, he knew that he had to silence the voice of those who knew God and who spoke for Him.

If we were to properly value character and intellect, and the resulting legacy of insightful prose, the three greatest men in human history would be Moseh, Dowd, and Yasha'yah. As a result of exceptional lives well lived, we have the Towrah, the Psalms and Proverbs, and the Great Isaiah Scroll. And while Yahowah cherishes these men and values their achievements because of their integrity, brilliance, and literacy, their prophecies accomplished relatively little heretofore.

As few as one in a million appreciates the conditions or benefits of the Beryth, the purpose or fulfillment of the Miqra'ey, and the journey from 'Eden back to the Gan over three successive forty Yowbel epochs of time. The Children of Yisra'el were antagonistic and dismissive toward Moseh and Yahowah, and have remained so, preferring to be religious to engaging in the intended relationship.

Dowd defeated Yisra'el's foes, united the nation, and acquired the Temple Mount in Yaruwshalaim. But his grasp was tenuous, and it was soon lost. And even today, not even one in a million people search his Mizmowr to discern his role in the fulfillment of the Miqra'ey and the redemption of his people.

Yasha'yah's depiction of Yisra'el over the fullness of time is the most insightful and comprehensive ever written. And yet, during his lifetime, no one would benefit from what he revealed. Even today, there isn't so much as one in a million who realize what Yasha'yah means, that he condemned his people's religiosity, or that he spoke prophetically of Dowd and his Herald.

Each of these men recognized what I'm sharing with you, and it frustrated them. And so Yahowah consoled each man, telling them that the Children of Yisra'el were rejecting Him, not them. He also upheld them by affirming that everything they had written would eventually resonate

with a remnant of their people. And no one spoke more authoritatively or vociferously of how that would occur than Yasha'yah. This is why Yasha'yah's mea culpa was followed by a triumphant declaration.

"I said ('any 'amar'), 'I have labored (yaga' – I have devoted enormous time and energy to the task, working to the point of becoming weary (qal perfect)) ineffectually without result (la ryq – unproductively and in vain), having nothing beneficial to show for it (tohuw – such that it has produced nothing), making me comparable to the brevity of breath passing out of my mouth (hebel – making it appear meaningless and futile during this fleeting moment in time). And yet I have used my potential to my fullest capability (koach 'any kalah – deploying my strengths and abilities, qualifications and resources, to completion and exhaustion).

Even so ('aken), my judgment is right (mishpat 'any – my sense of what is true and false, beneficial and counterproductive, and my decisions on the means to justly resolve disputes are valid) with regard to ('eth) Yahowah (Yahowah – the proper pronunciation of the name of 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah existence and our shalowm – restoration). And that is the reward I have for what I have done (pa'ulah 'any – as are my compensation and labor) with my God ('eth 'elohym 'any)." (Yasha'yah 49:4)

The lack of a result was not Yasha'yah's fault. He had devoted his life to sharing what Yahowah had revealed through him. Unfortunately, no one listened, at least not back then. But we are listening now!

The Great Isaiah Scroll reads "the One who fashioned and formed 'you" rather than 'me,' as is the case with the Masoretic Text. It is the enduring legacy of Yasha'yah's words, not the man, himself, that would be deployed by

Yahowah to call Ya'aqob home such that Yisra'el might be gathered for His harvest.

Regarding this declaration, the great prophet is reminding us that our job is to look and listen, to know and understand, so that we can share the means to approach Yah. His job is to take it from there – to reach the souls with whom He wants to engage.

"And at this moment (wa 'atah – simultaneously), **Yahowah** (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah - God guided by His towrah instructions regarding His hayah - existence and our reconciliation) spoke, making announcement ('amar - expressed and declared): the One who fashioned and formed you (yatsar 'atah – who conceived you [you in 1QIsa and me in the MT]) within the womb (min beten – in gestation) to be His coworker (la 'ebed la huw' – to serve as His associate and work with Him) will cause Ya'aqob to come back to Him (la shuwb Ya'aqob 'el huw' – will change by turning around so as to facilitate and effect the return of Yisra'el to Him, thereby restoring His people (polel infinitive – using a verbal noun to effect this result)) such that Yisra'el (wa Yisra'el those who engage and endure with God) might be gathered for His harvest ('asaph – to be collected and removed, withdrawn to His place)."

This cannot be *Sha'uwl* | Paul because he declared the opposite intent. Remember: "Then Paul and Barnabas answered them boldly: 'We had to speak the word of God to you first. Since you reject it and do not consider yourselves worthy of eternal life, we now turn to the Gentiles." On the contrary, it remains Yahowah's purpose, His prime objective, "to call Ya'aqob back to Him such that Yisra'el might be gathered for His harvest." Therefore, by unveiling Yasha'yah's words prior to the Shabuw'ah and Taruw'ah Harvests on behalf of Yisra'el, this is exactly what will be accomplished.

I think Yasha'yah recognized this because he was shown the result of *Yada Yahowah*. And that is why he said...

"I have merit and am honored (kabed – I have significance and a worthy distinction) in the eyes (ba 'ayn – in the sight) of Yahowah (Yahowah – the proper pronunciation of the name of 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah existence and our shalowm – restoration). My God (wa 'eloah 'any) has been, is, and always will be (hayah – exists as) the One who assists me and the reason that I'm capable, always helping me ('azaz 'any – the One aiding and abetting me, the source of my mental and physical capabilities [from 1QIsa])." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 49:5)

This intimate portrait of the life of the gifted prophet and his love for his people, indeed, his desire to call Yisra'el home, takes us to the statement Paul raped from its context and then abused to infer that he was serving at the command of God who made him "a light for the Gentiles, that you may bring salvation to the ends of the earth." That's a hell of a boast for the maniacal @%?!*&^> who was the Father of Lies and the Plague of Death.

"And then He said (wa 'amar – He expressed in words), 'It is far from trivial (qalal min – it is out of the relatively swift and easy manner which is far from insignificant) that you have become (hayah 'atah – that you will be) the one I approached to work through (la 'any 'ebed – to serve as My coworker and associate) to raise up and reestablish (quwm – to take a stand, elevating and honoring) the tribes ('eth shebet – the major subdivisions of the nation, the closely related family groups, the clan and people) of Yisra'el (wa Yisra'el – those who have engaged and endured with God [1QIsa whereas the MT reverses the order of Yisra'el and Ya'aqob]), those of Ya'aqob (Ya'aqob – Trustworthy and

Beneficial Footsteps, the patriarch of the Covenant and forefather of Yisra'el; from 'aqab / 'eqeb – to be rewarded as a consequence of unwavering and reliable movements and a willingness to dig in one's heels when it comes to being steadfast, honest, and dependable along with the recompense and reward of being trustworthy) who will be preserved for a subsequent purpose at a later time (natsyr – pertaining to those who remain, and who have been kept safe in dangerous times so that the cause may continue), such that they might be brought back and restored (shuwb – transforming them, changing their direction, so that they can return home).

And so (wa) I will offer you (nathan 'atah — at this moment in time I will provide you as a gift, causing you to be) as a light to illuminate (la 'owr — for the purpose of enlightening) the nations (gowym — the confluence of ethnicities, countries, cultures, and places outside of Yisra'el) such that My deliverance (yashuwa'ah 'any — My rescue from danger and resulting freedom leading to My salvation) may come to exist (la hayah — will occur and come to pass) as an eternal witness unto the far extremities ('ad qatseh — as enduring testimony as far as the outskirts [1QIsa plural (as is the case in the LXX) while singular in the MT]) of the Earth (ha 'erets — the Land and/or material realm)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 49:6)

While God did speak of "a light for the Gentiles," the verb, *hayah*, meaning, "to exist as," not "bring," follows "*yashuwa'ah 'any* – My deliverance." Therefore, rather than saying "that you may bring salvation," God said, "such that My deliverance from danger may come to exist."

It is by causing His prophetic light to shine as a witness throughout the Gentile world that Yahowah will finally be able to rescue Yisra'el. It is Ya'aqob, and thus Yisra'el, who is being saved, although by way of a gentile, not a Jew. Without Yahowah's light, which shines so brightly through

Yasha'yah, Yisra'elites would remain in the darkness, unaware that Yahowah is calling them home.

Once again, the inverse of what Paul cited is what God actually said. The Devil's Advocate neglected to acknowledge that Yahowah's preceding statement made the purpose of providing this light the opposite of the point he is trying to make. Yisra'el was indeed worthy of salvation and their relationship with god would not only be restored, this remains the prime objective. Further, the one struggling with demon possession inferred that "you" was Paul, not Yasha'yah – fraudulently usurping the role of a prophet. Then he somehow forgot to mention that *yasha*' was prefixed with the preposition 'any | My, likely because Paul's target audience and means to salvation were the opposite of Yahowah's.

We are all free to form and share our own opinions but not to misrepresent the Word of God – at least without consequence. By pulling Yahowah's revelation to Yasha'yah out of context, by ignoring what God had just said and would say, and by misquoting Him in the process of misappropriating His intent, Sha'uwl condemned himself and every Christian who foolishly believed him. It is little wonder he didn't share what God revealed next...

"Now this is what is being conveyed (koh 'amar – thusly it is communicated) by my Upright One ('edown 'any – my Upright Pillar who enlarges and secures the Tent of the Witness [from 1QIsa]), Yahowah (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation), Your Redeemer, who delivers and saves you (ga'al 'atah – the One who rescues you by removing you from a dangerous situation, your kinsman who will buy you back, redeem and avenge you [from 1QIsa which adds "'atah – your" to ga'al]), Yisra'el (Yisra'el – Individuals who Engage and Endure with God), and His Set-Apart One (wa qadowsh

huw' – along with the one who is special and separated unto Him (based upon Mizmowr 89, this would be Dowd)). regarding the Despised and Despicable Soul (la bazah nepesh – to the contemptible individual and disgraceful thief who pillages and plunders without merit, who is lowly and little and held in contempt, the one scorned and ridiculed for dividing everything into two parts (read: "Old" and "New" Testaments, who, based upon Mizmowr 89, would be Sha'uwl)) for the repulsive and abhorrent **behavior** (*la ta'ab* – for the vile manners and shameful tendencies, because of the abomination, the detestable contempt and appalling rejection [plural in 1QIsa]) of the confluence of ethnicities, cultures, religions, and governments of non-Yisra'elites (Gowym - of the Gentiles and their nations and institutions), along with those who exercise authority and serve this narrative ('ebed mashal - to those who work for and with governments and associate with those in control, who strive to assert authority over others and have become objects of scorn in this vivid portrayal).

Governmental heads of state and institutional **leaders** (melek – kings along with those embodied with political, military, or religious power) shall be seen rising **up** (ra'ah wa quwm – will be witnessed rising and will be looked upon to take a stand) along with their highranking officials (wa sar – and also their commanders and captains who are military officers, royalty and chieftains, and all manner of overlords and authority figures [this wording is from 1QIsa]), but (wa) because of (lama'an – in deference to, in response to, and in view of) Yahowah (Yahowah – the proper pronunciation of the name of 'elowah - God as directed in His towrah - teaching regarding His hayah existence and our shalowm restoration). thev will bow down. prostrating themselves, while seeking to explain themselves (chawah – bowing low, they will announce their position by actually displaying it) to the One who, to show the way

to the benefits of the relationship ('asher – who reveals the correct path to walk to get the most out of life), is truthful, trustworthy, and reliable ('aman – is honest and accurate, correct and right, easily verified and readily confirmed), the Set-Apart One (qadowsh – the One who is unique and separated, wholly unlike that which is popular or common) of Yisra'el (Yisra'el – Individuals who Engage and Endure with God), the One (ha 'echad) who has chosen and prefers you (bachar 'atah – who selected you and who desires you)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 49:7)

God's words tell a different story than the one Sha'uwl / Paul was painting. Yahowah is Yisra'el's savior, the one who will redeem His people from the Christians Paul has poisoned. Rather than Gentiles deserving salvation and Jews not, it is the other way around. This realization further reinforces our previous conclusion, that the reason the light provided by the prophet Yasha'yah is now manifest within the nations is to serve as a homing beacon. It is being presented among the Gowym because Yahuwdym had ignored the message for the past 2,700 years.

Now that He has someone's attention, God is calling His people home through him, away from Gentiles by a Gentile, thereby unveiling the light Yasha'yah revealed long ago. It is why there are three Zarowa' – Moseh, Dowd, and Yada, with the last of them cultivating the seeds they had sown to encourage new life, growth, and an abundant harvest.

It appears that Sha'uwl / Paul has been afforded yet another title: *Bazah Nepesh* | the Despised and Despicable Soul. That is to say, while Paul isn't the star of this story, as the principal villain, he gets sixth billing behind Moseh, Dowd, Yahowsha', Yahuwdah, and Yisra'el.

According to God, the driving force behind the Christian New Testament, the character with the largest

speaking role is the "Bazah Nepesh — Despised and Despicable Soul, the contemptible individual and thief who pillaged and plundered without merit, the lowly and little one held in disrespect, the one scorned and ridiculed for dividing everything into two parts (read: "Old" and "New" Testaments)" responsible "la ta'ab — for the repulsive and abhorrent behavior, the shameful and appalling rejection" of the "Gowym — the Confluence of Ethnicities, Cultures, Religions, and Governments."

It is amazing that Paul took readers to yet another brush with infamy. I am only sorry that it took this long to call him out. After all, it is obvious that God vehemently disagrees with what Paul has said and done. He intends to do the opposite of what Sha'uwl articulated...

"Now, therefore, thus says (koh 'amar – accordingly declares) Yahowah (Yahowah – an accurate transliteration of the name of 'elowah – God guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence and our shalowm – reconciliation), 'During the Time (ba 'eth – in the particular and proper period, after the passage of considerable time, and in the right time, the occasion) of Acceptance (ratsown – of favorable choices, of approval, and of fulfilling one's will and desires, of goodwill; from ratsah – being favored, accepted, and pleased), I will respond to you ('anah 'atah – I will answer you, as I am thinking about you and will become preoccupied with you, concerned and worried about the affliction you are suffering [from 1QIsa which changes the perfect in the MT to the imperfect]).

And (wa) in the Day of Salvation (Yowm Yashuwa'ah — the Day of Deliverance, Rescue, and Liberation, the time of freedom from danger), I will assist and support you, increasing everything about you ('azar 'atah — I will help you, providing everything that is necessary, including the power to accomplish the task [from 1QIsa which changes the perfect (completed) in the

MT to the imperfect (ongoing)]). And I will protect you (wa natsar 'atah – I will spare you and preserve you, keeping you safe, developing a watchful and observant relationship with you).

To raise (quwm – to establish and confirm, to take a stand with, honor, and keep) the Family ('am - for the people), I have offered you (wa nathan 'atah – I have given, allocated and provided you (qal imperfect)), **accordingly** (la), the Covenant (Beryth – the Family and Home as a Familial Relationship Agreement). Therefore, the Land (la 'erets – as a result, the earth and material realm) which was ravaged and became appalling (shamem - that was devastated, depopulated, and ruined, becoming a wasteland for horrifying terrorists) will be reapportioned, repossessed, and maintained (nachal – will be reacquired and received, bestowed and preserved by legitimate standards to heirs) as an inheritance (nachalah – giving successive generations ownership and control over the property)." (Yasha'yah / Yahowah Rescues, Liberates, and Saves / Isaiah 49:8)

So much for the notion of a "New Covenant" with Gentiles inheriting God's promises to Israel. That clearly has not occurred, nor will it ever happen. So much for the Twistian and Fakestinian claims to the Land of Yisra'el. Their "Two-State Solution" is an attack on God and will serve as nothing more than the staging ground for Islam's final assault on Yahuwdym. According to Yah, the ultimate *Tsyown* | Zionist, His plan is to remove the appalling Gentiles and restore the Promised Land to Yisra'el.

With a single puff, Yasha'yah extinguished Paul's claim to have been sent out as a light by God to save the Gentiles. They will be depopulated to protect Jews from them. Replacement Foolology died 777 years before it was proposed by Sha'uwl in this ill-conceived diatribe.

We have been right all along. A Benjamite Sha'uwl

became the Roman Paul, switching allegiances to condemn Jews while claiming to save Gentiles. He did so because he recognized the former were too well-informed to believe him while the latter were sufficiently steeped in pagan lore, anti-Semitism, and immorality to lap up his theoretical and theological toxin as if it were their mother's milk...

"When the Gentiles heard this, they were glad and honored *with* the word of the Lord; and all who were appointed for eternal life believed. (Acts 13:48) The word of the Lord spread through the whole region." (Acts 13:49)

He told them what they wanted to hear, and they believed him. For all practical purposes, Paul had become their Messiah and their god. He would inspire and write their "Scriptures."

By telling those who were estranged from God that they had become the Chosen People, that salvation was free, and that all they had to do was believe him, Paul's faith became popular. While he had to lie through his teeth to make these claims, it would not matter because the Christian religion would be based upon faith and didn't require believers to do as Dowd had done to be right.

It was not enough for Sha'uwl to dupe the Gentiles. Since the Jews were sufficiently informed to realize Sha'uwl was perverting their Towrah and Prophets, those who knew the truth would have to be repudiated and discredited. It was the only way for his contradictory and unGodly message to prevail. From this moment on, any Yahuwd who exposed Paul as the liar he had become, who pointed out the obvious and copious conflicts between his words and those of God, would be dismissed and abused by the mythical moniker: "Judaizer."

"But the Jewish leaders incited the God-fearing women of high standing and the leading men of the city. They stirred up persecution against Paul and Barnabas and expelled them from their region. (Acts 13:50) So they

shook the dust off their feet as a warning to them and went to Iconium. (Acts 13:51) And the disciples were filled with joy and with the Holy Spirit." (Acts 13:52)

And thus ends the reading of Christianity's version of the Satanic Verses with Paul's disciples filled with joy at their Lord's warning to the dastardly Jews they were discrediting and dehumanizing. Anti-Semitism would flourish in the resulting cesspool of ignorance. As such, Twistianity is an appalling abomination – quite literally, the Plague of Death.

It was the worst speech ever recorded – with a legacy as menacing as Adolf Hitler's Kroll Opera House address to the Reichstag delegates when he predicted "the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe." The Apostle Paul continues to occupy top billing on the list of the most diabolical men who have ever lived.

It is telling that this Despised and Despicable Soul consistently established himself and his Lord as adversarial to the Chosen People. According to the Father of Lies and Plague of Death, as "the word of the Lord spread through the whole region," "the Jewish leaders incited the Godfearing women of high standing and the leading men of the city," "stirring up persecution against Paul," "expelling him from their region."

It is obvious that Sha'uwl was busy spreading the word of his Lord. So, the rest of this is a lie – one crafted to divide the world such that Gentiles would come to justify their hatred of Jews. There would be two covenants and two testaments, one old, one new, the ineffectual one for the Jews and Paul's Gospel of Grace for the rest of the world. And in the confusion, not a single solitary soul would engage in the Covenant with God over the course of nearly two millennia.

Before we delve into the root cause of Christian anti-Semitism, let's be honest about Yahuwdym. Their relationship with Yahowah was strained during Dowd's reign, and it's only grown worse since that time. They assimilated into Greek and European culture and lost sight of almost everything that had made them special. Then they became a house divided against Rome on three occasions and now against themselves in the midst of war.

The first of the Roman invasions is not as well-known as those that occurred in 70 and 133 CE, destroying the Temple and then the people, so let's take a moment and see how Jewish infighting boiled over into a civil war. This occurred after the death of Queen Salome Alexandra. Infighting between her sons, Hyrcanus II and Aristobulus II, caused them to vie for the prestigious and lucrative titles of Chief Priest and King. With the conniving Antipater the Idumaean in the mix, the resulting chaos and military alliances became unsettling for Rome. After his deputy worsened the problem by accepting bribes, the Roman general, Pompey, intervened and commenced a brutal three-month siege on Jerusalem in 63 BCE, ending Yahuwdah's independence. In the process of becoming a tributary province of the Roman Republic, twelve thousand Jews were slaughtered by Pompey's forces.

Having gained access into the city by building ramparts from the north unto the Temple Mount, and deploying battering rams constructed in Tyre, the pompous Roman immediately desecrated the "Holy of Holies" within the Temple. The Kingdom of Judea was then dismembered, forced to relinquish the coastal plain, depriving it access to the Mediterranean, as well as much of Samaria, greatly diminishing the state. Greek assimilation had been bad, but not nearly as debilitating as Roman subjugation.

The unified ranks between Jewish religious and civil leaders portrayed by Paul and throughout the "Gospels" are not true. The Pharisees, for example, were intolerant of Rome. When they heard that Pontius Pilate would be bringing his army from Caesarea to Jerusalem, they encouraged thousands of religiously conservative Jews to walk seventy miles to Caesarea and lay prone around Pilate's house for five days, all in objection to the effigies of Emperor Augustus emblazoned on the standards of his infantry. The Sadducees, however, wanted to coexist with the occupiers, in opposition to the Pharisees.

Things got especially tense when Gessius Florus accepted bribes from robbers to release them from prison – allowing them to continue their thievery as long as they shared their stolen loot with the Roman overlord. Making matters worse, because the bribes and share of the booty proved insufficient, Gessius began acting like a Roman Catholic pope and started robbing the Temple Treasury.

When the people protested, Gessius marched his troops into the city and turned them loose to plunder and kill its inhabitants. The Zealots responded in kind and began killing Romans and their collaborators. Then at the Temple, itself, Jews stopped the twice daily offering of a sacrificial bull to show their submission to Rome. Fearing that another revolt would have devastating consequences, as a house divided the most affluent of Judea requested more Roman troops be brought in support of Gessius and his stooge, Agrippa II, grandson of Herod. In September 66 CE, he sent 2,000 cavalry into the city.

After seven days of carnage on both sides, Agrippa's forces were driven from the city. The Zealots, after taking Masada, returned to Jerusalem with an arsenal of weapons. Further demonstrating that Jews were more divided than united, on the Shabat they burned the palace of the High Priest in the south, Agrippa's palace toward the east, and stormed the fortress Antonia north of the Temple, killing the Roman cohort. They set upon Herod's palace in the west and massacred his garrison. It was a full day of mayhem. Then, even among the Zealots, there was conflict, with the larger faction driving the Sicarii out of the city and

killing their leader, Menahem.

In response, the Roman general, Cestius Gallus, marched from Antioch, the capital of the Syrian Province of Imperial Rome, with Legions comprising 18,000 men. They destroyed every Judean town that did not capitulate. They entered the city as the Zealots retreated to the Temple. But the Romans began undermining its foundation while setting its gate ablaze. Inexplicitly, Gallus cowered, snatching defeat from the hands of victory. Seizing upon the opportunity, Jews chased the retreating Roman army, inflicting heavy damage. By the time they had reached Bezetha, Jews had killed 6,000 Roman soldiers, forcing Gallus to abandon his weapons and possessions.

Prominent Jews fled Jerusalem to escape the inevitable Roman retaliation, while others stayed to defend their country from this oppressive regime. And as further evidence of a house divided, a priest named Joseph ben Mattathias, whom posterity would know as Flavius Josephus, trained a Jewish army estimated to number 65,000 based on the Roman model. In opposition to him was the wealthiest Jew of the day, John of Gischala, who held a monopoly on kosher oil. He saw the priest-turned-general as a threat, and thus as a traitor.

All the while, Nero ordered his most acclaimed general, Vespasian, to suppress the uprising. He assembled an army of 60,000 troops to raid the same Galilean towns, readily defeating the priestly Josephus – at least until he and his remaining troops took refuge in Jotapata, where the tide of the war nearly turned. But it was not to be, because after a forty-seven-day attack with fifty-foot armored siege towers and one hundred sixty siege engines, its walls were breached – but not until a Jewish deserter told Vespasian when the inhabitants would be most vulnerable.

Josephus and forty other leaders hid out in a cave and made a suicide pact. As they drew lots and began killing one another, Josephus somehow arranged to be the last man standing. He then surrendered to a Roman officer who took him to Vespasian. Rather than die, he convinced the Roman that he was a prophet by telling the general that he would someday be emperor.

Meanwhile, with Josephus out of the way, John of Gischala entered Jerusalem and lied, telling the Zealots that the High Priest Ananus and his associates were about to hand the city over to the Romans. So, the Zealots brought in a mercenary army from Idumaea, which backfired. When they entered the city, the Idumaeans went berserk, such that the city and Temple were covered in blood. Now aware of the hoax, the oil tycoon broke with the Zealots and formed a rival party.

At the same time in Rome, Nero took his life, giving Vespasian the opportunity he sought to become emperor. He left his son, Titus, to finish his dirty work. Josephus, the priest-turned-general then prophet, was now a Roman and free. He became a traitor and accompanied Titus, providing advice on how to most easily enter the city. It would not take much, as it was already engulfed in a three-way civil war, destroying itself. As some factions sued for peace, others attacked the Roman positions on the Mount of Olives – inflicting heavy casualties.

However, the Romans were vastly superior militarily to the people they had subjugated for the past 130 years, and as Josephus pleaded with Jews to surrender, Titus turned one wall after another to rubble. And yet, in one last great act of rebellion, a remnant managed to undermine and torch the Roman siege engines. In response, Titus built a five-mile-long wall around the city in order to starve the inhabitants to death.

Even then there was disunity, with many fleeing the city to surrender to the Romans. However, before some left, they swallowed their wealth, devouring gold coins that

they expected to extract from their excrement. It proved illadvised because, when the first was spotted picking coins out of his poop, the Syrians and Arabs serving the Romans gutted every Jewish escapee. It was so barbaric, Titus objected and made the impromptu surgery a capital offense.

It was a lost cause. The Temple was slowly being consumed by fire as a consequence of the Jewish attack on Roman siege engines and battering rams surrounding it. The final insult occurred when a Roman soldier threw a torch through a window and the Temple was destroyed.

Simultaneously, the Romans set the lower city ablaze. The remaining rebels hid in the sewers, only to be dragged out and killed. The city was razed. Titus took tens of thousands of Jewish prisoners along with the Temple's treasures, even its Manowrah, to Rome. The leader of the Zealots was chained through the nose, dragged to Rome, and then executed, thrown to his death from Tarpeian Rock near the Temple of Jupiter.

The notion of a unified crowd of Jews, of Chief Priests, civic leaders, and ordinary people, chanting in one accord with one voice to kill fable-ous Iesou Christou is preposterous. It was all contrived to create a conspiracy to abuse and murder Jews.

If you want ten opinions, argue with a single Jew. Not much has changed over the years, although a homecoming is now in the offing.

Jews have long been too smart for their own good, they have not always come when called, and they have been stubborn to a fault, but these challenges are resolvable. The problem was Paul. He was the Jew who dared argue with God. Considering his claims and where they are presented, he is the deadliest of men.



Twistianity
V4: Incredible
...Faith is for Fools

8

The Death of Religion

Inaccurate Accounting...

It is with considerable trepidation that we venture into the pages of the Christian New Testament once again. And yet, Shim'own's misappropriation of the Shabuw'ah Harvest is so diabolical that it warrants additional exposure to these Satanic Verses. Known as Pentecost, the non-event is unintelligibly presented as the birth of Christianity. His speech narrating the occasion is chronicled by Luke in the Book of Acts, although he would not have been in Judea at the time.

While we will never know what Shim'own / Peter may have actually said or witnessed, it does not actually matter because Christianity is based upon Luke's presentation. And recognizing that Luke was Paul's lead propagandist and personal healer, we should not be surprised that the resulting speech may be as bad as Paul's episode in the synagogue presented in the previous chapter.

Having allegedly walked alongside gospel Jesus for three years, and having been in Yaruwshalaim during Dowd's fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, now all alone, the disciple thought it opportune to pilfer yet another treasure from God. And he did, nearly robbing the world of the penultimate harvest of souls.

The reason for equivocation with "nearly" regarding Peter's attempt to misappropriate the Promise of the Shabat from the Covenant Family is because "Pentecost" has become so intertwined into Western culture depicting the birth of the Christian Church, the tendency is to avoid the Miqra' like the plague it inspired. In this way, it is similar to Christmas, Good Friday, and Easter Sunday – all of which loosely parallel the Miqra'ey they convolute and corrupt.

However, foregoing the Invitation to be Called Out and Meet with God would be unwise since *Shabuw'ah* | the Promise of Seven Sevens plays such an enormously beneficial role in the life of the Covenant Family. Its fulfillment will occur in our immediate future – at the commencement of the Time of Israel's Troubles, just seven years prior to Yahowah's return with the Messiah Dowd.

As has been mentioned previously, Shabuw'ah is presented as a harvest of mature and prominent standing grain. With its all-inclusive guest list of native-born and foreigners, rich and poor, young and old, and men and women, it features a robust menu and is symbolized by two loaves of bread lifted up to God. They represent the Gowym and Yahuwdym withdrawn on this day – May 22nd, 2026. From this point forward, all hell will break loose in Israel, with the imposition of a terrorist state of Islam carved out of the heart of the nation leading directly to an invasion perhaps a thousand-fold worse than what was endured on October 7th, 2023.

Turning the clock back two thousand years, we note that the Book of Acts, like the Gospel of Luke, was a work of fiction – far more wrong than right. Neither book was inspired by God. However, since they are considered "Scripture" by billions, the narratives they contain, which were scribed circa 75 CE, are seldom scrutinized as we are doing. And that is a shame because it becomes immediately obvious to an informed and rational reader that they were agenda-driven and, more specifically, heavily influenced by Sha'uwl's / Paul's jaundiced perception of Jews.

This becomes readily apparent the deeper we dive into

either the Book of Acts or the Gospel of Luke because both are riddled with inaccuracies, fallacies, and, worse, anti-Semitism. With this in mind, the second chapter of Acts begins...

"Indeed (kai), on (en) the Day (hemera) of Fifty (Pentekoste – feminine form of pentekonta, meaning fifty), it was fulfilled (sumpleroo – was fully completed). It came to exist (eimi) that all were assembled in harmony (pas homou – everyone was like-minded, like-natured, and unified in an assembly) in the presence of (epi) it (auto)." (Acts 2:1)

I may be the first to share the bad news. This is a grossly inaccurate portrayal of Shabuw'ah. God has actually invited everyone to attend the annual party. Therefore, it is Yahowah's intent that those gathered on Shabuw'ah be exceedingly diverse in their life situations, ethnicities, gender, age, perspectives, attitudes, and thinking. The reason that the Promise of the Shabat was depicted this way in the Towrah was because it is the Miqra' where Yahowah wanted everyone to hear His message and experience His passion for life. Done correctly, the attendees would not be like-minded, similarly devoted, or harmonious.

This known, those who will eventually benefit from the Mow'ed when the souls representing the standing and mature grain are reaped seven years before the Messiah's return, will have accepted the conditions of the Covenant and will have capitalized on the benefits of the previous three Miqra'ey. Therefore, while the *Shabuw'ah* | Promise of the Shabat and the Vow of Seven Sevens has not yet been fulfilled, neither the annual celebrations nor the eventual harvest will play out as Peter was describing.

When the foretold event transpires on May 22nd, 2026 as the sun sets on a Shabat, the moment the Time of Israel's Troubles intensifies prior to Yahowah's return with Dowd,

there will be no Christians participating among the one-ina-million Covenant members who are withdrawn.

Peter's perverse ploy is particularly pathetic. He is pretending to benefit from, orchestrate, and explain Shabuw'ah without even mentioning Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym which are prerequisites. Shabuw'ah is one of seven Mow'edym, and the other six play no role in the upstart religion. It is irrational to claim Seven Sevens for a faith at war with the Towrah since that is the only place the meaning and purpose of the Promise of the Shabat are depicted. As a result, to disingenuously pilfer the middle Miqra' and ignorantly and incorrectly describe it, while claiming it as a spiritual awakening, is appalling. But apparently not to Christians who celebrate Pentecost to this day as if announcing the birth of their god-forsaken church.

"And immediately (aphno) there came into existence (ginomai) out of (ek) heaven (ouranos) the sound of information and news (echos – of a spoken report), like (hosper – corresponding to) a compelling force (biaias – a powerful source of energy), moving inwardly and upholding (pheromai) the breath of spiritual life (pnoe – feminine derivative of pneuma Spirit), and filling (pleroo – supplying) the whole (holos) household (oikon) where (ou) they were residing." (Acts 2:2)

No, exactly the opposite. Rather than news from heaven arriving at this moment, all of those who are properly informed about heaven will be departing. The *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set Apart Spirit of Yahowah is withdrawn from the Earth on Shabuw'ah. Therefore, the only spiritual life which will remain will be decidedly demonic. And while only one Family will be involved in the harvest, the children will come from homes all around the world.

I have had the opportunity to spend the past twenty-

three years of my life aided by the Ruwach Qodesh. As we will discover when we consider Yahowah's prophets, particularly Dowd, Solomon, and Yasha'yah, God had a great deal to say concerning the words you are reading and how they would be used to influence His people. And from those words and this experience, I can say without equivocation that this is not how the Set-Apart Spirit operates in our lives. She does not speak externally, but instead internally. She does not narrate the Word of God or communicate independently but, instead, enlightens us regarding it. She is inspiring, not compelling. And the Spirit does not make a racket. When She comes upon us, there is no audible sound.

"And languages (glossa – the various dialects of human speech) became apparent to them (horao – they came to know and understand them), like a fire burning (pyros) in them. And they were distributed (diamerizo – dispersed), conferred, and appointed (kathizo) upon (epi) each one (hekastos) of them (autos).

And they were all individually (pas) filled with (pimplamai) the Holy (hagion – gender neuter of hagios) Spirit ($\Pi N \Sigma$ – placeholder for pneuma), and began (archomai) to speak (laleo) other (heteros – different) languages (glossa – dialects), just as (kathos) the Spirit (ΠNA) gave (didomi) them the ability to convey the spoken words (apophtheggomai – the ability to pronounce words)." (Acts 2:3-4)

Wrong again. Shabuw'ah will be fulfilled as an ingathering of saved souls. It is not a language supermarket or a universal translator implant. It is about a withdrawal, not a distribution. There will be no fires. Nothing is conferred. The Set-Apart Spirit is being removed as She removes Her Children. No one known to Yah will be addressing earthlings. The time for spoken words in association with God is now over – and it will remain so for three and a half years. And that is when the two

Witnesses will return.

Therefore, up to this point, just four verses into his narration of the non-event, Shim'own / Peter has managed to get everything wrong.

The *Mow'edym* | Enduring Testimony Regarding the Restoring Witnesses denote Appointments which are Migra'ey | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet with Yahowah. They provide the only way for people to understand what the Towrah Teaches regarding reconciliation and benefit from its *Beryth* | Covenant. The first three, Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, were fulfilled by the Messiah Dowd in year 4000 Yah / 33 CE. The final three, Taruw'ah, Kipurym, and Sukah will be fulfilled by our King in year 6000 Yah / 2033. The Shabuw'ah Harvest is set between these dates, transpiring seven years prior to Dowd's return and at the commencement of the Time of Israel's Troubles – on the Shabat of May 22nd, 2026.

Since all of this was presented to us through Yahowah's prophets in Hebrew, accurate translations accompanied by appropriately decerned insights are helpful prior to and after fulfillment. In fact, the Yada Yahowah legacy of 33 books, a rich reservoir of resources, and a wide array of videos and audio programs may be all that is left between the Shabuw'ah Harvest and the Passover return of the two Witnesses in 2030.

This is why the *Yada Yahowah* Series exists. We recognize the value of rendering the testimony Yahowah conveyed in Hebrew into the language spoken by the most people. English has become the most universal language worldwide – especially among Jews.

As a useful insight into understanding this place and time, most literate individuals would have been bilingual. In addition to Hebrew, they would have been reasonably fluent in Aramaic, Greek, and Latin due to the influence of the Assyrians, Babylonians, Persians, Greeks, and

Romans.

If it were not for the popularity of the Pentecostal movement, where "speaking in tongues" is interpreted to be "praising God in a heavenly language," I would not be required to state the obvious. This presentation states that those affected by Peter's spirit were allegedly equipped to speak human languages, not God's. The language spoken in heaven is Hebrew, rendering the notion of "speaking in the tongues of angels" by muttering incomprehensible gibberish — as nonsensical as the sounds, themselves. What's more, Pentecostals act like they are out of their minds, often posing as if the poster children for: This is Your Brain on Religion.

The reason for the "likes of a burning fire within them" was to replace the experience of Moseh and the Children of Yisra'el around Choreb when the Towrah was being revealed. These "Christians" were said to have experienced their own fire, and thus their own god, divine light, spiritual voice, and New Testament to replace all that antiquated stuff and folks from long ago.

The claim here is that this spirit, rather than enlightening anyone, equipped them to become bilingual. And while there is no evidence of this, what follows is yet another lie. It was scribed by Luke on Paul's behalf to besmirch Jews. For his new religion to prevail, Yahuwdym would have to be swept off center stage and replaced by the Gentile Church. Replacement Foolology had multiple authors.

"And now (de) existing (eimi) inside (eis)
Yaruwshalaim (Ierousalem – Jerusalem), resided
(katoikeo) Yahuwdym (Ioudaios – Jews), overtly pious
(eulabes) men (andros) from (apo) every (pas) ethnicity
(ethnos – race) under (hypo) heaven (ouranos).

And so now when (de) this (houtos) voice (phone) came to exist (ginomai) in large assemblies of people

(plethos), they were confused (sygcheo), because (hoti) each and every one (hekastos heis) heard (akouo) what was spoken (laleo) in his (autos) own (idios) language (dialektos)." (Acts 2:5-6)

As is the case with so much of the Christian New Testament, the writer's attempts to paint Jews as hypocrites, overtly pious, and thus conniving and undeserving, is pathetic. And it is dishonest to claim that *Yahuwdym* | Jews, who are a unique ethnicity, represent "every race under heaven." This overture defines *Gowym* | Gentiles. Therefore, the writing quality is as deficient as the message.

This amalgamation of Jews into one monolithic perception was not a simple mistake, but instead sinister and deliberate. Peter and Paul, like Muhammad and Allah after him, wanted God's Chosen People tarred with the same brush. The reason for this is that the Jews, unlike the Gentiles, knew that they were lying because they were constantly misappropriating and misquoting their *Towrah wa Naby*' to establish their credibility. Having rejected both Peter and then Paul, the Jews had to be silenced and discredited for either of these infamous men to be believed.

Beyond silencing Yahuwdym, for the Christian instigators to be perceived as plausible, their followers would have to become the recipients of the promises made to God's people – the Yisra'elites. And for that to occur, they would both have to concoct schemes by which God not only rejected His people but was compelled to replace them with others. They accomplished this by slandering Jews – attributing all manner of lies to them, doing so in a way that the entire race would be despised.

The first strokes toward that end appear in Paul's fourteen epistles. The next are here in Acts, followed in chronological order by the slanderous and sour notes in Mark, Luke, and Matthew.

Keeping it real, it would have been impossible for there to have been a gathering representing every race on earth in this place at this time. Further, people are confused by languages they do not speak, and not by their native tongue. Yes, I am pointing out the obvious, and yet, without someone having done so, billions have been misled by this errant assessment for nearly a score of centuries.

You may have also noticed that the scene changed abruptly from a room of coconspirators within a single home to a widespread phenomenon expressed throughout an entire city. That could never have occurred within the Covenant because participation is the result of a deliberate, uniquely personal, and sequential process.

Peter, however, was clueless regarding the Towrah. His mission was to promote his new religion based upon faith and spirituality. That is why his unGodly message, in his language, with the people he claimed as his own, was being born by way of his indwelling spirit during the recasting of Shabuw'ah as Pentecost.

Fortunately for us, we do not live under the influence of Peter's or Paul's Roman Catholic Church. Given the opportunity, they would have tortured us to death as a deterrent to keep me from sharing and you and others from reading the truth. And yet, sadly today, they are tormenting little children instead.

It is bewildering that so many believe that this irrational rant was inspired by God. Even worse than inferring that god is a nincompoop by attributing this verbal diarrhea to him, Christians somehow think that their capricious deity withdrew the countless promises he had made to Yisra'el and transferred them to Gowym. Not only would that have made their god a liar and an anti-Semite, like themselves, he would have become untrustworthy – giving ever more credence to the realization that religious gods are made in man's image. And in reality, the Romans

who controlled Judea and who evolved into the Roman Catholic Church, were far worse than the Jews. Throughout the history of the religion, that would never change.

Continuing to slander the Chosen People on behalf of the worst of people, we read...

"And (kai) besides (de), they were out of their minds (existemi), and they were surprised (thaumazo), saying (lego), 'Look (idou), are not (ou) the entirety (hapas – the whole and all) of them who are (eimi) speaking (laleo) Galileans (Galilaios)?"" (Acts 2:7)

Pointing out the obvious again, the coconspirators gathered on this day were entirely Jewish – as were each of the celebrants, thereby rendering this entire diatribe absurd. Galilee was used as a pejorative because it was a swampy, mosquito-infested lowland, beneath Jerusalem in status and elevation. It was inserted to make the Jews appear like snobs.

This dialogue demonstrates the inherent fraud associated with the Christian New Testament. At the very earliest, Acts was written three decades after the fulfillment of Pesach and Matsah by Dowd – well beyond the time a specific conversation could have been accurately recalled. Luke, its author, was not an eyewitness to any of these events – making his account hearsay.

"Pious Jews" would have spoken Hebrew, not Greek. Further, the Roman legions which occupied Yaruwshalaim were comprised of conscripts and slaves from throughout the Empire. Under such circumstances, the sound of a foreign language would not have driven anyone crazy.

Much of the Christian New Testament was written to include awkward and unattested conversations. Not only were they contrived, they were projected upon the entire population – all to condemn the Jewish people. That way, the Christians could not only claim superiority, they would

have a common enemy and a ready excuse for their horrid behavior.

"Somehow (pos), each and every one (hekastos) hears them speak (akouo) in the distinct (idios — individual and unique) language and dialect (dialektos) of their birth (gennnao): Parthians, Medes, Elamites, and those who live in Mesopotamia, Yahuwdah (Ioudaia), Cappadocia, Pontus, and Asia, Phrygia, Pamphylia, Egypt (Aigyptos), parts of Libya toward Cyrene, and visitors from Rome (Rhomaios), not only Yahuwdym (Ioudaios — Jews) and newcomers (proselutos — visitors from other nations), Cretans and Arabs (Araps), listening to (akouo — receiving the news and hearing) them speak (laleo — talk) in their own tongue (glossa — language and dialect) the magnificent things and powerful works (megaleios — the great deeds and miracles) of the God (ΘΥ — placeholder for theou)." (Acts 2:8-11)

While it would have been impossible, even for a multilingual eyewitness to know if this had occurred, the overall point is implausible, especially with the pretense of authenticity inherent in the details. Among the most glaring issues, the Romans were not "visitors" and Yahuwdym were listed twice while their language was not mentioned even once. Those from Mesopotamia would have spoken Aramaic, a language which was very similar to Hebrew, making "Parthians, Medes, and Elamites" verbose, while the absence of the Persians was a significant slight. Arabs speak a corrupted form of Hebrew. Similarly, insignificant places like "Cappadocia, Pontus, Phrygia, Pamphylia, and Cyrene" were listed, while the people responsible for the lingua franca of this region – the Greeks – were not.

Had this been written: "the disciples, in addition to their native Hebrew, now spoke Greek, Latin, and Aramaic," it would have been reasonable but not revealing since it was commonplace. One would have been hardpressed to find anyone in Yaruwshalaim who was not conversant in one or more of these languages.

In this passage, the Greek word, *proselutos*, is transliterated in most English Bibles as "proselytes." Then, those who aided and abetted this misleading transliteration erroneously defined that word as "converts to Judaism" and thereby misconstrued Yahowah's intent. This day would mark neither the birth of the Church nor the transition from Judaism to Christianity as Catholics and Protestants profess. It is a harvest of the Covenant Family prior to the Time of Troubles. No one will be lingering around to chitchat with anyone. Equally relevant, Jews did not, and do not, proselytize. Peter's and Paul's rants against "Judaizers" were delusional.

The beneficiaries of Passover and UnYeasted Bread, as few as they may have been, would have been included in Yahowah's Covenant Family during Firstborn Children. But nothing happened during Seven Sevens 1,991 years ago. The celebration of Shabuw'ah is two years in our future as of this writing in 2024.

Consistent with the variety of invitees during Shabuw'ah, Yahowah's family is inclusive of people from different races and places. Everyone is welcome to attend. And all who benefit arrive the same way – by embracing the Covenant and observing its Invitations to Meet with God.

The *Miqra*' of *Chamisym* / Invitation to be Called Out and Meet of Fifty as it is also known, is *qodesh* | set apart, a special day for us to reveal the magnificent work performed by Yahowah in concert with the Set-Apart Spirit. It is an annual party where those who are related to Yah invite their friends to celebrate the good news – a path home has been laid out at great cost, its toll paid by God's beloved Son, Dowd, so that we might journey free of encumbrances. This harvest is a homecoming, an exodus from man's perversions to Yah's brilliance.

The Feast of Seven Sevens follows Firstborn Children on the annual calendar by seven weeks. The pattern is seven Shabats plus one day after the special Shabat observance of UnYeasted Bread – the most essential day on the road to our redemption. As we have discovered, this opportunity to be Called Out and Meet on Shabuw'ah is a harvest of standing grain – which is symbolic of those who are standing alongside Yahowah, ready and willing to speak to His people on His behalf. Attendance is open to all people, free and slave, Yahuwdym and Gowym, men and women, young and old, no matter how common or unclean. We know this because it is the only Migra'ey where yeasted bread is sanctioned – and because God has said so. Unlike the Catholic Eucharist, which is limited to their converts, this Festival comes with an open invitation. Shabuw'ah is the day that unites the promise with its purpose, making them one.

The reason for the yeast in the bread is ingenious. To become part of Yahowah's family, we are all required to remove it from our lives – which is symbolic of walking away from Mitsraym and Babel where the integration of religion and politics was commonplace. And now that those who have disassociated from mankind's most controlling and corrupting institutions have become immortal and perfected, adopted and empowered, as Covenant members we are perfectly equipped to share what we know with those most in need of hearing it – those under the influence of man's malignant schemes. It is the cure for what ails them. And to help them, we must speak to them where we find them.

Upon examining the nature of this day, one comes to understand that the best way to broadcast its message and celebrate its inheritance is to host a grand party replete with a medley of foods, including a variety of libations, and most especially breads and desserts baked with yeast. Invite everyone who is interested in knowing God, whether

they are engaged in a relationship with Him, mired in a religious swamp, or deluded by a secular mirage. After a time of casual conversation and music, stand before the guests and share what you have come to know about the path home our Heavenly Father has provided. Explain how, on this day, those who rely upon Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children, and who have been immersed in Yahowah's Set-Apart Spirit, grow, becoming productive Covenant members prepared for the harvest. Most of all, have fun. Radiate the light which comes from knowing Yah and express the joy loving families experience as their children grow.

Each *Miqra*' has been designed to memorialize an important event in the life of Yisra'el and to be prophetic of even more important events in the unfolding of Yahowah's redemptive plan. They speak poignantly of Yahowah's role in the reconciliation of His people, with detail after detail pointing directly and unambiguously toward the Towrah as the map and light along the way.

Thus far, each of the first three Mow'ed have been introduced and fulfilled in the proper order, and on the very day of its announced celebration. That fact alone makes the odds against their coincidental fulfillment over a billion to one. The lesson is: pay attention to dates and numbers – they are important to our Creator.

And this pattern is important because there are three more annual Feasts yet to be realized in addition to the imminent fulfillment of the Shabuw'ah harvest. They all take place in the autumn, the seventh and final month of Yahowah's redemptive calendar. Each predicts a strategically essential event in the reconciliation of Yisra'el and Yahuwdah with Yahowah. And more to the point, they complete the picture God is painting.

The spring Feasts have come to pass; the fall Feasts are yet to come. Yahowah has given us carefully drawn

prophetic word pictures to teach us the most important lessons we can possibly learn.

ተያየት /

While it is trivial by comparison, there is a sinister religious proclamation which may have inadvertently arisen as a result of *Shabuw'ah* – one which poisons one-and-a-half billion souls. To share Yahowah's message of salvation in the language of the *Araps* / Arabs (in Acts 2:8-11), an alphabet was required, as the Arabs had none at this time. Written Arabic, the language of the Quran, was actually created by Syrian *Yahuwdym* | Jews who, as 'Ebyownym, wanted to express what they had witnessed with their neighbors. The resulting "Syriac" alphabet and vocabulary, derived from the 22 letters which comprised the Hebrew alphabet in the 7th century CE, ultimately became the tool Satan would use in his most acclaimed recital: the Islamic Ouran.

Of particular interest in this regard is the fact that almost all of the Quran's essential terms are actually Hebrew, with a smattering of Greek – but not Arabic. And yet, Muslims the world over, unaware of the basis of their language, claim that those who do not read paleo-Arabic (which would include all but a few hundred people worldwide) cannot understand Allah's message. That would be untrue because the best way to comprehend the Quran (besides reordering it chronologically and setting it into the context of Muhammad's life as it is revealed in the *Hadith* / Oral Reports) is to appreciate the meaning of the Hebrew words which comprise the Quranic message.

This includes the title Quran itself. It is from the Hebrew Qara' and conveys the same meaning: to read and recite. It is the first word that Satan, in the guise of a nameless Lord and then *Gabry'el* | Gabriel (a Hebrew name

denoting Dowd as God's Most Capable and Courageous Man) allegedly conveyed to Muhammad.

The basis of Allah's claim, and the foundation of Islam, is the false notion that Allah, not Yahowah, was the God of 'Adam, 'Abraham, Moseh, Dowd, and the prophets, even Gospel Jesus (whom Allah calls Issa, Arabic for Esau). Allah protests that "his Quran confirms the Torah, Prophets, and Injeel / Evangelion or Gospels," when in fact, Allah's Quranic recital is the antithesis of Yahowah's *Towrah wa Naby*' and the Gospels contradict the *Towrah* as well.

Muhammad, for example, as Allah's lone messenger, violated and negated each of the Ten Statements Yahowah etched in stone. Allah even says that "his Quran, written in pure Arabic," was "inscribed on tablets before the world was created," and the reason that the "Torah, Prophets, and Gospels" no longer convey the same message is that 7th-century CE Jews living in Yathrib, today's Medina, "changed and corrupted their 'scriptures' to foil Muhammad."

Therefore, the existence of the Dead Sea Scrolls proves that the Islamic god was lying. But more than this, it is unconscionable that the advocates of Islam, a religion which inverts and repudiates Yahowah's message, claim that the Arabic of the Quran is proof of its superiority, and material to its message, when in fact, the means to convey it was developed by the very people Allah had pledged to "Wage War Against All Mankind" and Muhammad ordered to "Kill Every Jew." They encouraged rape, engaged in slavery, and perpetrated genocide.

These things known, had rabbis, priests, and Christian theologians not removed Yahowah's name from His *Towrah wa Naby*', Islam would have been stillborn. Even a fool would have been able to recognize that Allah is not Yahowah.

For more on this, please read the five volumes of *God Damn Religion*. They were written specifically to safeguard Israel from Islam and to hold Satan accountable for his death cult.

ያየያታ

There is still much we can learn from this portrayal of what "happened" during the premature fulfillment of Seven Shabats – even if the primary lesson is to distrust the Christian New Testament.

"But (de) also (kai), all (pas) were astonished (existemi – astounded beyond comprehension), even (kai) perplexed and puzzled (diaporeo – embarrassed and in doubt, at a loss without sufficient information to render a rational conclusion), with different people (allos) saying (lego) to (pros) others (allos), 'What (tis) is (eimi) the purpose of (thelo) this (houtos)?" (Acts 2:12)

Let's be honest, there was nothing everyone in Jerusalem agreed upon - not among the Romans and Greeks, and certainly not among Jews. Their history proves that Yahuwdym were divided among themselves. And it was their internal fractures which festered, bringing the wrath of Rome upon Judea with Pompey's invasion in 63 BCE, Vespasian's assault in 67 CE following the revolt in 66, and again following the Bar Kokhba uprising under Akiba by Hadrian in 133 CE. On all three occasions, the Jews would likely have prevailed over the Romans had they not been a house divided. And to some extent, with Progressive Jews demanding the disbandment of the democratically elected government of Prime Minister Netanyahu over judicial reform while the religious parasites among the Haredim needed it to maintain their unfair advantage, Israel, as a divided nation became vulnerable to what occurred on October 7th, 2023. And even during the massacre, the nation was paralyzed, unable to defend its people.

Any time we read, "with people saying..." we know that the writer's sentiments are being projected upon his perceived foes. An actual quote would have to be attributed to a specific individual who said it and to another who heard it, in addition to providing the names or conditions under which it was preserved accurately over the decades.

Moreover, the Jews who at least should have known the purpose of Shabuw'ah. It was Greeks, like Luke, who were clueless.

When it comes to knowing the mind of God, there are several paths a person can take. Some simply ignore the quest, preoccupied with their mortal existence or overconfident that man is the highest form of life. Others, like the man who wrote this passage, do not know enough to draw an informed conclusion – or are driven by their own agenda. And while both of these roads lead to death, and to the destruction of one's soul upon the completion of their mortal existence, there is a far worse fate awaiting those who promote the false notion that "Pentecost celebrates the birth of the Church." There is no mention of a "Church" in the *Towrah* or in the *Naby*'. Only the *Beryth* and its *Miqra'ey* are presented. We have been called out of man's world and into God's realm, away from religion and into a familial relationship.

Even today, the secular and the sectarian ridicule the Mow'ed Miqra'ey, belittling them, concealing them, corrupting them, or counterfeiting them. It is why the narrow path to God remains unpopular.

"Others (heteros), now (de) poked fun, scoffing, sneering, and mocking (diachleuazo – flapping their lips and running their mouths), saying (legos), 'It is because they are (hoti eimi) exceptionally full (mestoo) with sweet wine (gleukos – new wine which is still

fermenting)." (Acts 2:13)

If Luke had written about the correlation between the Towrah's presentation of Shabuw'ah and its ultimate fulfillment rather than spending his time trying to besmirch Jews as slanderous and demeaning, there would be a point to this other than not to trust him.

And speaking of someone who did not trust him, Shim'own, misnamed "Petros" in this account, stood up and impeached himself, joining his nemesis Paul...

"But now (de) Petros (Petros – Peter, Greek for "rock") stood up (histemi – made a stand so as to enable others to stand) with (syn) the eleven; he raised (epairomai) his voice (phone) and he spoke to them (apophthengomai – he addressed them), 'Yahuwdym (Ioudaios – a transliteration of Yahuwdym – Beloved of Yahowah) men (andros) and all (pas) who dwell in (katoikeo) Yaruwshalaim (Ierousalem – a transliteration of Yaruwshalaim – the Source of Guidance on Reconciliation), listen carefully to (enotizomai) my voice (rhema), and let this (houtos) be (eimi) known (gnostos) to you..." (Acts 2:14)

We know that Paul disowned his Hebrew name, Sha'uwl, not only because it was synonymous with *She'owl* | Hell, but because of the many prophecies where he was called out by name and condemned. And so in a religion based upon changing Dowd's name and title to Iesou Christou, it only seemed natural to replace Shim'own's Hebrew name, which means "Listen to Him," with the Aramaic Kephesh and then to the Greek "Petros," for "Stone."

The notion that there was a rumor of "intoxication," and that "Peter" confronted it, is not likely, especially to say it in this way because it still infers that they were inebriated. But at least we finally have something to investigate with the upcoming reference to *Yow'el* | Joel.

"...because (gar) they are not (ou) drunk (methuo – intoxicated) in the manner (hos) you suppose (hypolambano – are willing to accept), for indeed (gar) it is (eimi) the third (tritos) hour (hora) of the day (hemera). To the contrary (alla) this (houtos) exists as (eimi) the pronouncement (eipon) of (dia) the prophet (prophetes) Yow'el (Ioel – a transliteration of Yow'el, a contraction of Yahowah and 'el, meaning Yahowah is God, but improperly transliterated Joel):" (Acts 2:15-16)

While we will turn to Yow'el to see what Shim'own may have intended to corrupt in due time, let it be known that Shabuw'ah is the pronouncement of the prophet Moseh on behalf of Yahowah. There is nothing in *Yow'el /* Joel to explain Seven Shabats. It speaks of the hellish conditions that will precede Yahowah's return in 6000 Yah to fulfill Yowm Kipurym. Therefore, if Shim'own cited it as a retort to these supposed Jewish cynics, he was mistaken. It was the wrong Mow'ed and wrong time. And if Luke arbitrarily attributed this mistake to him, he demonstrated that his Gospel ought not be trusted. Either way, Acts 2:16 through 21 is erroneous.

According to Luke, Shim'own is said to have quoted *Yow'el* / Joel 2:28 through 32. At least that is what is recorded in Acts 2:17 through 2:21. However, since Shim'own did not deliver his "rebuttal" in Greek, even if it occurred, but instead in Hebrew, to accurately render what the Prophet wrote and what he may have said, we will need to examine it in the original language – something we will do momentarily and again during our review of the events pertaining to the Day of Reconciliations. So for now, here is that reading from the King James Version...

"And it shall come to pass in the last days, saith God, I will pour out of my Spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, and your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams: (Acts 2:17) And on my servants and on my handmaidens I

will pour out in those days of my Spirit; and they shall prophesy: (Acts 2:18)

And I will shew wonders in heaven above, and signs in the earth beneath; blood, and fire, and vapor of smoke: (Acts 2:19) The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and notable day of the Lord come: (Acts 2:20) And it shall come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be saved." (Acts 2:21)

Should you prefer a more literal and accurate rendering...

"And it shall come to be (eimi – exist in an identical fashion) in (en) the final (eschatos – the last in a series (the theological term eschatology is derived from this word)) days (hemera), God ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos) says (lego – affirms), 'I will pour out (ekcheomai – I will bestow and spill, liberally distributing) from (apo) Me, My (ego) Spirit ($\Pi N\Sigma$ – placeholder for pneuma) upon (epi – among) all (pasa) flesh (sarx – physical bodies, on the corporeal mortal nature of humankind).

Your sons (huios) and your daughters (thygater) will speak inspired utterances (propheteuo – proclaim what God wants known).

Your young men (neaniskos – used of males between twenty-four and forty years old) shall see visions (horasis – from horao, see with their own eyes), and your elders (presbyteros – old men and ranking individuals) will experience supernatural communication from God (enypnion enupniazomai – experience revelations while dreaming). (Acts 2:17)

Indeed (ge – really and truly), upon (epi) My male servants (doulos – slaves) and also upon My female servants (doule – female slaves) in (en) those (ekeinos) days (hemera – period of time) I will pour out (ekcheomai

- I will shed and bestow, spill and distribute) **from** (*apo*) **Me, My** (*ego*) **Spirit** (ΠNA – placeholder for *pneuma*) **upon** (*epi* – among) **them and they will speak inspired utterances** (*propheteuo* – proclaim what God wants known). (Acts 2:18)

And (kai) I will allow and produce (didomi – bestow and permit) wonders and miracles which foreshadow significant upcoming events (teras – omens, marvels, and signs which serve as portents to arouse attention) in (en) the sky (ouranos – atmosphere and universe) above (ano) and signs (semeion – miraculous signals and unusual occurrences which transcend the common course of nature) upon (epi) the earth (ge – land) below (kato): blood (haima), fire (pyr), and (kai) rising clouds of (atmis – steam, billowing vapor, from aer, air and atmospheric) smoke (kapnos). (Acts 2:19)

The sun (helios) will be changed (metastrepho – turned) to (eis) darkness (skotos – obscured, made gloomy, from skia, have its light intercepted as in a shadow), and the moon (selene) to (eis) blood (haima) before (prin) the coming (erchomai – arrival and appearance) of the (ho) great (megas – massively important and enormously sizable) and brilliantly shining (epiphanies – wonderful, glorious, radiant, and illustrious, notable appearance in clear and full view shining forth as light visible as a star) day (hemera – time) of the Lord (K Ω – placeholder for kurios – most always conveyed as "LORD")." (Acts 2:20)

This is yet another nail in the coffin of souls clinging to the Christian New Testament. Even when its authors turned to the Prophets for credibility's sake, they embarrassed themselves. This did not occur in 33 CE but will in 2033. To understand Shabuw'ah, we must turn to Moseh, not Yow'el. Yow'el's prophecy is spectacular, confirming many of the things we have surmised while offering new insights regarding what we should expect as

the world is transformed before our eyes during the transition between Shabuw'ah and Kipurym and again between Kipurym and Sukah.

It would be 2,000 years from 33 CE to the last days in 2033. That alone should have been sufficient to scuttle Luke's credibility and the notion that Acts was inspired. The Spirit did not come upon all flesh at this time – not even close. There were no new prophets inspired either – something that would remain so from Mal'aky to the fulfillment of Kipurym in 6000 Yah.

On this day, and for the 2,000 which would transpire after it, there were no "wonders in the heavens or signs in the earth beneath." There would be "blood and fire, vapor and smoke" – but these would be man's doing, not God's. The "sun was not darkened" and the "moon did not appear as blood" at this time because the Day of Reconciliations in 6000 Yah, not Shabuw'ah in 4000 Yah, is the "great and notable day" of Yahowah to come. Further, as evidenced by this writing, no one called upon Yahowah's name, and thus no one was saved.

So please tell me, how is it that billions upon billions are fooled by such outright lies? Unable to produce a prophecy, or even understand one, these buffoons couldn't even get their history right. The acceptance of Acts provides a sad commentary on the perceptiveness of humankind. Most have become as Shim'own was portrayed: as dumb as a stone.

As promised, and for comparison, in context, here is what the prophet Yow'el revealed pertaining to the days immediately prior to Yahowah's return. And remember, according to Luke, Shim'own is said to have quoted *Yow'el* / Joel 2:28 through 32 to explain the non-event not being witnessed in 33 CE that had nothing to do with Yahowah or His people.

"Rejoice over these favorable circumstances (gyl)

Children of Zion (beny Tsyown). Demonstrate a positive attitude (wa samach) with (ba) Yahowah (YaHoWaH), your God ('elohym 'atah), for (ky) He has provided for you (nathan la 'atem) that which is associated with the autumn rains through a teacher who communicates what you need to know about the towrah to live (mowreh) so that you can be right and thus vindicated (la tsadaqah).

He has brought down (yarad) for you (la 'atem) an abundant shower (gesem) of guidance and instruction, pouring out what you should be contemplating regarding the towrah's teaching and directions, communicating as a teacher what you need to know (mowreh) about being gleaned and growing thereafter (malqowsh) as was intended in the beginning, returning to where it all began (ba ha ri'shown). (Yow'el 2:23)

The threshing floors (ha goren) will be filled (male') with pure grain, symbolic of the most radiant children (bar). And fresh, new wine, sweeter with less fermentation (thyrowsh), will overflow (suwq) the presses and vats (yeqeb) along with olive oil (wa ytshar). (Yow'el 2:24)

I will reconcile and restore by fulfilling My promises (wa shalem) on your behalf (la 'atem) the years ('eth ha shanah) which ('asher) suddenly disappeared (ha 'arbeh) and were devoured ('akal)... (Yow'el 2:25) Then you shall be nourished (wa 'akal 'akal). And you shall be overwhelmingly satisfied (saba'), radiating a clear and brilliant light while cheering (halal) the name ('eth shem) of Yahowah (Yahowah), your God ('elohym 'atem), who, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), has acted and will engage ('asah) marvelously (pala') with you ('im 'atem). So never again will My People be humiliated or disrespected (wa lo' bowsh 'am 'any la 'owlam). (Yow'el 2:26)

All of this will play out during the decade between 10.07.23 and 10.07.33. Are you ready?

When Yahowah speaks of being with Yisra'el, He is identifying with the best of His people, beginning with 'Abraham and Sarah, Yitschaq and Ribqah, Ya'aqob and Leah, and then on to the likes of Moseh, Yahowsha', Kaleb, Shamuw'el, Dowd, Yow'el, 'ElYah, Yasha'yah, Yirma'yah, Zakaryah, and Mal'aky. And His Family now includes the children of the Covenant who were led home by *Yada Yahowah*. These are God's people.

And you will know (wa yada') that I am in the midst (ky qereb 'any) of Yisra'el (Yisra'el). I am (wa 'any) Yahowah (Yahowah), your God ('elohym 'atah), and there is no other (wa 'ayn 'owd). My Family (wa 'any) will never be disapproved of or experience distress (lo' bowsh) again throughout the remainder of time (la 'owlam). (Yow'el 2:27)

This is occurring now, in advance of the Shabuw'ah Harvest. And it will occur again beginning on the 1st of 'Abyb at the midpoint of the Time of Israel's Troubles as Yada heralds the return of Yisra'el. And then, like now, there will be a chorus of voices calling Yahuwdym out of Babylon and back to Yahowah. To participate, we must listen to our God and then share His testimony.

While this introduction decimates Christian credibility, we have now reached the prophetic narrative Peter misappropriated and misconstrued even worse than anything we have seen previously from Paul.

And (wa) it will literally come to pass at that very moment (hayah) during the last days ('achar), therefore (ken), I will pour out (shaphak) My Spirit ('eth ruwach 'any) upon ('al) all (kol) who hear and proclaim the message (basar) and they will be inspired by the Spirit (wa naba' ruwach). Your sons (ben 'atem) and your daughters (wa bath 'atem) as well as your elderly (wa

zaqen 'atem') will have their thoughts guided by Divine revelations and be empowered as a result (chalam chalowm). Your young will become capable (bachuwr 'atem) by choosing to continually witness, observing and considering (ra'ah) these communications from God (chizayown). (Yow'el Joel 2:28)

And also (wa gam) upon ('al) those who work (ha 'ebed) and upon (wa 'al) those engaged in service to the family (shaphchah) in those days (ba ha yowm ha hem), I will bestow (shaphak) My Spirit ('eth ruwach 'any). (Yow'el 2:29)

As the world collapses all around Israel during the Time of Trouble, and particularly seven years after the Shabuw'ah Harvest, there will be a revival in the Promised Land with the Chosen People. Open and declutter your mind, focus your eyes on the Towrah and Prophets as they are presented and explained throughout *Yada Yahowah*, listen to God, and then respond. Let's sing His Son's Songs.

The year spoken of is unique in that there will be signs in the sky with a total solar eclipse on the 1st of 'Abyb, March 31st, 2033, demarking the first day of the 120th Yowbel year 6000 Yah. Two weeks later, as we celebrate Passover the evening of the 13th and UnYeasted Bread on the 14th of April 2033, there will be a total lunar eclipse visible in Israel. Then on the first day of the seventh month, heralding the Yowm Taruw'ah Harvest, there will be a second solar eclipse on Friday, September 23rd, 2033. The fourth eclipse will be lunar and occur 15 days later on the Shabat of October 7th to acknowledge the celebration of Sukah.

We are not told when *Yow'el* | Yahowah is God was inspired to memorialize these profound prophecies regarding our time. The most logical conclusion is that he was in the final succession and, thus, immediately before

Zakaryah and Mal'aky. Through him, God said...

Then (wa) I will provide (nathan) signs (mowpheth) in the heavens (ba ha shamaym) and on the Earth (ba ha 'erets): blood (dam) and fire (wa 'ets), along with columns of smoke (wa tymarah). (Yow'el 2:30) The sun (ha shemesh) will become weaker and unreliable (haphak) and thus darker by being obscured (la choshek). And the moon (wa ha yareach) will be bloody red (dam) before the vitally important and aweinspiring day (la yowm ha gadowl wa ha yare') of Yahowah's appearance (paneh Yahowah) arrives (bow'). (Yow'el / Yahowah is God 2:31)

Beyond the four eclipses, these additional atmospheric occlusions are possible: nuclear war, super-volcano eruptions, an asteroid strike, a reversal of the Earth's magnetic field, or catastrophic fires driven by excessive winds, global warming, and drought – even a massive solar storm or supernova explosion targeting our planet.

Fortunately, Yahowah is offering an all-expense-paid trip out of harm's way...

And it shall come to pass at that moment in time (wa hayah) that all who, to receive the benefits of the relationship (kol 'asher), call out to meet (qara') in the name (ba shem) of Yahowah (YaHoWaH) will be spared and delivered, protected from danger and saved from harm (malat). Indeed (ky), with the Mountain of the Signs Posted Along the Way (ba har Tsyown), and in Jerusalem (ba ha Yaruwshalaim), there will be (hayah) deliverance for a remnant (paleytah), just as (ka 'asher) Yahowah (YaHoWaH) has promised ('amar).

And among the survivors within this remnant (wa ba ha saryd) will be those benefiting from the relationship whom ('asher) Yahowah (Yahowah) has invited and welcomed (qara')." (Yow'el 2:32)

This was the conclusion of Peter's appalling citation. He got nothing right and cited each prooftext incorrectly. He could not have chosen a more inappropriate prophecy to extract and he could not have misrepresented it to a greater extent than he did. He would have done better, at least staying in the proper genre, fable to fable, had he sung the Siren Song from the *Odyssey* where manic creatures knowing both past and future, lured men to their demise in the windless calm of the midday sun, "Once he hears to his heart's content, sails on, a wiser man. We know all the pains that the Greeks and Trojans once endured on spreading plain of Troy when the gods willed it so – all that comes to pass on the fertile earth, we know it all."

While it is my intent to reconvene with Yow'el in volume 5 of *Twistianity* ~ *Imposter*, for now, let's honor the prophet by keeping his revelation intact. In his next line, he would say...

"Look and notice (hineh), because, indeed (ky), in those days (ba ha yowmym ha hem), and during this particular time (wa ha ba 'eth ha hy'), when, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), I will return to restore (shuwb) the fortunes, freedom, and property (shebuwt) of Yahuwdah (Yahuwdah) and Yaruwshalaim (Yaruwshalaim). (Yow'el / Joel 3:1)

Then (wa) I will collect and assemble (qabats) all of the gentile nations (kol ha gowym) and bring them down (wa yarad hem) to the Valley ('emeq) of Yahowshaphat | Yahowah Judges (Yahowshaphat). And I will enter into judgment against them (wa shaphat 'im hem) there (sham) on behalf of My people ('al 'am 'any) and My inheritance (wa nachalah 'any), Yisra'el | Individuals who Engage and Endure with God (Yisra'el).

They have scattered and dispersed them (pazar) among the gentile nations (ba ha gowym) and My Land (wa 'erets 'any), they have divided and apportioned

(chalaq)." (Yow'el 3:2)

There is no room for Christianity, Judaism, Islam, or Progressive politics in these words. The future bodes poorly for them, and well for Yahuwdym engaged in the Covenant and anticipating Yahowah's return.

With few exceptions, world leaders, the media, and academia oppose Israel and advocate appearing Muslims by rewarding the terrorists with a state carved out of their land. For doing so, there will be a price to pay.

"And the children of Yahuwdah | Jews (wa ben Yahuwdah) and the children of Yaruwshalaim | Jerusalem (wa ben Yaruwshalaim), you have betrayed, exchanging them (makar) for the descendants of that which is Grecian (la ben ha Yawany) for the express purpose (la ma'an) of removing them (rachaq hem) from their own territory and bounds (min 'al gebuwl hem)." (Yow'el 3:6)

There is only one place on Earth where an effective military is necessary and beneficial due to the proximity, quantity, and insanity of the nation's foes and vicious neighbors. Although for it to prevail, the generals must be replaced by more responsible leaders.

"Read and recite this (qara' zo'th) against the foreigners (ba ha gowym). Be set apart and prepared (qadash) to engage in battle (milchamah). Alert and arouse ('uwr) the most valiant, accomplished leaders and battle-hardened fighters (gibowr). Approach and rise up (nagash 'alah) every man who is able and equipped to fight (kol 'ysh ha milchamah). (Yow'el 3:9)

Beat (katat) your plowshares ('eth 'atem) into swords (la chereb) and your pruning hooks (wa mazmerah 'atem) into lances (la romach). Let the weak and disabled (chalash) say ('amar), 'I am a capable fighter and honorable defender (gibowr 'any).' (Yow'el

3:10) Provide assistance without hesitation ('uwsh). Then come (wa bow') all of you foreign invaders (kol ha gowym) from all around (min sabyb). Assemble there (qabats sham). Yahowah (YaHoWaH), bring down (nachath) your most prominent warrior (gibowr 'atah). (Yow'el 3:11)

The foreigners (gowym) will be aroused, stirring themselves up ('uwr) going off ('alah) to the Valley ('emeq) of Yahowshaphat | Yahowah's Judgment (Yahowshaphat). There (sham), I will enter into judgment against them (wa shaphat 'im hem). There (sham), I will sit (yashab) to judge (shaphat) all of the foreigners ('eth kol ha gowym) from all over (min sabyb). (Yow'el 3:12)

Extend (shalach) the reaping sickle (magal) because (ky) the harvest (qatsyr) is ripe (bashal). Return and be included (bow') to demonstrate your dominion (radah) because the winepress (gath) is full (male'). The vats (ha yeqeb) have reached their ideal capacity (shuwq) because the consequence of them being wrong is great and there are so many of them who are immoral and miserable (ky rab ra'ah hem). (Yow'el 3:13)

Vast multitudes of uproarious and confused people making an intolerable racket (hamown hamown) are in (ba) the deep depression ('emeq) of judgment, condemnation, and punishment (charuwts). For indeed (ky), the Day (yowm) of Yahowah (YaHoWaH) is approaching (qarowb) in (ba) the Valley ('emeq) of the verdict determining the sentence for those whose guilt is being assessed (charuwts). (Yow'el 3:14)

Yahowah (Yahowah) roars (sha'ag) from (min) Tsyown | the Signs Posted Along the Way (Tsyown). He offers His voice (wa nathan qowl huw') from (min) Yaruwshalaim | the Source of Teaching and Guidance on Reconciliation (Yaruwshalaim). The atmosphere

trembles and shakes (wa ra'ash shamaym) along with the Earth (wa 'erets), while (wa) Yahowah (Yahowah) provides protection and shelter (machseh) for His family (la 'am huw') along with (wa) a safe situation (ma'owz) for the Children of Yisra'el (beny Yisra'el). (Yow'el 3:16)

Therefore (wa), you should know (yada') that (ky), I am ('any) Yahowah (YaHoWaH). Your God ('elohym 'atem) lives; He resides and remains (shakan) in Tsyown (ba Tsyown) – My Set-Apart Mountain (har qodesh 'any). Then also (wa) Yaruwshalaim | the Source of Teaching and Guidance on Reconciliation (Yaruwshalaim) will be (hayah) set apart and special (qodesh). Unauthorized and illegitimate strangers (zar) will never pass through it again (lo' 'abar ba hy' 'owd). (Yow'el / Yahowah is God 3:17)

This is the day of Yahowah's return – Yowm Kipurym in year 6000 Yah / sunset in Jerusalem, October 2nd, 2033. It will be like no other. For the surviving remnant of Yisra'el, God's blessings will be showered upon them. But for foreigners, should they be Christians, Haredim, Muslims, Progressives, or Communists, their scriptures and weapons of war will be turned to mush, destroyed while their souls are either exterminated or separated.

Yahowah has prepared His provision for reconciliation even though it has been a long time coming from a human perspective. Nearly 2,600 years would pass between inspiring these words and being able to hold His children in His arms. This grieves God. When we return to Howsha' and read what led to this, what follows portrays a father anguishing over his wayward children.

With this sobering evaluation of Luke's late 1st-century assessment of Shim'own's protestations against his fellow Yahuwdym during an errant rendition of Seven Sevens attributed to Joel, now behind us, the pathetic

diatribe nevertheless continues...

And it shall come to pass (eimi – be that) all (pas – everyone) who (hos), and as many as (an – used as a preposition to present a possibility), call upon (epikaleomai – ask for help in and bear) the (ho) personal and proper name (onoma) of the Kurio | Lord ($K\Omega$) will be saved (sozo).' (Acts 2:21)

"Yisra'elite (Israelites — a transliteration of Yisra'elite) men (andros), listen to (akouo) these words (logos): Iesou (INY — a placeholder for Iesou) the Nazarene (Nazoraios), is a man (andros) who publicly demonstrated (apodeiknymi) to (eis) you (sy) that He is from (apo) Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$ —placeholder for theos—god) using supernatural powers and abilities (dynamis) to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance (teras).

These are signs (semeion) which (hos) Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos – god) performed (poieomai) through (dia) him (autos) in your midst (mesos) as (kathos) you know (oida)." (Acts 2:22)

Should this sound familiar, it is. We dialed into Luke's bizarre presentation of Peter's speech at this point in the *Anti-Semite* chapter.

And as I pointed out then, there was no one named Iesou, much less "Jesus," in the 1st century CE. There are no prophecies regarding the arrival of an *Iesou* | Jesus. It was Dowd who had been foretold to arrive to fulfill Pesach and Matsah leading to Bikuwrym. He had come and gone by this point, leaving a void which Christians filled with Foolology.

As you are aware, the town of Nazoraios | Nazareth didn't exist in the 1^{st} century. There had been a small village by another name in that location several hundred years prior, but it had been abandoned by the 2^{nd} century

BCE. The myth of "Jesus of Nazareth" was developed jointly by Emperor Constantine's mother after her tour of the "Holy Land" and Constantine's propagandist, the nefarious Roman Catholic Bishop Eusebius in the 4th century CE.

Since Iesou never existed, it would have been miraculous, I suppose, for him to have made a public demonstration of Godly intent. And as we have become aware, when someone claims to be using "supernatural powers to perform miracles" they are showing off in league with Satan and not serving Yah.

While it was true that the Yahuwdym in Yahuwdah during the 80th Yowbel year of 4000 Yah / 33 CE failed to recognize Dowd as the Son of God fulfilling Passover, it cannot be said that they "knew" *Iesou* | Jesus of *Nazoraios* | Nazareth who performed feats of derring-do…

"He was appointed to (horizo) the prearranged and prophetic (prognosis) plan and purpose (boule) of Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$), he was betrayed and given over (ekdotos) to (dia) the hand (cheir) of the 'Towrahless' (anomos) who chose to take control and lift him up (anaireo), affixing him (prospegnumi)." (Acts 2:23)

The reason neither Luke nor Peter and Paul made any attempt to validate this bogus bill is that there was nothing to misappropriate. Every prophetic portrayal pertaining to the fulfillment of Passover points to Dowd, as do all narratives addressing the return of our king.

As we have discussed, the coconspirators who conceived the Christian myth were keen on delegitimizing and demonizing Jews because, without doing so, there was no reason for their god to abandon them or replace Jews with *gowym* | gentiles. And so, while it was "Peter's" intent to protest that his "Israelite" audience "betrayed" the mythical god-man and "gave him over" to the control of the *anomos* | Towrahless to be crucified, it was Peter, Paul,

and Company who were "Towrahless."

With Calvinism on the rise in the West, I would like to make an important distinction. Yahowah's response to the fallen nature of humankind was predetermined but our response to God is always subject to freewill. Each of us has the opportunity to ignore God's plan, serve a god of man's making, accept Yahowah, or reject Him.

Within prophecy there are certain things which Yahowah has preordained – the fulfillment of the *Miqra'ey* are examples. They will be accomplished on the stage of human events in complete accord with the *Towrah's* | Teaching, all in the proper order and on the predetermined day, regardless of mankind's response.

Other prophecies simply report what mankind will do with the continued exercise of freewill. In such cases, Yahowah has witnessed our future and is reporting back to us what He has seen. When these things occur as He attested, we are provided proof that we can trust His testimony. So, while Yahowah was certain that Yahuwdym would return to Yisra'el in the last days as a result of the Holocaust, He had no hand in those horrific events. Individual men and women made poor decisions, squandering the gift of freewill, and the consequences were devastating. Such will continue to be the case between now and 2033.

What follows is essential to Peter and Paul's claim that Christians replaced Jews because Jews, according to the Christian mythology, betrayed God. As we know, Jews failed to recognize Dowd and they did not acknowledge his fulfillment of Passover, UnYeasted Bread, or Firstborn Children. However, as I have pointed out, there is a substantial difference between being unaware or complicit in a crime of this magnitude, between not knowing or betraying the Son of God.

Further, Christians are actually complicit in this regard

because they deliberately attributed Dowd's sacrifice to their mythical misnomer *Iesou* | Jesus. Then they would attest that he was murdered and resurrected, which is wholly disjoined from Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym.

It should be noted that everyone was "Towrahless" at this time. The Jews turned to their Talmud, the Greeks to the Odyssey, the Romans to the Aeneid, and the Christians to Paul's Epistles.

While *prospegnumi* is almost always translated as "crucify," this Greek word simply means to "affix and to fasten." I bring this to your attention because the Roman cross and its piercing nails were irrelevant. Christianity has made a religion out of an inanimate object. It is like crediting a knife that takes the lamb's life for the *Yatsa'* | Exodus rather than Pesach. The fulfillment of Passover is about the who, where, why, and when, not the how or even the what.

As an interesting aside, it is worth noting that the preponderance of Catholic sculptures and paintings depict Gospel Jesus in one of two ways: as a helpless infant attached to his mother or helplessly affixed to a cross. It is as if the Catholic hierarchy has chosen to control him in these ways. They are quietly saying: if he cannot take care of himself, why would you trust him to take care of you?" And that leads to: "Trust us instead with your soul."

"Theos $(\Theta\Omega)$ restored him to life and raised him (anistamai), releasing and dismissing him (lou) from the suffering and pain (odin) of death (thanatos) because (kathoti) it was not (ou) possible (dynatos) for him to exist (eimi) held and influenced (krateo) by (hypo) these things." (Acts 2:24)

The Passover Lamb is not resurrected or revived because that would defeat the purpose. Moreover, Dowd's soul did not die, not 3,000 years ago or 2,000 years ago. Had Dowd's *nepesh* been extinguished, there would have

been no way to fulfill Matsah or Bikuwrym, or any means for him to return as King in 2033.

At the time, Dowd's soul was not released from the pain and suffering he endured because it is graphically conveyed in the 22nd Mizmowr. Before his *basar* was removed from the Roman implement of torture, it succumbed to the injuries they had inflicted. In so doing, Dowd fulfilled the role of the Pesach 'Ayil.

It was *She'owl* | Hell which was incapable of holding Dowd's soul, not *odin* | suffering pain or *thanatos* | death. This distinction is essential and yet it was misrepresented by the alleged disciple.

If a group of Yahuwdym had actually handed the Messiah and King over to the Romans to fulfill his calling, then, rather than blaming and shaming them, we ought to be thanking them. Fulfilling Pesach was essential for us to live, and for that to occur, the lamb must die.

Oddly, and apparently losing track of what he was discussing, Peter's diatribe was supposedly in support of misrepresenting the Miqra' of Shabuw'ah. So why is Peter fixated on presenting what occurred previously? And why, if his intent was to also cover the events of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, didn't he mention any of these by name?

This absurd narrative is fixated on attributing Divine attributes to Iesou rather than explaining the benefits of the Mow'ed. If Peter wanted to speak about why the Messiah was here, the time to do it was on Passover, not pretend-Shabuw'ah. Even within the jaundiced Christian accounts, Gospel Jesus departed prior to this time. The events of this day had nothing to do with him or even what Dowd had done.

That said, there are few things as beneficial when understood as what actually transpired on Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children as it relates to Dowd's basar | corporeal body and nepesh | consciousness. Fortunately, those who have been with us from the beginning know that Yahowah honored His promise to provide the Lamb by accommodating the request of His Beloved Son to serve in this way. And we know that what remained of his mutilated body was discarded and then incinerated later that night in accordance with Yahowah's instructions.

During UnYeasted Bread, a Shabat in 33 CE, the Messiah's soul entered She'owl carrying the guilt of every Covenant member. He would leave it there, never to be seen again. As a result, those who capitalize upon what Dowd has done for us are perfect before God.

Mission accomplished, Dowd's *nepesh* | soul was released from the place of separation on Bikuwrym, fulfilling Firstborn Children as Yahowah's *Bakowr* | Firstborn Son.

While the Shabuw'ah Harvest is possible as a result of Dowd's contributions to our lives, it would have to wait. On this day, there were no beneficiaries and, therefore, no one to call home.

The Apostle's pretend-*Shabuw'ah* soliloquy was "advanced" by way of a second *Tanakh* citation, this time from the 16th *Mizmowr* / Psalm. So as to better understand why he selected it, let's begin our examination by studying the entire Song from which the excerpt was taken. Shim'own would not have cited it in a vacuum so we should not approach it out of context either.

It begins...

"A poem $(miktam - a written piece of literature, inscribed by staining the parchment upon which it is indelibly conveyed) concerning Dowd <math>(la\ Dowd - about\ the\ Beloved)$.

You want to watch closely over me and be

concerned about me (*shamar 'any* – You keep a caring focus upon me, tending to me by choice, and You are observant regarding me), **God** (*'el*), **because** (*ky*) **in You** (*ba 'atah* – with You), **I confide and rely**, **I trust so I am kept safe and comforted** (*chasah* – I depend on for protection and safekeeping). (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:1)

I say ('amar – I confess and convey, expressing in words), to approach (la – drawing near and concerning) Yahowah (Yahowah), 'You are my foundation and support ('edown 'any 'atah – You are my Upright One who is the Pillar of my Tabernacle), I have nothing to offer which is worthwhile or useful (tobah 'any bal – I have nothing good, satisfying, or beneficial) apart from You ('al 'atah)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:2)

Our goal in life ought to be to approach Yahowah. The result is to be enriched by that which is genuinely worthwhile and beneficial. If Dowd, the man with the most illustrious résumé on Earth, felt this way, it is appropriate for us. The most brilliant mind and articulate orator in human history credited Yahowah in everything.

I find it particularly reassuring that Dowd found pleasure in knowing that we would capitalize upon what he had written to lead others to this same place...

"Regarding (la) the Set Apart (qadowsh – the separated and uncommon) in the Land (ba ha 'erets – within the country and material realm) who show the way to the relationship ('asher – who lead to the benefits of the proper path), they are also (hem wa) awesome ('adyr – marvelous, desirable, and splendid, honorable and worthy), and in them (ba hem – with them) is all my satisfaction and enjoyment (kol chephets – is my greatest joy, engendering my complete support and appreciation)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:3)

God's Covenant Family are Yah-some.

Dowd is excited about what matters most: adding to Yah's Family. He knows that life with Yahowah is marvelous. And otherwise, life is traumatic for those who impetuously chase after imaginary gods. Dowd, having experienced the best his Father has to offer, wants the same result for his people. It is what qualifies him to serve as Yah's shepherd.

"The sorrows and suffering ('atsebeth – the anxiety and anguish, the unfavorable circumstances and mental state, as well as the trauma) of those (hem) who are impetuous and who chase after (mahar – who are rash and without wisdom, who are fearful, and unwilling to invest the time to study, and who quickly buy into) another ('achar – someone or something different, either following along or doing so to develop a following) will be great as they will be numerous and influential (rabah – will multiply, becoming the preponderance of people, and who through their popularity will gain tremendous status).

Their drink offerings of blood (nesek hem min dam – their sacred and pagan liquid libations to a deity associated with killing and death [a.k.a. the Roman Catholic claim that "Jesus" died and that they are drinking his blood during their Eucharist]), I will never pour or offer (bal nasak – I will not endorse or cause to happen). And I will not advance or promote (ba nasa' – I will never lift up or bear, honor or respect, desire or tolerate) their names ('eth shem hem) on my lips ('al sapah – as part of my speech)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:4)

This is the choice between Moseh and Paul, Dowd or Jesus, the Towrah or the New Testament, a relationship or a religion, the Covenant or Christianity, life or death. The latter is the fate of those who are impetuous and fearful, unwilling to invest the time to study the actual words Yahowah conveyed.

Dowd is explaining that he will never endorse

anything the largest and most powerful institution to co-opt his reputation does or says. He will not partake in their Eucharist or accept their names. He, as is the case with every one of Yahowah's prophets, never speaks on behalf of a Christ, Christian, Jesus, Catholic, Cross, or Church.

The Roman Catholic Church deliberately and dishonestly stole what Yahowah gave to His Son and to His people. Therefore, Dowd has a great deal to say about the consequence of its crime of identity theft. Christianity not only became the most pervasive and abusive adversary to his people, but no institution in human history would mislead as many souls. And they would do so through Foolology: stealing for themselves God's promises to His beloved Son and our Savior.

Dowd chose wisely, and he was rewarded for being right...

"Yahowah's (Yahowah) gift is my decision, my fate, my assigned share, and my reward (manath cheleq 'any – is my allotment by choice, my portion of what is offered, and my nourishment) as well as my cup and receptacle (wa kows 'any – and the vessel containing what I will drink). You ('atah) uphold (tamak) my lot and destiny (gowral 'any – my portion, my systematic means of making decisions, delineating the outcome)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:5)

Those who participate in the Eucharist, where priests claim that they have turned wine into the blood of Jesus, or even partake in Communion, which is the Protestant alternative to Passover, will die, as did their imaginary god. Life is afforded to those who accept Yahowah's reward. And this begins by recognizing that Dowd served as the Passover Lamb and fulfilled UnYeasted Bread to remove the fungus of religion from our souls.

"The measuring lines (*chebel* – the inheritance and boundaries, the shares and apportionments) have aligned

for me (naphal la 'any – have been allotted and distributed to be) in highly acceptable and delightful ways (ba ha na'ym – in pleasant and favorable places). Surely ('aph), I have been offered ('al 'any) an enjoyable and pleasing, especially brilliant (shaphar – a lovely and beautiful, radiant and bright) inheritance (nachalah – association and share)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:6)

This is true not only for Dowd, Yah's Son, but for those who follow his lead. He was the *Bakowr* | Firstborn of Chag Matsah, but hardly the last. The Messiah opened the door and provided the way for us to enjoy Bikuwrym, participate in the Shabuw'ah and Taruw'ah Harvests and celebrate his Kipurym homecoming.

The universe we have been given to explore exists in dimensions beyond what we can presently perceive – and Heaven is yet another dimension beyond. Our inheritance through the Covenant is bright and beautiful. As a result, it is fitting and right...

"I will commend the excellence of (barak 'eth - I favor the goodness and I am thankful for the greatness of) Yahowah (Yahowah), who, to show the way to the **benefits of the relationship** ('asher – who, to lead the way to the path to get the greatest joy out of life), determines the plan and provides me with counsel and purpose (va'ats 'any – decides the direction and offers me advice). even as ('aph'), during the night (laylah), my emotional response to being Yah's implement (kilyah 'any – my kidneys (which the ancient Hebrews perceived as the source of emotions), core nature, inclinations, and feelings, my innermost being; a compound of kol – total, kaly – implement of Yah) provides me with disciplined teaching, proper instruction, and correction (yasar 'any - facilitates my training and acceptance, direction and guidance)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:7)

This is why Yahowah chose Dowd, called him His

son, anointed him Mashyach, inspired him as a prophet, appointed him Shepherd and King, and enabled him with His Spirit. It was for our benefit.

Yahowah is offering His children the ultimate reward: eternal life in His presence. It is a brilliant inheritance. And for it, we should be as Dowd was – appreciative, ever willing to proclaim the excellence of Yahowah and the resulting relationship.

The point of all of this is that we can live like Dowd, think like Dowd, and experience what Dowd enjoyed when we study his words and apply them to our lives. We should all be singing along, at least up to the point of screaming.

By robbing the world of everything Dowd contributed to our lives to legitimize the Dionysian caricature of "Jesus Christ," Peter, Paul, and pals transformed the Passover Lamb into an anti-Semitic monster. To confront this, Dowd leveled a broadside against the Gnostic nature of Pauline Christianity. The argument used to rob Yahowah, His Towrah, His Covenant, His People, and His Mashyach of their relevance was to opine that they were of the flesh, which, from the Gnostic perspective, was corruptible and evil.

The Messiah saw the Towrah for what its name implies: the source of disciplined teaching and proper instruction. His life's mission was to commend what Yahowah had revealed to show the way to receive the benefits of this relationship. As a result, Dowd became the centerpiece of God's plan for His people. He is the Cornerstone upon which the Covenant Family and Home are built. Everything God has said and done coalesces such that we are in the right frame of mind to capitalize on the life and lyrics of the Zarowa'.

"I intensely desire to firmly place (shawah – I genuinely want to put) Yahowah (Yahowah) before me (la neged 'any – in front of me) always and continuously

(tamyd). Indeed (ky), as a result of (min) me being right (yamyn 'any — my orientation, right side, or right hand, even looking east as the sun rises), I shall neither be shaken nor fall into an unfavorable or unresolvable circumstance (bal mowt — I will not slip up, be dislodged, habitually stagger, fail, or fall, nor will I lose control, be random in my approach, or found inadequate (nifal imperfect — it is by being right that Dowd carries out and also receives the benefits which are ongoing throughout time))." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:8)

While this would have been an exceedingly odd place for Shim'own to have begun his citation of a Mizmowr, it is nonetheless the place Luke jumped aboard. By doing so, rather than realizing that the Psalm describes Dowd's relationship with Yahowah, the reader of Acts is fooled into believing that David was speaking prophetically of the Christian "Jesus Christ" and referring to him as "the Lord." I kid you not...

"For David speaketh concerning him [the context would make "him" "Jesus"], 'I foresaw the Lord always before my face, for he is on my right hand, that I should not be moved: (Acts 2:25 KJV) Therefore did my heart rejoice, and my tongue was glad; moreover also my flesh shall rest in hope:" (Acts 2:26 KJV)

Or if you prefer the more literal rendering..."For (gar – because) **David** ($\Delta\Delta$ – a placeholder for Dowd using the Greek transliteration Dauid) said (lego – declared) of (eis) him (autos), 'I foresee (proorao) the Lord ($K\Omega$ – placeholder for kurios – the Lord and Master) in front of (enopion – and before) me (ego) always (pas) on my behalf (dia) because (hoti) from (ek – out of) His right hand (dexios – authority) I will not be ($me \ eimi$) shaken or swayed (saleuomai – be overthrown or distressed). (Acts 2:25)

Therefore (dia), my heart (kardia) rejoices

(euphrainomai – celebrates), and my tongue (glossa – speech) delights (agalliao) that also (eti) my flesh (sarkos) will abide and endure (kataskenoo – live) as a result of (epi) a confident and trusting expectation (elpis)." (Acts 2:26)

This horribly misconstrued paraphrase of Psalm 16:8-9 was wrongly attributed. In Acts 2:22-24, Shim'own was supposedly telling the Jews all about the miraculous nature of "Jesus." So by saying that "David spoke concerning 'him'," Dowd's assessment of his own relationship with Yahowah was wrongly projected on "Jesus." This fraud in the inception and execution was perpetrated by Peter and Luke demonstrates that nothing either of them wrote or said should be trusted. This was a deliberate lie and it was deployed to validate the religion of Christianity.

In his Mizmowr, Dowd referred to God by His one and only name. Those who know Him do so.

"Therefore ($la\ ken-it$ is reasonable and rational as a consequence that), my attitude and thinking ($leb\ 'any-my$) inclination and disposition, my judgment and approach, my character and nature, my internal resolve and thoughtful response) are joyous (samach-are upbeat and happy, elated and content). What's more (wa), the manifestation of power which is abundant and valued within my persona ($kabowd\ 'any-the$ glorious and rewarding Divine presence within me) expresses His joy over this enormously favorable and uplifting situation and outcome (gyl-sings) and shouts as a result, calling out in jubilation, utterly delighted in this situation).

In addition ('aph – moreover and furthermore), my Herald, proclaiming the good news regarding my corporeal body (basar – my pronouncement and positive news regarding my physical human and animal nature, and the substance which sustains that life), lives and abides (shakan – dwells and remains, camping out for a

considerable period of time) with confidence (*la betach* – safely and securely, without any concern, through trusting and relying; from *batach* – to trust and rely with confidence and be bold, living without fear)." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to Sing / Psalm 16:9)

For those paying attention, Dowd's declaration regarding his *basar* served yet another knockout blow to Peter and Paul. Since Dowd and his Herald are correct regarding the importance of Dowd's *basar* | corporeal body, then Peter and Paul were not only wrong, their religion crumbles with this *basar* | pronouncement.

As discussed previously, *basar* speaks of a herald proclaiming the good news – something listeners should receive positively. During Dowd's first of three lives, Shamuw'el was his *Basar* | Herald. Then came 'Eythan, who scribed the 89th Mizmowr in Dowd's honor. And while the prophets all spoke of him, Dowd would not be heralded again in the fashion of *Basar* until the emergence of *Yada Yahowah* two decades ago. The truth is now being told regarding what the Messiah volunteered to achieve on our behalf. And for the first time since he wrote these words 3,000 years ago, we are aware of the service Dowd's *basar* | corporeal body afforded in the fulfillment of Passover. Thank you.

What we have just witnessed in Peter's and Luke's words is how the Christian New Testament deceives. By removing citations from their proper context, misquoting and misapplying them, the masters of Foolology tricked readers into believing things about "Jesus" which apply to $Dowd \mid David$. Robbing David to pay tribute to Jesus is among the most devastating crimes committed by religious men. As one of two steps underpinning the malignant myth of Replacement Theology, after replacing David with Jesus, they would substitute Gentiles for Jews.

Along these lines, the statement "I foresaw the Lord

always before my face" will be extrapolated to convey something astonishingly sinister by the time we reach Acts 2:35. The bad boys of Christendom were just getting warmed up.

Also telling, Paul claimed that, by contrast, his faith was spiritual and thus better. He slathered 'Abraham and Dowd with his errant Gnostic perceptions to make his point. Unfortunately for Paul, and those who foolishly believe he spoke for God, the flesh is not evil – religions are.

Should Dowd be correct regarding the flesh, and he was clearly inspired by Yah, then Paul, and his publicist, Luke, were not only wrong, they could not have been inspired by God. As is the case with everything in this debate, trust and reliance, indeed confidence, is a product of knowing and understanding insights such as this, while faith bridges the gap when a believer is ignorant and/or irrational. The reason Yahowah inspired Dowd to share this with us is so that we could replace one with the other and, like His prophet, be right.

Should someone hold the belief that the Messiah's poem has been about something other than commending Yahowah and condemning Sha'uwl / Paul and the religion he, Peter, and Luke fathered, consider this, especially in light of Paul saying: "Now when David had served God's purpose in his own generation, he fell asleep; he was buried with his ancestors and his body decayed." (Acts 13:36) Methinks this proves that the principal author of the Christian New Testament deliberately lied...

"By way of contrast (ky – emphasizing this point while exposing the contrary position), You will not abandon Your association with (lo' 'azab – You will not neglect, reject, forsake, or desert, never releasing (qal imperfect)) my soul (nepesh 'any – my consciousness, my inner person, character, and personality) with regard to

Sha'uwl (la She'owl / la Sha'uwl – by approaching She'owl or on behalf of the goals of Sha'uwl), never allowing (lo' nathan – never offering or giving, not permitting or surrendering (qal imperfect)) Your Set-Apart One (chasyd 'atah – Your dedicated and loyal one, Your special and unique individual (adjective singular)) to see (ra'ah – to look upon, to experience, to gloat about, or to find pleasure in) corruption or degradation (shachath – what it is like to be corrupt or corrupted, useless or ruined, marred or blemished, dishonest exploitation or fraud, especially in association with the slimy dungeon and pit in which such corrupt and ruinous captives are destroyed)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:10)

What is particularly illuminating about this statement is that Sha'uwl (mis)quoted it as Divinely inspired, thereby acknowledging that it was true. And yet by misappropriating it for 'Jesus,' he revealed his depravity.

Paul went on to say... "So it is also stated elsewhere: 'You will not let your holy one see decay.'" (Acts 13:35). Let's never lose sight of the realization that Sha'uwl and She'owl are synonymous for a reason. One leads to the other.

Luke, aware of Paul's twisted portrayal of Psalm 16, did his mentor proud and had "Peter" endorse the same myth... "Because thou wilt not leave my soul in hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see corruption." (Acts 2:27)

Or... "Because (hoti) my soul (psyche – consciousness) will not (ou) be utterly forsaken and totally abandoned (egkataleipo – be left behind and deserted) in Hades (hades – the abode of the dead). Neither (oude) will Your Set-Apart and Dedicated One (hosios – loyal and faithful, undefiled and pure) be allowed (didomi) to see (horao – experience) decay and decomposition (diaphthora)." (Acts 2:27)

The inference Peter, Paul, and Luke were making at Dowd's expense, and those he could have saved, was that David's body rotted in the grave while "Jesus" did not suffer that indignity. However, the prophecy was addressing Dowd's soul, not Iesous' body. Therefore, the authors of the Christian New Testament deliberately deceived the faithful to promote their religion.

It is so obvious that Dowd was speaking of himself and not a future Lord, that with every word, it's apparent that Yahowah is seething over this travesty.

"You have made known to me (yada' 'any – You are my source of understanding, You reveal information and instruction to me, You enable me to be aware and perceptive such that I am acquainted with, reveal, and experience (hifil imperfect second-person masculine singular – You alone constantly and consistently equip and enable me to know and understand such that I become ever more like You)) the way to ('orach – the path, manner, conduct, and route to travel which leads from this place to) life (chay – living a bountiful, blessed, favorable, and prosperous existence, nourished, restored, and renewed; from chayah – to live and remain alive, with life restored and sustained).

There is total satisfaction and contentment in the abundant (soba' – there is complete and abounding) transcendent joy (simchah – sublime delight with an uplifting cheerful attitude, favorably entertaining the senses) associated with Your presence ('eth paneh 'atah – through Your appearance and in association with Your face). The pleasure of being accepted (na'ym – the benefits of being considered favorably and becoming acceptable, along with the melodious contentment and satisfaction) by being right with You (ba yamyn 'atah – with my orientation on Your right side, with my right hand in Yours, and with me looking east in the morning toward You as the sun rises; from yaman – having chosen to be

right) **is glorious and forevermore** (*netsach* – is splendid and unending, producing everlasting status and permanent prominence)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:11)

This, which was written by Dowd and of Dowd, is to be compared with this projection onto "Jesus"... "Thou hast made known to me the ways of life; thou shalt make me full of joy with thy countenance." (Acts 2:28)

Or literally... "Reveal and make known to (gnorizo – provide the information needed to understand and thereby expose to) me (ego) the way (hodos – path, road, and journey) to a complete and fulfilling (pleroo – full and abundant) life (zoe) of joy and gladness (euphrosyne – cheerfulness and gratitude) in proximity to (meta – in association with) Your presence (prosopon)." (Acts 2: 28)

To accept Yah is to be right. To know Yah is to live. To be with Yahowah is to be joyous, satisfied, and content. We would have to search long and hard to find a more fitting proclamation to refute the likes of Peter, Paul, and Luke.

One of two things is true: Shim'own agreed with Sha'uwl and wrongly attributed something Dowd wrote about himself and his relationship with Yahowah, and projected it onto Iesou, or Luke, Paul's apologist and propagandist, put these words in "Peter's" mouth to support his mentor. And if you are wondering who to blame for this deliberate and debilitating deception, you may want to consider who wrote it.

Our excursion into the bowels of the Christian New Testament has thus far provided nothing to suggest that the Towrah's presentation of the Shabuw'ah Harvest was fulfilled two thousand years early. And yet by considering how its authors convoluted Yahowah's intent by misquoting Him, we have learned a great deal about the religion Peter, Paul, and Luke perpetrated to rob Jews of their rights and responsibilities, beginning with Dowd.

While it is both awkward and disingenuous, Luke is now reinforcing one of Paul's most ludicrous arguments by placing it in the mouth of his rival, Peter. Does anyone believe that repeating the derogatory assessment of Dowd makes Jesus great?

"Gentlemen (andros), brothers (adelphos), it is possible (exesti – permissible and obligatory) after all to say (eipon – based on this account) to you in open public discourse, enjoying freedom of speech (parresia – without any concern or fear) with regard to (pros) and concerning (peri) the patriarch (patriaches – founder and forefather) David ($\Delta\Delta$ – placeholder for Dauid, a Greek transliteration for Dowd), that (hoti) he both died (teleutao – his life is finished and has come to a close) and was buried (thapto), and his tomb (mnema – grave) exists (eimi – and can be identified) among (en) us, even until (achri) this (houtos) day (hemera). (Acts 2:29)

Being (hyparcho) therefore (oun) a prophet (prophetes), and knowing (oida) that (hoti) Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$) swore (omnuo) an oath (horkos) that from out (ek) of the fruit (darpos) of his loins (osphys), would sit (kathizo) upon (epi) His (autos) throne (thronos), he foresaw (proorao) and spoke (laleo) about (peri) the rising up and standing upright (anastasis) of the Christon (XPN – placeholder for Christon, which became Christ), because namely (hoti), He was not (oute) left behind and abandoned so as to remain (egkataleipo – forsaken and deserted) at (eis – inside and within) Hades (hades – the abode of the dead), nor (oute) did His flesh (sarx – physical human body) see (horao – experience) decay and decomposition (diaphthora – corruption)." (Acts 2:30-31)

The coconspirators of Christianity were overtly committed to killing and burying "David." And while this enables Replacement Foolology, there is nothing in all the universe they could have said more irritating to his Father – who just so happens to be God.

This is Paul's plot, one which Luke advanced by ascribing it to Peter. But every aspect of it is a lie – from the details to its sweeping implications.

Ignoring what Dowd revealed, only to extract something he could *kephash* | bend, Peter misappropriated a prophecy affirming that Dowd would serve Yahowah by fulfilling Chag Matsah and live forevermore in God's presence. He misappropriated God's testimony so that an imaginary character drawn out of pagan mythology and given a fictitious name could replace the Messiah. By errantly claiming that Dowd was dead and buried in a prophecy which says the opposite, his goal was to transfer what was said about the King, including his title and throne, to his false god. This perverted patriarch of Christendom engendered eternal damnation because he fooled billions of souls while establishing a religion that would persecute Jews. To Hell with Peter.

I've shared this previously, but now that we are evaluating the entirety of Peter's errant portrayal of Shabuw'ah, Dowd, and Iesou, it bears repeating that the odds against Peter and Paul, who were irreconcilable and bitter foes, promoting the same lie in their first public addresses by misappropriating the same otherwise obscure verse from a Psalm to rob and bury Dowd, first in Acts 2 and then in Acts 13 is incalculable. They stole lyrics Dowd wrote to Yahowah affirming that God would never allow his body to fail, his soul to die, or his fate to be negated by Sha'uwl, to not only claim the opposite, but to justify applying the prophecy to "Jesus." They did so because they could not find any which actually spoke of their mythical misnomer. The fact that Christian scholars haven't shown the integrity to admit the obvious – that this means the myth of Christ and the allure of Christianity were built on an elaborate hoax that is neither credible nor accurate, is a

blight on their reputations.

This isn't a minor issue that can be swept aside as a figure of speech. It is an outright inversion of the Psalm designed to dismiss Dowd such that his titles, accolades, and accomplishments could be misappropriated to create the false impression that their Iesou was the Christou, and that Theos had predicted his every move. It was all a lie.

As a consequence of these deliberate deceptions and falsifications, billions have been deprived of what Dowd achieved for us. Truths vital to our wellbeing were buried under the grotesque contention that there was a Jesus Christ, a man-god, who was killed by Jews so that Christians could replace them and live. As a result, religious Jews countered with a false Messiah of their own – a miscalculation which led to the Diaspora and the Holocaust.

Even if we focus on the smaller picture drawn from Peter's false declaration, by deliberately misrepresenting the prophetic portrait painted by the Son of God, Roman Catholicism's first Pope demonstrated that he should never be trusted. He would lie about anything and everything to create and promote the illusion of Jesus Christ – apart from which he is nothing.

Peter's, Paul's, and Luke's argument was predicated on a false premise and backed by a misquoted and misappropriated citation. And this is just in the hypothesis stage of their delusion. The prophecy in *Shamuw'el* / 2 Samuel 7, to which Peter and Luke are alluding, does not predict the arrival of a Messiah who would be known as *Christon* | Christ. It does not speak of "Jesus." Instead, just like the misappropriated 16th Psalm, 2 Samuel 7 is all about *Dowd* | David – and only the Beloved from beginning to end.

This sweeping prophecy opens with Yahowah explicitly speaking to Dowd and then it concludes with

God directly addressing Dowd once again. In between, Yahowah speaks to us about Dowd. Other than the conduit of this proclamation, Nathan, and the one offering it, Yahowah, no other names are mentioned.

"It came to pass (wa hayah ky) when the king sat down and relaxed in his home (ha melek yashab ba beyth huw') because Yahowah (wa YaHoWaH) had given him a comforting respite (nuwach la huw') from his adversaries and rancorous foes (min sabyb min kol 'oyeb huw'), (Shamuw'el 2 7:1) that the king said (wa 'amar ha melek) to Nathan, the prophet ('el Nathan ha naby'), 'Please look around (ra'ah na'). I live ('anoky yashab) in a house of cedar (ba beyth 'erez), but the Ark of God (wa 'arown ha 'elohym) sits within curtains (yashab ba tawek ha yarya'ah).' (Shamuw'el 2 7:2)

So, Nathan said to the king (wa 'amar Nathan 'el ha melek), 'Choose to go and do all that is in your best judgment (kol 'asher ba lebab 'atah) because, surely (ky), Yahowah is with you (Yahowah 'im 'atah).' (Shamuw'el 2 7:3)

However, that evening (wa hayah ba ha laylah ha huw'), the word of Yahowah came to Nathan (dabar Yahowah hayah 'el Nathan). He conveyed (la 'amar), (Shamuw'el 2 7:4) 'Go and tell (halak wa 'amar 'el) Dowd, My coworker (Dowd 'ebed 'any), that this is what Yahowah says (koh Yahowah 'amar). "Why should you build Me a home to live in (ha 'atah banah la 'any beyth la yashab 'any)? (Shamuw'el 2 7:5)

Indeed (ky), I have not lived (lo'yashab) in a house (ba beyth) since the time (wa min yowm) that I lifted up to be with Me ('alah 'any 'eth) the Children of Yisra'el (beny Yisra'el) out of the crucibles of oppression in Mitsraym (min Mitsraym). Then, as an ongoing witness to the restoring testimony, up to (wa 'ad) this day (ha yowm ha zeh), I have been moving about (wa hayah

halak) in a tent dwelling as a tabernacle and home (ba 'ohel wa ba mishkan). (Shamuw'el 2 7:6)

During any of that time, when showing the way to the benefits of the relationship, that (ba kol 'asher) I traveled (halak) with all of the Children of Yisra'el (ba kol beny Yisra'el), did I say a single word (ha dabar dabar) to one ('eth 'echad) of the leaders of the tribes of Yisra'el (shebet Yisra'el), whom I instructed ('asher tsawah) to shepherd (la ra'ah) My people ('eth 'am 'any), Yisra'el (Yisra'el), to say (la 'amar), "So why not (la mah lo') build for Me a house of cedar (banah la 'any beyth 'erez)? (Shamuw'el 2 7:7)

Now, therefore (wa 'atah), this is what you should say (koh 'amar) to My associate, Dowd (la 'ebed 'any la Dowd), as a result (koh), Yahowah of the spiritual implements (Yahowah tsaba') says ('amar), "I took you ('any laqach 'atah) from the sheepfolds (min ha neweh), from chasing after lambs (min 'achar ha tso'n), to be the conspicuous and informative leader (la hayah nagyd) among My People ('al 'am 'any), over Yisra'el ('al Yisra'el). (Shamuw'el 2 7:8)

I have been with you (wa hayah 'im 'atah) throughout it all (ba kol) revealing the benefits of the relationship ('asher) as you journeyed through life (halak). I have cut off (wa karat), accordingly, all of your enemies ('eth kol 'oyeb 'atah) from your presence (min paneh 'atah). And I have engaged on your behalf to make for you (wa 'asah la 'atah) an exceptionally important name, the greatest designation, and the most distinguished reputation (shem ha gadowl), when compared to the most glorified designations (ka shem ha gadowl) pursuant to the relationship ('asher) on the Earth (ba ha 'erets). (Shamuw'el 2 7:9)

Furthermore (wa), I will appoint and establish (sym) a dwelling place (maqowm) for My People (la 'am

'any), Yisra'el (la Yisra'el). I will plant them (wa nata' huw') such that they may abide (wa shakan) below throughout a less chaotic time than this (tachath huw') and no longer be agitated, anguished, or terrorized (ragaz 'owd). They will not continue to be (wa lo' yasaph) afflicted or degraded, disparaged or denigrated ('anah), by unjust and harmful sons, perverted and violent descendants of evil (beny 'awlah), as will have formerly been the case (ka 'asher ba ha ri'shown). (Shamuw'el 2 7:10)

From the day (wa la min ha yowm) which, for the benefit of the relationship ('asher), I appointed and instructed (tsawah) Judges (shaphat) on behalf of My people ('al 'am 'any), Yisra'el (Yisra'el), I will provide a respite (wa nuwach la 'atah) from all of your enemies (min kol 'oyeb 'atah). In addition (wa), Yahowah (Yahowah) is boldly and publicly announcing to you (nagad la 'atah) that, indeed (ky), He will act, engaging with you to create the family and home of Yahowah (beyth 'asah la 'atah Yahowah)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:11)

Yahowah has been addressing the future restoration of His people, recognizing that soon, they will no longer be abused by Progressives, Muslims, or Christians. He affirmed that He engaged with Dowd to build His family and create his home. God is announcing that He and His Son would fulfill the Invitations to be Called Out and Meet together, enabling the benefits of the Beryth.

Dowd would inspire love for Yahowah by living two additional lives, each exceedingly beneficial. And while it is uncommon for a soul to be bestowed three lives, every Covenant member will enjoy two. And like Dowd, the two Witnesses will live three lives, with the shortest of the three not only being of service to others but also of similar length to Dowd's return to fulfill Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym – which was likely three to four years.

"Surely (ky), your time will be thoroughly proclaimed and completely fulfilled (male' yowmym 'atah). When you will have laid down your life (wa shakab) in association with your ancestors ('eth 'ab 'atah), I will rise up and take a stand to bring to fruition (quwm) with your seed what you have sown ('eth zera' 'atah), something more in the end without hesitation for you ('achar 'atah). For the benefit of the relationship ('asher), it will be extracted and withdrawn (yatsa') out of a small particle of your physiological nature (min me'eh)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:12)

I am interrupting the flow of this prophecy regarding Dowd because I want you to appreciate something few realize. The verb *male*' was scribed in the imperfect conjugation, so it cannot be addressing something which is over, such as Dowd's previous existence. Instead, in the imperfect, *male*' speaks of ongoing fulfillments – thereby addressing Dowd's eternal reign as King after having fulfilled the seven *Mow'edym* | Eternal Witnesses to the Appointed Meeting Times.

After Dowd's relaxing intermission between the three phases of his life, with *shakab* scribed in the perfect conjugation, it is indicating that his rest would be for a limited time and soon will be over. Yahowah is going to intervene a third time in the course of His Son's lives to *quwm 'eth zera'* | establish what Dowd has sown. *Zera'* speaks of seeds which, when sown, take root and grow, producing a productive harvest if properly cultivated. It serves as a metaphor for the tens of thousands of thoughtful words this man wrote on our behalf and the many meaningful things he achieved. As the *Zarowa'* (a title that is based upon *zera'*), Dowd spread the seeds of Chag Matsah such that his sacrifice will bear fruit during the Harvests of Taruw'ah and Kipurym.

Yahowah is not doing this "after" Dowd but instead "without hesitating or delaying, and without equivocating."

Such is the primary meaning of 'achar, derived from its verbal root.

Further, it was 'asher which brought me to this place, and to this prophecy, helping me better understand the nature of the family Yahowah is striving to achieve – much of which is correctly conveyed in the Father and Son relationship He developed with Dowd. More than anyone who ever lived, the Messiah embodies "'asher – showing the way to the benefits of the relationship."

Yatsa' is the operative term of the "Exodus." It means "to withdraw, to extract, and to deliver." It does not speak of a man's seed, in the sense of sperm emanating from a man. Equally revealing, the primary meaning of ma'al is not "viscera or loins," but instead, it describes "a minute, exceedingly small, particle which comprises something much larger." That particle is Dowd's DNA.

Dowd's lives and lyrics have been thoroughly proclaimed and the promises made through them have been or soon will be fulfilled. His first life was just the beginning. He would be brought back twice more based on what he has sown for our edification.

Even after fulfilling Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children in year 6000 Yah / 33 CE, Yahowah is going to take another triumphant stand with His Son and on behalf of the Covenant.

So now after foreshadowing what will occur, Yahowah began to speak to us about His Son. And while this approach is common throughout the Prophets, even if God intended to transition away from speaking to Dowd to addressing his heir, his son was *Shalomoh* | Solomon, not Gospel Jesus. And since Solomon was next on the throne, why would Yahowah mention this when succession was commonplace and assumed?

Therefore, either Yahowah was continuing to be

prophetic and profound, telling us what He was going to achieve through Dowd, or God went from prophetic and profound to mundane to tell him that his son would be next to occupy Yisra'el's throne. With this in mind, especially in this profoundly important narrative, the answer seems obvious...

"'And so (wa), I will establish (kuwn) his reign over his kingdom ('eth mamlakah huw')."" (Shamuw'el 2 7:12)

Dowd's reappearance as King will be manifest, not only through the redeployment of his *nepesh* | soul, but also by reconstituting his DNA for the third time. This manifestation of the Messiah will adroitly convey Dowd's authority, personality, and appearance. The returning Messiah, the Son of God, and the King of Kings, is, therefore, fully and completely Dowd. And that is why Yahowah is now speaking of him to us, telling us what they will accomplish together...

"He, himself, shall restore and rebuild (huw' banah) the family home (beyth) for (la) My name (shem 'any). And (wa) I will prepare and establish (kuwn) the throne ('eth kise') of his kingdom (mamlakah huw') forevermore as a continuing witness ('ad 'owlam). (Shamuw'el 2 7:13)

I was, I am, and I always will be ('any hayah) for him (la huw') as a Father (la 'ab), and (wa) he was, is, and always will be (huw' hayah) for Me as (la 'any) a son (la ben)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:14 in part)

It's true that Shalomoh built the Covenant Home of Yahowah on the Temple Mount that Dowd acquired and with the materials his father had accumulated. But Solomon got distracted, and trying to please the many foreign women he had brought into his harem, his reign ended poorly. Israel would be shattered, breaking into pieces and destroyed within a decade of his turbulent time on the throne. Therefore, his kingdom was not everlasting.

This claim can only be made of one man – Dowd – because he is returning to reign forevermore.

Reminding us that he was still addressing Dowd, the King was the only man Yahowah referred to as His Son, doing so three times. Therefore, it is obvious that the only transition has been from Yahowah speaking directly to His Son to talking to us about him. And as a result, to claim this prophetic pronouncement on behalf of the mythical misnomer Jesus is nothing short of identity theft. It applies to the greatest man who ever lived, Yahowah's Chosen One and Firstborn, the Messiah and King, Dowd, and not to a fable.

And yet, that is exactly what Peter, Paul, and Luke were implying by misappropriating Yahowah's promise to Dowd, and to us through him, and donating it to Iesou Christou. I can assure you that Christianity's coconspirators will be convicted of this crime and suffer the consequence – which is an eternity in She'owl.

Speaking to us about His beloved Son, Yahowah specifically warned His people that the likes of Peter, Paul, and pals would misappropriate and corrupt almost everything He said of him...

"So when that which is associated with him is warped and perverted, twisted such that it is contrary to what has been stated ('asher ba 'awah huw'), I will resolve the dispute against him, vindicating him, deciding with him to expose and issue a condemnation (wa yakah huw') against the weapons and staves wielded by rulers of the nations (ba shebet) of men ('ishym) and against (wa ba) the fungus and plague that has fallen (nega') upon the descendants (ben) of 'Adam ('adam)." (Shamuw'el 27:14)

Fathers are protective of their children, and they will not tolerate those who seek to ruin their relationships. So, when the consequence results in a deadly plague and the spread of religious fungus, there will be recompense. And yet, just like Paul citing the prophecy in Habakkuk which condemned him, Peter did the same thing by pilfering this Divine narrative regarding Dowd. It is, therefore, embarrassing that there hasn't been a single scholar who was capable of pointing out the obvious.

Yahowah's declaration explains why He opposes the religious charade transferring the promises He made to the Messiah to create a Christ. God's not a believer...

"Therefore (wa), My steadfast devotion, enduring love, commitment to the relationship, and unmitigated favor (chesed 'any) will not be removed from him, be disassociated or diminished regarding him, or replaced, substituting another for him (lo' suwr min huw') as was the case when (ka 'asher) I turned away from and completely rejected (suwr min) associating with ('im) Sha'uwl (Sha'uwl) whom, to show the proper way ('asher), I removed (suwr) from your presence (min la paneh 'atah)." (Shamuw'el 2 7:15)

Unlike most people, Yahowah is loyal, and His love is enduring. He is eternally committed to the Covenant Family. This is especially so regarding His Son, our King, Dowd.

While everything was stolen from him to create the mythology of Christianity, having done so comes with a strong rebuke from God. Yahowah even condemns the primary culprit by name – Sha'uwl. Just as Dowd's initial nemesis was disavowed, demon-possessed, and perished apart from the people, the same was true of the Sha'uwl known to Christians as Paul. And while he died separated, rejected, and alone, in the final condemnation, all traces of his religion will be expunged from the Earth.

Speaking directly to Dowd again, and thus to us through him, God concludes...

"And so (wa), your family (beyth 'atah) and (wa) your position of authority, your kingdom and reign (malakah 'atah), are assured, as it is trustworthy and dependable, firmly established, credible, and enduring ('aman) forever as a continuing witness ('ad 'owlam) in My presence (la paneh 'atah). Your throne and seat of honor (kise' 'atah) will be (hayah) established and sustained (kuwn) forevermore as restoring testimony ('ad 'owlam).' (Shamuw'el 27:16)

Consistent with all of these words (ka kol ha dabarym ha 'eleh) and in accord with (wa ka) the entire revelation (kol ha chizywon ha zeh), in this manner, Nathan accurately conveyed this (ken dabar Nathan) to Dowd ('el Dowd)." (Shamuw'el / Listen to Him / 2 Samuel 7:17)

This prophecy has been about houses, who builds them and who lives in them. It is about Dowd, who had a home, not "Jesus" who was homeless throughout the myths conceived about him. Its focus has been on what God is going to do for, with, and through His beloved Son. It is also about seeds and thrones, who sows them and who sits upon them. As such, the three so-called Abrahamic religions predicated on false messiahs — Christianity, Judaism, and Islam — should have been vociferously renounced in their infancy and never have been allowed to grow.

Those adept at changing names, who actually made a religion out of doing so, Peter, Paul, and pals, replaced Dowd's name with Iesou / Jesus in a desperate attempt to make their counterfeit appear divine. Foolology became part and parcel of Christianity. It was the first phase of replacements since the revisionists would go on to replace Jews with Christians.

Dowd | David, the individual being addressed, was robbed by Christians to create the illusion that their "Jesus"

was the Messiah. That is why we find Yahowah coming to the defense of His Ben, ha Mashyach, our Yasha' and returning Melek.

God chose a shepherd boy, a child chasing after sheep, to lead His people and enlighten the world. He did not choose a scholar, a theologian, an expert in linguistics, a star athlete, a social media celebrity, or a man with a proven record in politics or the military. That is not to suggest that the lad was without merit. He was brilliant and thoughtful, articulate and courageous, attributes Yahowah would cultivate over time. The result is the *Mizmowr* and *Mashal* | Psalms and Proverbs, even the fulfillment of the *Mow'edym* | Restoring Witnesses of the Eternal Testimony.

Yahowah is constantly reminding us that He is focused on Yisra'el. The descendants of Ya'aqob are His people. This has not and will not change. There is no room for a Gentile Church.

Dowd established a united Yisra'el in the Promised Land, incorporating Yaruwshalaim as his home. So, when Yahowah promised to "appoint a place for My people, Yisra'el, planting them there such that they will never have to move again," He was addressing a time nearly 3,000 years removed from this discussion.

After having fulfilled Pesach and Matsah leading to Bikuwrym, Dowd will return on Kipurym, this time to serve as the King of Kings. All the Psalmist has sown will come to fruition. His will be the place of honor as it is his witness that makes him the most deserving candidate.

As a proud Father, Yahowah will hold those who have robbed His Son of this dignity accountable. He will chastise those who have perverted and twisted the promises He has made to His Beloved by perverting this prophecy. Second only to the embezzlement of His name by religious Jews, this crime irks the Almighty more than any other.

And therefore, this has been appalling to the Almighty...

"Israelite (Israelites) men (andros), listen to (akouo) these words (logos): Iesou (INY – placeholder for Iesou which became Jesus in the 17th century) the Nazarene man (andros) who publicly (Nazoraios). is a demonstrated (apodeiknymi) to (eis) vou (sy) that he is from (apo) Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos – god) using supernatural powers and abilities (dynamis) to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance (teras). These are signs (semeion) which (hos) Theos God $(\Theta \Sigma)$ performed (poieomai) through (dia) him (autos) in vour midst (mesos) as (kathos) vou know (oida). (Acts 2:22)

He was appointed to (horizo) the prearranged and prophetic (prognosis) plan and purpose (boule) of Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$), he was betrayed and given over (ekdotos) to (dia) the hand (cheir) of the Towrahless (anomos) who chose to take control and lift him up (anaireo), affixing him (prospegnumi). (Acts 2:23)

Theos $(\Theta\Omega)$ restored him to life and raised him (anistamai), releasing and dismissing him (lou) from the suffering and pain (odin) of death (thanatos) because (kathoti) it was not (ou) possible (dynatos) for him to exist (eimi) held and influenced (krateo) by (hypo) these things. (Acts 2:24)

For (gar - because) David $(\Delta \Delta - a \text{ placeholder for Dowd using the Greek transliteration } Dauid)$ said (lego) of (eis) him (autos), 'I foresee (proorao) the $Kurios \mid Lord$ $(K\Omega)$ in front of (enopion) me (ego) always (pas) on my behalf (dia) because (hoti) from (ek - out of) his right hand (dexios) I will not be $(me\ eimi)$ shaken or swayed (saleuomai). (Acts 2:25)

Therefore (dia), my heart (kardia) rejoices (euphrainomai), and my tongue (glossa) delights

(agalliao) that also (eti) my flesh (sarkos) will abide and endure (kataskenoo) as a result of (epi) a confident and trusting expectation (elpis). (Acts 2:26)

Because (hoti) my soul (psyche) will not (ou) be utterly forsaken and totally abandoned (egkataleipo) in Hades (hades). Neither (oude) will Your Holy One (hosios) be allowed (didomi) to see (horao) decay and decomposition (diaphthora). (Acts 2:27)

Reveal and make known to (gnorizo) me (ego) the way (hodos) to a complete (pleroo) life (zoe) of joy and gladness (euphrosyne) in proximity to (meta) Your presence (prosopon).' (Acts 2:28)

Gentlemen (andros), brothers (adelphos), it is possible (exesti) after all to say (eipon) to you in open public discourse, enjoying freedom of speech (parresia) with regard to (pros) and concerning (peri) the patriarch (patriaches) David ($\Delta\Delta$ – placeholder for Dauid, a Greek transliteration for Dowd), that (hoti) he both died (teleutao) and was buried (thapto), and his tomb (mnema) exists (eimi) among (en) us, even until (achri) this (houtos) day (hemera). (Acts 2:29)

"Being (hyparcho) therefore (oun) a prophet (prophetes), and knowing (oida) that (hoti) Theos | God $(\Theta\Sigma)$ swore (omnuo) an oath (horkos) that from out (ek) of the fruit (darpos) of his loins (osphys), would sit (kathizo) upon (epi) His (autos) throne (thronos), he foresaw (proorao) and spoke (laleo) about (peri) the rising up and standing upright (anastasis) of the Christon (XPN – placeholder for Christon, which became Christ), because namely (hoti), he was not (oute) left behind and abandoned so as to remain (egkataleipo) at (eis) Hades (hades), nor (oute) did his flesh (sarx) see (horao) decomposition (diaphthora)." (Acts 2:30-31)

Peter, Paul, and Luke concocted this malicious and malignant medley to take what was said of Dowd and apply

it to *Iesou Christon* | Jesus Christ. They were thieves. All it took was some errant citations misappropriated from the Prophets to do away with Dowd so that Iesou could bear his credentials. In this way, with these words, the myth of Replacement Foolology was forged. And all who believed them died.

Since he lied through his teeth up to this point, why would anyone believe him now?

"This Iesoun (IN – placeholder for Iesoun – Jesus) whom Theos ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for *theos* – god) raised up (anistamai – caused to be restored to life and stand upright), which all (pas) of us exist as (eimi) witnesses (martyros – spectators and observers who can testify based upon their experience), therefore (oun) is the right hand (dexia – the authority and power) of Theos (Θ Y), lifted up and exalted (hypsoo).

He also (te) promised (epangelia) the Holy (hagion) Pneuma ($\Pi N \Sigma$ – placeholder for pneuma – spirit) who is received and acquired (lambano) from (para) the Pater ($\Pi P \Sigma$ – placeholder for pater – father), which (hos) you all (sou) have also (kai) seen (blepo) and heard (akouo)." (Acts 2:32-33)

There was no one named "Iesoun" in 1st-century Judea. The person being crudely counterfeited is Dowd. God has a name, but these shysters didn't know it.

The body of the Passover Lamb was bludgeoned, discarded, and incinerated. The Pesach 'Ayil never comes back to life – as that would be ridiculous and defeat its purpose.

No one in this alleged audience was a witness to a risen Iesoun. Fact is, those allegedly exposed to the handful of brief post-Bikuwrym sightings of Gospel Jesus shared one thing in common – no one recognized him. And that completely negates the claim that "all of us exist as

witnesses."

Further, Dowd, as the Right Hand of God, was ignored, disregarded, demeaned, rejected, and replaced, which is the antithesis of "being lifted up and exalted."

The Messiah was not "promised the Holy Spirit." The *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set-Apart Spirit of Yahowah came upon him when he was anointed ha Mashyach at eight years of age and never left him. And as for making such a promise, nothing of the sort is found in Dowd's Mizmowr or Mashal. She isn't Dowd's to give. And She is the antithesis of *holy* | diseased.

By claiming that "the Pater" is the source of "hagion pneuma," Peter is displaying his ignorance. The Ruwach Qodesh is the Maternal manifestation of Yahowah's nature – not Paternal. Moreover, no man has seen the Ruwach Qodesh – adding to Peter's litany of lies. I do not think that he could have messed this up any worse, even if that had been his intent.

The *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set-Apart Spirit is, as Her title denotes, "set apart" from Yahowah. She is part of God projected into our frame of reference and represents the feminine and maternal aspects of Yahowah's nature. She is not, however, a separate "person" or involved in religion.

The Greek *hagiazo*, which is typically rendered as "holy," denotes religious implications. In addition to the "Holy Ghost," Christianity sports a "Holy Catholic Church," "Holy Bible," a "Holy Father," the "Holy See," a "Holy Mother," the "Holy One," a "Holy Trinity," "Holy Water," "Holy Baptism," and the "Holy Grail," in addition to "Holy Communion" to name a few – even a "Holy of Holies" and "Holy War" fought over the "Holy Land." They even celebrate "Halloween." The *New World Encyclopedia* states: "The word Holy denotes the presence of sacredness in an object, being, person, place, or idea. It can also indicate an experience of numinosity – all-

inspiring and imbued with sacredness. It is often ascribed to saints, gurus, times, or places." Thomas Aquinas defined holiness "as a virtue by which man's mind applies itself and all its acts to God."

The origin of the word "holy" comes from the 11th-century Old German *hulis* and Old English *holegn* meaning "Holly" as in the Holly Tree – considered a sacred plant to both pre-Christian Celtic and Roman worship. "To hold holy" became "to be holy," and then "to make holy," as in "to sanctify."

The concept of being "set apart" is as central to the Covenant as religious notions like holy are opposed to it. At best, "holy" conceals and corrupts this message, and it replaces God's terminology with man's. Worse, it applies attributes to saints, objects, relics, and the Spirit which are completely inaccurate.

But the story gets darker because holy has a satanic past. According to the Oxford English Dictionary, "holy" was "originally used to venerate heathen deities in Old Norse." In the Dictionary of Mythology, Folklore and Symbols, "holy in practically all languages was derived from the divinely honored sun." Forlong's Encyclopedia of Religions says: "Holi is the Great Hindu spring festival held in honor of Krishna as the spring sun-god...and a personified woman called Holi."

Heilei, which is the German pronunciation of holy, means "sun's ray" and "halo" – combining the religious and scientific symbols for the sun god and man. It is then little wonder religious types are wont to place these pagan images over the heads of their "saints." As confirmation of this, J.C. Cooper in his Illustrated Encyclopedia of Traditional Symbols, writes: "Nimbus (a halo around a saint's head replete with a sunburst), Halo, and Aureole (a heavenly crown of radiant light or corona worn by saints) were originally indicative of solar power and of the sun's

disk, and hence display an attribute of sun-gods."

Since so many of man's religious words, such as "church," which is an adaptation of Circe (pronounced similarly to church, representing the sun goddess who was the daughter of Helios), come from Germanic sources, it is instructive to know that *heilei* and *heilig*, the German equivalent of holy, were derived from *Heil*. In *Bell's New Pantheon*, "Heil was a Saxon (Prussian and North Germanic) idol." So it is safe to say that at best, "holy" is wrong in that it misses the point, and at worst, "holy" leads us in the wrong direction.

The closest Hebrew word, *holy* / *choly*, means "severe plague and chronic sickness." As a Jew, fluent in Hebrew, Peter should have known better, even if Luke didn't.

Peter would have us believe that the Beloved Son of God, the Messiah, the past and future King of Israel, Yahowah's Firstborn and Chosen One, His Shepherd and the Branch, the great Prophet and Psalmist, did not get into heaven. If so, then the doors are forever sealed...

"For (gar - indeed) David $(\Delta\Delta - placeholder)$ for the Greek transliteration David, did not (ou) ascend (anabaino - rise) and go up) to (eis) heaven (ouranos), but (de) himself (autos) said (lego), 'Said (eipon) Lord $(K\Sigma - placeholder)$ for kurios - lord): My (ego) Lord $(K\Omega - placeholder)$ for kurio - lord) sits and resides (kathemai - lives) and remains) out of (ek) My (ego) right side (dexios - right) hand of power and authority) (Acts 2:34) until (hoes) I put (tithemi) your enemies (echthros) as a footstool (hypopodion) for your feet (podos)." (Acts 2:35)

The initial declaration that – "David did not ascend to heaven" – is so far from the truth, so insulting to Yahowah, so demeaning of His Beloved Son, so negating of the Covenant, and so dismissive of the Mow'ed Miqra'ey, by stating it publicly, Peter, Luke, and his spiritual adviser,

Paul, have earned their incarceration in *She'owl* | Hell. And from this juncture into oblivion, the Church has opined that "the Lord speaking of my Lord" proves that there are multiple persons in the "godhead" and that the unnamed "Jesus" must be in heaven instead of David. Stupid is as stupid says.

Just for our entertainment, I would ask those promoting these lies: If we are to suppose that Dowd is speaking in the first person in his Psalm, who is it that is saying "until I put your enemies as a footstool for your feet?" With Dowd as the speaker rather than the subject of the Psalm, wouldn't that make "Dauid" more powerful than "the Lord?"

Tell me, how is it that the full cadre of Christian theologians over this vast chasm of time have not been able to admit the conclusion of the sentence negates any possibility that it was spoken by "David" of "Jesus?" Are they all complete ignoramuses or is their problem a total lack of integrity?

We have more copies of the Psalms extant among the Dead Sea Scrolls than any other Divinely inspired text, more scrolls even than the Towrah or of Isaiah. Unfortunately, the citation now being misappropriated is from Psalm 110, and it is not among them. At issue is the use of 'adony | my lord after and before Yahowah's name, in addition to the realization that the entire Psalm was written in Yahowah's voice. In it, God addresses His subject in second and third person. This is to say that Yahowah is speaking to this individual and then of him, similar to what we witnessed in *Shamuw'el* / 2 Samuel 7.

This known, the Psalm was written about Dowd, not by Dowd – as is the case with the magnificent 89th Mizmowr. Therefore, the introductory line in Acts 2, "but he, himself, said…" is a false assumption since the Psalm was scribed about Dowd and since almost every statement is consistent with what Yahowah has said of him and no one else.

"A psalm (mizmowr - a poetic song with melody and words) regarding (la – about, concerning the approach of, and to) Dowd (Dowd – the Beloved)."

Using the primary meaning of the preposition, $la \mid$ to, the mystery of the Psalm is resolved. It is not Dowd, himself, who is saying..., but is instead what Yahowah is saying about Dowd.

Based upon the Masoretic Text, the Mizmowr reads:

"Yahowah (Yahowah) prophetically announces in advance of it occurring (na'um) concerning (la – regarding and about the approach of, or to) my Lord and my Upright One ('adony / 'edony – my master and authority figure used as a sign of respect by someone looking up to another individual, or my foundation and support upon which I stand): "You have chosen of your own accord to actually dwell (yashab – under the auspices of freewill, you have decided to genuinely live and remain (qal imperative – a genuine expression of volition in the second person)) at (la – near and by) My right side (yamyn 'any – My right hand with My power and authority) as an eternal witness ('ad – continuously and forever, into perpetuity as a source of testimony).

I will place (syth – I will set (qal imperfect)) your enemies and those who discount you ('oyab 'atah – your foes who are openly hostile to your status and opposed to your position, displaying animus and rancor toward you) as (la) your foot (regel 'atah) stool (hadom – a piece of furniture to rest one's feet; from an unused root meaning to stamp upon)." (Mizmowr / Song / Psalm 110:1)

This was written by a prophet, perhaps the aforementioned Nathan, to reveal what Yahowah said to him regarding this individual, whom the prophet calls

either 'adony | my lord or 'edony | my upright one. Both distinctions were common and appropriate as titles when used to address a person of considerable status, like a king, whom the writer knows and respects.

However, not only would it be inappropriate to call Yahowah "my Lord," this is not Yahowah speaking at this point. We know this to be the case because there is no situation where God would refer to anyone as "My Lord." Further, there would have been no one at the time this was written who would have known the fabulous Gospel Jesus or who could have spoken of him as 'my Anything.' Even beyond these realizations, we should also acknowledge that all but one word of what follows pertains to Dowd and nothing is applicable to the myth of Gospel Jesus.

And if I may point out the obvious, the psalmist has already identified "my lord." The introduction reads: "A psalm (mizmowr) about, concerning, and to (la) Dowd (Dowd)."

Recognizing that Dowd's reconstituted *basar* | corporeal body and *nepesh* | consciousness were deployed to enable the promises associated with Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, the Christian premise unravels. It would have Yahowah predicting that His soul decided independently of Himself to live at His right side. Even if it were possible, there would be no reason to predict such a thing. And, of course, it still wouldn't be applicable to Jesus.

While God has freewill, Yahowah is speaking of another, not Himself. And the one person who has made it abundantly clear that he has chosen to live with Yah is Dowd. And not-so-coincidentally, he is the same person Yahowah has referred to as His Right Hand.

Gospel Jesus did not leave us with a single written word, and Dowd was the most prolific writer among Yahowah's prophets. Dowd exemplifies "'ad – an everlasting and eternal witness."

Even in the myths attributed to him, Gospel Jesus was never "'oyab — discounted by those opposed to his position" but instead the opposite. He is afforded titles well beyond what was appropriate. By contrast, Dowd's Psalms are replete with references to his enemies who have sought to negate his position with Yahowah.

Dowd is the only one being discredited by those besmirching him and ascribing undue status to the Christian counterfeit. Moreover, to claim that David is not in heaven is counter to everything that was written about him.

In the 89th Mizmowr, which is a tribute to Dowd, he is presented as the Right Hand and Son of God, as the Shepherd and the Messiah, even as the King who is returning to vanquish Yisra'el's foes. And this passage in Acts 2 is one of many which point directly toward what Christians have done to rob Dowd of his impressive resume. So even at this early point, we know that this is not something being said by Dowd or about Gospel Jesus, but said about Dowd, thus negating Peter's statement and Luke's assessment on behalf of Paul.

When we compare Luke's positioning of this prophetic declaration with Yahowah's presentation of it, an observant and rational reader immediately recognizes that the misquoted statement provides no evidence to demonstrate any of Luke's assertions - while it contains considerable proof to discredit them. While his rendition shares some words in common to fool the unwary, the Psalm does not infer that "David did not ascend to heaven." The prophecy is about Dowd, so he is not the one who said follows what negating the somewhat clever repositioning. The Greek citation replaced Yahowah's name with "kurios – Lord," giving the false impression that there were two "Lords" in the passage, with one referring to "Jesus Christ." However, counter to Peter's claim, nothing in the prophecy applies to anyone other than the

man to whom it was addressed – Dowd.

Before we move on, let's compare God's Word to man's New Testament drivel...

"A psalm (mizmowr) about and to (la) Dowd | the Beloved (Dowd). Yahowah (Yahowah) prophetically announces in advance of it occurring (na'um) concerning the approach of (la) my Lord and Upright One ('adony / 'edony): 'You have chosen of your own accord to actually dwell (yashab) at (la) My right side (yamyn 'any) as an eternal witness ('ad).

I will place (syth) your enemies and those who discount you ('oyab 'atah) as (la) your foot (regel 'atah) stool (hadom).'" (Mizmowr / Psalm 110:1)

Versus:

"For (gar) Dauid $(\Delta\Delta)$, did not (ou) ascend (anabaino) to (eis) heaven (ouranos), but (de) himself (autos) said (lego), 'Said (eipon) Lord $(K\Sigma)$: My (ego) Lord $(K\Omega)$ sits and resides (kathemai) out of (ek) My (ego) right side (dexios) until (hoes) I put (tithemi) your enemies (echthros) as a footstool (hypopodion) for your feet (podos)." (Acts 2:34-35)

How is it that over the past 1,700 years, not a single theologian has denounced this obvious deception while countless have opined that it validates the Trinity? Is the Christian religion universally effective at incapacitating every believer's ability to think or are the clerics and theologians in on the scam?

While the misappropriated and mistranslated portion of the Psalm in Acts 2 concludes with the 1st verse of Mizmowr 110, I am not one to turn the page when there is much more to learn, when there are false prophets to expose, and when there are liars to condemn. The fraudulent repositioning of the 110th Psalm to elevate "Jesus" over Dowd is something those who love Yahowah

must challenge with evidence and reason.

Also relevant, Psalm 110 is the single most quoted Hebrew prophecy in the Greek New Testament. And this is in spite of its repetitive mentions of Yahowah's name and its overt condemnations of Yisra'el's foes, particularly as Yahowah and His coworker rid the world of Christians.

As we recommence, be aware that there is no reference to Gospel Jesus ever touching a scepter or a lance. He did not wield a staff while shepherding sheep. However, Dowd held all three in a meaningful way. Dowd ruled over Yisra'el as King and he is defined by Tsyown, but neither applies to the myth of Iesoun. Dowd fought countless battles to protect his people, while Christon did not fight anyone. I share this to reaffirm that the Mizmowr was written to and about Dowd, and therefore, it was wrong to claim it for the imposter.

"Your mighty scepter (match 'oz 'atah — your fortified staff, powerful spear, and forceful lance), Yahowah (Yahowah — the proper pronunciation of YaHoWaH, our 'elowah — God as directed in His ToWRaH — teaching regarding His HaYaH — existence and our ShaLoWM — restoration) extends (shalach — reaches out) from (min) Tsyown | the Signs Posted Along the Way (Tsyown) to rule, dominating and subduing (radah — to lead and direct while showing authority over (qal imperative)) your foes who are openly hostile to your status and opposed to your position ('oyab 'atah — your enemies and those who discount you, displaying animus and rancor toward you) in battle (ba qerab — while fighting the war)." (Mizmowr / Song / Psalm 110:2)

Yahowah spoke of doing this very thing for and with Dowd in the *Shamuw'el* / 2 Samuel 7 passage that Peter, Paul, and pals misappropriated. It is a constant theme throughout the Prophets. Dowd is a fighter, a shepherd and king who constantly battled to protect his people. Gospel

Jesus never confronted a militant foe.

We will discover that both Dowd, and the legends propping up his counterfeit, will attest that they came from Bethlehem. In Dowd's case, leaving the "House of Bread" proved symbolic of him removing the yeast from our souls during Matsah. Further, the location and purpose of Tsyown are explained in harmony with the Mashyach who lived there, while Gospel Jesus is never mentioned in association with this place. This connection is so strong, there is only one man, at the exclusion of all others, of whom Yahowah would "extend from Tsyown."

There are only three individuals Yahowah has foretold are returning. 'ElYah will arrive in Yaruwshalaim on Passover in 2030 to serve as one of two Witnesses alongside Yada', Dowd's *Basar* | Herald. And Dowd will be returning with Yahowah on the Day of Reconciliations in 2033 as Mashyach and Melek. His first order of business will be to do as Psalm 110:2 proclaims. These are the three men with three lives. All three will have lived a full life to old age, a very short and challenging one of three to four years, and an eternal one thereafter.

We know that there are a number of exceedingly expressive prophecies pertaining to the fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym. Not only is Gospel Jesus never mentioned in association with them, Dowd is typically named and always depicted.

In this next statement, 'am 'atah | your people is used in reference to the family comprising the Children of Yisra'el – not a *gowym* church.

"Your people ('am 'atah – your family) will be free and abundantly enriched, willing and able (nedabah – will be liberated and highly motivated, desirous and eager to volunteer and play their part), on the day (ba yowm – during the time) associated with the beautiful appearance and uncommon splendor, the uniquely

majestic and honorable manifestation (ba hadar qodesh – in glorious fashion, instilling respect, adorned in a manner which sets you apart as a person of the highest status), of your physical prowess, exceptional qualifications, noble character, and unique ability (chayl 'atah – of your extraordinary endurance and effectiveness, even your enormous wealth, the strength of your troops and your political and military competence, also your ability to prosper through an eternity of time).

From (min - out of) the womb and in love, showing the compassion of a Mother (rechem – the point of origin with great affection and mercy, showing concern for the relationship), vour light will dawn, approaching (mishchar la 'atah – in the early morning light, your time will approach, transitioning from darkness to light with a renewed vigor; from my – to ponder the implications of shachar – being diligently observant while earnestly seeking the truth from the onset, right from the very beginning with) the prosperity and abundance (tal – like the enveloping covering and refreshing nature of the dew. you will be renewed, adorned, and pervasive; from talal – to be wholly saturated and covered) of your youth (yalduwth 'atah - of the time you were young, your childhood; from yalad – when you were born)." (Mizmowr / Song / Psalm 110:3)

This is prophetic of the Second Coming of *Dowd* | David. By delivering on the promised benefits of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, Dowd abundantly enriched his people while liberating us from our prior guilt, making us appear perfect in God's eyes. His beautiful reappearance occurred on Firstborn Children when his prowess as the Son of God and King of Kings was reappointed.

The Messiah was able to serve Yisra'el and Yahuwdah in this way because of his exceptional qualifications, noble character, and unique capabilities – making him the perfect man for the job.

The reference to his Mother is to the *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set-Apart Spirit who removed Dowd's soul from She'owl the morning of Bikuwrym. Her light revealed his brilliance. And as a result of what they have achieved, we prosper.

There may even be references to the Messiah's Third Coming as well. This will be a time when everyone will be like-minded, and motivated to help rid the world of every threat — including Christianity, Islam, Judaism, and Socialist Secular Progressive Humanism. God's people will rally around our Shepherd and King, eager to volunteer as the Earth is scrubbed of the stench of religion and nationalism.

We are told on two occasions that Dowd was beautiful, a particularly handsome man (Psalm 45 and 1 Samuel 16 and 17). By contrast, the legends pertaining to Gospel Jesus suggest that he was average-looking at best. Therefore, should I have been correct regarding *ma'al* describing "a minute, exceedingly small, piece" of Dowd's DNA, then we will see him in all of his original glory – just as this Psalm attests.

This said, Yahowah's perspective on men is different from our own. Dowd was beautiful in Yahowah's eyes for many reasons. Among them were his brilliant mind, soaring rhetoric, passion for his people, and exemplary character. I have only seen his words, and yet, after observing them I have come to love him.

One of the most effective ways to ascertain the identity of the individual presented in Messianic prophecies, such as this one, and others like what we read in *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 9:6-7, is that Dowd's name appears in almost all of them. Further, Dowd is often presented as a *gibowr* | a courageous and capable man. Its definition is very similar to *chayl*, which speaks of "physical prowess, exceptional qualifications, noble character, and unique ability." It foretells of a man of "extraordinary endurance and

effectiveness, who possesses enormous wealth." And as a *gibowr* and *chayl*, we know that the Messiah will be "a warrior, someone who fights to defend Yisra'el, competently leading troops into battle while wielding political and military authority." Dowd is this man. Gospel Jesus was not.

The conclusion of the 3rd statement of the 110th Mizmowr speaks of Dowd to the exclusion of all others. He was born Beloved. He is the ultimate recipient of Yahowah's *rechem* | love and affection. He was known for beginning his day at dawn and no one began as gloriously in their youth as God's son. He was not only chosen and anointed at eight, in short order, he slayed his people's most belligerent foe. His best Songs were written early in his life.

Equally befitting, Yahowah tells us that Dowd will be "as brilliant as the sun" upon his return. He will be "as God." This promise is made in the 89th Mizmowr which we will consider again in the concluding volume of *Twistianity*.

Not only did Dowd provide an abundance of *Mizmowr* / Psalms during his life as God's Shepherd and Chosen One, as Yahowah's Firstborn and the returning King of the Universe, Dowd will receive the lion's share of the inheritance associated with the Covenant.

While Yahowah made promises to many, there were only two to whom He made solemn oaths: 'Abraham and Dowd. And since He has already fulfilled all of His promises to the former, it appears as if Yahowah is ascribing yet another distinction upon the latter...

"Yahowah (Yahowah – a transliteration of \(\frac{\pmyq}{\pmyq} \), our 'elowah – God as directed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence) has sworn an oath, having made a solemn promise (shaba' – He has affirmed the truthfulness of His previous statements by meeting the

conditions in association with seven), and will not change it (wa lo' nacham – will not reconsider or relent, and He will not alter His course of action, and He is not apologetic or sorry).

You are a royal advisor and supreme leader adorned in a priestly fashion ('atah kohen – you are a counselor and ruler, the principal officer, serving by ministering; from kahan – to serve by playing the role of a priest, becoming a priest, and being adorned as a kohen) **forever** (*la 'owlam* – for the duration of time) with regard to the words of ('al dibrah – corresponding to the proper sequence of events which have been communicated through the words of; feminine of dabar – the spoken and written word of) Meleky Tsadaq | My Rightful King (Meleky Tsadaq - My Royal Counselor, Advisor, and Sovereign Ruler; from melek - king, which is from the verbal root malak – to be king and to provide counsel and advice with the pronoun suffix y - My and tsadaq - right, correct, acquitted and vindicated, just and righteous, which is from the verbal root tsadaq – to be right and thus to be correct, to acquit and be vindicated, and to be justified, righteous, and upright)." (*Mizmowr* / Song / Psalm 110:4)

Throughout the prophets, Yahowah speaks more about Dowd, and of the promises He has made to him, than any other individual – and by a wide margin. At one point in the 89th Mizmowr, God even says that if all of the promises He has made to Dowd do not materialize, you can call Him a liar – which is what Christians have done by transferring everything said of Dowd to "Jesus."

As a direct affront to the most pervasive plague to infect humankind – Christianity – God says regarding this individual that He will not change His mind. This is a stunning rebuke of Replacement Theology in the very Psalm used more than any other to advance this malignant mythology. What Yahowah promised to do for and with His Beloved and Firstborn Son, His Chosen Shepherd and

Messiah, the King of Kings, cannot ever be taken away from him and given to another.

The concluding phrase of the 4th statement has left scholars and theologians bewildered for centuries because they are unwilling to search for the meaning of Hebrew words – especially names. And they fail to appreciate how context dictates the proper way to translate the ideas embedded within the terminology.

God is not talking about a "kohen – priest" in the sense of a Lowy | Levite serving in this role. The only Lowy of the status required to be the subject of this prophecy was Moseh – and it does not apply to him. He has fulfilled the mission of liberating the Children of Yisra'el while helping them grow by sharing Yahowah's *Towrah* | Guidance and Teaching.

I say this because no *Lowy* | Levite, other than *Moseh* | Moses, chose to dwell at Yahowah's right side while serving as an eternal witness. However, Moseh's foes were vanquished long ago. Dowd's arose after his service as king and prior to his return.

Moseh wielded a staff, but not a scepter or a spear. Dowd held all three. Dowd is the living embodiment of Tsyown while Moseh never set foot upon this place. Moseh was a liberator and Dowd a defender.

That is not to say that Dowd won't be serving as a priest, because he will, and in the most essential way and on the most important day. It was while translating and analyzing the 3rd chapter of Zakaryah that I came to realize that Dowd would be serving in the role of High Priest, doing what Yahowah instructed of 'Aharown regarding anointing the *Kaporeth* | Mercy Seat of the Ark of the Covenant on Yowm Kipurym to prepare Yisra'el for Yahowah's return. That is why Psalm 110:4 acknowledges that Dowd will be adorned in priestly fashion.

Any allusion to another individual besides Dowd, including Moseh, dissipates entirely within the 3rd statement because the final liberation and enrichment of Yisra'el will occur upon Dowd's return, when he will be as brilliant as the sun. It is God waxing poetic about His beloved Son, the poet, when He calls his "appearance beautiful" and "uniquely majestic." As for "honorable," "earning the highest respect," and "being exceptionally qualified," these are accurate assessments of this man of "noble character and enormous wealth" – even by God's standards.

As we continue to demonstrate that this prophecy could only be addressing one man at the exclusion of all others, should Yahowah have been addressing "Jesus" as Christians claim, by "shaba' – honoring a solemn promise" which He "lo' nacham – will not change," then God could not have endorsed a new religion based upon Replacement Theology, a New Testament, or negated the role of the Passover Lamb. And if the kohen is a reference to Moseh, then the Towrah remains in effect – now and always.

The key to understanding *kohen* is to look beyond the initial definition to its secondary connotation, "counselor and advisor," recognizing that this is why the title was chosen. And then we must look to its actionable root: *kahan*, meaning: "royal ruler, priestly advisor, supreme leader, and sovereign counselor who serves the people while adorned in priestly fashion." Since there has never been a Lowy who qualifies within this context, Yahowah is addressing the "royal advisor and supreme leader" of His people who is *Meleky Tsadaq* | My Rightful King. Of these, there is only one: King Dowd.

The problem people seem to have translating this is that they are not familiar with the words which comprise the names Yahowah has chosen. There is no "priestly order of Melchizedek." It is nothing more or less than Yahowah announcing that Dowd is His rightful king. *Meleky* means

"My King" and *Tsadaq* means "right." Based upon the root meaning of both words, *Meleky Tsadaq* conveys: "My Royal Counselor and Advisor, My Sovereign Ruler who is right, correct, acquitted, and vindicated, justified, righteous, and upright."

More than for anyone who has ever lived, Yahowah has said of Dowd that he is right. And when the people chose Sha'uwl, Yahowah chose Dowd as Melek of Yisra'el.

At this point, the prophet announces that Dowd, as Yahowah's Right Hand, will do as God has promised...

"My Lord and my Upright One ('adony / 'edony 'any – the one I look up to as a sign of respect and my foundation and support upon which I stand), who is at Your right hand ('al yamin 'atah – upon Your right side, designating the preferred position of authority and power), he will strike (machats – will pierce and dash, severely agitate and shake up, ultimately crushing) during this time (ba yowm – in this day) of His king's wrath ('aph huw' melek – of the frustration and resentment of His ruler, the righteous indignation of His sovereign counselor)." (Mizmowr / Song / Psalm 110:5)

The prophet, most likely Nathan, is revealing what Yahowah revealed to him about the return of his King, our Messiah and Savior, Dowd. At Yahowah's right hand, *ha Mashyach* will lash out at Yisra'el's foes, especially Christians, Muslims, religious Jews, and Progressives. The King is angry, as is his God. And frankly, after reading Acts, who can blame them?

Should you be a Christian, this is not good news. To be judged seldom has a favorable outcome – particularly now. And to have the man whose life your religion has ransacked, and whose people you have demeaned and "replaced," be the arbitrator puts those who believe in the Pauline "Jesus Christ" in a very bad position. But do not

take my word on this – listen to God.

"He will execute judgment to defend and to accuse $(dyn - he will decide between right and wrong based upon the agreed set of principles, adjudicating by contending and convicting, taking legal action against while debating and disputing) among the <math>Gowym \mid Gentile \ religions \ and nations (ha gowym - those who are not Jews, the communities of people from other races, cultures, and places) filling them <math>(male' - putting \ an \ end \ to \ them)$ with corpses $(gawyah - with \ the \ carcasses \ of \ dead \ bodies; from <math>gewah - to \ be \ left \ behind, feminine \ of \ gowy - non-Yisra'elites).$

He will strike, mortally wounding (*machats* – he will physically impact and dash, slicing up and shattering), the leaders (*ro'sh* – the highest-ranking individuals, especially those who govern at the highest levels) of the greatest on Earth (*'al 'erets rab* – the preponderance of the earth)." (*Mizmowr* / Song / Psalm 110:6)

Methinks Gowym did not replace Yahuwdym.

And yet Gowym Christians believe that this Lord, the one killing them and their leaders, will be their very own "Jesus Christ." Welcome Twistian Zombies to the land of the Living Dead.

Once upon a time, I shuddered when I read statements like this one. But now, I concur with them. The punishment fits the crime. And without recompense, there is no justice.

Yahowah will once again engage with Dowd, the returning Messiah, to protect His people and home from a world that has turned against them. It is appropriate and fair.

Gentile Christians and Muslims, Conspirators and now Socialists, Communists, and Progressives, have continued to conspire to rob King Dowd's people of their calling, their land, their rights, their dignity, their freedom, and their very lives. And they have chosen to support human religious and political institutions whose edicts besmirch Yahowah's name and reputation. Their assembly of United Nations is overtly and overwhelmingly anti-Israel. It is so pathetic; the leaders of nations will invert the truth and embrace Islamic terrorists to condemn God's people.

If I were to ask you to name a moral and truthful political or religious leader, and give you a month to find one, your list would appear as a blank page. Goodbye and good riddance. And along with this cadre of corrupt souls, we can toss out the myth that the 110th Psalm demonstrates that, while David was excluded from heaven, dead and buried, he nonetheless peered into the Christian paradise to praise the Lords who had dealt fraudulently with him.

ያየያታ

We now have irrefutable proof that those who wrote the Christian New Testament were disingenuous and incompetent, deliberate liars who played the faithful for fools. They despised the God whose authority they claimed and disparaged His people. And for these insights, our journey into Acts has paid dividends.

And let's be clear, Peter, Paul, and Luke disrespected the Messiah and Son of God. As proof, they plundered and slandered him.

Since Luke was not yet through tarnishing everyone's reputation, let's see what else Paul's propagandist had his master's principal rival say on the occasion of sullying Shabuw'ah. Perhaps playing the role of a ventriloquist, the puppet master would pull the strings and project the voice such that his dummy would continue to make ludicrous claims about the fabled reincarnation of Dionysus and counterfeit of Dowd – Iesoun Christon.

"Therefore (oun – consequently, these things being so), let every (pas) household (oikos) in Israel (Israel – a transliteration of Yisra'el) know (ginosko –acknowledge) with certainty (asphalos – beyond any doubt) that (hoti) the Lord (KN – placeholder for kurion, meaning Lord) made (poieomai – performed what was required to cause and designate) him (autos) the (tov) Christ (XPN – placeholder for Christon) and God ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos – god), this (houtos) Jesus (IHN – placeholder for Iesoun) whom (hos) you crucified ($E\Sigma P\Omega\Sigma ATE$ – placeholder for aorist active tense of the verb stauroo – affixed to an upright stake)." (Acts 2:36)

Based upon their grotesque misappropriation of Yow'el 2, Psalm 16, 2nd Samuel 7, and then the 110th Mizmowr to hijack Shabuw'ah, why not? Just because every word of this was wrong and there is no logical connection between those prophecies and this conclusion, what's the harm in starting a new religion by robbing the actual Mashyach, inferring that Dowd was dead so that Iesoun could replace him, all while demoting Jews from Chosen People to God killers?

Okay, so maybe that's not a great idea, but the Romans and Greeks believed them – and that was the point. But for what purpose: to anger God, to rob His Son, to annul His testimony, or to deny salvation? The answer: all of these things.

The Christian coconspirators actually had the chutzpa to attribute their delusions to God. And if that were not enough to certify their enduring stay in *She'owl* | Hell, the authors of the New Testament blamed the Jews, not the Romans, for crucifying their god.

Before I demonstrate that Peter's every word was a lie, for entertainment's sake, let's play along with them for a moment. Let's pretend that Israel existed in 33 CE, that Yahowah's name was Kurion, that he made a Christon and

then turned him into a Theos, and even that someone named Iesoun actually existed, but was tragically crucified. For Peter's story to ring true, we must assume that crucifixion was a Jewish invention and that the Jews, not Romans, had the authority to convict and condemn a prisoner in the Roman-subjugated Province of Judea. But if Saint Peter's tale were true, if those dastardly Jews killed the god the Lord had made, shouldn't the Romans and Greeks be worshiping the all-powerful Jews? And should this have occurred as Peter Pan alleges, if a gaggle of religious goons were capable of killing the Christian god, how is such a pathetic deity supposed to restore the lives of men?

Continuing to play along, if the Lord made Iesoun the Christon so that he could be crucified by Jews, wouldn't the Lord be a sadist? And if everyone knew this was true, why wasn't any of this predicted or explained by the Prophets? Why is there no mention of an *Iesoun* | Jesus or *Christon* | Christ if he was to be the co-god of the coconspirators?

Why did the actual God, Yahowah, who despises being called "the Lord" since it is Satan's title, bother with Passover when the answer was crucifixion? Why bother with the lamb if the magic is in the cross? Why did Yahowah set the example of anointing the Mashyach with oil if the same status could be achieved by lordly decree? Since the prelude to this storyline required robbing Dowd, why did God say that all of His promises on behalf of Dowd were everlasting and that He should be called a liar if not accurate?

In that it is the essence of the new religion, why is there no mention of this transfer from the King to the Counterfeit to be found anywhere in the Prophets? If this was all so obvious and convincing, why did the authors of the Christian New Testament have to consistently lie to make their point? Why, if God was now changing sides and

rejecting Jews for having killed Him, are there countless prophecies affirming the restoration of His relationship with Yisra'el and Yahuwdah during the last days? And why, if the Gentile Church has become the Chosen People, is God shown annihilating them upon His return?

Humm...perhaps there were some glitches in the Twistian story which should have been worked out before its debut. Someone should have sent Peter, Paul, Luke, Mark, and Timothy back to the drawing board before they published this script. Either that or perhaps the Jews should have crucified them.

As we unravel this twisted tapestry, we have to unwind the script because Acts 2:36 begins with "Therefore..." If you recall, this train wreck began with Peter pilfering a prophetic pronouncement affirming Dowd's privileged position with Yahowah. The original reads...

"A psalm (mizmowr) about and to (la) Dowd | the Beloved (Dowd). Yahowah (Yahowah) prophetically announces in advance of it occurring (na'um) to and concerning the approach of (la) my Lord and Upright One ('adony / 'edony): 'You have chosen of your own accord to actually dwell (yashab) at (la) My right side (yamyn 'any) as an eternal witness ('ad). I will place (syth) your enemies and those who discount you ('oyab 'atah) as (la) your foot (regel 'atah) stool (hadom)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 110:1)

Through the magic of religion and demonic duplicity, this was somehow twisted into yet another way to deprive the actual Messiah, Son of God, and Savior of his due so that these credits could be awarded to the Christian counterfeit. The pipsqueak that was Peter desecrated the most exceptional man in human history by misappropriating and misquoting the prior declaration and incredulously saying...

"For (gar - indeed) David $(\Delta \Delta - placeholder)$ for the

Greek transliteration Dauid), did not (ou) ascend (anabaino – rise and go up) to (eis) heaven (ouranos), but (de) himself (autos) said (lego), 'Said (eipon) Lord ($K\Sigma$ – placeholder for kurios – lord): My (ego) Lord (K Ω – placeholder for kurio – lord) sits and resides (kathemai – lives and remains) out of (ek) My (ego) right side (dexios - right hand of power and authority) (Acts 2:34) until (hoes) I put (tithemi) vour enemies (echthros) as a **footstool** (hypopodion) **for your feet** (podos).' (Acts 2:35) Therefore (oun – consequently, these things being so), let every (pas) household (oikos) in Israel (Israel - a transliteration of *Yisra'el*) **know** (*ginosko* – acknowledge) with certainty (asphalos – beyond any doubt) that (hoti) the Lord (KN – placeholder for *kurion*, meaning Lord) made (poieomai – performed what was required to cause and designate) him (autos) the (tov) Christon (XPN placeholder for *Christon*) and God ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos - god), this (houtos) Iesoun (IHN - placeholder for *Iesoun*) whom (hos) you crucified $(E\Sigma P\Omega\Sigma ATE$ placeholder for agrist active tense of the verb stauroo – affixed to an upright stake)." (Acts 2:36)

Truth cannot be deduced from a false premise or by tampering with the evidence as Peter and Luke have done. $Dowd \mid D$ auid ascended to heaven not once, but twice, and is there with Yahowah at this time. It is from Shamaym that he will return as King. Neither Dowd nor Dauid said anything of the sort. This was said to him and about him. In the prophetic citation, Yahowah's name was cited immediately after Dowd's, and yet it was omitted from this misappropriation. It wasn't by accident because it affirms that this was Yahowah's prophetic announcement regarding Dowd, thereby negating Peter's premise.

"My Lord" is from 'adony, and it is addressing King Dowd in the prophet's and psalmist's voice. The one who has chosen to live alongside Yahowah so that he could serve as an eternal and restoring witness is again Dowd. He

has done so twice and will soon reprise the role. In the context of the 1st verse of the 110th Psalm these conclusions are obvious and rationally undeniable. Further, the enemies who have discounted Dowd are religious, beginning with Peter, Paul, and Luke. Therefore, the three stubby legs on the footstool set beneath the King's feet may well be graven images of the blasphemers who comprise the trinity of Christian coconspirators.

That was such an inauspicious prelude to "therefore," everything which follows would be suspect, even if it were not also false. But it was. "Israel" was destroyed by the Assyrians 2,700 years before this ploy was proposed to this imaginary audience. All that remained at this time was Roman-occupied *Yahuwd* | Judah.

Every household in Israel could not have known something with certainty when the chief architect was using crayons to scribble outside the lines regarding a counterfeit conceived by robbing the King to promote a fable. This invalid claim is part and parcel of religious foolology. Those who know better and are exposing the liars are repositioned such that they are presumed to agree with the liars ("you know the truth"), which means that they are lying about what they supposedly know to be true. Yes, I realize that Peter's claim was senseless, but nevertheless, my job is to explain what he hoped to gain by stating it.

The Kurion | Lord is Satan. Yahowah is God.

The errantly cited prophecy from Psalm 110:1 made no mention of anyone becoming Christon or turning into a god. This is a non-Sequitur. There are no co-gods in the Towrah or Prophets. Yahowah is One. There are no mentions of Christons in the Towrah or Prophets either. And should either be conceived, they would be the product of men and not Yahowah.

If it were not for the fact that the Christian fable,

Iesoun Christon, was a counterfeit replacement for Dowd, there would have been no reason to misappropriate *ha Mashyach* | the Messiah title as Christon. Not only didn't the mythical misnomer Iesoun qualify, Messiah wasn't among Dowd's most important designations. Moreover, the only time it is used prophetically is in Daniel where Dowd, under the title of *Gabry'el* | God's Most Courageous and Capable Man, revealed a prophecy pertaining to his second and third lives. In it, of course, rather than validating Christianity, he obliterated it.

There is no record of anyone named Iesou, Iesous, or Iesoun outside of the spurious, hopelessly errant, contradictory, and irrational fables composed to create the Christian New Testament out of Paul's hideous letters. The name "Jesus," as the interloper is addressed today as a mangod, first appeared in the 17th century after the invention and deployment of the letter "J," something that did not exist in Hebrew, Greek, Latin, or even English.

Why would Yahowah transfer Dowd's title of *ha Mashyach* to the baby god destined to be the dead god using a derogatory Greek substitute? If this title was so goddamn important, why wasn't it transliterated like we find He did with Pharaoh?

Had Yahowah decided that He was going to play with replacement players going forward, sidelining Moseh and Dowd for the likes of these clowns, why would He have selected a Greek name for a Hebrew character?

After this litany of outright deceptions and misrepresentations, why did Peter lie and say, "whom you crucified" when addressing Israel? Since it was not true, since crucifixion was a Roman means of suppressing political uprisings by publicly torturing their rivals, and since Jews had no say in the execution of Roman prisoners, why were they falsely framed and blamed for murdering the newly minted, albeit dead god? Was it because the

torturous assassins became the Roman Catholic Church and they wanted to shirk responsibility for their crime? Or was it that the imposition of Replacement Foolology necessitated a condemnation of Jews such that they could be replaced by the murderers? Said another way, did Satan inspire Paul by possessing him to condemn Jews to centuries of oppression and slaughter so that, after silencing and eradicating them, he could rise up over Yahowah as the Lord of Religion? Was Iesoun a ploy for this process and Peter and Paul his pawns?

Okay, so the Christian coconspirators flunked logic and lacked morality, what about their audience...

"Now when (de) they heard this (akouo – received this news and message), they were pierced through (datanyssomai) the heart (kardia)." (Acts 2:37)

Really? Are we to believe that Yahuwdym are as ignorant and irrational as Gowym? Evidently, all it takes to befuddle a batch of Israelis is to misrepresent their God, defrock their King, and then slander them with false allegations. Or, on the other hand, were Peter and Luke delusional?

"They said (eipon) to Petros (Petros – meaning stone or rock), and to (pros) the rest (loipos – remaining) of the Apostles (apostolos – those who informed and equipped as messengers), 'Brethren (adelphos – bothers and fellow countrymen), what (tis) is a man (andros) to do (poieomai)?" (Acts 2:37)

Exposing and condemning the charlatans would be a good start. Mocking and ridiculing them would have been 'ElYah's approach. Perhaps, turn to the God the imposters besmirched for answers and respond to Him. I would also recommend considering the words of the actual Messiah and Son of God whom these malcontents maligned.

"And now (de), accordingly (pros), Petros (petros –

meaning stone or rock) **told** (*phemi* – instructed) **them**, **'Change your thinking** (*metanoeo* – after you have come to recognize that which is false, change your perspective and obtain a proper understanding of what is true to avoid the consequences) **and also** (*kai*) **be baptized** (*baptizo* – sprinkled with water) **each and every one** (*hekastos*) **of you by** (*epi*) **the name** (*onoma*) **of Christou Iesou** (XY IY – placeholders for Christou Iesou) **in order to** (*eis*) **be pardoned** (*aphesis* – to be released) **from your sin** (*hamartia* – being mistaken), **and then** (*kai*) **receive** (*lambano* – grasp hold of and acquire) **the gift** (*dorea* – the present) **of the Holy Spirit** (*hagios* ΠΝΣ).'" (Acts 2:38)

If you count yourself among them, I would highly recommend "*metanoeo* – coming to recognize what is false and changing your thinking." As for the rest, no.

Baptism is a pagan religious rite having nothing to do with God. To promote it with religious intent is to offend Yahowah and estrange oneself from Him. The sign of the Covenant is circumcision – and that is never going to change.

God has but one name – Yahowah – and there was no one named Christou Iesou. There is no reconciliation or pardon apart from what Yahowah and Dowd accomplished on behalf of the Beryth by fulfilling the Miqra'ey of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym.

Sins are not pardoned. Guilt is removed. Souls are perfected. This occurs as a result of *Matsah* | UnYeasted Bread because Dowd's *nepesh* | soul carried the religious and political guilt of the Covenant Family into She'owl, where it was deposited, never to be seen again.

Those who are baptized get wet. Those who accept what Dowd did for us on Matsah are cleansed.

The *Ruwach Qodesh* | Set-Apart Spirit embraces those who accept the conditions of the Beryth and who attend the

Mow'ed Miqra'ey. She is never found among the religious because She finds them irritating and is allergic to them. So, this was the worst advice ever.

Recognizing that all names should be transliterated, it is useful to be mindful that there was no "J" sound or letter in Hebrew, Aramaic, Latin, or Greek. It did not even exist in English prior to the 17th century. It was then, in the 3rd edition of what had been the King Iames Bible, that "Jesus" appeared for the first time. Further, as a replacement player and counterfeit, Iesou Christou isn't credible with a Greek name while playing the role of a Jew. The Christian myth was wildly erroneous and centuries in the making.

Making matters worse for those whose religion was named after the replacement title Christou, *Iesou, Iesous*, and *Iesoun*, upon which "Jesus" was derived, was not written on any page of any pre-Constantinian (read Catholic), 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-century manuscript of the New Testament. Therefore, its very foundation does not exist. Jerome, who created the Latin Vulgate on behalf of the Catholic Church, would have had access to these early manuscripts and would have known about the existence of the placeholders – and yet he chose to ignore them. As a result, twelve centuries later, a hundred years after the initial appearance of the "J" in English, and in the third edition of the King James Version, men decided to give Iesou a new name: "Jesus."

And yet, every Christian is so convinced that Jesus is real, that he actually lived and died for them, that he was both god and man, that they openly attack anyone who is aware that Jesus is nothing more than a mythical misnomer and counterfeit, a fabled imposter.

To add insult to injury, even in the fables, Gospel Jesus was not anointed and thus was not the Mashyach. He was not Greek, so he could not have been the Christou either. Worse, the verbal root of Christou, Christon, and Christos

is *chrio*, which means "to apply drugs." Oh, what a wicked web they have woven.

Beyond this steady drizzle of delusions, please take note: on the day Christians allege their "Church" was born, Shim'own, the man they have renamed "Peter" and now claim was the first Pope, is not even alleged to have said any of the following when his audience supposedly asked him what they should do: join the church, become a Catholic, convert to Christianity, make a profession of faith, promote me to pope, kiss my ring, pay me an indulgence to minimize your time in purgatory, have your children baptized and confirmed, attend Mass, go to confession, stay clear of the seven deadly sins, become celibate, observe Lent and celebrate Christmas and Easter, perform the sign of the cross, buy some prayer beads and perform three Hail Marys, worship the Lord, bow down in prayer, tithe, trust me because I am the rock upon which the Church will be built, or don't worry because I hold the keys to heaven. And that would be it for the claims of Catholicism.

"For indeed (gar – because) the announced promise (epangelia – consent approval) exists (eimi) for you, your children (teknon), everyone (pas) who is a great distance away (makran), and for as many (hosos) as the Lord ($K\Sigma$ – placeholder for kurios) our God ($\Theta\Sigma$ – placeholder for theos) calls to Himself (proskaleomai – summons)." (Acts 2:39)

If this was the "announced promise," why was there no announcement or promise regarding it — not a single prophecy pertaining to anything Peter said? And why, since Luke knew that there were none, did he have "Peter" misconstrue and misappropriate prophecies which spoke about an entirely different person, unrelated circumstances, and a God by another name? Why, when Yahowah tells us that He has only one name, and that "the Lord" is Satan's moniker, does the Christian New Testament insist on

calling their god "the Lord"? And if God is calling us to Himself, why do they ignore His Invitations? When the only thing that mattered at the moment was the fulfillment of the first three Miqra'ey, why were they never mentioned?

This is considerably less believable than Hanzel and Gretel or even the retelling of Little Red Riding Hood and the Big Bad Wolf as Charles Perrault's story was retold and made famous by the Brothers Grimm. We might as well be reading about Cinderella, Snow White and the Seven Dwarfs, or Sleeping Beauty. Santa Claus, the Easter Bunny, and the Tooth Fairy are more credible since they don't require besmirching the life and lyrics of the greatest man to ever live.

Evidently, Peter would wax poetic about many more myths, and while different, they didn't warrant inclusion in Luke's story. He needed to dispense with Peter, sweeping him off center stage, so that he could feature the star performer in the Christian epic.

"And with many more (pleion – an increasing quantity), yet different (heteros – additional) words (logos), He admonished and testified (diamartyromai – he warned) to earnestly encourage and summon (parakaleo – to admonish, and exhort) them, 'Become saved from (sozo apo – become healed from the sickness of) this crooked and corrupt (skolios – unscrupulous, dishonest, and twisted) generation (genea – age and race)." (Acts 2:40)

Fortunately, we are not made privy to the many more and different words, whatever they may have been. Who knows, he may have spoken of Dionysus, Christmas, and Easter, of flying sleighs and baskets of eggs.

But at least Peter finally got one thing right. It was a "crooked and corrupt generation." But alas, he, Paul, and Luke were the most "unscrupulous and twisted" cast of

coconspirators in it.

And there is good news for all – we have reached the conclusion of this religious rant against Yahowah, His Towrah, Beryth, Miqra'ey, Ben, and 'Am.

"Indeed (men), therefore (oun — as a result), approximately (hosei) three thousand (trischilioi) souls (psyche) received and accepted (apodechomai — welcomed and grasped hold of) his (autos) words (legos — message). They were baptized (baptizo — dipped and sprinkled with water in pagan fashion) and were added (prostithemai — were granted and given favorable access) during (en — and in) that one (ekeinos) day (hemera)." (Acts 2:41)

At least they were clean. But this was not Shabuw'ah.

ያየያታ

I am embarrassed to admit, but nonetheless feel the need to acknowledge, that I had once believed that the *Shabuw'ah* | the Promise of Seven Harvest occurred on this occasion. To my credit, while I realized that Peter's portrayal was wrong, I was misled into believing that the next Migra' to be fulfilled would be Taruw'ah. Not so.

The Messiah's prophecies, as they were written in first person and recorded in Mizmowr 22 and 88, and Yasha'yah's portrayal of events described in Isaiah 53, speak of Dowd's fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym, but not Shabuw'ah.

The reason that I felt inclined to include Seven Shabat in with the first three is partly because I liked the symmetry of having the first four Invitations to Meet being fulfilled in year 4000 Yah. And the realization that the Covenant Family is enriched and empowered on this day was

appealing since it served to provide these benefits of the Covenant. However, since we are adopted, enriched, and empowered on Bikuwrym, the Covenant's promises are afforded based upon the initial three Invitations to be Called Out and Meet by themselves.

Further, I was called to this mission on Taruw'ah in 2001 and naturally embraced its purpose – which is to call Yisra'el home while drawing Yahuwdym away from religion and politics. This order of things was also advanced during the Olivet Discourse, when it is assumed that we were being told that no one presently knows the day or hour in which the trumpet will be blown. Taruw'ah is translated as "Trumpets" which led to this erroneous conclusion.

It was actually a Covenant member who figured it out by saying that there is no evidence for the fulfillment of Shabuw'ah and that it does not fit the Christian narrative. With my mind opened to the possibility, I immediately began reading every translation I had composed regarding Shabuw'ah and quickly came to realize that she was right.

What I rediscovered is that Shabuw'ah is a Harvest of Standing Grain which is both prominent and mature. That has not occurred. Its invitation list and menu are allinclusive, with Gowym and Yahuwdym both in attendance. Specifically, the Harvest is symbolized by two loaves of bread, representing Gentiles and Israel. The Qatsyr is comprised of *chittahym* | wheats (plural), affirming this same message. And it fits the mission because it was depicted as being addressed to both Gowym and Yahuwdym initially, and only focusing exclusively on the Chosen People thereafter.

Taruw'ah is a gleaning, a much smaller harvest. It is comprised of olives, two or three from the uppermost branches (Yahuwdym) and three or four from the branches that had been cut away (Yisra'elites). And while Taruw'ah

is based upon *ruwa*' which speaks of heralding a message, both Miqra'ey – Shabuw'ah and Taruw'ah – feature the blowing of the Showphar.

Since neither the Harvest of Standing Grain nor the Gleaning of Olives have occurred, I began to search for dates. With the days demarking the past fulfillment of Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym known and the future return of Yahowah and Dowd on Yowm Kipurym established forty Yowbel thereafter, all that remained was to set Shabuw'ah and Taruw'ah between them.

Moving back seven years from the October 2nd and 7th fulfillments of Kipurym and Sukah in 2033 to accommodate the Time of Ya'aqob's Troubles, we find that Shabuw'ah falls on a Shabat, commencing at sundown on May 22nd, 2026. And that being the case, the Taruw'ah Gleaning will transpire at the conclusion of the term of the two Witnesses on the 1st day of the 7th month in year 6000 Yah / sunset on a Shabat, September 23rd, 2033. It is marked by a complete solar eclipse just as Sukah will be celebrated the same year during a lunar eclipse.

While we will consider how the prophecies recorded in Yow'el shape our understanding of the events, both wonderful and tragic, which lay before us, I would like to share this insight relative to Shabuw'ah when the Showphar will be blown as Israel burns, and in advance of Yahowah's return...

"To You ('el 'atah), Yahowah (YaHoWaH), I call out (qara'). For indeed (ky), fire ('esh) has devoured ('akal) the dwelling places (nawah) where the word is questioned (midbar) and ablaze with flames (wa lehabah lahat) are all the trees (kol 'ets) of the field (ha sadeh). (Yow'el 1:19)

Sound the Showphar (taqa' showphar) in Tsyown and among the Signs Posted Along the Way (ba Tsyown), signaling future action, providing a warning,

an explanation, and a shout of exaltation (ruwa') on My Set-Apart mountain (ba har godesh 'any).

Be roused to anger (ragaz) all of you who live in the Land (kol yashab ha 'erets) because the Day (ky yowm) of Yahowah's (YaHoWaH) return (bow') is near (garowb). (Yow'el / Joel 2:1)

It will be a day of darkness during a time of ignorance and confusion when much is obscured (yowm chosek) when there is a reduction of the sun's light (wa 'apelah), a day of obscuring phenomenon (yowm 'anan), gloomy and miserable (wa 'araphel), similar to (ka) the swarthy blackness (shachar) which is spread out (paras) upon the mountains ('al ha har) with an enormous number of dimwitted and unenlightened people so numerous as to be uncountable ('am rab wa 'atsuwm), the likes of which (kemow huw') has not existed previously, even to the dawn of time (lo' hayah min ha 'owlam).

And after this (wa 'achar huw'), it will never occur again (lo' yasaph) throughout the years ('ad shanah), generation unto generation (dowr wa dowr). (Yow'el 2:2)

As I confessed previously, I had been conditioned to associate the ram's horn Showphar with the celebration of the *Miqra'* | Invitation of Taruw'ah, the final gleaning, but it is also blown on Shabuw'ah, the largest and most inclusive harvest. It is also designed to draw attention to any important message or event, and this one day certainly qualifies because Israel is at war.

The initial assault in Islam's final battle to eliminate Israel and exterminate Jews was enabled by the Progressives who have been so eager to sacrifice Israel and tear the tiny nation apart. It began brutally with rape, mutilation, abduction, and murder commonplace, even celebrated in an orgy of death and demons on October 7th, 2023. And while the prophet, Yow'el, reveals that it will

get far worse, worse than can be imagined, worse than at any time in human history, before it ends, the Son will shine again ten years later to the day – on Sukah in year 6000 Yah as the moon, addressing his Herald, is finally eclipsed.

As we are reminded, there is only one place on Earth that really matters to God – the ridgeline of Tsyown on Mowryah. This is the epicenter of where today ends and tomorrow begins.

Yahowah is Light, but He is arriving at the darkest moment in our planet's turbulent history, both from the actual obscuration of the sun's illumination from debris in the atmosphere and also from human malfeasance — all designed to confuse the ignorant at the least opportune time as humankind comes within days of exterminating life on the planet.

Yow'el deployed the full arsenal of words depicting a deprivation of light. And that is why I turned to the root of 'am | people, which is 'amam, to convey the cognitive function of this invading horde — which is dimwitted and unenlightened. In some cases, at least when associated with Yisra'el, 'am can mean "family," and on rare occasions when depicting foes, as is the case here, 'am is translated as "militants or army." But in this situation, there are two things which the prophet wants us to appreciate: there are more of them than can be counted, suggesting hundreds of thousands or even a million belligerents, and that they have arrived as thick and menacing as blowing sand off of the desert — a haboob of mujahideen.

Yasha'yah also addresses this moment, saying that the narrowing of Israel at the waist by the "Two-State Solution" will result in a massive flood of combatants, far more than can be contained. In contrast to this, 3,500 Muslim mujahideen were sufficiently savage last year to bring Israel to her knees.

But this next invasion will be so massive, Islamic jihadists will darken the hills and stir up vast mountains of dust as if forming a tsunami of sand. They will number in the hundreds of thousands and perhaps even in the millions. And yet, the good news is that man will never be this bad again. This will be the last battle. The Muslims, looking for booty and babes, will soon know that they have entered Hell

And it is from having to witness such senseless religious carnage that the Covenant Family is being withdrawn during Shabuw'ah. After detailing the savagery of this impending war, the prophet asks...

"Who reveals and acknowledges Yada, who knows and understands (my yada' – who actually notices and demonstrably appreciates what Yada observes, perceives, and realizes (qal participle masculine singular absolute))?

He will alter the mindset to restore and return the withdrawn (*shuwb* – he will continually turn around and actually bring back those who had turned away (qal imperfect third-person masculine singular)) and he will enable reconsideration while reassuring those willing to change (*wa nacham* – at this moment, he will console and comfort those who relent, as he is reassured when they cease what they have been doing (nifal perfect third-person masculine singular))?

He will remain to ensure that a remnant is spared ($wa \, sha \, 'ar$ – by engaging at this time, he will have assured that a portion of the whole is left, continuing to exist (hifil perfect third-person masculine singular)) in the end (`achar – afterward and later).

He will be of benefit and a blessing (huw' barakah — he will be commendable and appreciated for having invoked that which was uplifting), even a gift which is bestowed (minchah — presenting and offering the grain), as a leader, a prince of sorts, installed, appointed, and

positioned before (wa nasak la) Yahowah (Yahowah), your God ('elohym 'atem). (Yow'el 2:14)

Sound the Showphar (taqa' showphar) in Tsyown among the Signs Posted Along the Way (ba Tsyown). Choose to be set apart and special, dedicated to serve, consecrating (qadash) a fast, a time of abstaining from what others are consuming (tsowm). Choose to announce and welcome the invitation to (qara' – of your own freewill, call out by reading and reciting a summons to) an assembly to meet ('atsarah – a time to refrain from what others are doing and restrain them while gathering together for an important occasion). (Yow'el / Joel 2:15)

Choose to gather the family for a harvest ('asaph 'am). You have decided to be a community set apart as special and unique (qadash qahal). You as the prominent and mature want to be collected and withdrawn (qabats zaqen).

You have chosen to assemble the children ('asaph 'owlal), including the infants who are nursing (yowneq sad). The bridegroom is being brought out (yatsa' chathan) of his room (cheder huw') and the bride from her bridal chamber (wa kalah min chupah hy'). (Yow'el 2:16)

Let the celebration begin.

ያለች ሥ

RESOURCES

YadaYah.com

BlogTalkRadio.com/Yada

Facebook: Facebook.com/YadaYahowah

X: X.com/YadaYahowah

Instagram: Instagram.com/YadaYahowah

YouTube: YouTube.com/@YadaYahowah

Rumble: Rumble.com/YadaYahowah

Amazon Music: Yada Yah Radio

Apple Podcasts: Yada Yah Radio

Printed Books: <u>Amazon.com (Craig Winn)</u>

Contact: email@YadaYah.com

Cover photo is the property of Yada Yahowah